

## $(I, \gamma)$ -GENERALIZED SEMI-CLOSED SETS IN TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

R. Devi, A.Selvakumar and M. Vigneshwaran

### Abstract

In this paper we introduce  $(I, \gamma)$ -generalized semi-closed sets in topological spaces and also introduce  $\gamma S - T_I$ -spaces and investigate some of their properties.

### 1. Introduction

Recently Julian Dontchev et. al. [1] introduce  $(I, \gamma)$ -generalized closed sets via topological ideals. In this paper we introduce  $(I, \gamma)$ -generalized semi-closed sets and investigate some of their properties.

An ideal  $I$  on a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is a non-empty collection of subsets of  $X$  satisfying the following two properties:

- (1)  $A \in I$  and  $B \subset A$  implies  $B \in I$
- (2)  $A \in I$  and  $B \in I$  implies  $A \cup B \in I$

For a subset  $A \subset X$ ,  $A^*(I) = \{x \in X/U \cap A \notin I \text{ for each neighbourhood } U \text{ of } x\}$  is called the local function of  $A$  with respect to  $I$  and  $\tau$ . Recall that  $A \subseteq (X, \tau, I)$  is called  $\tau^*$ -closed [2] if  $A^* \subseteq A$ . It is well known that  $Cl^*(A) = A \cup A^*$  defines a Kuratowski closure operator for a topology  $\tau^*(I)$ , finer than  $\tau$ . An operation  $\gamma$  [3,6] on the topology  $\tau$  on a given topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is a function from the topology itself into the power set  $P(X)$  of  $X$  such that  $V \subseteq V^\gamma$  for each  $V \in \tau$ , where  $V^\gamma$  denotes the value of  $\gamma$  at  $V$ .

The following operators are examples of the operation  $\gamma$ : the closure operator  $\gamma_{cl}$  defined by  $\gamma(U) = cl(U)$ , the identity operator  $\gamma_{id}$  defined by  $\gamma(U) = U$ . Another example of the operation  $\gamma$  is the  $\gamma_f$ -operator defined by  $U^{\gamma_f} = (FrU)^c = X/FrU$  [7]. Two operators  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are called mutually dual [7] if  $U^{\gamma_1} \cap U^{\gamma_2} = U$  for each  $U \in \tau$ . For example the identity operator is mutually dual to any other operator, while the  $\gamma_f$ -operator is mutually dual to the closure operator [7].

**Definition:** A subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is called

- (a) an  $\alpha$ -open set [5] if  $A \subseteq int(cl(int(A)))$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classifications.* 54B05, 54C08, 54D05.

*Key words and Phrases.*  $(I, \gamma)$ - $g$ -closed set,  $(I, \gamma)$ - $gs$ -closed sets,  $\gamma S - T_I$ -spaces.

Received: August 17, 2009

Communicated by Ljubisa Kocinac

- (b) a generalized closed (briefly  $g$ -closed) set [4] if  $cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$ .
- (c) a  $(I, \gamma)$ -generalized closed (briefly  $(I, \gamma)$ - $g$ -closed) set [1] if  $A^* \subseteq U^\gamma$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

We denote the family of all  $(I, \gamma)$ -generalized semi-closed subsets (briefly  $(I, \gamma)$ - $gs$ -closed) of a space  $(X, \tau, I, \gamma)$  by  $IGS(X)$  and simply write  $I$ - $gs$ -closed in case when  $\gamma$  is an identity operator. Throughout this paper the operator  $\gamma$  is defined as  $\gamma : \tau^s \rightarrow P(X)$ , where  $\tau^s$  denotes the set of all semi-open sets of  $(X, \tau)$ .

## 2. Basic properties of $(I, \gamma)$ -generalized semi-closed sets

**Definition 2.1.** A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $(I, \gamma)$ -generalized semi closed (briefly  $(I, \gamma)$ - $gs$ -closed) if  $A^* \subseteq U^\gamma$ , whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is semi-open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Example 2.2.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ , and  $I = \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ . Here  $(I, \gamma)$ - $gs$ -closed sets are  $X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Every  $(I, \gamma)$ - $gs$ -closed set is  $(I, \gamma)$ - $g$ -closed set.

**Proof.** Let  $A \subseteq U$ ,  $U$  is open and hence it is semi-open. Since  $A$  is  $(I, \gamma)$ - $gs$ -closed,  $A^* \subseteq U^\gamma$ . Hence  $A$  is  $(I, \gamma)$ - $g$ -closed.

**Remark 2.4.** The converse of the above theorem need not be true by the following example.

**Example 2.5** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$  and  $I = \{a\}$ . Let  $\gamma_1 : \tau^s \rightarrow P(X)$  and  $\gamma_2 : \tau \rightarrow P(X)$  be defined by  $U^{\gamma_1} = cl U$  and  $U^{\gamma_2} = cl U$  respectively. Therefore  $A = \{b, c\}$  is  $(I, \gamma)$ - $g$ -closed but not  $(I, \gamma)$ - $gs$ -closed.

**Theorem 2.6.** If  $A$  is  $I$ - $gs$ -closed and semi-open, then  $A$  is  $\tau^*$ -closed.

**Proof:** Since  $A$  is  $I$ - $gs$ -closed, then  $A^* \subseteq U$ ,  $U$  is semi-open. It is given that  $A$  is semi-open implies  $A^* \subseteq U = A$ , this implies that  $A^* \subseteq A$ . Hence  $A$  is  $\tau^*$ -closed.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $(X, \tau, I, \gamma)$  be a topological space.

- (i) If  $(A_i)_{i \in I}$  is a locally finite family of sets and each  $A_i \in IGS(X)$ , then  $\cup_{i \in I} A_i \in IGS(X)$
- (ii) Finite intersection of  $(I, \gamma)$ - $gs$ -closed sets need not be  $(I, \gamma)$ - $gs$ -closed.

**Proof:**

- (i) Let  $\cup_{i \in I} A_i \subseteq U$ , where  $U \in \tau^s$ . Since  $A_i \in IGS(X)$  for each  $i \in I$ , then  $A_i^* \subseteq U^\gamma$ . Hence  $\cup_{i \in I} A_i^* \subseteq U^\gamma$ , But we know that  $(\cup_{i \in I} A_i)^* = \cup_{i \in I} A_i^*$ , Therefore  $(\cup_{i \in I} A_i)^* \subseteq U^\gamma$ . Hence  $\cup_{i \in I} A_i \in IGS(x)$
- (ii) Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$  and  $I = \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ . Set  $A = \{a, b\}$  and  $B = \{b, c\}$ , clearly  $A, B \in IGS(X)$  but  $A \cap B = \{b\} \notin IGS(X)$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $(X, \tau, I, \gamma_{id})$  be a space. If  $A \subseteq X$  is  $I$ - $gs$ -closed and  $B$  is closed and  $\tau^*$ -closed, then  $A \cap B$  is  $I$ - $gs$ -closed.

**Proof:** Let  $U \in \tau^s$  be such that  $A \cap B \subseteq U$ . Then  $A \subseteq U \cup (X/B)$ . Since  $A$  is  $I$ - $gs$ -closed, then  $A^* \subseteq U \cup (X/B)$ . Hence  $B \cap A^* \subseteq U \cap B \subseteq U$ , But we know that

$B^* \subseteq B$ , Therefore  $(A \cap B)^* \subseteq A^* \cap B^* \subseteq A^* \cap B \subseteq U$ , Since  $B$  is  $\tau^*$ -closed. Hence  $A \cap B$  is  $I$ -gs-closed.

**Result 2.9.** A subset  $S$  of a space  $(X, \tau, I)$  is a topological space with an ideal  $I_s = \{I \cap S : I \in I\}$  on  $S$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $A \subseteq S \subseteq (X, \tau, I, \gamma_{id})$ . If  $A$  is  $I_s$ -gs-closed in  $(S, \tau/s, I_s, \gamma_{id})$  and  $S$  is closed in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $A$  is  $I$ -gs-closed in  $(X, \tau, I, \gamma_{id})$ .

**Proof:** Let  $A \subseteq U$ , where  $U \in \tau^s$ . Let  $x \notin U$ . We consider the following two cases.

Case(i)  $x \in S$ . By assumption,  $A^*(I_s, \tau/s) \subseteq U \cap S \subseteq U$ , We show that  $A^*(I) \subseteq A^*(I_s, \tau/s)$ . Let  $x \notin A^*(I_s, \tau/s)$ . Since  $x \in S$ , then for some open subset  $V_s$  of  $(S, \tau/s)$  containing  $x$ , we have  $V_s \cap A \in I_s$ ; since  $V_s = V \cap S$  for some  $V \in \tau$ , then  $(S \cap V) \cap A \in I_s \subseteq I$ , that is  $V \cap A \in I$  for some  $V \in \tau$  containing  $x$ . This shows that  $x \notin A^*(I)$ . Hence  $A^*(I) \subseteq U$ .

Case(ii)  $x \notin S$ . Then  $X/S$  is an open neighbourhood of  $x$  disjoint from  $A$ . Hence  $x \notin A^*(I)$ . Consequently  $A^*(I) \subseteq U$ .

Both cases we show that the local function of  $A$  with respect to  $I$  and  $\tau$  is in  $U$ . Hence  $A$  is  $I$ -gs-closed in  $(X, \tau, I, \gamma_{id})$ .

**Theorem 2.11.** Let  $A \subseteq S \subseteq (X, \tau, I, \gamma)$ . If  $A \in (IGS(X))$  and  $S \in \tau$ , then  $A \in IGS(S)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $U$  be a semi-open subset of  $(S, \tau/s)$  such that  $A \subseteq U$ . Since  $S \in \tau$ , then  $U \in \tau^s$ . Then  $A^*(I) \subseteq U^\gamma$ , since  $A \in IGS(X)$ . We show that  $A^*(I_s, \tau/s) \subseteq A^*(I)$ . Let  $x \notin A^*(I)$ . We assume that  $x \in S$ , since otherwise we are done. Now, for some  $V \in \tau$  containing  $x$ ,  $V \cap S \in I$ . Moreover,  $V \cap A \in I_s$ , since  $A \subseteq S$ . Then  $V \cap S$  is an open neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $(S, \tau/s)$  such that  $(V \cap S) \cap A = V \cap A \in I_s$ . This shows that  $x \notin A^*(I_s, \tau/s)$ . Hence  $A^*(I_s, \tau/s) \subseteq U^{\gamma/s}$ , where  $U^{\gamma/s}$  means the image of the operation  $\gamma/s : \tau^s/S \rightarrow P(S)$  defined by,  $(\gamma/s)(U) = \gamma(U) \cap S$  for each  $U \in \tau^s/S$ . Hence  $A \in IGS(S)$ .

**Theorem 2.12.** Let  $A$  be a subset of  $(X, \tau, I, \gamma_{id})$ . If  $A$  is  $I$ -gs-closed, then  $A^*/A$  does not contain any non-empty semi-closed subset.

**Proof:** Assume that  $F$  is semi-closed subset of  $A^*/A$ . Clearly  $A \subseteq X/F$ , where  $A$  is  $I$ -gs-closed and  $X/F \in \tau^s$ . This  $A^* \subseteq X/F$ , that is  $F \subseteq X/A^*$ . Since due to our assumption  $F \subseteq A^*$ ,  $F \subseteq (X/A^*) \cap A^* = \phi$ .

**Theorem 2.13.** If the set  $A \subseteq (X, \tau, I)$  is both  $(I, \gamma_1)$ -gs-closed and  $(I, \gamma_2)$  - gs-closed, then it is  $I$ -gs-closed, granted the operators  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are mutually dual.

**Proof:** Let  $A \subseteq U$ , where  $U \in \tau^s$ . Since  $A^* \subseteq U^{\gamma_1}$  and  $A^* \subseteq U^{\gamma_2}$ , then  $A^* \subseteq U^{\gamma_1} \cap U^{\gamma_2} = U$ . Since  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are mutually dual. Hence  $A$  is  $I$ -gs-closed.

**Theorem 2.14.** Every set  $A \subseteq (X, \tau, I)$  is  $(I, \gamma_{cl})$ -gs-closed.

**Proof:** Let  $A \subseteq U$ ,  $U$  is semi-open. We know that  $A \cup A^* = cl^*(A) \subseteq cl(A) \subseteq cl(U)$ . This implies that  $A^* \subseteq cl(U)$ . Hence  $A$  is  $(I, \gamma_{cl})$ -gs-closed.

**Corollary 2.15.** For a set  $A \subseteq (X, \tau, I)$ , the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $A$  is  $(I, \gamma_f)$  - gs-closed.
- (ii)  $A$  is  $I$ -gs-closed.

**Proof:**

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii), By the above theorem,  $A$  is  $(I, \gamma_{cl})$  - gs-closed. Since  $\gamma_f$  and  $\gamma_{cl}$  are mutually dual due to [7], then  $\gamma_f(U) \cap \gamma_{cl}(U) = U$ . This implies that  $A^* \subseteq U$ , that is,  $A$  is  $I$ -gs-closed.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i), Let  $A \subseteq U$ ,  $U$  is semi-open. Since  $A$  is  $I$ -gs-closed,  $A^* \subseteq U$ . But we know that  $U \subseteq U^{\gamma_f}$ , we have  $A^* \subseteq U \subseteq U^{\gamma_f}$ , this implies that  $A^* \subseteq U^{\gamma_f}$ . Therefore  $A$  is  $(I, \gamma_f)$  - gs- closed.

### 3. $\gamma S - T_I$ -space

**Definition 3.1.** A space  $(X, \tau, I, \gamma)$  is called an  $\gamma S - T_I$ -space if every  $(I, \gamma)$ -gs-closed subset of  $X$  is  $\tau^*$ -closed. We use the simple notation  $ST_I$ -space, in case  $\gamma$  is the identity operator.

**Theorem 3.2.** For a space  $(X, \tau, I)$ , the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $X$  is a  $ST_I$ -space
- (ii) Each singleton of  $X$  is either semi-closed or  $\tau^*$ -open.

**Proof:** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii), Let  $x \in X$ . If  $\{x\}$  is not semi-closed, then  $A = X \setminus \{x\} \notin \tau^s$  and then  $A$  is trivially  $I$ -gs-closed. By (i)  $A$  is  $\tau^*$ -closed and  $\{x\}$  is  $\tau^*$ -open.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i), Let  $A$  be  $I$ -gs-closed and let  $x \in cl^*(A)$ . We have the following two cases. case(i):  $\{x\}$  is semi-closed. By theorem 2.12,  $A^*/A$  does not contain a non-empty semi-closed subset. This shows that  $x \in A$ .

case(ii):  $\{x\}$  is  $\tau^*$ -open. Then  $\{x\} \cap A \neq \phi$ . Hence  $x \in A$ . Thus in both cases  $x$  is in  $A$  and so  $A = cl^*A$ . that is  $A$  is  $\tau^*$ -closed, which shows that  $X$  is a  $ST_I$ -space.

## References

- [1] Julian Dontchev, Maximilian Ganster and Takashi Noiri, Unified operation approach of generalized closed sets via topological ideals, *Math.Japon.*,49 (1999),395-401.
- [2] D. Jankovic and T.R. Hamlett, New topologies from old via ideals, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 97 (1990), 295-310.
- [3] S.Kasahara, Operation-compact spaces, *Math. Japan.*, 24 (1979), 97-105.
- [4] N.Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2), 19 (1970), 89-96.
- [5] O.Njastad, On some classes of nearly open sets, *Pacific J. Math.*, 15 (1965), 961-970.
- [6] H.Ogata, Operations on topological spaces and associated topology, *Math. Japon.*, 36 (1991), 175-184.
- [7] J.Tong, Expansion of open sets and decomposition of continuous mappings, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2), 43 (1994), 303-308.

Department of Mathematics, Kongunadu Arts and Science College (Autonomous), Coimbatore-29

*E-mail:* rdevicbe@yahoo.com