

## Some new classes of $(m, n)$ -hyperrings

Sanja Jančić-Rašović<sup>a</sup>, Vučić Dašić<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro

**Abstract.** The notion of  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring was introduced by Davvaz at the 10<sup>th</sup> AHA congress [9], as the strong distributive structure. In this article we generalize it, by introducing the notion of  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring with inclusive distributivity. We present construction of  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperrings associated with binary relations on semigroup. We also state the condition under which there exists  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring of multiendomorphisms for a starting  $m$ -ary hypergroup  $(H, f)$ . Finally, we analyze connections between the obtained classes of  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperrings.

### 1. Introduction

The hyperstructure theory was introduced by F. Marty at the 8<sup>th</sup> Congress of Scandinavian Mathematicians held in 1934. A semihypergroup  $(H, \circ)$  is a nonempty set  $H$  equipped with a hyperoperation  $\circ$ , that is a map  $\circ : H \times H \rightarrow P^*(H)$ , where  $P^*(H)$  denotes the family of all nonempty subsets of  $H$ , and for all  $(x, y, z) \in H^3 : x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z$ . A semihypergroup is called a hypergroup in the sense of Marty [16] if for every  $a \in H : a \circ H = H \circ a = H$ . In the above definitions, if  $A, B \in P^*(H)$ , then  $A \circ B$  is given by:

$$A \circ B = \bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} a \circ b$$

$x \circ A$  is used for  $\{x\} \circ A$  and  $A \circ x$  for  $A \circ \{x\}$ .

A comprehensive review of the theory of hyperstructures appears in Corsini [4], Corsini and Leoreanu [7] and Vougiouklis [20]. Since 1934, the hyperstructure theory has had applications to several areas of both pure and applied mathematics. About 70 years later, a suitable generalization of a hypergroup, called an  $n$ -ary hypergroup was introduced and studied by Davvaz and Vougiouklis in [12]. Davvaz et al. [11] considered a class of algebraic hypersystems which represent a generalization of semigroups, hypersemigroups and  $n$ -ary semigroups. The properties of this class were investigated in [10] and [11]. The notion of  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring was introduced by Davvaz [9] as a triple  $(R, f, g)$  such that  $(R, f)$  is an  $m$ -ary hypergroup,  $(R, g)$  is an  $n$ -ary hypersemigroup and  $g$  is distributive over  $f$  in the sense of equality. In this article, by an  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring we mean more general structure in the following sense: we let  $g$  to be distributive over  $f$  in the sense of inclusion. A subclass of the  $(m, n)$ -hyperrings, called Krasner  $(m, n)$ -hyperrings was studied by Mirvakili and Davvaz in [17]. Anvariye, Mirvakili and Davvaz [1], considered  $(m, n)$ -ary hypermodules on  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20N20

*Keywords.*  $n$ -ary hypergroup, binary relation, homomorphism, multiendomorphism

Received: 09 March 2011; Accepted: 02 November 2011

Communicated by Miroslav Ćirić

*Email addresses:* [sabu@t-com.me](mailto:sabu@t-com.me) (Sanja Jančić-Rašović), [z.boris@t-com.me](mailto:z.boris@t-com.me) (Vučić Dašić)

If  $(H, \oplus)$  is a commutative binary hypergroup and  $F(H)$  the set of multiendomorphisms of  $H$  i.e.  $F(H) = \{h : H \rightarrow P^*(H) | (\forall x, y \in H) h(x \oplus y) \subseteq h(x) \oplus h(y)\}$  then for all pairs  $f, g \in F(H)$  we set:

$$f \oplus_F g = \{h \in F(H) | (\forall x \in H) h(x) \subseteq f(x) \oplus g(x)\}$$

$$f \odot_F g = \{h \in F(H) | (\forall x \in H) h(x) \subseteq f(g(x))\}.$$

It is known that the structure  $(F(H), \oplus_F, \odot_F)$  is a binary hyperring (see Corsini [4], Example 422). In Section 3 of this article, we determine condition under which we can construct the  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring of multiendomorphisms of  $m$ -ary hypergroup  $(H, f)$ . We show that we can associate a hyperring of multiendomorphisms with hypergroup  $(H, f)$  which is not necessary commutative.

The association between hyperstructures and binary relations had been studied by many authors, for example see Chvalina [2,3], Rosenberg [18], Corsini [5,6], Corsini and Leoreanu [8], and Spartalis [19]. Connections of  $n$ -ary hypergroups with binary relations was studied by Leoreanu and Davvaz in [15]. In Section 4 of this article, we obtain a class of strong distributive  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperrings associated with binary relations on semigroup. We investigate their morphisms and we also, establish connection between the constructed  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring  $(H, f, g)$  and the hyperring of multiendomorphisms of  $m$ -hypergroup  $(H, f)$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

The notion of  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring was introduced by Davvaz [9]. In this section we generalize it, by introducing the notion of  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring with inclusive distributivity and we give several examples of these structures.

We recall the following elementary background from [9].

A mapping  $f : H \times \dots \times H \rightarrow P^*(H)$ , where  $H$  appears  $n$  times and  $P^*(H)$  denotes the set of all non-empty subsets of  $H$ , is called an  $n$ -ary hyperoperation and  $n$  is called the arity of this hyperoperation. If  $f$  is an  $n$ -ary hyperoperation defined on  $H$ , then  $(H, f)$  is called an  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid. We shall use the following abbreviated notation: the sequence  $x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_j$  will be denoted by  $x_i^j$ . For  $j < i$ ,  $x_i^j$  is the empty symbol.

In this convention  $f(x_1, \dots, x_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_j, z_{j+1}, \dots, z_n)$  may be written as  $f(x_1^i, y_{i+1}^j, z_{j+1}^n)$ . Similarly, for non-empty subsets  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  of  $H$  we define:

$$f(A_1^n) = f(A_1, \dots, A_n) = \cup \{f(x_1^n) | x_i \in A_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

An  $n$ -ary hyperoperation  $f$  is called associative if:

$$f(x_1^{i-1}, f(x_i^{n+i-1}), x_{n+i}^{2n-1}) = f(x_1^{j-1}, f(x_j^{n+j-1}), x_{n+j}^{2n-1})$$

for every  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n-1} \in H$ . An  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid with the associative hyperoperation is called an  $n$ -ary hipersemigroup. An  $n$ -ary hipersemigroup  $(H, f)$  in which the equation  $b \in f(a_1^{i-1}, x_i, a_{i+1}^n)$  has a solution  $x_i \in H$  for every  $a_1^{i-1}, a_{i+1}^n, b \in H$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , is called an  $n$ -ary hypergroup. This condition can be formulated by:

$$f(a_1^{i-1}, H, a_{i+1}^n) = H.$$

An  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid  $(H, f)$  is commutative if for all  $\delta \in S_n$  and for every  $a_1^n \in H$  we have  $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = f(a_{\delta(1)}, \dots, a_{\delta(n)})$ .

We introduce the following definition of  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring.

**Definition 2.1.** An  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring is an algebraic hyperstructure  $(R, f, g)$  which satisfies the following axioms:

1.  $(R, f)$  is an  $m$ -ary hypergroup.

2.  $(R, g)$  is an  $n$ -ary hypersemigroup.
3. The  $n$ -ary hyperoperation  $g$  is distributive with respect to the  $m$ -ary hyperoperation  $f$  i.e. for every  $a_1^{i-1}, a_{i+1}^n, x_1^m \in R, 1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$g(a_1^{i-1}, f(x_1^m), a_{i+1}^n) \subseteq f(g(a_1^{i-1}, x_1, a_{i+1}^n), \dots, g(a_1^{i-1}, x_m, a_{i+1}^n)).$$

$(R, f, g)$  is called an  $n$ -ary hyperring if  $n = m$ .

The above definition contains the class of  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperrings in the sense of Davvaz. According to [9] an  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring is an algebraic hyperstructure  $(R, f, g)$  which satisfies the conditions (1), (2) and

$$3'' \text{ for every } a_1^{i-1}, a_{i+1}^n, x_1^m \in R, 1 \leq i \leq n, g(a_1^{i-1}, f(x_1^m), a_{i+1}^n) = f(g(a_1^{i-1}, x_1, a_{i+1}^n), \dots, g(a_1^{i-1}, x_m, a_{i+1}^n)).$$

The  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring in the sense of Davvaz will be called strong distributive  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring.

**Example 2.2.** a) Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a ring and  $\emptyset \neq P \subseteq R$  such that  $RP = R$  and  $Pz = zP$  for all  $z \in R$ . If we define an  $m$ -ary hyperoperation  $f$  and an  $n$ -ary hyperoperation  $g$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1^m) &= x_1P + x_2P + \dots + x_mP \\ g(x_1^n) &= x_1Px_2Px_3\dots x_{n-1}Px_n \end{aligned}$$

for any  $x_1^m \in R$  and  $x_1^n \in R$ , then it can be verified that  $(H, f, g)$  is an  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring. b) It is easy to see that if  $(R, +, \cdot)$  is a ring with unity 1 and  $P = \{1\}$  then  $(H, f, g)$  is a strong distributive  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring. In this case,  $f(x_1^m) = x_1 + \dots + x_m$  and  $g(x_1^n) = x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n$ .

**Example 2.3.** Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a ring and  $I, J$  be ideals of a ring  $R$ . If we set:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, x_2) &= x_1 + x_2 + I \\ g(x_1, x_2) &= x_1 \cdot x_2 + J \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in R$ , then  $(R, f, g)$  is  $(2, 2)$ -hyperring. If  $I = J$ , then obviously  $(R, f, g)$  is a strong distributive hyperring.

The following definition is a generalization of a suitable definition related to binary hyperrings.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $(R_1, f_1, g_1)$  and  $(R_2, f_2, g_2)$  be  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperrings. A map  $\varphi : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$  is called an *inclusion homomorphism* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1)  $\varphi(f_1(a_1^m)) \subseteq f_2(\varphi(a_1), \dots, \varphi(a_m))$  for all  $a_1^m \in R_1$
- 2)  $\varphi(g_1(a_1^n)) \subseteq g_2(\varphi(a_1), \dots, \varphi(a_n))$  for all  $a_1^n \in R_1$

A map  $\varphi$  is called a *good (or strong) homomorphism* if in the conditions 1) and 2) the equality is valid.

We recall the following notion and result from [14], [15].

Let  $\rho$  be a binary relation on a non-empty set  $H$ . We define a partial  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid  $(H, f_\rho)$  as follows:

$$(\forall a \in H), f_\rho(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{n \text{ times}}) = \{y \mid (a, y) \in \rho\}$$

and

$$(\forall a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in H), f_\rho(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \underbrace{f_\rho(a_1, \dots, a_1)}_{n \text{ times}} \cup \underbrace{f_\rho(a_2, \dots, a_2)}_{n \text{ times}} \cup \dots \cup \underbrace{f_\rho(a_n, \dots, a_n)}_{n \text{ times}}.$$

By a partial  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid we mean a non-empty set  $H$ , endowed with a function from

$$\underbrace{H \times \dots \times H}_{n \text{ times}}$$

to the set of subsets of  $H$ . Notice that  $(H, f_\rho)$  is an  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid if the domain of  $\rho$  is  $H$ .

An element  $z \in H$  is called an *outer element* of  $\rho$  if there exists  $y \in H$  such that  $(y, z) \notin \rho^2$ .

It is interesting to see when the above  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid  $(H, f_\rho)$  is an  $n$ -ary hypergroup.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $\rho$  be a binary relation with full domain. The  $n$ -ary hypergroupoid  $(H, f_\rho)$  is an  $n$ -hypergroup if and only if the following conditions hold:*

1.  $\rho$  has a full range;
2.  $\rho \subseteq \rho^2$ ;
3.  $(x, z) \in \rho^2 \Rightarrow (x, z) \in \rho$  for any outer element  $z$  of  $\rho$ .

### 3. $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring of multiendomorphisms

In this section we determine condition under which we can construct the  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring of multiendomorphisms of  $m$ -ary hypergroup  $(H, f)$ . We show that we can associate a hyperring of multiendomorphisms with hypergroup  $(H, f)$  which is not necessary commutative.

Let  $(H, f)$  be an  $m$ -ary hypergroup.

Before proving the next theorem we introduce the following notation:

$$a_{k1}^{km} = (a_{k1}, a_{k2}, \dots, a_{km}), \quad a_{1k}^{mk} = (a_{1k}, a_{2k}, \dots, a_{mk}),$$

for all  $1 \leq k \leq m$ .

If  $h_i, h_{i+1}, \dots, h_{m+i-1}$ , is the sequence of multiendomorphisms of hypergroup  $(H, f)$ , and  $x \in H$ , then we put:

$$f(h_i^{m+i-1}(x)) = f(h_i(x), \dots, h_{m+i-1}(x))$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

If  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  are multiendomorphisms of hypergroup  $(H, f)$  and  $x \in H$ , then:

$$(h_1 \dots h_n)(x) = (h_1 \circ \dots \circ h_n)(x) = h_1(h_2(\dots(h_{n-1}(h_n(x))))$$

where we take

$$h_i(K) = \bigcup_{k \in K} h_i(k)$$

for any  $K \subseteq H$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(H, f)$  be an  $m$ -ary hypergroup such that for all  $a_{11}^{1m}, a_{21}^{2m}, \dots, a_{m1}^{mm} \in H$  it holds:*

$$f(f(a_{11}^{1m}), f(a_{21}^{2m}), \dots, f(a_{m1}^{mm})) = f(f(a_{11}^{m1}), f(a_{21}^{m2}), \dots, f(a_{m1}^{mm})). \tag{1}$$

Let  $F(H)$  be the set of multiendomorphisms of hypergroup  $(H, f)$  i.e.

$$F(H) = \{h : H \rightarrow P^*(H) | (\forall a_1^m \in H) h(f(a_1^m)) \subseteq f(h(a_1), \dots, h(a_m))\}.$$

Define an  $m$ -ary hyperoperation  $\oplus$  and an  $n$ -ary ( $n \geq 2$ ) hyperoperation  $\odot$  on  $F(H)$  as follows: For any  $h_1^m \in F(H)$  set

$$\oplus(h_1^m) = \{h \in F(H) | (\forall x \in H) h(x) \subseteq f(h_1(x), \dots, h_m(x))\}.$$

For any  $h_1^n \in F(H)$  set

$$\odot(h_1^n) = \{h \in F(H) | (\forall x \in H) h(x) \subseteq (h_1 h_2 \dots h_n)(x)\}.$$

The structure  $(F(H), \oplus, \odot)$  is an  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring.

*Proof.* For any  $h_1^m \in F(H)$  it holds  $\oplus(h_1^m) \neq \emptyset$ , i.e.  $\oplus$  is an  $m$ -ary hyperoperation. Indeed, let  $h : H \rightarrow P^*(H)$  be a map defined by:

$$h(x) = f(h_1(x), \dots, h_m(x)), \text{ for all } x \in H.$$

Then for every  $a_1^m \in H$  it holds:

$$h(f(a_1^m)) = f(h_1(f(a_1^m)), \dots, h_m(f(a_1^m))) \subseteq f(f(h_1(a_1), \dots, h_1(a_m)), \dots, f(h_m(a_1), \dots, h_m(a_m))).$$

In what follows we shall denote the set  $h_i(a_j)$  by  $A_{ij}$  and the sequence  $A_{i1}, \dots, A_{im}$  by  $A_{i1}^m$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} h(f(a_1^m)) &\subseteq f(f(A_{11}^{1m}), \dots, f(A_{m1}^{mm})) = f(f(A_{11}^{m1}), \dots, f(A_{1m}^{mm})) \\ &= f(f(h_1(a_1), \dots, h_m(a_1)), \dots, f(h_1(a_m), \dots, h_m(a_m))) = f(h(a_1), \dots, h(a_m)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $h \in \oplus(h_1^m)$ .

Now, we prove that  $m$ -ary hyperoperation  $\oplus$  is associative. Let,  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $h_1^{2m-1} \in F(H)$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \bigoplus(h_1^{i-1}, \bigoplus(h_i^{m+i-1}), h_{m+i}^{2m-1}) = \bigcup \{ \bigoplus(h_1^{i-1}, h', h_{m+i}^{2m-1}) \mid h' \in \bigoplus(h_i^{m+i-1}) \} \\ &= \bigcup \{ \bigoplus(h_1^{i-1}, h', h_{m+i}^{2m-1}) \mid h' \in F(H) \wedge (\forall x \in H) h'(x) \subseteq f(h_i^{m+i-1}(x)) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if  $h'' \in L$  then for all  $x \in H$  it holds:

$$h''(x) \subseteq f(h_1^{i-1}(x), f(h_i^{m+i-1}(x)), h_{m+i}^{2m-1}(x)).$$

Conversely, if  $h''$  is an element of  $F(H)$  such that

$$h''(x) \subseteq f(h_1^{i-1}(x), f(h_i^{m+i-1}(x)), h_{m+i}^{2m-1}(x))$$

for all  $x \in H$ , and if we choose  $h'$  such that  $h'(x) = f(h_i^{m+i-1}(x))$ , for all  $x \in H$ , then  $h' \in \oplus(h_i^{m+i-1})$  and  $h'' \in \oplus(h_1^{i-1}, h', h_{m+i}^{2m-1})$  i.e.  $h'' \in L$ . So,

$$L = \{h'' \in F(H) \mid (\forall x \in H) h''(x) \subseteq f(h_1^{i-1}(x), f(h_i^{m+i-1}(x)), h_{m+i}^{2m-1}(x))\}.$$

On the other hand set:

$$D = \oplus(h_1^{j-1}, \oplus(h_j^{m+j-1}), h_{m+j}^{2m-1}).$$

Then,

$$D = \{h'' \in F(H) \mid (\forall x \in H) h''(x) \subseteq f(h_1^{j-1}(x), f(h_j^{m+j-1}(x)), h_{m+j}^{2m-1}(x))\}.$$

By the associativity of hyperoperation  $f$ , we obtain  $L = D$ .

Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $h, h_1^{i-1}, h_{i+1}^m \in F(H)$ . We prove that equation

$$h \in \oplus(h_1^{i-1}, h_i, h_{i+1}^m)$$

has a solution  $h_i \in F(H)$ . If we set  $h_i(x) = H$  for all  $x \in H$ , then  $h_i \in F(H)$  and for all  $x \in H$  it holds:

$$f(h_1^{i-1}(x), h_i(x), h_{i+1}^m(x)) = H \supseteq h(x).$$

So,  $h \in \oplus(h_1^{i-1}, h_i, h_{i+1}^m)$ . Thus,  $(F(H), \oplus)$  is an  $m$ -ary hypergroup.

Now we prove that  $(F(H), \odot)$  is an  $n$ -ary hypersemigroup. Let  $h_1^n \in F(H)$ . For all  $x \in H$ ,  $h_n(x) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence,

$$(h_1 h_2 \dots h_n)(x) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let  $h : H \rightarrow P^*(H)$  be a map defined by  $h(x) = (h_1 \dots h_n)(x)$ . We want to prove that  $h \in \odot(h_1^n)$  i.e. that  $\odot$  is an  $n$ -ary hyperoperation. For any  $a_1^m \in H$  it holds:

$$\begin{aligned} h(f(a_1^m)) &= (h_1 h_2 \dots h_n)(f(a_1^m)) = (h_1 h_2 \dots h_{n-1})(h_n(f(a_1^m))) \subseteq (h_1 h_2 \dots h_{n-1})(f(h_n(a_1), \dots, h_n(a_m))) \\ &\subseteq (h_1 h_2 \dots h_{n-2})(f(h_{n-1}(h_n(a_1)), \dots, h_{n-1}(h_n(a_m)))) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \\ &\subseteq f[(h_1 h_2 \dots h_n)(a_1), \dots, (h_1 h_2 \dots h_n)(a_m)] = f(h(a_1), \dots, h(a_m)). \end{aligned}$$

So,  $h \in \odot(h_1^n)$ .

Let us prove that  $\odot$  is associative. Let  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $h_1^{2n-1} \in F(H)$ . Set

$$L = \odot(h_1^{i-1}, \odot(h_i^{n+i-1}, h_{n+i}^{2n-1}))$$

and

$$D = \odot(h_1^{j-1}, \odot(h_j^{n+j-1}, h_{n+j}^{2n-1})).$$

Then

$$L = \bigcup \left\{ \odot(h_1^{i-1}, h', h_{n+i}^{2n-1}) \mid h' \in F(H) \wedge (\forall x \in H) h'(x) \subseteq (h_i \dots h_{n+i-1})(x) \right\}.$$

So, if  $h'' \in L$  then  $h''(x) \subseteq (h_1 \dots h_{2n-1})(x)$ , for all  $x \in H$ . On the other hand if  $h'' \in F(H)$  and  $h''(x) \subseteq (h_1 \dots h_{2n-1})(x)$  for all  $x \in H$ , then we choose  $h' \in F(H)$  such that  $h'(x) = (h_i \dots h_{n+i-1})(x)$  and consequently we obtain  $h'' \in \odot(h_1^{i-1}, h', h_{n+i}^{2n-1})$  where  $h' \in \odot(h_i^{n+i-1})$ . Thus,  $h'' \in L$ . So,

$$L = \{h'' \in F(H) \mid (\forall x \in H) h''(x) \subseteq (h_1 \dots h_{2n-1})(x)\}.$$

Similarly,

$$D = \{h'' \in F(H) \mid (\forall x \in H) h''(x) \subseteq (h_1 \dots h_{2n-1})(x)\}.$$

Thus,  $L = D$ .

Now we prove that the  $n$ -ary hyperoperation  $\odot$  is distributive with respect to the  $m$ -ary hyperoperation  $\oplus$ . Let  $h_1^{i-1}, h_{i+1}^n, g_1^m \in F(H)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \odot(h_1^{i-1}, \oplus(g_1^m, h_{i+1}^n)) = \bigcup \left\{ \odot(h_1^{i-1}, h', h_{i+1}^n) \mid h' \in \oplus(g_1^m) \right\} \\ &= \bigcup \left\{ \odot(h_1^{i-1}, h', h_{i+1}^n) \mid h' \in F(H) \wedge (\forall x \in H) h'(x) \subseteq f(g_1(x), \dots, g_m(x)) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

So, if  $k \in L$  then for all  $x \in H$ , it holds:

$$\begin{aligned} k(x) &\subseteq (h_1 \dots h_{i-1})(f((g_1 h_{i+1} \dots h_n)(x), \dots, (g_m h_{i+1} \dots h_n)(x))) \\ &\subseteq (h_1 \dots h_{i-2})(f((h_{i-1} g_1 h_{i+1} \dots h_n)(x), \dots, (h_{i-1} g_m h_{i+1} \dots h_n)(x))) \\ &\subseteq \dots \subseteq f((h_1 \dots h_{i-1} g_1 h_{i+1} \dots h_n)(x), \dots, (h_1 \dots h_{i-1} g_m h_{i+1} \dots h_n)(x)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$D = \oplus(\odot(h_1^{i-1}, g_1, h_{i+1}^n), \dots, \odot(h_1^{i-1}, g_m, h_{i+1}^n)) = \bigcup \left\{ \oplus(k_1, \dots, k_m) \mid k_j \in \odot(h_1^{i-1}, g_j, h_{i+1}^n), j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \right\}.$$

Let  $k \in L$ . Choose  $k_1, \dots, k_m \in F(H)$  such that for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$

$$k_j(x) = (h_1 \dots h_{i-1} g_j h_{i+1} \dots h_n)(x), \text{ for all } x \in H.$$

Then  $k_j \in \odot(h_1^{i-1}, g_j, h_{i+1}^n)$  and  $k \in \oplus(k_1, \dots, k_m)$ . Thus,  $k \in D$ . So,  $L \subseteq D$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** If  $(H, f)$  is an  $m$ -ary hypergroup that satisfies condition (1) then for any  $n \geq 2$ , there exists  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring  $(F(H), \oplus, \odot)$ . The structure  $(F(H), \oplus, \odot)$  will be called  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring of multiendomorphisms of  $m$ -ary hypergroup  $(H, f)$ .

**Remark 3.3.** If  $(H, f)$  is a commutative binary hypergroup, then  $(H, f)$  satisfies condition (1) of previous theorem. Thus, the binary hyperring of multiendomorphisms of commutative binary hypergroup  $(H, f)$  is a special case of  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring constructed in Theorem 3.1. But, the following example shows that there also exist noncommutative hypergroups, that satisfy condition (1), implying that we can associate a hyperring of multiendomorphisms with noncommutative hypergroup.

**Example 3.4.** If  $H = \{x, y, z\}$  and  $f$  is defined by the following table:

$f$	$x$	$y$	$z$
$x$	$H$	$H$	$H$
$y$	$H$	$H$	$\{x, y\}$
$z$	$H$	$\{z, x\}$	$H$

then  $(H, f)$  is a noncommutative binary hypergroup which satisfies condition (1).

#### 4. $(m, n)$ -ary hyperrings associated with binary relations

In this section we construct a class of  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperrings associated with binary relations on semigroup. Then, we investigate their morphisms and we also, establish connection between the constructed  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring  $(H, f, g)$  and the hyperring of multiendomorphisms of  $m$ -hypergroup  $(H, f)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $(H, \cdot)$  be a semigroup equipped with binary relations  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  such that  $\rho_1 \subseteq \rho_2$ . Let  $\rho_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be a reflexive and transitive relation such that for all  $a, b, x \in H$ ,

$$(a, b) \in \rho_i \text{ implies } (a \cdot x, b \cdot x) \in \rho_i \text{ and } (x \cdot a, x \cdot b) \in \rho_i. \tag{2}$$

We define an  $m$ -ary hyperoperation  $f$  and an  $n$ -ary hyperoperation  $g$  on  $H$ , as follows:

$$f(a_1^m) = \{z \mid (a_1, z) \in \rho_1 \vee (a_2, z) \in \rho_1 \vee \dots \vee (a_m, z) \in \rho_1\}$$

for any  $a_1^m \in H$ , and  $g(a_1^n) = \{z \mid a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_n \rho_2 z\}$  for any  $a_1^n \in H$ . The structure  $(H, f, g)$  is a strong distributive  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring.

*Proof.* Since  $\rho_1$  is reflexive and transitive relation, then by Theorem 2.5,  $(H, f)$  is an  $m$ -ary hypergroup.

Now we prove that  $(H, g)$  is an  $n$ -ary hypersemigroup. Since  $\rho_2$  is reflexive, then for any  $a_1^n \in H$  it holds  $g(a_1^n) \neq \emptyset$  i.e.  $g$  is an  $n$ -ary hyperoperation. Let  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $a_1^{2n-1} \in H$ .

Set

$$L = g(a_1^{i-1}, g(a_i^{n+i-1}), a_{n+i}^{2n-1}) = \bigcup \{g(a_1^{i-1}, z, a_{n+i}^{2n-1}) \mid z \in g(a_i^{n+i-1})\}$$

and

$$D = g(a_1^{j-1}, g(a_j^{n+j-1}), a_{n+j}^{2n-1}) = \bigcup \{g(a_1^{j-1}, \delta, a_{n+j}^{2n-1}) \mid \delta \in g(a_j^{n+j-1})\}.$$

Suppose  $w \in L$ . Then there exists  $z \in g(a_i^{n+i-1})$  such that  $w \in g(a_1^{i-1}, z, a_{n+i}^{2n-1})$ . Thus,  $(a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_{n+i-1}, z) \in \rho_2$  and  $(a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_{i-1} \cdot z \cdot a_{n+i} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{2n-1}, w) \in \rho_2$ . By the condition (2) we have

$$(a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_{i-1} \cdot a_i \cdot \dots \cdot a_{n+i-1} \cdot a_{n+i} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{2n-1}, a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_{i-1} \cdot z \cdot a_{n+i} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{2n-1}) \in \rho_2,$$

while  $(a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_{i-1} \cdot z \cdot a_{n+i} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{2n-1}, w) \in \rho_2$ . Since  $\rho_2$  is transitive, then  $(a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_{2n-1}, w) \in \rho_2$ .

Therefore if we set  $\delta = a_j \cdot \dots \cdot a_{n+j-1}$  then  $\delta \in g(a_j^{n+j-1})$  and  $w \in g(a_1^{j-1}, \delta, a_{n+j}^{2n-1})$ , i.e.  $w \in D$ . So,  $L \subseteq D$ . Similarly, we obtain  $D \subseteq L$ .

Now we prove that  $n$ -ary hyperoperation  $g$  is strong distributive with respect to the  $m$ -ary hyperoperation  $f$ . Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $a_1^{i-1}, a_{i+1}^n, x_1^m \in H$ . Set

$$L = g(a_1^{i-1}, f(x_1^m), a_{i+1}^n) = \bigcup \{g(a_1^{i-1}, w, a_{i+1}^n) \mid w \in f(x_1^m)\}$$

and

$$D = f(g(a_1^{i-1}, x_1, a_{i+1}^n), \dots, g(a_1^{i-1}, x_m, a_{i+1}^n)) = \bigcup \{f(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m) \mid \delta_1 \in g(a_1^{i-1}, x_1, a_{i+1}^n), \dots, \delta_m \in g(a_1^{i-1}, x_m, a_{i+1}^n)\}.$$

If  $y \in L$ , then there exists  $w \in f(x_1^m)$  such that  $y \in g(a_1^{i-1}, w, a_{i+1}^n)$ . Thus, there exists  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $(x_k, w) \in \rho_1 \subseteq \rho_2$  and  $(a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_{i-1} \cdot w \cdot a_{i+1} \cdot \dots \cdot a_n, y) \in \rho_2$ . By condition (2) we obtain

$$(a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_{i-1} \cdot x_k \cdot a_{i+1} \cdot \dots \cdot a_n, a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_{i-1} \cdot w \cdot a_{i+1} \cdot \dots \cdot a_n) \in \rho_2,$$

while  $(a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_{i-1} \cdot w \cdot a_{i+1} \cdot \dots \cdot a_n, y) \in \rho_2$ . Since  $\rho_2$  is transitive we obtain  $y \in g(a_1^{i-1}, x_k, a_{i+1}^n)$ .

So, if we choose  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m$  such that  $\delta_l \in g(a_1^{i-1}, x_l, a_{i+1}^n)$  for  $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \setminus \{k\}$  and  $\delta_k = y$ , then  $y \in f(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m)$ , i.e.,  $y \in D$ .

Suppose now  $y \in D$ . Then there exist  $\delta_1 \in g(a_1^{i-1}, x_1, a_{i+1}^n), \dots, \delta_m \in g(a_1^{i-1}, x_m, a_{i+1}^n)$  such that  $y \in f(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m)$ . Hence, there exists  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $(\delta_k, y) \in \rho_1 \subseteq \rho_2$  while  $(a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_{i-1} \cdot x_k \cdot a_{i+1} \cdot \dots \cdot a_n, \delta_k) \in \rho_2$ . Since  $\rho_2$  is transitive we obtain  $(a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_{i-1} \cdot x_k \cdot a_{i+1} \cdot \dots \cdot a_n, y) \in \rho_2$  i.e.  $y \in g(a_1^{i-1}, x_k, a_{i+1}^n)$ . As  $x_k \in f(x_1^m)$ , we have  $y \in L$ .

Therefore,  $D = L$ .  $\square$

Throughout the following text the quadruple  $(H, \cdot, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  will denote a semigroup  $(H, \cdot)$  equipped with binary relations  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  such that  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.1. By an  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring associated with  $(H, \cdot, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  we mean an  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring  $(H, f, g)$  constructed in Theorem 4.1.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $(H, f, g)$  be an  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring associated with  $(H, \cdot, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  and  $(F(H), \oplus, \odot)$  be an  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring of multiendomorphisms of the  $m$ -ary hypergroup  $(H, f)$ .

If we define a mapping  $\varphi : (H, f, g) \rightarrow (F(H), \oplus, \odot)$  by  $\varphi(a) = h_a$ , for all  $a \in H$ , where  $h_a : H \rightarrow P^*(H)$  is defined by:

$$h_a(x) = f(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{m-1 \text{ times}}, x), \text{ for all } x \in H,$$

then the following holds:

1.  $\varphi(f(a_1^m)) \subseteq \bigoplus(\varphi(a_1), \dots, \varphi(a_m))$ , for all  $a_1^m \in H$ .
2. If

$$(a \cdot b, w) \in \rho_2 \Rightarrow (a, w) \in \rho_1 \vee (b, w) \in \rho_1 \tag{3}$$

for any triple of elements  $a, b, w \in H$ , then

$$\varphi(g(a_1^n)) \subseteq \bigodot(\varphi(a_1), \dots, \varphi(a_n))$$

for any  $a_1^n \in H$ .

3. If  $\rho_1$  is an order, then  $\varphi$  is injective.

*Proof.* First notice that for any  $a_{11}^{1m}, a_{21}^{2m}, \dots, a_{m1}^{mm} \in H$ , it holds:

$$f(f(a_{11}^{1m}), \dots, f(a_{m1}^{mm})) = \{z \mid \exists k, l \in \{1, \dots, m\}, (a_{kl}, z) \in \rho_1\} = f(f(a_{11}^{m1}), \dots, f(a_{1m}^{mm})).$$

Thus, by Theorem 3.1, there exists an  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring  $(F(H), \oplus, \odot)$ .

Now we verify that  $h_a \in F(H)$ , for any  $a \in H$ . Let  $a_1^m \in H$ . Set

$$L = h_a(f(a_1, \dots, a_m)) = \bigcup \{h_a(x) \mid x \in f(a_1, \dots, a_m)\} = f(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{m-1}, f(a_1, \dots, a_m)).$$

and

$$D = f(h_a(a_1), \dots, h_a(a_m)) = \bigcup \{f(x_1, \dots, x_m) \mid x_j \in f(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{m-1}, a_j), j = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

Let  $z \in L$ . We have the following possibilities:

- (i) If  $(a, z) \in \rho_1$ , we put  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_m = a$  and then  $z \in f(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  and

$$x_j \in f(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{m-1}, a_j),$$

for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . So,  $z \in D$ .

- (ii) If there exists  $u \in f(a_1, \dots, a_m)$  such that  $(u, z) \in \rho_1$ , then, there exists  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $(a_i, u) \in \rho_1$  and  $(u, z) \in \rho_1$ . By transitivity of  $\rho_1$ , we have  $(a_i, z) \in \rho_1$ . If we put  $x_i = z$  and  $x_1 = \dots = x_{i-1} = x_{i+1} = \dots = x_m = a$ , then  $z \in f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m)$  and

$$x_j \in f(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{m-1}, a_j) \text{ for all } j \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

So,  $z \in D$ .

Thus,  $h_a \in F(H)$ .

- (1) Let  $a_1^m \in H$ . Set:

$$L = \varphi(f(a_1^m)) = \{h_w \mid (a_1, w) \in \rho_1 \vee \dots \vee (a_m, w) \in \rho_1\}$$

and

$$D = \bigoplus (\varphi(a_1), \dots, \varphi(a_m)) = \{h \in F(H) \mid (\forall x \in H) h(x) \subseteq f(h_{a_1}(x), \dots, h_{a_m}(x))\}.$$

Let  $h_w \in L$  and  $x \in H$ . Then

$$h_w(x) = f(\underbrace{w, \dots, w}_{m-1}, x) = \{z \mid (w, z) \in \rho_1 \vee (x, z) \in \rho_1\}.$$

Suppose  $z \in h_w(x)$ . We have two possibilities:

- (i) If  $(w, z) \in \rho_1$ , since  $h_w \in L$ , then there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $(a_j, w) \in \rho_1$ , and by the transitivity of  $\rho_1$  we have  $(a_j, z) \in \rho_1$ , i.e.,  $z \in f(a_j, \dots, a_j, x) = h_{a_j}(x)$ .  
Since  $h_{a_j}(x) \subseteq f(h_{a_1}(x), \dots, h_{a_m}(x))$ , then  $z \in f(h_{a_1}(x), \dots, h_{a_m}(x))$ .
- (ii) If  $(x, z) \in \rho_1$ , then  $z \in f(x, \dots, x)$ . Since  $x \in h_{a_1}(x), \dots, x \in h_{a_m}(x)$ , then  $z \in f(h_{a_1}(x), \dots, h_{a_m}(x))$ .

So,  $h_w(x) \subseteq f(h_{a_1}(x), \dots, h_{a_n}(x))$ , for all  $x \in H$  i.e.  $h_w \in D$ . Thus  $L \subseteq D$ .

(2) Let  $a_1^n \in H$ . First, notice that for any  $x \in H$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  it holds  $h_{a_i}(x) \subseteq (h_{a_1 \dots h_{a_i}})(x)$  and  $h_{a_i}(x) \subseteq (h_{a_i \dots h_{a_n}})(x)$ .

Indeed, since  $y \in h_{a_j}(y)$  for all  $y \in H$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , then  $h_{a_i}(x) \subseteq h_{a_{i-1}}(h_{a_i}(x))$  and  $h_{a_{i-1}}(h_{a_i}(x)) \subseteq h_{a_{i-2}}(h_{a_{i-1}}(h_{a_i}(x)))$ .

Thus,  $h_{a_i}(x) \subseteq (h_{a_{i-2} h_{a_{i-1}} h_{a_i}})(x)$ . So, after finite number of steps we obtain:

$$h_{a_i}(x) \subseteq (h_{a_1 \dots h_{a_i}})(x). \tag{4}$$

For the second inclusion we proceed in a similar way.

As  $x \in h_{a_n}(x)$  then  $h_{a_{n-1}}(x) \subseteq h_{a_{n-1}}(h_{a_n}(x))$ . Thus,  $x \in (h_{a_{n-1} h_{a_n}})(x)$  implying that  $h_{a_{n-2}}(x) \subseteq (h_{a_{n-2} h_{a_{n-1}} h_{a_n}})(x)$ . After finite number of steps we obtain

$$h_{a_i}(x) \subseteq (h_{a_1 \dots h_{a_n}})(x). \tag{5}$$

From (4) and (5) it follows  $h_{a_i}(x) \subseteq h_{a_1}(\dots(h_{a_i}(x))) \subseteq (h_{a_1 \dots h_{a_n}})(x)$ .

Now, set

$$L = \varphi(g(a_1^n)) = \{h_b \mid (a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_n, b) \in \rho_2\}$$

and

$$D = \bigcirc (\varphi(a_1), \dots, \varphi(a_n)) = \{h \in F(H) \mid (\forall x \in H) h(x) \subseteq (h_{a_1 \dots h_{a_n}})(x)\}.$$

Let  $h_b \in L$  and  $x \in H$ . Then

$$h_b(x) = f(\underbrace{b, \dots, b}_{m-1}, x) = \{z \mid (b, z) \in \rho_1 \vee (x, z) \in \rho_1\}.$$

If  $z \in h_b(x)$  we have the following possibilities:

- (i) If  $(b, z) \in \rho_1$ , since  $h_b \in L$ , then  $(a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_n, b) \in \rho_2$ . As  $\rho_1 \subseteq \rho_2$ , by transitivity of  $\rho_2$  we have  $(a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_n, z) \in \rho_2$ . By the condition (3), there exists  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $(a_i, z) \in \rho_1$  i.e.  $z \in h_{a_i}(x) \subseteq (h_{a_1 \dots h_{a_n}})(x)$ .
- (ii) If  $(x, z) \in \rho_1$ , then  $z \in h_{a_1}(x) \subseteq (h_{a_1 \dots h_{a_n}})(x)$ .

Thus,  $h_b(x) \subseteq (h_{a_1 \dots h_{a_n}})(x)$ , for all  $x \in H$  i.e.  $h_b \in D$ .

(3) Let  $\rho_1$  be an order on  $H$ . Suppose  $a, b \in H$  and  $\varphi(a) = \varphi(b)$  i.e.  $h_a = h_b$ .

Then,  $h_a(a) = h_b(a)$  and  $h_a(b) = h_b(b)$ . Thus,

$$f(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_m) = f(\underbrace{b, \dots, b}_{m-1}, a) \text{ and } f(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{m-1}, b) = f(\underbrace{b, \dots, b}_m).$$

Since,

$$f(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{m-1}, b) = f(\underbrace{b, \dots, b}_{m-1}, a),$$

then  $f(a, \dots, a) = f(b, \dots, b)$ .

From  $a \in f(a, \dots, a)$  it follows  $a \in f(b, \dots, b)$ , i.e.,  $(b, a) \in \rho_1$ . Similarly, it is proved  $(a, b) \in \rho_1$ . As  $\rho_1$  is an order, we obtain  $a = b$ .  $\square$

**Example 4.3.** Notice that  $(N, \cdot, \leq, \leq)$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.1. Thus, there exists an  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring  $(N, f, g)$  associated with  $(N, \cdot, \leq, \leq)$ .

For all  $k_1^m \in N$  and  $k_1^n \in N$  we have

$$f(k_1^m) = \{k \in N \mid \min\{k_1, \dots, k_m\} \leq k\} \text{ and } g(k_1^n) = \{k \in N \mid k_1 \cdot \dots \cdot k_n \leq k\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $(N, \cdot, \leq, \leq)$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.2. So, there exists an inclusion monomorphism of  $(N, f, g)$  into the  $(F(N), \oplus, \odot)$ .

**Definition 4.4.** Let the triples  $(H_1, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  and  $(H_2, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  denote the nonempty set  $H_1$  equipped with binary relations  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  and nonempty set  $H_2$  with binary relations  $\delta_1, \delta_2$ .

(a) The map  $\alpha : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  is said to be *isotone* if

$$x \rho_i y \Rightarrow \alpha(x) \delta_i \alpha(y),$$

for all  $x, y \in H_1$  and  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

(b) The map  $\alpha : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  is said to be *strongly isotone* if

$$\alpha(x) \delta_i y \Leftrightarrow (\exists x' \in H_1) x \rho_i x' \wedge \alpha(x') = y,$$

for all  $(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2$  and  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $(H_1, f_1, g_1)$  be an  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring associated with  $(H_1, \cdot, \rho_1, \rho_2)$  and  $(H_2, f_2, g_2)$  be an  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring associated with  $(H_2, \cdot, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ .

- (1) If  $\alpha : (H_1, \cdot) \rightarrow (H_2, \cdot)$  is an isotone homomorphism of semigroups  $(H_1, \cdot)$  and  $(H_2, \cdot)$  then  $\alpha : (H_1, f_1, g_1) \rightarrow (H_2, f_2, g_2)$  is an inclusion homomorphism.
- (2) If  $\alpha : (H_1, \cdot) \rightarrow (H_2, \cdot)$  is a strongly isotone homomorphism, then  $\alpha : (H_1, f_1, g_1) \rightarrow (H_2, f_2, g_2)$  is a strong homomorphism.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\alpha : (H_1, \cdot) \rightarrow (H_2, \cdot)$  be an isotone homomorphism and  $x_1^m \in H$ . If  $w \in \alpha(f_1(x_1^m))$ , then there exists  $z \in H_1$  such that  $w = \alpha(z)$  and  $(x_i, z) \in \rho_1$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

Since,  $\alpha$  is isotone then  $(\alpha(x_i), \alpha(z) = w) \in \delta_1$  and so  $w \in f_2(\alpha(x_1), \dots, \alpha(x_m))$ . Thus  $\alpha(f_1(x_1^m)) \subseteq f_2(\alpha(x_1), \dots, \alpha(x_m))$ .

Now, let  $y_1^n \in H$ . To prove that  $\alpha(g_1(y_1^n)) \subseteq g_2(\alpha(y_1), \dots, \alpha(y_n))$  we can proceed similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.2. (ii) in [19].

Therefore,  $\alpha : (H_1, f_1, g_1) \rightarrow (H_2, f_2, g_2)$  is an inclusion homomorphism.

(2) Let  $\alpha : (H_1, \cdot) \rightarrow (H_2, \cdot)$  be a strongly isotone homomorphism. Since  $\alpha$  is isotone, then by (1) we obtain that  $\alpha : (H_1, f_1, g_1) \rightarrow (H_2, f_2, g_2)$  is an inclusion homomorphism.

Thus, for any  $x_1^m \in H_1$ , it holds  $\alpha(f_1(x_1^m)) \subseteq f_2(\alpha(x_1), \dots, \alpha(x_m))$ .

Suppose  $w \in f_2(\alpha(x_1), \dots, \alpha(x_m))$ . Then  $(\alpha(x_i), w) \in \delta_1$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Since  $\alpha$  is strongly isotone, then there exists  $z \in H_1$  such that  $(x_i, z) \in \rho_1$  and  $\alpha(z) = w$ . Thus,  $w = \alpha(z) \in \alpha(f_1(x_1^m))$ . Therefore,

$$f_2(\alpha(x_1), \dots, \alpha(x_m)) \subseteq \alpha(f_1(x_1^m)).$$

Thus,  $\alpha(f_1(x_1^m)) = f_2(\alpha(x_1), \dots, \alpha(x_m))$ .

Now, let  $y_1^n \in H_1$ . In similar way as in the proof of Theorem 3.3. (i) in [19], we prove that

$$\alpha(g_1(y_1^n)) = g_2(\alpha(y_1), \dots, \alpha(y_n)).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] S. M. Anvariye, S. Mirvakili, B. Davvaz, Fundamental relation on  $(m, n)$ -ary hypermodules on  $(m, n)$ -ary hyperring, *ARS Combinatoria* 94 (2010) 273-288.
- [2] J. Chvalina, Commutative hypergroups in the sense of Marty and ordered sets, *Proceedings of the Summer School in General Algebra and Ordered Sets, Olomouck*, 1994, pp. 19–30.
- [3] J. Chvalina, Relational product of join spaces determined by quasi-orders, *Proceedings of the Sixth Int. Congress on Algebraic Hyperstructures and Applications, Praha 1996*, pp. 15–23.
- [4] P. Corsini, *Prologomena of Hypergroup Theory*, Second edition, Aviani Editore, 1993.
- [5] P. Corsini, Binary relations and hypergroupoids, *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 7 (2000) 11–18.
- [6] P. Corsini, On the hypergroups associated with a binary relations, *Multiple Valued Logic* 5 (2000) 407–419.
- [7] P. Corsini, V. Leoreanu, *Applications of Hyperstructure Theory. Advances in Mathematics*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003.
- [8] P. Corsini, V. Leoreanu, Hypergroups and binary relations, *Algebra Universalis* 43 (2000) 321–330.
- [9] B. Davvaz, A new view of fundamental relations on hyperrings, *Proceedings of Tenth Int. Congress on Algebraic Hyperstructures and Applications, AHA 2008*, pp. 43–55.
- [10] B. Davvaz, W.A. Dudek, S. Mirvakili, Neutral elements, fundamental relations and  $n$ -ary hypersemigroups, *International Journal of Algebra and Computation* 19 (4) (2009) 567–583.
- [11] B. Davvaz, Wieslaw A. Dudek, T. Vougiouklis, A Generalization of  $n$ -ary algebraic systems, *Communications in Algebra* 37 (2009) 1248-1263.
- [12] B. Davvaz, T. Vougiouklis,  $n$ -ary hypergroups, *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology* 30 (A2) (2006) 165–174.
- [13] Š. Hoškova, Upper order hypergroups as a reflective subcategory of subquasi-order hypergroups, *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 20 (2006) 215–222.
- [14] V. Leoreanu-Fotea,  $n$ -ary hyperstructures and some connections with binary relation and lattices, *Proceedings Tenth Int. Congress on Algebraic Hyperstructures and Applications, AHA 2008*, pp. 33–41.
- [15] V. Leoreanu-Fotea, B. Davvaz,  $n$ -hypergroups and binary relations, *European Journal of Combinatorics* 29 (2008) 1207–1218.
- [16] F. Marty, *Sur une Generalization de la Notion de Group*, *Eight Congress Math. Scandenaves, Stockholom*, 1934, 45–49.
- [17] S. Mirvakili, B. Davvaz, Relations on Krasner  $(m, n)$ -hyperring, *European Journal of Combinatorics* 31 (2010) 790–802.
- [18] I.G. Rosenberg, Hypergroups and join spaces determined by relations, *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 4 (1998) 93–101.
- [19] S. Spartalis, Hypergroupoids obtained from groupoids with binary relations, *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 16 (2004) 201–210.
- [20] T. Vougiouklis, *Hyperstructures and their representations*, Vol. 115 Hadronic Press, Inc., Palm Harbor, 1994.