



Binding Numbers for all Fractional (a, b, k) -Critical Graphs

Sizhong Zhou^a, Qiuxiang Bian^a, Zhiren Sun^b

^aSchool of Mathematics and Physics, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Mengxi Road 2, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212003, P. R. China

^bSchool of Mathematical Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210046, P. R. China

Abstract. Let G be a graph of order n , and let a, b and k nonnegative integers with $2 \leq a \leq b$. A graph G is called all fractional (a, b, k) -critical if after deleting any k vertices of G the remaining graph of G has all fractional $[a, b]$ -factors. In this paper, it is proved that G is all fractional (a, b, k) -critical if $n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-3)+a}{a} + \frac{ak}{a-1}$ and $\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{an-ak-(a+b)+2}$. Furthermore, it is shown that this result is best possible in some sense.

1. Introduction

We consider finite undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. Let G be a graph with a vertex set $V(G)$ and an edge set $E(G)$. For $x \in V(G)$, the set of vertices adjacent to x in G is said to be the neighborhood of x , denoted by $N_G(x)$. For any $X \subseteq V(G)$, we write $N_G(X) = \bigcup_{x \in X} N_G(x)$. For two disjoint subsets S and T of $V(G)$, we denote by $e_G(S, T)$ the number of edges with one end in S and the other end in T . Thus $e_G(x, V(G) \setminus \{x\}) = d_G(x)$ is the degree of x and $\delta(G) = \min\{d_G(x) : x \in V(G)\}$ is the minimum degree of G . For $S \subseteq V(G)$, we use $G[S]$ to denote the subgraph of G induced by S , and $G - S$ to denote the subgraph obtained from G by deleting vertices in S together with the edges incident to vertices in S . A vertex set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is called independent if $G[S]$ has no edges. The binding number of G is defined as

$$\text{bind}(G) = \min\left\{\frac{|N_G(X)|}{|X|} : \emptyset \neq X \subseteq V(G), N_G(X) \neq V(G)\right\}.$$

Let g and f be two integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ with $0 \leq g(x) \leq f(x)$ for each $x \in V(G)$. A (g, f) -factor of a graph G is defined as a spanning subgraph F of G such that $g(x) \leq d_F(x) \leq f(x)$ for each $x \in V(G)$. We say that G has all (g, f) -factors if G has an r -factor for every $r : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $g(x) \leq r(x) \leq f(x)$ for each $x \in V(G)$ and $r(V(G))$ is even.

A fractional (g, f) -indicator function is a function h that assigns to each edge of a graph G a real number in the interval $[0, 1]$ so that for each vertex x we have $g(x) \leq h(E_x) \leq f(x)$, where $E_x = \{e : e = xy \in E(G)\}$ and $h(E_x) = \sum_{e \in E_x} h(e)$. Let h be a fractional (g, f) -indicator function of a graph G . Set $E_h = \{e : e \in E(G), h(e) > 0\}$. If G_h is a spanning subgraph of G such that $E(G_h) = E_h$, then G_h is called a fractional (g, f) -factor of G .

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05C70; Secondary 05C72, 05C35

Keywords. graph, binding number, fractional $[a, b]$ -factor, all fractional $[a, b]$ -factors, all fractional (a, b, k) -critical.

Received: 25 June 2013; Accepted: 08 September 2013

Communicated by Francesco Belardo

Research supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11371009)

Email addresses: zsz_cumt@163.com (Sizhong Zhou), bianqx_1@163.com (Qiuxiang Bian), 05119@njnu.edu.cn (Zhiren Sun)

is also called the indicator function of G_h . If $h(e) \in \{0, 1\}$ for every e , then G_h is just a (g, f) -factor of G . A fractional (g, f) -factor is a fractional f -factor if $g(x) = f(x)$ for each $x \in V(G)$. A fractional (g, f) -factor is a fractional $[a, b]$ -factor if $g(x) = a$ and $f(x) = b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. We say that G has all fractional (g, f) -factors if G has a fractional r -factor for every $r : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $g(x) \leq r(x) \leq f(x)$ for each $x \in V(G)$. All fractional (g, f) -factors are said to be all fractional $[a, b]$ -factors if $g(x) = a$ and $f(x) = b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. A graph G is all fractional (a, b, k) -critical if after deleting any k vertices of G the remaining graph of G has all fractional $[a, b]$ -factors.

Many authors have investigated factors [1,2,8] and fractional factors [3,4,7,10] of graphs. The following results on all (g, f) -factors, all fractional $[a, b]$ -factors and all fractional (a, b, k) -critical graphs are known.

Theorem 1.1. (Niessen [6]). G has all (g, f) -factors if and only if

$$g(S) + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - f(T) - h_G(S, T, g, f) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } f \neq g \\ 0, & \text{if } f = g \end{cases}$$

for all disjoint subsets $S, T \subseteq V(G)$, where $h_G(S, T, g, f)$ denotes the number of components C of $G - (S \cup T)$ such that there exists a vertex $v \in V(C)$ with $g(v) < f(v)$ or $e_G(V(C), T) + f(V(C)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

Theorem 1.2. (Lu [5]). Let $a \leq b$ be two positive integers. Let G be a graph with order $n \geq \frac{2(a+b)(a+b-1)}{a}$ and minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq \frac{(a+b-1)^2 + 4b}{4a}$. If $|N_G(x) \cup N_G(y)| \geq \frac{bn}{a+b}$ for any two nonadjacent vertices x and y in G , then G has all fractional $[a, b]$ -factors.

Theorem 1.3. (Zhou [9]). Let a, b and k be nonnegative integers with $1 \leq a \leq b$, and let G be a graph of order n with $n \geq a + k + 1$. Then G is all fractional (a, b, k) -critical if and only if for any $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| \geq k$

$$a|S| + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - b|T| \geq ak,$$

where $T = \{x : x \in V(G) \setminus S, d_{G-S}(x) < b\}$.

Using Theorem 3, Zhou [9] obtained a neighborhood condition for graphs to be all fractional (a, b, k) -critical graphs.

Theorem 1.4. (Zhou [9]). Let a, b, k, r be nonnegative integers with $1 \leq a \leq b$ and $r \geq 2$. Let G be a graph of order n with $n > \frac{(a+b)(r(a+b)-2) + ak}{a}$. If $\delta(G) \geq \frac{(r-1)b^2}{a} + k$, and $|N_G(x_1) \cup N_G(x_2) \cup \dots \cup N_G(x_r)| \geq \frac{bn + ak}{a+b}$ for any independent subset $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\}$ in G , then G is all fractional (a, b, k) -critical.

2. Main Result and Its Proof

In this paper, we proceed to study the existence of all fractional (a, b, k) -critical graphs and obtain a binding number condition for graphs to be all fractional (a, b, k) -critical. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. Let a, b and k be nonnegative integers with $2 \leq a \leq b$, and let G be a graph of order n with $n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-3) + a}{a} + \frac{ak}{a-1}$. If $bind(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{an - ak - (a+b) + 2}$, then G is all fractional (a, b, k) -critical.

Proof. Suppose that G satisfies the assumption of Theorem 2.1, but it is not all fractional (a, b, k) -critical. Then by Theorem 1.3, there exists some subset S of $V(G)$ with $|S| \geq k$ such that

$$a|S| + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - b|T| \leq ak - 1, \tag{1}$$

where $T = \{x : x \in V(G) \setminus S, d_{G-S}(x) < b\}$. Clearly, $T \neq \emptyset$ by (1). Define

$$h = \min\{d_{G-S}(x) : x \in T\}.$$

In terms of the definition of T , we obtain $0 \leq h \leq b - 1$.

Now in order to prove the correctness of Theorem 2.1, we shall deduce some contradictions according to the following two cases.

Case 1. $h = 0$.

Let $X = \{x : x \in T, d_{G-S}(x) = 0\}$. Obviously, $X \neq \emptyset$ and $N_G(V(G) \setminus S) \cap X = \emptyset$, and so $|N_G(V(G) \setminus S)| \leq n - |X|$. According to the definition of $bind(G)$ and the condition of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\frac{(a + b - 1)(n - 1)}{an - ak - (a + b) + 2} < bind(G) \leq \frac{|N_G(V(G) \setminus S)|}{|V(G) \setminus S|} \leq \frac{n - |X|}{n - |S|},$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} (a + b - 1)(n - 1)|S| &> (a + b - 1)(n - 1)n - (an - ak - (a + b) + 2)n + (an - ak - (a + b) + 2)|X| \\ &= (b - 1)(n - 1)n + (b - 2)n + akn + (an - ak - (a + b) + 2)|X| \\ &\geq (b - 1)(n - 1)n + akn + (an - ak - (a + b) + 2)|X| \\ &= (b - 1)(n - 1)n + akn + [(n - 1) + (a - 1)n - ak - (a + b) + 3]|X| \\ &\geq (b - 1)(n - 1)n + akn + [(n - 1) + (a - 1) \cdot \left(\frac{(a + b - 1)(a + b - 3) + a}{a} + \frac{ak}{a - 1}\right) \\ &\quad - ak - (a + b) + 3]|X| \\ &> (b - 1)(n - 1)n + akn + [(n - 1) + (a - 1)(a + b - 3) - (a + b) + 3]|X| \\ &\geq (b - 1)(n - 1)n + ak(n - 1) + (n - 1)|X|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$|S| > \frac{(b - 1)n + ak + |X|}{a + b - 1}. \tag{2}$$

Using (1), (2) and $|S| + |T| \leq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ak - 1 &\geq a|S| + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - b|T| \\ &\geq a|S| + |T| - |X| - b|T| \\ &= a|S| - (b - 1)|T| - |X| \\ &\geq a|S| - (b - 1)(n - |S|) - |X| \\ &= (a + b - 1)|S| - (b - 1)n - |X| \\ &> (a + b - 1) \cdot \frac{(b - 1)n + ak + |X|}{a + b - 1} - (b - 1)n - |X| \\ &= ak, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 2. $1 \leq h \leq b - 1$.

Claim 1. $\delta(G) > \frac{(b - 1)n + ak + a + b - 2}{a + b - 1}$.

Let v be a vertex of G with degree $\delta(G)$. Set $Y = V(G) \setminus N_G(v)$. Obviously, $Y \neq \emptyset$ and $v \notin N_G(Y)$. In terms of the definition of $bind(G)$, we have

$$\frac{(a + b - 1)(n - 1)}{an - ak - (a + b) + 2} < bind(G) \leq \frac{|N_G(Y)|}{|Y|} \leq \frac{n - 1}{n - \delta(G)},$$

which implies

$$\delta(G) > \frac{(b - 1)n + ak + a + b - 2}{a + b - 1}.$$

This completes the proof of Claim 1.

Note that $\delta(G) \leq |S| + h$. Then using Claim 1, we have

$$|S| \geq \delta(G) - h > \frac{(b-1)n + ak + a + b - 2}{a + b - 1} - h. \tag{3}$$

Claim 2. $|T| \leq \frac{an - ak - (a + b) + 1}{a + b - 1} + h$.

Assume that $|T| \geq \frac{an - ak - (a + b) + 2}{a + b - 1} + h$. We choose $u \in T$ such that $d_{G-S}(u) = h$ and let $Y = T \setminus N_{G-S}(u)$. Note that $|N_{G-S}(u)| = d_{G-S}(u) = h$. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |Y| &\geq |T| - d_{G-S}(u) \\ &= |T| - h \geq \frac{an - ak - (a + b) + 2}{a + b - 1} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$N_G(Y) \neq V(G).$$

Combining these with the definition of $bind(G)$, we have

$$bind(G) \leq \frac{|N_G(Y)|}{|Y|} \leq \frac{n-1}{|T|-h} \leq \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{an-ak-(a+b)+2'}$$

which contradicts that the condition of Theorem 2.1. The proof of Claim 2 is completed.

According to (1), (3) and Claim 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ak - 1 &\geq a|S| + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - b|T| \geq a|S| - (b-h)|T| \\ &> a \cdot \left(\frac{(b-1)n + ak + a + b - 2}{a + b - 1} - h \right) - (b-h) \cdot \left(\frac{an - ak - (a + b) + 1}{a + b - 1} + h \right) \\ &= \frac{(h-1)an + (a+b-h)ak - a}{a + b - 1} - (h-1)(a+b-h), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$ak - 1 > \frac{(h-1)an + (a+b-h)ak - a}{a + b - 1} - (h-1)(a+b-h). \tag{4}$$

Let $f(h) = \frac{(h-1)an + (a+b-h)ak - a}{a + b - 1} - (h-1)(a+b-h)$. If $h = 1$, then by (4) we have $ak - 1 > f(h) = f(1) = ak - \frac{a}{a + b - 1} > ak - 1$, which is a contradiction. In the following, we assume that $2 \leq h \leq b - 1$.

In view of $2 \leq h \leq b - 1$ and $n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-3) + a}{a} + \frac{ak}{a-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(h) &= \frac{an - ak}{a + b - 1} - (a + b - h) + (h - 1) \\ &= 2h + \frac{an - ak}{a + b - 1} - (a + b + 1) \\ &\geq 4 + \frac{(a + b - 1)(a + b - 3) + a}{a + b - 1} - (a + b + 1) \\ &= \frac{a}{a + b - 1} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$f(h) \geq f(2). \tag{5}$$

From (4), (5) and $n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-3)+a}{a} + \frac{ak}{a-1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ak - 1 &> f(h) \geq f(2) = \frac{an + (a+b-2)ak - a}{a+b-1} - (a+b-2) \\ &\geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-3)+a+ak+(a+b-2)ak-a}{a+b-1} - (a+b-2) \\ &= ak - 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Remark. In Theorem 2.1, the lower bound on the condition $bind(G)$ is best possible in the sense since we cannot replace $bind(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{an-ak-(a+b)+2}$ with $bind(G) \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{an-ak-(a+b)+2}$, which is shown in the following example.

Let $b \geq a \geq 2, k \geq 0$ be three integers such that $a+b+k-1$ is even and $\frac{a(b-1)+b(b-2)+(a+b-1)k}{a}$ is a positive integer. Set $l = \frac{a+b+k-1}{2}$ and $m = \frac{a(b-1)+b(b-2)+(a+b-1)k}{a}$. We construct a graph $G = K_m \vee K_{2l}$. Then $n = m + 2l = \frac{a(b-1)+b(b-2)+(a+b-1)k}{a} + a+b+k-1$. Let $X = V(K_{2l})$, for any $x \in X$, then $|N_G(X \setminus x)| = n - 1$. According to the definition of $bind(G)$, we obtain

$$bind(G) = \frac{|N_G(X \setminus x)|}{|X \setminus x|} = \frac{n-1}{2l-1} = \frac{n-1}{a+b+k-2} = \frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{an-ak-(a+b)+2}.$$

Let $S = V(K_m), T = V(K_{2l})$. Then $|S| = m \geq k, |T| = 2l$ and $\sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) = 2l$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a|S| + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) - b|T| &= am - 2l(b-1) \\ &= a(b-1) + b(b-2) + (a+b-1)k - (b-1)(a+b+k-1) \\ &= ak - 1 < ak. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of Theorem 1.3, G is not all fractional (a, b, k) -critical.

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for his valuable suggestions for improvements of the presentation.

References

[1] C. Chen, Binding number and minimum degree for $[a, b]$ -factor, Journal of Systems Science and Mathematical Sciences (China) 6(1) (1993) 179–185.
 [2] J. R. Correa, M. Matamala, Some remarks about factors of graphs, Journal of Graph Theory 57 (2008) 265–274.
 [3] G. Liu, Q. Yu, L. Zhang, Maximum fractional factors in graphs, Applied Mathematics Letters 20(12) (2007) 1237–1243.
 [4] G. Liu, L. Zhang, Toughness and the existence of fractional k -factors of graphs, Discrete Mathematics 308 (2008) 1741–1748.
 [5] H. Lu, Simplified existence theorems on all fractional $[a, b]$ -factors, Discrete Applied Mathematics 161(13–14) (2013) 2075–2078.
 [6] T. Niessen, A characterization of graphs having all (g, f) -factors, Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B 72 (1998) 152–156.
 [7] S. Zhou, A sufficient condition for graphs to be fractional (k, m) -deleted graphs, Applied Mathematics Letters 24(9) (2011) 1533–1538.
 [8] S. Zhou, Independence number, connectivity and (a, b, k) -critical graphs, Discrete Mathematics 309(12) (2009) 4144–4148.
 [9] S. Zhou, Z. Sun, On all fractional (a, b, k) -critical graphs, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series 30(4) (2014) 696–702.
 [10] S. Zhou, L. Xu, Z. Sun, Independence number and minimum degree for fractional ID- k -factor-critical graphs, Aequationes Mathematicae 84(1–2) (2012) 71–76.