



Some Cosmological Solutions of a Nonlocal Modified Gravity

Ivan Dimitrijević^a, Branko Dragovich^b, Jelena Grujić^c, Zoran Rakić^a

^aFaculty of Mathematics, University of Belgrade, Studentski trg 16, Belgrade, Serbia

^bInstitute of Physics, University of Belgrade, Pregrevica 118, 11080 Belgrade, Serbia

^cTeacher Education Faculty, University of Belgrade, Kraljice Natalije 43, Belgrade, Serbia

Abstract. We consider nonlocal modification of the Einstein theory of gravity in framework of the pseudo-Riemannian geometry. The nonlocal term has the form $\mathcal{H}(R)\mathcal{F}(\square)\mathcal{G}(R)$, where \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{G} are differentiable functions of the scalar curvature R , and $\mathcal{F}(\square) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \square^n$ is an analytic function of the d'Alembert operator \square . Using calculus of variations of the action functional, we derived the corresponding equations of motion. The variation of action is induced by variation of the gravitational field, which is the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$. Cosmological solutions are found for the case when the Ricci scalar R is constant.

1. Introduction

Although very successful, Einstein theory of gravity is not a final theory. There are many its modifications, which are motivated by quantum gravity, string theory, astrophysics and cosmology (for a review, see [1]). One of very promising directions of research is *nonlocal modified gravity* and its applications to cosmology (as a review, see [2, 3] and [4]). To solve cosmological Big Bang singularity, nonlocal gravity with replacement $R \rightarrow R + CR\mathcal{F}(\square)R$ in the Einstein-Hilbert action was proposed in [5]. This nonlocal model is further elaborated in the series of papers [6–12].

In [13] we introduced a new approach to nonlocal gravity given by the action

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{R}{16\pi G} + R^{-1} \mathcal{F}(\square) R \right), \quad (1)$$

where the d'Alembert operator is $\square = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu$, $g = \det(g_{\mu\nu})$. The nonlocal term $R^{-1} \mathcal{F}(\square) R = f_0 + R^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \square^n R$ contains f_0 which can be connected with the cosmological constant as $f_0 = -\frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G}$. This term is also invariant under transformation $R \rightarrow CR$, where C is a constant, i.e. this nonlocality does not depend on magnitude of the scalar curvature $R \neq 0$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 83D05, 53B21, 53B50; Secondary 53C25, 83F05

Keywords. nonlocal gravity, cosmological solutions, equations of motion, calculus of variations, pseudo-Riemannian manifold.

Received: 11 November 2014; Accepted: 12 December 2014

Communicated by Ljubica Velimirović and Mića Stanković

Work on this paper was supported by Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia, grant No 174012.

Email addresses: ivand@matf.bg.ac.rs (Ivan Dimitrijević), dragovich@ipb.ac.rs (Branko Dragovich), jelenagg@gmail.com (Jelena Grujić), zraki@matf.bg.ac.rs (Zoran Rakić)

In this paper we consider n -dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold M with metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ of signature (n_-, n_+) . Our nonlocal gravity model here is larger than (1) and given by the action

$$S = \int_M \left(\frac{R - 2\Lambda}{16\pi G} + \mathcal{H}(R)\mathcal{F}(\square)\mathcal{G}(R) \right) \sqrt{|g|} d^n x, \tag{2}$$

which is a functional of metric (gravitational field) $g_{\mu\nu}$, where \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{G} are differentiable functions of the scalar curvature R , and Λ is cosmological constant.

2. Variation of the action functional

Let us introduce the following auxiliary functionals

$$S_0 = \int_M (R - 2\Lambda) \sqrt{|g|} d^n x, \quad S_1 = \int_M \mathcal{H}(R)\mathcal{F}(\square)\mathcal{G}(R) \sqrt{|g|} d^n x. \tag{3}$$

Then the variations of S_0 and S_1 can be considered separately and the variation of (2) can be expressed as

$$\delta S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \delta S_0 + \delta S_1. \tag{4}$$

Note that variations of the metric tensor elements and their first derivatives are zero on the boundary of manifold M , i.e. $\delta g_{\mu\nu}|_{\partial M} = 0, \delta \partial_\lambda g_{\mu\nu}|_{\partial M} = 0$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Then the following basic relations hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\sigma} &= -g^{\mu\alpha}\Gamma_{\sigma\alpha}^\nu - g^{\nu\alpha}\Gamma_{\sigma\alpha}^\mu, & \delta g &= g g^{\mu\nu} \delta g_{\mu\nu} = -g g_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu}, \\ \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\mu &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \ln \sqrt{|g|}, & \delta \sqrt{|g|} &= -\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \delta g^{\mu\nu}, \\ \square &= \nabla^\mu \nabla_\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_\mu (\sqrt{|g|} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu), & \delta R &= R_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu} \square \delta g^{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \delta g^{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. *On the manifold M holds $\int_M g^{\mu\nu} \delta R_{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} d^n x = 0$.*

Proof. Let $W^v = -g^{\mu\alpha} \delta \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\nu + g^{\mu\nu} \delta \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha$. Then it follows

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} (\sqrt{|g|} W^v) = \frac{\partial W^v}{\partial x^\nu} + W^v \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\partial \sqrt{|g|}}{\partial x^\nu}. \tag{5}$$

Using Lemma 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} (\sqrt{|g|} W^v) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} (g^{\mu\alpha} \delta \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\nu) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} (g^{\mu\nu} \delta \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha) + (-g^{\mu\alpha} \delta \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\nu + g^{\mu\nu} \delta \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha) \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\beta \\ &= -\frac{\partial g^{\mu\alpha}}{\partial x^\nu} \delta \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\nu - g^{\mu\alpha} \delta \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\nu}{\partial x^\nu} + \frac{\partial g^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu} \delta \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha + g^{\mu\nu} \delta \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha}{\partial x^\nu} + (-g^{\mu\alpha} \delta \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\nu + g^{\mu\nu} \delta \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha) \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Moreover, using again Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} (\sqrt{|g|} W^\nu) &= g^{\alpha\beta} \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\mu \delta \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\nu + g^{\mu\beta} \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\alpha \delta \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\nu - g^{\beta\nu} \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\mu \delta \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha - g^{\mu\beta} \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\nu \delta \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha - g^{\mu\alpha} \delta \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\nu}{\partial x^\nu} + g^{\mu\nu} \delta \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha}{\partial x^\nu} \\ &\quad - g^{\mu\alpha} \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\beta \delta \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\nu + g^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\beta \delta \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha \\ &= g^{\mu\nu} \left(-\delta \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} + \delta \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha}{\partial x^\nu} + \Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\beta \delta \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\alpha + \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\alpha \delta \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\beta - \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\beta \delta \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\alpha - \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\alpha \delta \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\beta \right) \\ &= g^{\mu\nu} \delta \left(-\frac{\partial \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} + \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha}{\partial x^\nu} + \Gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\beta \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\alpha - \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\beta \right) = g^{\mu\nu} \delta R_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Finally, we have

$$g^{\mu\nu} \delta R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} (\sqrt{|g|} W^\nu) \text{ and } \int_M g^{\mu\nu} \delta R_{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} d^n x = \int_M \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} (\sqrt{|g|} W^\nu) d^n x. \tag{8}$$

Using the Gauss-Stokes theorem one obtains

$$\int_M \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} (\sqrt{|g|} W^\nu) d^n x = \int_{\partial M} W^\nu d\sigma_\nu. \tag{9}$$

Since $\delta g_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and $\delta \partial_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0$ at the boundary ∂M we have $W^\nu|_{\partial M} = 0$. Then we have $\int_{\partial M} W^\nu d\sigma_\nu = 0$, that completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.3. *The variation of S_0 is*

$$\delta S_0 = \int_M G_{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \delta g^{\mu\nu} d^n x + \Lambda \int_M g_{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \delta g^{\mu\nu} d^n x, \tag{10}$$

where $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor.

Proof. The variation of S_0 can be found as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S_0 &= \int_M \delta((R - 2\Lambda) \sqrt{|g|}) d^n x = \int_M \delta(R \sqrt{|g|}) d^n x - 2\Lambda \int_M \delta \sqrt{|g|} d^n x \\ &= \int_M (\sqrt{|g|} \delta R + R \delta \sqrt{|g|} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \delta g^{\mu\nu}) d^n x \\ &= \int_M \left(\sqrt{|g|} \delta(g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{2} R \sqrt{|g|} g_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \delta g^{\mu\nu} \right) d^n x \\ &= \int_M G_{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \delta g^{\mu\nu} d^n x + \Lambda \int_M g_{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \delta g^{\mu\nu} d^n x + \int_M g^{\mu\nu} \delta R_{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} d^n x. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Using Lemma 2.2, from the last equation we obtain the variation of S_0 . \square

Lemma 2.4. *For any scalar function h we have*

$$\int_M h \delta R \sqrt{|g|} d^n x = \int_M (h R_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu} \square h - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu h) \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} d^n x. \tag{12}$$

Proof. Using Lemma 2.1, for any scalar function h we have

$$\int_M h \delta R \sqrt{|g|} d^n x = \int_M (h R_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} + h g_{\mu\nu} \square \delta g^{\mu\nu} - h \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \delta g^{\mu\nu}) \sqrt{|g|} d^n x. \tag{13}$$

The second and third term in this formula can be transformed in the following way:

$$\int_M h g_{\mu\nu} (\square \delta g^{\mu\nu}) \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x = \int_M g_{\mu\nu} (\square h) \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x, \tag{14}$$

$$\int_M h \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x = \int_M \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu h \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x. \tag{15}$$

To prove the first of these equations we use the Stokes theorem and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M h g_{\mu\nu} \square \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x &= \int_M h g_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\alpha \nabla^\alpha \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x = - \int_M \nabla_\alpha (h g_{\mu\nu}) \nabla^\alpha \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x \\ &= \int_M g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\alpha \nabla_\alpha h \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x = \int_M g_{\mu\nu} \square h \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Here we have used $\nabla_\gamma g_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and $\nabla^\alpha \nabla_\alpha = \nabla_\alpha \nabla^\alpha = \square$ to obtain the last integral.

To obtain the second equation we first introduce vector

$$N^\mu = h \nabla_\nu \delta g^{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\nu h \delta g^{\mu\nu}. \tag{17}$$

From the above expression follows

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\mu N^\mu &= \nabla_\mu (h \nabla_\nu \delta g^{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\nu h \delta g^{\mu\nu}) = \nabla_\mu h \nabla_\nu \delta g^{\mu\nu} + h \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \delta g^{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu h \delta g^{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\nu h \nabla_\mu \delta g^{\mu\nu} \\ &= h \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \delta g^{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu h \delta g^{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Integrating $\nabla_\mu N^\mu$ yields $\int_M \nabla_\mu N^\mu \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x = \int_{\partial M} N^\mu n_\mu \, d\partial M$, where n_μ is the unit normal vector. Since $N^\mu|_{\partial M} = 0$ we have that the last integral is zero, which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.5. Let θ and ψ be scalar functions such that $\delta\psi|_{\partial M} = 0$. Then one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \theta \delta \square \psi \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x &= \frac{1}{2} \int_M g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \theta \partial_\beta \psi g_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x - \int_M \partial_\mu \theta \partial_\nu \psi \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x \\ &\quad + \int_M \square \theta \delta \psi \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x + \frac{1}{2} \int_M g_{\mu\nu} \theta \square \psi \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Proof. Since θ and ψ are scalar functions such that $\delta\psi|_{\partial M} = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \theta \delta \square \psi \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x &= \int_M \theta \partial_\alpha \delta (\sqrt{|g|} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta \psi) \, d^n x + \int_M \theta \delta \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \right) \partial_\alpha (\sqrt{|g|} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta \psi) \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x \\ &= \int_M \partial_\alpha (\theta \delta (\sqrt{|g|} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta \psi)) \, d^n x - \int_M \partial_\alpha \theta \delta (\sqrt{|g|} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta \psi) \, d^n x + \frac{1}{2} \int_M \theta g_{\mu\nu} \square \psi \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

It is easy to see that $\int_M \partial_\alpha (\theta \delta (\sqrt{|g|} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta \psi)) \, d^n x = 0$. From this result it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \theta \delta \square \psi \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x &= - \int_M g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \theta \partial_\beta \psi \delta (\sqrt{|g|}) \, d^n x - \int_M \partial_\alpha \theta \partial_\beta \psi \delta g^{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x \\ &\quad - \int_M g^{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{|g|} \partial_\alpha \theta \partial_\beta \delta \psi \, d^n x + \frac{1}{2} \int_M \theta g_{\mu\nu} \square \psi \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_M g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \theta \partial_\beta \psi g_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x - \int_M \partial_\mu \theta \partial_\nu \psi \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x \\ &\quad - \int_M \partial_\beta (g^{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{|g|} \partial_\alpha \theta \delta \psi) \, d^n x + \int_M \partial_\beta (g^{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{|g|} \partial_\alpha \theta) \delta \psi \, d^n x \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_M g_{\mu\nu} \theta \square \psi \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_M g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \theta \partial_\beta \psi g_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x - \int_M \partial_\mu \theta \partial_\nu \psi \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x \\ &\quad + \int_M \square \theta \delta \psi \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x + \frac{1}{2} \int_M g_{\mu\nu} \theta \square \psi \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

At the end we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \theta \delta \square \psi \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x &= \frac{1}{2} \int_M g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \theta \partial_\beta \psi g_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x \\ &\quad - \int_M \partial_\mu \theta \partial_\nu \psi \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x + \int_M \square \theta \delta \psi \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x + \frac{1}{2} \int_M g_{\mu\nu} \theta \square \psi \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

□

Now, after this preliminary work we can get the variation of S_1 .

Lemma 2.6. *The variation of S_1 is*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S_1 &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_M g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{H}(R) \mathcal{F}(\square) \mathcal{G}(R) \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x + \int_M (R_{\mu\nu} \Phi - K_{\mu\nu} \Phi) \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \int_M (g_{\mu\nu} (\partial^\alpha \square^l \mathcal{H}(R) \partial_\alpha \square^{n-1-l} \mathcal{G}(R) + \square^l \mathcal{H}(R) \square^{n-1-l} \mathcal{G}(R)) \\ &\quad - 2\partial_\mu \square^l \mathcal{H}(R) \partial_\nu \square^{n-1-l} \mathcal{G}(R)) \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x, \end{aligned}$$

where $K_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} \square$, $\Phi = \mathcal{H}'(R) \mathcal{F}(\square) \mathcal{G}(R) + \mathcal{G}'(R) \mathcal{F}(\square) \mathcal{H}(R)$ and $'$ denotes derivative with respect to R .

Proof. The variation of S_1 can be expressed as

$$\delta S_1 = \int_M (\mathcal{H}(R) \mathcal{F}(\square) \mathcal{G}(R) \delta (\sqrt{|g|}) + \delta (\mathcal{H}(R)) \mathcal{F}(\square) \mathcal{G}(R) \sqrt{|g|} + \mathcal{H}(R) \delta (\mathcal{F}(\square) \mathcal{G}(R)) \sqrt{|g|}) \, d^n x. \tag{23}$$

For the first two integrals in the last equation we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_M \mathcal{H}(R) \mathcal{F}(\square) \mathcal{G}(R) \delta (\sqrt{|g|}) \, d^n x = -\frac{1}{2} \int_M g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{H}(R) \mathcal{F}(\square) \mathcal{G}(R) \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x, \\ I_2 &= \int_M \delta (\mathcal{H}(R)) \mathcal{F}(\square) \mathcal{G}(R) \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x = \int_M \mathcal{H}'(R) \delta R \mathcal{F}(\square) \mathcal{G}(R) \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Substituting $h = \mathcal{H}'(R) \mathcal{F}(\square)\mathcal{G}(R)$ in equation (12) we obtain

$$I_2 = \int_M (R_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{H}'(R) \mathcal{F}(\square)\mathcal{G}(R) - K_{\mu\nu}(\mathcal{H}'(R) \mathcal{F}(\square)\mathcal{G}(R))) \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x. \tag{25}$$

The third integral can be presented using linear combination of the following integrals

$$J_n = \int_M \mathcal{H}(R) \delta(\square^n \mathcal{G}(R)) \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x. \tag{26}$$

J_0 is the integral of the same form as I_2 so

$$J_0 = \int_M (R_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}'(R) \mathcal{H}(R) - K_{\mu\nu}(\mathcal{G}'(R) \mathcal{H}(R))) \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x. \tag{27}$$

For $n > 0$, we can find J_n using (19). In the first step we take $\theta = \mathcal{H}(R)$ and $\psi = \square^{n-1} \mathcal{G}(R)$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_n &= \frac{1}{2} \int_M g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \mathcal{H}(R) \partial_\beta \square^{n-1} \mathcal{G}(R) g_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x - \int_M \partial_\mu \mathcal{H}(R) \partial_\nu \square^{n-1} \mathcal{G}(R) \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x \\ &+ \int_M \square \mathcal{H}(R) \delta \square^{n-1} \mathcal{G}(R) \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x + \frac{1}{2} \int_M g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{H}(R) \square^n \mathcal{G}(R) \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

In the second step we take $\theta = \square \mathcal{H}(R)$ and $\psi = \square^{n-2} \mathcal{G}(R)$ and get the third integral in this formula, etc. Using (19) n times one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} J_n &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \int_M (g_{\mu\nu} \partial^\alpha \square^l \mathcal{H}(R) \partial_\alpha \square^{n-1-l} \mathcal{G}(R) + g_{\mu\nu} \square^l \mathcal{H}(R) \square^{n-1} \mathcal{G}(R) - 2\partial_\mu \square^l \mathcal{H}(R) \partial_\nu \square^{n-1-l} \mathcal{G}(R)) \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x \\ &+ \int_M (R_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}'(R) \square^n \mathcal{H}(R) - K_{\mu\nu}(\mathcal{G}'(R) \square^n \mathcal{H}(R))) \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Using the equation (12) we obtain the last integral in the above formula. Finally, one can put everything together and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S_1 &= I_1 + I_2 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n J_n = -\frac{1}{2} \int_M g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{H}(R) \mathcal{F}(\square)\mathcal{G}(R) \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x + \int_M (R_{\mu\nu} \Phi - K_{\mu\nu} \Phi) \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \int_M (g_{\mu\nu} (\partial^\alpha \square^l \mathcal{H}(R) \partial_\alpha \square^{n-1-l} \mathcal{G}(R) + \square^l \mathcal{H}(R) \square^{n-1} \mathcal{G}(R)) \\ &- 2\partial_\mu \square^l \mathcal{H}(R) \partial_\nu \square^{n-1-l} \mathcal{G}(R)) \delta g^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{|g|} \, d^n x. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

□

Theorem 2.1. *The variation of the functional (2) is equal to zero iff*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}}{16\pi G} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{H}(R) \mathcal{F}(\square)\mathcal{G}(R) + (R_{\mu\nu} \Phi - K_{\mu\nu} \Phi) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (g_{\mu\nu} \partial^\alpha \square^l \mathcal{H}(R) \partial_\alpha \square^{n-1-l} \mathcal{G}(R) - 2\partial_\mu \square^l \mathcal{H}(R) \partial_\nu \square^{n-1-l} \mathcal{G}(R) + g_{\mu\nu} \square^l \mathcal{H}(R) \square^{n-1} \mathcal{G}(R)) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Proof. Since we have $\delta S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \delta S_0 + \delta S_1$ the theorem follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.6. □

3. Signature (1, 3)

In the physics settings, where functional S represents an action, theorem 2.1 gives the equations of motion. From this point we assume that manifold M is the four-dimensional homogeneous and isotropic one with signature (1, 3). Then the metric has the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) form:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 \left(\frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 \right). \quad (32)$$

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that manifold M has the FLRW metric. Then the expression (31) has two linearly independent equations:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{4\Lambda - R}{16\pi G} - 2\mathcal{H}(R)\mathcal{F}(\square)\mathcal{G}(R) + (R\Phi + 3\square\Phi) \\ & + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\partial^\mu \square^l \mathcal{H}(R) \partial_\mu \square^{n-1-l} \mathcal{G}(R) + 2\square^l \mathcal{H}(R) \square^{n-l} \mathcal{G}(R) \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{G_{00} + \Lambda g_{00}}{16\pi G} - \frac{1}{2} g_{00} \mathcal{H}(R) \mathcal{F}(\square) \mathcal{G}(R) + (R_{00} \Phi - K_{00} \Phi) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(g_{00} \partial^\alpha \square^l \mathcal{H}(R) \partial_\alpha \square^{n-1-l} \mathcal{G}(R) - 2\partial_0 \square^l \mathcal{H}(R) \partial_0 \square^{n-1-l} \mathcal{G}(R) + g_{00} \square^l \mathcal{H}(R) \square^{n-l} \mathcal{G}(R) \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Proof. The FLRW metric satisfies $R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{R}{4} g_{\mu\nu}$ and scalar curvature $R = 6 \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} \right)$ depends only on t , hence equations (31) for $\mu \neq \nu$ are trivially satisfied. On the other hand, equations with indices 11, 22 and 33 can be rewritten as

$$g_{\mu\mu} \left(\frac{-\frac{R}{4} + \Lambda}{8\pi G} - \mathcal{H}(R)\mathcal{F}(\square)\mathcal{G}(R) + \frac{R}{2}\Phi + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\partial^\alpha \square^l \mathcal{H}(R) \partial_\alpha \square^{n-1-l} \mathcal{G}(R) + \square^l \mathcal{H}(R) \square^{n-l} \mathcal{G}(R) \right) \right) = 0.$$

Therefore these three equations are linearly dependent and there are only two linearly independent equations. The most convenient choice is the trace and 00-equation. \square

Corollary 3.1. *For $\mathcal{H}(R) = R^p$ and $\mathcal{G}(R) = R^q$ the action (2) becomes*

$$S = \int_M \left(\frac{R - 2\Lambda}{16\pi G} + R^p \mathcal{F}(\square) R^q \right) \sqrt{|g|} d^n x, \quad (35)$$

and equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{16\pi G} (G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R^p \mathcal{F}(\square) R^q + (R_{\mu\nu} \Phi - K_{\mu\nu} \Phi) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(g_{\mu\nu} \partial^\alpha \square^l R^p \partial_\alpha \square^{n-1-l} R^q - 2\partial_\mu \square^l R^p \partial_\nu \square^{n-1-l} R^q + g_{\mu\nu} \square^l R^p \square^{n-l} R^q \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where $\Phi = pR^{p-1}\mathcal{F}(\square)R^q + qR^{q-1}\mathcal{F}(\square)R^p$.

Corollary 3.2. *For $\mathcal{H}(R) = R^p$ and $\mathcal{G}(R) = R^q$ the equations of motion (36) are equivalent to the following two equations:*

$$\frac{1}{16\pi G} (4\Lambda - R) - 2R^p \mathcal{F}(\square) R^q + (R\Phi + 3\square\Phi) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\partial^\mu \square^l R^p \partial_\mu \square^{n-1-l} R^q + 2\square^l R^p \square^{n-l} R^q \right) = 0, \quad (37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{16\pi G}(G_{00} + \Lambda g_{00}) - \frac{1}{2}g_{00}R^p \mathcal{F}(\square)R^q + (R_{00}\Phi - K_{00}\Phi) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (g_{00} \partial^\alpha \square^l R^p \partial_\alpha \square^{n-1-l} R^q + g_{00} \square^l R^p \square^{n-1-l} R^q - 2\partial_0 \square^l R^p \partial_0 \square^{n-1-l} R^q) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

4. Constant scalar curvature

Theorem 4.1. *Let $R = R_0 = \text{constant}$. Then, solution of equations of motion (36) has the form*

1. For $R_0 > 0$, $a(t) = \sqrt{\frac{6k}{R_0} + \sigma e^{\sqrt{\frac{R_0}{3}}t} + \tau e^{-\sqrt{\frac{R_0}{3}}t}}$, where $9k^2 = R_0^2 \sigma \tau$, $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$.
2. For $R_0 = 0$, $a(t) = \sqrt{-kt^2 + \sigma t + \tau}$, where $\sigma^2 + 4k\tau = 0$, $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$.
3. For $R_0 < 0$, $a(t) = \sqrt{\frac{6k}{R_0} + \sigma \cos \sqrt{\frac{-R_0}{3}}t + \tau \sin \sqrt{\frac{-R_0}{3}}t}$, where $36k^2 = R_0^2(\sigma^2 + \tau^2)$, $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$,

where k is curvature parameter.

Proof. Since $R = R_0$ one has

$$6\left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 + \frac{k}{a^2}\right) = R_0. \tag{39}$$

The change of variable $b(t) = a^2(t)$ yields second order linear differential equation with constant coefficients

$$3\ddot{b} - R_0 b = -6k. \tag{40}$$

Depending on the sign of R_0 we have the following solutions for $b(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} R_0 > 0, \quad b(t) &= \frac{6k}{R_0} + \sigma e^{\sqrt{\frac{R_0}{3}}t} + \tau e^{-\sqrt{\frac{R_0}{3}}t}, \\ R_0 = 0, \quad b(t) &= -kt^2 + \sigma t + \tau, \\ R_0 < 0, \quad b(t) &= \frac{6k}{R_0} + \sigma \cos \sqrt{\frac{-R_0}{3}}t + \tau \sin \sqrt{\frac{-R_0}{3}}t. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Putting $R = R_0 = \text{const}$ into (37) and (38) one obtains the following two equations:

$$f_0 R_0^{p+q} (p + q - 2) = \frac{R_0 - 4\Lambda}{16\pi G}, \quad f_0 R_0^{p+q-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}R_0 + (p + q)R_{00}\right) = \frac{-G_{00} + \Lambda}{16\pi G}. \tag{42}$$

Equations (42) will have a solution if and only if

$$R_0^{p+q-1} (R_0 + 4R_{00})(R_0 + (2\Lambda - R_0)(p + q)) = 0. \tag{43}$$

In the first case we take $R_0 + 4R_{00} = 0$ that yields the following conditions on the parameters σ and τ :

$$\begin{aligned} R_0 > 0, \quad 9k^2 &= R_0^2 \sigma \tau, \\ R_0 = 0, \quad \sigma^2 + 4k\tau &= 0, \\ R_0 < 0, \quad 36k^2 &= R_0^2(\sigma^2 + \tau^2). \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

□

Solutions given in (41) together with conditions (44) restrict the possibilities for the parameter k .

- Theorem 4.2.** 1. If $R_0 > 0$ then for $k = 0$ there is solution with constant Hubble parameter, for $k = +1$ the solution is $a(t) = \sqrt{\frac{12}{R_0}} \cosh \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{R_0}{3}} t + \varphi \right)$ and for $k = -1$ it is $a(t) = \sqrt{\frac{12}{R_0}} \left| \sinh \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{R_0}{3}} t + \varphi \right) \right|$, where $\sigma + \tau = \frac{6}{R_0} \cosh \varphi$ and $\sigma - \tau = \frac{6}{R_0} \sinh \varphi$.
2. If $R_0 = 0$ then for $k = 0$ the solution is $a(t) = \sqrt{\tau} = \text{const}$ and for $k = -1$ the solution is $a(t) = |t + \frac{\sigma}{2}|$.
3. If $R_0 < 0$ then for $k = -1$ the solution is $a(t) = \sqrt{\frac{-12}{R_0}} \left| \cos \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{-\frac{R_0}{3}} t - \varphi \right) \right|$, where $\sigma = \frac{-6}{R_0} \cos \varphi$ and $\tau = \frac{-6}{R_0} \sin \varphi$.

Proof. Let $R_0 > 0$. Set $k = 0$ then we obtain solution with constant Hubble parameter. Alternatively, if we set $k = +1$ then there is φ such that $\sigma + \tau = \frac{6}{R_0} \cosh \varphi$ and $\sigma - \tau = \frac{6}{R_0} \sinh \varphi$. Moreover, we obtain

$$b(t) = \frac{12}{R_0} \cosh^2 \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{R_0}{3}} t + \varphi \right), \quad a(t) = \sqrt{\frac{12}{R_0}} \cosh \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{R_0}{3}} t + \varphi \right). \quad (45)$$

At the end, if we set $k = -1$ one can transform $b(t)$ to

$$b(t) = \frac{12}{R_0} \sinh^2 \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{R_0}{3}} t + \varphi \right), \quad a(t) = \sqrt{\frac{12}{R_0}} \left| \sinh \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{R_0}{3}} t + \varphi \right) \right|. \quad (46)$$

Let $R_0 = 0$. If $k = 0$ then function $b(t)$ and consequently $a(t)$ become constants which leads to a solution $a(t) = \sqrt{\tau} = \text{const}$. On the other hand if $k \neq 0$ then we can write

$$b(t) = -k \left(t - \frac{\sigma}{2k} \right)^2. \quad (47)$$

If $k = +1$ then there are no solutions for the scale factor $a(t)$, because $b(t) \leq 0$. On the other hand, when $k = -1$ the scale factor becomes

$$a(t) = |t + \frac{\sigma}{2}|. \quad (48)$$

In the last case, let $R_0 < 0$. If $k = -1$ we can find φ such that $\sigma = \frac{-6}{R_0} \cos \varphi$ and $\tau = \frac{-6}{R_0} \sin \varphi$ and rewrite $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ as

$$b(t) = \frac{-12}{R_0} \cos^2 \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{-\frac{R_0}{3}} t - \varphi \right), \quad a(t) = \sqrt{\frac{-12}{R_0}} \left| \cos \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{-\frac{R_0}{3}} t - \varphi \right) \right|. \quad (49)$$

In the case $k = +1$ one can transform $b(t)$ to $b(t) = \frac{12}{R_0} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{-\frac{R_0}{3}} t - \varphi \right)$, which is non positive and hence yields no solutions. \square

Theorem 4.3. If in (43) we take

$$R_0^{p+q-1} (R_0 + (p+q)(2\Lambda - R_0)) = 0 \quad (50)$$

then:

1. For $p+q \geq 1$ there is obvious solution $R_0 = 0$. In particular if $p+q = 1$ then (50) is satisfied for any $R_0 \neq 0$ if $\Lambda = 0$.
2. For $p+q = 0$ there is no solution.
3. For $p+q \neq 0, 1$ there is a unique value $R_0 = \frac{2\Lambda(p+q)}{p+q-1}$ that gives a solution. Since p and q are integers the value of R_0 in the last equation is always positive, and for $k = 0$ the solution $b(t)$ is a linear combination of exponential functions.

Proof of this theorem is evident.

References

- [1] T. Clifton, P. G. Ferreira, A. Padilla, C. Skordis, “Modified gravity and cosmology”, *Physics Reports* **513** (2012) 1–189; [arXiv:1106.2476v2 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [2] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, “Unified cosmic history in modified gravity: from $F(R)$ theory to Lorentz non-invariant models”, *Physics Reports* **505** (2011) 59–144; [arXiv:1011.0544v4 [gr-qc]].
- [3] R. P. Woodard, “Nonlocal models of cosmic acceleration”, [arXiv:1401.0254 [astro-ph.CO]] (2014).
- [4] B. Dragovich, “Nonlocal modified gravity and cosmology”, *Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics* **111** (2014) 251–262.
- [5] T. Biswas, A. Mazumdar, W. Siegel, “Bouncing universes in string-inspired gravity”, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **0603** (2006) 009; [arXiv:hep-th/0508194].
- [6] T. Biswas, T. Koivisto, A. Mazumdar, “Towards a resolution of the cosmological singularity in non-local higher derivative theories of gravity”, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **1011** (2010) 008; [arXiv:1005.0590v2 [hep-th]].
- [7] T. Biswas, E. Gerwick, T. Koivisto, A. Mazumdar, “Towards singularity and ghost free theories of gravity”, *Physical Review Letters* **108** (2012) 031101; [arXiv:1110.5249v2 [gr-qc]].
- [8] T. Biswas, A. S. Koshelev, A. Mazumdar, S. Yu. Vernov, “Stable bounce and inflation in non-local higher derivative cosmology”, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **08** (2012) 024; [arXiv:1206.6374 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [9] A. S. Koshelev, S. Yu. Vernov, “On bouncing solutions in non-local gravity”, [arXiv:1202.1289v1 [hep-th]].
- [10] I. Dimitrijevic, B. Dragovich, J. Grujic, Z. Rakic, “On modified gravity”, *Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics* **36** (2013) 251–259; [arXiv:1202.2352 [hep-th]].
- [11] I. Dimitrijevic, B. Dragovich, J. Grujic, Z. Rakic, “New cosmological solutions in nonlocal modified gravity”, *Romanian Journal of Physics* **58** (5-6) (2013) 550–559; [arXiv:1302.2794 [gr-qc]].
- [12] T. Biswas, A. Conroy, A. S. Koshelev, A. Mazumdar, “Generalized ghost-free quadratic curvature gravity”, [arXiv:1308.2319 [hep-th]] (2013).
- [13] I. Dimitrijevic, B. Dragovich, J. Grujic, Z. Rakic, “A new model of nonlocal modified gravity”, *Publications de l’Institut Mathématique* **94** (108) (2013) 187–196.