

Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

# Generalized Frames with C\*-Valued Bounds and their Operator Duals

## A. Alijania

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Vali-e-Asr University of Rafsanjan, P.O. Box 7719758457, Rafsanjan, Iran.

**Abstract.** Certain facts about frames and generalized frames are extended for the new q-frames, referred as \*-q-frames, in a Hilbert C\*-modules. As a matter of fact, some relations are establish between \*-frames and \*-q-frames in a Hilbert C\*-module. Furthermore, the paper studies the operators associated to a given \*-q-frame, the construction of new \*-q-frames. Moreover, the operator duals for a \*-q-frame are introduced and their properties are investigated. Finally, operator duals of a \*-*g*-frame are characterized.

#### 1. Introduction

Frame theory is a new and applicable part of harmonic analysis. This theory has been rapidly generalized and various generalizations consisting of vectors in Hilbert spaces or Hilbert C\*-modules have been developed. In 2005, Sun [10] has introduced the notion of g-frames as a generalization of frames for bounded operators on Hilbert spaces. Frank-Larson [4] have extended the theory for the elements of  $C^*$ -algebras and (finitely or countably generated) Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules. Afterwards, frames with  $C^*$ -valued bounds in Hilbert *C*\*-modules have been considered in [2].

It is well known that Hilbert C\*-modules are generalizations of Hilbert spaces by allowing the inner product to take values in a C\*-algebra rather than in the field of complex numbers. Also, the theory of Hilbert C\*-modules has applications in the study of locally compact quantum groups, complete maps between C\*-algebras, non-commutative geometry, and KK-theory. There are some differences between Hilbert C\*-modules and Hilbert spaces. For instance, the Riesz representation theorem for continuous linear functionals on Hilbert spaces can not be extended to Hilbert C\*-modules [9] and there exist closed subspaces in Hilbert C\*-modules that have no orthogonal complement [7]. Moreover, as known, every bounded operator on a Hilbert space has an adjoint whereas there are bounded operators on Hilbert C\*modules which do not drive this property [8]. So, it is expected that problems about frames and \*-frames for Hilbert C\*-modules are more complicated than those for Hilbert spaces. This makes the topic of the frames for Hilbert C\*-modules important and absorbing. We would like to point out here that the properties of g-frames for Hilbert C\*-modules have been widely investigated in the literature; for further details see [1], [2], [4], [5], [11] and the references therein. The main purpose of the present paper is to study the subject of *q*-frames with *C*\*-valued bounds and their operator duals in a Hilbert *C*\*-module.

The outline of paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we give a brief survey on some of fundamental definitions and notations of Hilbert C\*-modules, *g*-frames and \*-frames in Hilbert C\*-modules.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47C15; Secondary 46C20, 42C15, 46L99 Keywords. Dual \*-g-frame, \*-g-Bessel sequence, \*-g-frame, Hilbert C\*-module, Operator dual.

Received: 16 December 2013; Accepted: 24 February 2015

Communicated by Dragana Cvetković Ilić

Section 3 is devoted to investigating \*-g-frames with  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued bounds and analyzing the elementary properties of them. In addition, some nontrivial examples of \*-g-Bessel sequences and \*-g-frames are presented which that their  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued bounds are better than their real valued bounds. That is, we give a tight \*-g-frame with  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued bounds which can not be a tight g-frame with real valued bounds. At the end of this section, the relation between g-frames and \*-g-frames in a Hilbert C\*-module is presented. In Section 4, some the conditions for combination of two \*-g-frames are obtained. More precisely, new \*-g-frames and \*-frames are constructed. The last section contains definition and characterization of the generalized duals of a \*-g-frame where they are called the operator duals.

#### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present a brief account of basic definitions and some properties of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules and their frames. For more information, we refer readers to [6], [9].

Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra. A linear space  $\mathcal{H}$  which is also an algebraic (left)  $\mathcal{A}$ -module together with an  $\mathcal{A}$ -inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$  and possesses the following properties is called a pre-Hilbert  $C^*$ -module:

- (*i*)  $\langle f, f \rangle \ge 0$ , for any  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ .
- (ii)  $\langle f, f \rangle = 0$  if and only if f = 0.
- (iii)  $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle g, f \rangle^*$ , for any  $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ .
- (*iv*)  $\langle \lambda f, h \rangle = \lambda \langle f, h \rangle$ , for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $f, h \in \mathcal{H}$ .
- (v)  $\langle af + bg, h \rangle = a \langle f, h \rangle + b \langle g, h \rangle$ , for any  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $f, g, h \in \mathcal{H}$ .

If  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Banach space with respect to the induced norm by the  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued inner product, then  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is called a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over  $\mathcal{A}$  or, simply, a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module.

The class of all adjointable maps from Hilbert  $C^*$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$  into Hilbert  $C^*$ -module  $\mathcal{K}$  is indicated by  $B_*(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$  and the class of all bounded  $\mathcal{A}$ -module maps from  $\mathcal{H}$  into  $\mathcal{K}$  is signified by  $B_b(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ . It is known that  $B_*(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \subseteq B_b(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ . We denote  $B_*(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$  and  $B_b(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$  by  $B_*(\mathcal{H})$  and  $B_b(\mathcal{H})$ , respectively.

Throughout the paper, we fix the notations  $\mathcal{A}$  and J for a given unital  $C^*$ -algebra and a finite or countably infinite index set, respectively. Also, the sets  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_j$ , for all  $j \in J$ , are finitely or countably generated Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules. The  $j^{th}$  projection operator from  $\bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathcal{K}_j$  onto  $\mathcal{K}_j$  is represented by  $\pi_j$ .

The notion of a g-frame for a given separable Hilbert space has been introduced by Sun [10]. Then, the authors [5] has defined a g-frame for a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$ , as a family of ordered pairs  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j) : j \in J\}$  consisting of Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules  $\mathcal{K}_j$  and operators  $\Lambda_j \in B_*(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_j)$  satisfying

$$A\langle f, f \rangle \leq \sum_{j \in J} \langle \Lambda_j f, \Lambda_j f \rangle \leq B\langle f, f \rangle,$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  and some positive constants A and B independent of f.

Afterwards, Dehghan-Alijani [2] have developed the following new version of frames for Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules called \*-frames as the family  $\{f_i\}_{i\in I}$  in a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$  which satisfy

$$A\langle f,f\rangle A^* \leq \sum_{j\in J} \langle f,f_j\rangle \langle f,f_j\rangle^* \leq B\langle f,f\rangle B^*,$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  and some strictly nonzero elements A and B in  $\mathcal{A}$  independent of f.

#### 3. \*-g-Frames for Hilbert C\*-Modules

In this section, we study the generalized Bessel sequences and the generalized frames with  $C^*$ -valued bounds for a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module and compare them with the ordinary types.

**Definition 3.1.** A \*-g-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  is a collection of ordered pairs  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j) : j \in J\}$  such that

$$A\langle f,f\rangle A^* \leq \sum_{i\in I} \langle \Lambda_j f, \Lambda_j f\rangle \leq B\langle f,f\rangle B^*,$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  and strictly nonzero elements A and B in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

The numbers A and B are called lower and upper \*-g-frame bounds, respectively. If A = B, the \*-g-frame is called tight and it is normalized when A = B.

The sequence of ordered pairs  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j) : j \in J\}$  is called to be a \*-g-Bessel sequence for  $\mathcal{H}$  if it has the upper bound condition in the above inequality. In this case, the element B is called the upper \*-g-Bessel bound.

Since the normalized \*-g-frames and the normalized g-frames are the same, the definition of a \*-g-orthonormal basis is the same as the definition of a g-orthonormal basis. Then we can use them.

The sequence  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j) : j \in J\}$  is said to be a g-orthonormal basis if it is a g-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  and satisfies

 $i. \Lambda_i \Lambda_i^* g_j = \delta_{ij} g_j$ , for any  $i, j \in J$ ; and

ii. 
$$\sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j f = f$$
, for all  $j \in J$ .

(Throughout the paper, series are assumed to be convergent in the norm sense.)

**Remark 3.2.** If  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j) : j \in J\}$  is a \*-g-Bessel sequence for the Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$  with a \*-g-Bessel bound  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  is uniformly bounded by  $\|\mathcal{B}\|$ .

We mentioned that the set of all of g-frames in a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules can be considered as a subset of the family of \*-g-frames. To illustrate this, let  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j) : j \in J\}$  be a g-frame for the Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$  with real g-frame bounds A and B. Note that for  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$(\sqrt{A})1_{\mathcal{A}}\langle f, f\rangle(\sqrt{A})1_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \sum_{j \in I} \langle \Lambda_j f, \Lambda_j f\rangle \leq (\sqrt{B})1_{\mathcal{A}}\langle f, f\rangle(\sqrt{B})1_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Therefore, every g-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with real bounds A and B is a \*-g-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued \*-g-frame bounds ( $\sqrt{A}$ )1 $_{\mathcal{A}}$  and ( $\sqrt{B}$ )1 $_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

To throw more light on the subject and understand the use of the concepts, we include some examples of nontrivial \*-g-Bessel sequences and \*-g-frames and we show that  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued bounds are preferred to real-valued bounds in some cases.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a commutative unital  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{H}$  be the Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}^2$ -module  $\mathcal{A}^2$  and let  $J = \mathbb{N}$  and fix nonzero sequences  $(a_j)_{j\in J}$  and  $(b_j)_{j\in J}$  such that  $\sum_{j\in J}a_ja_j^*$  and  $\sum_{j\in J}b_jb_j^*$  are invertible elements in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Define the diagonal operators  $\Lambda_j = \text{diag}\{a,b\}$  on  $\mathcal{A}^2$  sending  $(w_1,w_2)$  to  $(a_jw_1,b_jw_2)$ . The sequence  $\{(\Lambda_j,\mathcal{A}^2): j\in J\}$  is a tight \*-g-frame with bound  $(\sum_{j\in J}a_ja_j^*,\sum_{j\in J}b_jb_j^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Note that,  $\{(\Lambda_j,\mathcal{A}^2)\}_{j\in J}$  is a g-Bessel sequence with real bound  $\|(\sum_{j\in J}a_ja_j^*,\sum_{j\in J}b_jb_j^*)\|$  and therefore the  $\mathcal{A}^2$ -valued bound is optimal rather than the real valued bound.

**Example 3.4.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \ell^{\infty}$  and let  $\mathcal{H} = C_0$ , the Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module of the set of all null sequences equipped with the  $\mathcal{A}$ -inner product

$$\langle (x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}, (y_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \rangle = (x_i\overline{y_i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

The action of each sequence  $(a_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{A}$  on a sequence  $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{H}$  is implemented as  $(a_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} = (a_ix_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ . Let  $j\in J=\mathbb{N}$  and  $(1+\frac{1}{i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^{\infty}$ . Define  $\Lambda_j\in B_*(\mathcal{H})$  by

$$\Lambda_j(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} = (\delta_{ij}a_jx_j)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}, \quad \forall (x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{H}.$$

We observe that

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\langle\Lambda_jx,\Lambda_jx\rangle=((1+\frac{1}{i})^2x_i\overline{x_i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}=(1+\frac{1}{i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\langle x,x\rangle(1+\frac{1}{i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}},\ \forall x=(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\in\mathcal{H}.$$

Thus  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{H})\}_{j\in J}$  is a tight \*-g-frame with bounds  $(1+\frac{1}{i})_{i\in \mathbb{N}}$ , (The element  $(1+\frac{1}{i})_{i\in \mathbb{N}}$  is strictly nonzero in  $\mathcal{A}$ ). But it is not a tight g-frame for Hilbert  $l^{\infty}$ -module  $C_0$ . Note that,  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{H})\}_{j\in J}$  is a g-frame with optimal lower and upper real bounds 1 and 2, respectively.

In the frame theory, operators play an important role. for example, by the *pre-\*-frame operator*, duals of *g*-frames are characterized and the *frame operator* is used to give the reconstruction formula. The definitions of pre-\*-frame operator and frame operator are similar to ordinary types in Hilbert *C*\*-modules.

**Definition 3.5.** Given a \*-g-Bessel sequence  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  in a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$  with bound  $\mathcal{B}$ , its corresponding pre-\*-g-frame operator is an operator  $\Theta$  from  $\mathcal{H}$  into  $\bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathcal{K}_j$  by  $\Theta f = (\Lambda_j f)_{j \in J}$ .

It is easily to see that the pre-\*-frame operator is adjointable and then we can characterize \*-g-Bessel sequences with respect to the adjointable  $\mathcal{A}$ -module maps.

**Theorem 3.6.** The set of all \*-g-Bessel sequences for  $\mathcal{H}$  with respect to  $\{\mathcal{K}_i\}_{i\in I}$  is precisely

$$\{(\pi_i\Theta)_{i\in I}:\Theta\in B_*(\mathcal{H},\oplus_{i\in I}\mathcal{K}_i)\}.$$

**Definition 3.7.** Given a \*-g-frame  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j\in J}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  with bounds A and B. The \*-g-frame operator of  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  is an operator S by  $Sf = \sum_{j\in J} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j^* f$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ .

In this case, the \*-*g*-frame operator has some properties similar to *g*-frame operator and some others is not similar.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j\in J}$  be a \*-g-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with \*-g-frame operator S and lower and upper \*-g-frame bounds A and B, respectively. Then S is positive, invertible and adjointable. Also,

$$\|A^{-1}\|^{-2} \leq \|S\| \leq \|B\|^2 \ , \ f = \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j S^{-1} f,$$

are valid for  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\langle Sf, f \rangle = \sum_{j \in J} \langle \Lambda_j f, \Lambda_j f \rangle$ , for  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , and the set of positive elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed, S is a positive element in  $C^*$ -algebra  $B_*(\mathcal{H})$ . We show that S is invertible . For see this, we use an other operator. By positivity of S, there is a positive element G in  $B_*(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $S = G^*G$ . Let  $\{Gf_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $R_G$  such that  $Gf_n \longrightarrow g$  as  $n \to \infty$ . For  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$||A(f_n - f_m, f_n - f_m)A^*|| \le ||\langle S(f_n - f_m), f_n - f_m\rangle|| = ||G(f_n - f_m)||^2.$$

Since  $\{Gf_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$ ,

$$||A\langle f_n - f_m, f_{n-m}\rangle A^*|| \longrightarrow 0 \quad as \quad n, m \to \infty.$$

Note that for  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$||\langle f_n - f_m, f_n - f_m \rangle|| = ||A^{-1}A\langle f_n - f_m, f_n - f_m \rangle A^*(A^*)^{-1}|| \le ||A^{-1}||^2 ||A\langle f_n - f_m, f_n - f_m \rangle A^*||.$$

Therefore the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy and hence there exists  $f\in\mathcal{H}$  such that  $f_n\longrightarrow f$  as  $n\to\infty$ . Again by the definition of \*-*g*-frames, the following inequality holds,

$$||G(f_n - f)||^2 \le ||B||^2 ||\langle f_n - f, f_n - f \rangle||.$$

Thus  $||Gf_n - Gf|| \longrightarrow 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  implies that Gf = g. It concludes that  $R_G$  is closed.

By the like proof, G is injective. Therefore G is injective, closed range and self-adjoint and hence S is invertible. For the rest of the proof, we show the inequality. The definition of \*-g-frames implies that  $\langle f, f \rangle \leq A^{-1} \langle Sf, f \rangle (A^*)^{-1}$  and  $\langle Sf, f \rangle \leq B \langle f, f \rangle B^*$ , and then

$$\|A^{-1}\|^{-2}\|\langle f,f\rangle\|\leq \|\langle Sf,f\rangle\|\leq \|B\|^2\|\langle f,f\rangle\|, \quad \forall f\in\mathcal{H}.$$

If we take supremum on all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , where  $||f|| \le 1$ , then  $||A^{-1}||^{-2} \le ||S|| \le ||B||^2$ . In the end, for  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , we obtain

$$f = SS^{-1}f = \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j S^{-1} f.$$

Finding optimal bounds plays an important role to study of g-frames and \*-g-frames. As we saw in the examples that their  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued bounds may be more suitable than real valued bounds for a \*-g-frame. In addition, there were tight \*-g-frames that they are not tight g-frames. At the end of the section, we introduce lower and upper real bounds for every \*-g-frame and we see that \*-g-frames can be studied as g-frames with different bounds.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j\in J}$  be a \*-g-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with pre-\*-g-frame operator  $\Theta$  and lower and upper \*-g-frame bounds A and B, respectively. Then  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  is a g-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with lower and upper frame bounds  $\|(\Theta^*\Theta)^{-1}\|^{-1}$  and  $\|\Theta\|^2$ , respectively.

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.8,  $\Theta$  is injective and has closed range and obtain

$$\|(\Theta^*\Theta)^{-1}\|^{-1}\langle f,f\rangle \leq \sum_{i\in I} \langle f,f_j\rangle \langle f_j,f\rangle \leq \|\Theta\|^2 \langle f,f\rangle, \quad \forall f\in \mathcal{H},$$

by Lemma 2.7 [1]. Then  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with lower and upper frame bounds  $\|(\Theta^*\Theta)^{-1}\|^{-1}$  and  $\|\Theta\|^2$ , respectively.  $\square$ 

In the reminder of the paper, the given results are valid for g-frames in Hilbert C\*-modules by Theorem 3.9.

**Remark 3.10.** Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is the self-dual Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{A}$  when  $\mathcal{A}$  is a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra. Then for every \*-g-frame  $\{(\Lambda_i, \mathcal{K}_i)\}_{i\in I}$ , there exists the sequence  $\{f_i\}_{i\in I}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\sum_{j\in J}\langle \Lambda_j f, \Lambda_j f\rangle = \sum_{j\in J}\langle f, f_j\rangle\langle f_j, f\rangle, \ \forall f\in \mathcal{H}.$$

In [2], we shown that  $\sum_{j \in J} |f_j|^2$  is invertible and then every \*-frame in the Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{A}$  is tight \*-frame. By the equality and the invertibility of  $\sum_{i \in I} |f_i|^2$ , the every \*-g-frame in  $\mathcal{A}$  is tight.

## 4. The New \*-g-Frames and Frames

In this section, we consider some conditions for the composition of two \*-g-frames. Also, the new \*-g-frames are given with the other \*-g-frames, the \*-frames, an element of  $\mathcal{H}$ , and the  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued multiples of a \*-g-frame.

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume that  $\Lambda = \{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j) : j \in J\}$  and  $\Gamma = \{(\Gamma_j, \mathcal{K}_j) : j \in J\}$  are \*-g-Bessel sequences for Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  with \*-g-Bessel bounds  $B_{\Lambda}$  and  $B_{\Gamma}$ , respectively. Then  $\Omega = \{(\Lambda_j^*\Gamma_j, \mathcal{H}_1) : j \in J\}$  is a \*-g-Bessel sequence for  $\mathcal{H}_2$  with \*-g-Bessel bound  $\|B_{\Lambda}\|B_{\Gamma}$  and the pre-\*-g-frame operator of  $\Omega$  is a bounded operator  $\Theta_{\Omega}$  from  $\mathcal{H}_2$  into  $\bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathcal{H}_1$  by  $\Theta_{\Omega} f = (\Lambda_j^*\Gamma_j f)_{j \in J}$ .

*Proof.* By the properties of adjointable operators and the definition of \*-*g*-Bessel sequence Γ, we obtain for  $f \in \mathcal{H}_2$ ,

$$\sum_{j\in J} \langle \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j f, \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j f \rangle \leq \sum_{j\in J} \|\Lambda_j^*\|^2 \langle \Gamma_j f, \Gamma_j f \rangle \leq \|B_\Lambda\|^2 \sum_{j\in J} \langle \Gamma_j f, \Gamma_j f \rangle \leq \|B_\Lambda\| B_\Gamma \langle f, f \rangle \|B_\Lambda\| B_\Gamma^*.$$

Then  $\{\Lambda_j^*\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  is a \*-*g*-Bessel sequence with bound  $\|B_\Lambda\|B_\Gamma$ . The pre-\*-*g*-frame operator of  $\Omega$  is  $\Theta_\Omega f = (\Lambda_j^*\Gamma_j f)_{j\in J}$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}_2$ , clearly.  $\square$ 

The following example illustrates this fact that Theorem 4.1 is not valid for the composition of two \*-g-frames.

**Example 4.2.** Let T be the right shift operator in  $B_*(l^2(\mathcal{A}))$  and let  $\alpha$  be an element in the center of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Assume that  $\Lambda$  is defined by  $\Lambda := \alpha T$ . Since  $\langle \Lambda(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \Lambda(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \rangle = \alpha \langle (a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \rangle \alpha^*$  on  $l^2(\mathcal{A})$ . The single set  $\{\Lambda\}$  is an  $\alpha$ -tight \*-g-frame for  $l^2(\mathcal{A})$ , but the single set  $\{\Lambda^*\}$  is not a \*-g-frame. To see this, we choose the subsequence  $\{(n, 1, 0, 0, ...) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  in  $l^2(\mathcal{A})$ . There dose not exist A > 0 such that

$$A\langle (n, 1, 0, 0, \dots), (n, 1, 0, 0, \dots) \rangle A^* \le \langle \Lambda^*(n, 1, 0, 0, \dots), \Lambda^*(n, 1, 0, 0, \dots) \rangle,$$
$$\|A(n^2 + 1)A^*\|^2 \le \|\alpha\|^2, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then  $\{\Lambda^*\}$  has not lower bound condition and is not a \*-g-frame, whereas  $\{\Lambda^*\} = \{\Lambda^*I\}$  is the composition of two \*-g-frames  $\{\Lambda\}$  and  $\{I\}$ .

Now, we characterize the class of all of \*-g-frames by \*-g-orthonormal bases and the composition of \*-g-frames. The following theorem illustrates that the lower bound condition is preserved in the composition of some \*-g-frames.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $\mathcal{H}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_2$  and  $K_j$ , for  $j \in J$ , be Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules. Let  $\Lambda = \{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j) : j \in J\}$  be a g-orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\Gamma = \{(\Gamma_j, \mathcal{K}_j) : j \in J\}$ . Then  $\Omega = \{(\Lambda_j^*\Gamma_j, \mathcal{H}_1) : j \in J\}$  is a \*-g-frame for  $\mathcal{H}_2$  if and only if  $\Gamma$  is a \*-g-frame for  $\mathcal{H}_2$ . Moreover,  $S_{\Omega} = S_{\Gamma}$  where  $S_{\Omega}$  and  $S_{\Gamma}$  are \*-g-frame operators for  $\Omega$  and  $\Gamma$ , respectively.

*Proof.* By the definition of \*-g-orthonormal basis  $\Lambda$ , we have

$$\sum_{j\in I} \langle \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j f, \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j f \rangle = \sum_{j\in I} \langle \Gamma_j f, \Gamma_j f \rangle, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}_2.$$

So  $\{\Lambda_i^*\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  is a \*-g-frame if and only if the sequence  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j\in J}$  is a \*-g-frame. By the above equality, obtain

$$\langle S_{\Omega}f, f \rangle = \langle \sum_{j \in J} \Gamma_j^* \Lambda_j \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j f, f \rangle = \sum_{j \in J} \langle \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j f, \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j f \rangle = \sum_{j \in J} \langle \Gamma_j f, \Gamma_j f \rangle = \langle \sum_{j \in J} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j f, f \rangle = \langle S_{\Gamma}f, f \rangle,$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}_2$ , then it concludes that  $S_{\Omega} = S_{\Gamma}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_2$ .  $\square$ 

The following proposition illustrates the properties of  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued multiples of a \*-q-frame.

**Proposition 4.4.** If  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  is a \*-g-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with bounds A, B, and  $\alpha$  is a strictly positive element in the center of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\{\alpha\Lambda_i\}_{j \in J}$  is a \*-g-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with bounds  $\alpha A, \alpha B$ .

*Proof.* For  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$\sum_{j\in I} \langle \alpha \Lambda_j f, \alpha \Lambda_j f \rangle = \sum_{j\in I} \alpha \langle \Lambda_j f, \Lambda_j f \rangle \alpha^*.$$

By the definition of \*-g-frame  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in I}$  and the properties of the inequalities in  $C^*$ -algebras, for  $f\in\mathcal{H}$ 

$$\alpha A \langle f, f \rangle (\alpha A)^* \leq \sum_{j \in I} \langle \alpha \Lambda_j f, \alpha \Lambda_j f \rangle \leq \alpha B \langle f, f \rangle (\alpha B)^*.$$

It completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Later, some relations between \*-frames and \*-g-frames are considered. First step studies the image of elements of a \*-g-frame on an element of  $\mathcal{H}$ . And second step considers the image of elements of a \*-g-frame on elements of a \*-g-frame.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{H})\}_{j\in J}$  be a \*-g-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  and let g be an element of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that the series  $\sum_{j\in J} ||\Lambda_j g||^2$  is convergent and

$$\{\alpha\Lambda_i g: \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\} = \mathcal{H},$$

for all  $j \in J$ . Then the sequence  $\{\Lambda_i g\}_{i \in J}$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof.* For  $j \in J$ , suppose that the operator  $\theta_j$  from  $\mathcal{H}$  into  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined by  $\theta_j(f) = \langle f, \Lambda_j g \rangle$ . It is bounded  $\mathcal{A}$ -module map,  $\|\theta_j\| = \|\Lambda_j g\|$ , and adjointable with the adjoint  $\theta_j^*(\alpha) = \alpha \Lambda_j g$ , for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ . For  $j \in J$  and  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$\sum_{j\in J} \langle f, \Lambda_j g \rangle \langle \Lambda_j g, f \rangle = \sum_{j\in J} \langle \theta_j f, \theta_j f \rangle \leq \sum_{j\in J} ||\theta_j||^2 \langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{j\in J} ||\Lambda_j g||^2 \langle f, f \rangle.$$

Then  $\{\Lambda_j g\}_{j\in J}$  has an upper bound condition with the upper bound  $\sum_{j\in J} \|\Lambda_j g\|^2$ . For the lower bound condition, we must use the equality  $\{\alpha\Lambda_j g: \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\} = \mathcal{H}$ , for all  $j \in J$ . It concludes that every  $\theta_j^*$  is surjective and by Lemma 2.7 [1], the operator  $\theta_j^*\theta_j$  is invertible and

$$\sum_{j\in I} \langle f, \Lambda_j g \rangle \langle \Lambda_j g, f \rangle = \sum_{j\in I} \langle \theta_j f, \theta_j f \rangle = \sum_{j\in I} \langle \theta_j^* \theta_j f, f \rangle \ge \sum_{j\in I} \|(\theta_j^* \theta_j)^{-1}\|^{-1} \langle f, f \rangle, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

These show that  $\{\Lambda_j g\}_{j \in J}$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{H})\}_{j \in J}$  be a \*-g-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with bounds  $A_{\Lambda}$  and  $B_{\Lambda}$ , and let  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a \*-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with bounds A and B. Then the sequence  $\{\Lambda_i^* f_i\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$  is a \*-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with bounds  $AA_{\Lambda}$  and  $BB_{\Lambda}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then

$$\sum_{j\in J}\sum_{i\in J}\langle f,\Lambda_j^*f_i\rangle\langle\Lambda_j^*f_i,f\rangle=\sum_{j\in J}\sum_{i\in J}\langle\Lambda_jf,f_i\rangle\langle f_i,\Lambda_jf\rangle\leq B\sum_{j\in J}\langle\Lambda_jf,\Lambda_jf\rangle B^*\leq BB_\Lambda\langle f,f\rangle(BB_\Lambda)^*.$$

It shows that the sequence  $\{\Lambda_j^*f_i\}_{i\in I,j\in J}$  has the upper bound condition. The proof of the lower bound condition is similar.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $\{g_{ij}\}_{i\in I_j}$  be a \*-frame for  $\mathcal{K}_j$  with bounds  $A_j$  and  $B_j$ , for all  $j\in J$ , and let  $\{\Lambda_j\in B_*(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j\in J}$  be a sequence such that  $\{\langle \Lambda_j f, \Lambda_j f \rangle; j\in J, f\in \mathcal{H}\}$  is a subset of the center of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If there exist two strictly positive elements C and D in  $\mathcal{A}$  by the properties  $C \leq A_j A_j^*$  and  $B_j B_j^* \leq D$ , then  $\{\Lambda_j^* g_{ij}\}_{i\in I_j, j\in J}$  is a \*-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  if and only if  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  is a \*-g-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof.* Since *C* and *D* are strictly positive, there exist *A* and *B* strictly nonzero elements in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $C = AA^*$  and  $BB^*$ . Now, assume that  $\{\Lambda_i^*g_{ij}\}_{i\in I_i,j\in J}$  is a \*-frame with bounds *α* and *β*. For  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , obtain

$$\alpha \langle f, f \rangle \alpha^* \leq \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{i \in I_j} \langle f, \Lambda_j^* g_{ij} \rangle \langle \Lambda_j^* g_{ij}, f \rangle = \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{i \in I_j} \langle \Lambda_j f, g_{ij} \rangle \langle g_{ij}, \Lambda_j f \rangle$$

$$\leq \sum_{j \in J} B_j \langle \Lambda_j f, \Lambda_j f \rangle B_j^* \leq D \sum_{j \in J} \langle \Lambda_j f, \Lambda_j f \rangle B^*,$$

then

$$B^{-1}\alpha\langle f,f\rangle(B^{-1}\alpha)^*\leq \sum_{j\in I}\langle \Lambda_jf,\Lambda_jf\rangle.$$

So,  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  has a lower bound  $B^{-1}\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Similarly,  $A^{-1}\beta$  is an upper bound for  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ . Conversely, let  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  be a \*-g-frame with bounds  $A_\Lambda$  and  $B_\Lambda$ . Suppose  $f\in\mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{i \in I_{j}} \langle f, \Lambda_{j}^{*} g_{ij} \rangle \langle \Lambda_{j}^{*} g_{ij}, f \rangle &= \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{i \in I_{j}} \langle \Lambda_{j} f, g_{ij} \rangle \langle g_{ij}, \Lambda_{j} f \rangle \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in J} B_{j} \langle \Lambda_{j} f, \Lambda_{j} f \rangle B_{j}^{*} \\ &= \sum_{j \in J} B_{j} B_{j}^{*} \langle \Lambda_{j} f, \Lambda_{j} f \rangle \leq \sum_{j \in J} D \langle \Lambda_{j} f, \Lambda_{j} f \rangle \leq B B_{\Lambda} \langle f, f \rangle (B B_{\Lambda})^{*}. \end{split}$$

Similarly, for  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ 

$$AA_{\Lambda}\langle f, f\rangle (AA_{\Lambda})^* \leq \sum_{j\in J} \sum_{i\in I_j} \langle f, \Lambda_j^* g_{ij}\rangle \langle \Lambda_j^* g_{ij}, f\rangle.$$

Then  $\{\Lambda_i^* g_{ij}\}_{i \in I_i, j \in J}$  is a \*-frame and the proof is complete.  $\square$ 

## 5. The Operator Duals of \*-g-Frames

In the frame theory, a collection of frames corresponding to a given frame that have a special relation with respect to first frame is defined. They are called dual frames. Afterwards, generalized duals have been introduced [3]. Here, the ordinary duals of a given \*-g-frame are defined and these concepts are generalized. Then we consider their properties and characterize all of dual \*-g-frames associated to a given \*-g-frame in a Hilbert C\*-module. These facts are valid for g-frames in Hilbert spaces because of Hilbert C\*-modules are extended of Hilbert spaces.

**Definition 5.1.** A \*-g-frame  $\{(\Gamma_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  is a dual \*-g-frame for a given \*-g-frame  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  if  $\sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j = I$ . In particular, the \*-g-frame  $\{(\widetilde{\Lambda}_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J} := \{(\Lambda_j S^{-1}, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  is called the canonical dual \*-g-frame.

Here, we extend this type of duals to larger than the family which are called operator duals.

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  and  $\{(\Gamma_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  be two the \*-g-frames for  $\mathcal{H}$ . If there exists an invertible adjointable  $\mathcal{H}$ -module map  $\Upsilon$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$f = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j \Upsilon(f), \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H},$$

then  $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i\in I}$  is called to be an operator dual of  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in I}$ .

**Remark 5.3.** Every \*-g-frame  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  with the frame operator S is an operator dual for itself. For see this, set  $\Upsilon:=S^{-1}$  and use Theorem 3.8.

**Remark 5.4.** Let  $\Gamma = \{(\Gamma_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  be an operator dual of the \*-g-frame  $\Lambda = \{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then for some invertible adjointable map  $\Upsilon \in B_*(\mathcal{H})$ ,

$$f = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j \Upsilon(f), \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The equality shows that  $I = (\Theta_{\Lambda}^* \Theta_{\Gamma}) \Upsilon$  where I is the identity map on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $\Theta_{\Gamma}$  and  $\Theta_{\Lambda}$  are the pre-\*-g-frame operators of  $\Gamma$  and  $\Lambda$ , respectively. Therefore, the operator  $\Upsilon$  is unique and  $\Upsilon^{-1} = \Theta_{\Lambda}^* \Theta_{\Gamma}$ .

By Remark 5.4, we say that  $\{(\Gamma_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  is an operator dual of  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  with the corresponding invertible operator  $\Upsilon$ .

**Proposition 5.5.** Let  $\Gamma = \{(\Gamma_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  and  $\Lambda = \{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  be \*-g-Bessel sequences for  $\mathcal{H}$  with pre-\*-g-frame operators  $\Theta_{\Gamma}$  and  $\Theta_{\Lambda}$ , respectively. If there exists an adjointable and invertible operator  $\Upsilon$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$f = \sum_{j \in I} \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j \Upsilon(f), \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H},$$

*then*  $\Gamma$  *and*  $\Lambda$  *are the operator duals to each other.* 

*Proof.* By the invertibility of  $\Upsilon$ , for  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , there is a  $g \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\Upsilon g = f$ . So

$$\langle q, q \rangle = \langle \Theta_{\Lambda}^* \Theta_{\Gamma} \Upsilon q, \Theta_{\Lambda}^* \Theta_{\Gamma} \Upsilon q \rangle \leq ||\Theta_{\Lambda}||^2 \langle \Theta_{\Gamma} f, \Theta_{\Gamma} f \rangle.$$

On the other hand,

$$\langle g, g \rangle = \langle \Upsilon^{-1} f, \Upsilon^{-1} f \rangle \ge ||\Upsilon||^{-2} \langle f, f \rangle.$$

Therefore, for  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ 

$$(||\Theta_{\Lambda}||||\Upsilon||)^{-2}\langle f, f\rangle \leq \langle \Theta_{\Gamma} f, \Theta_{\Gamma} f\rangle,$$

and  $\Gamma$  has the lower bound condition. Then it is a \*-*g*-frame. Similarly,  $\Lambda$  is a \*-*g*-frame and then are the operator duals to each other by Remark 5.7.  $\square$ 

Now, we can obtain a collection of operator duals with respect to a given operator dual for a \*-*g*-frame. The following proposition illustrates this subject.

**Proposition 5.6.** Let  $\{(\Gamma_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j\in J}$  be an operator dual of the \*-g-frame  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j\in J}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  with the corresponding invertible operator  $\Upsilon$ , and let  $\{\widetilde{\Lambda}_j\}$  be the canonical dual \*-g-frame of  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$ . If u is a strictly nonzero element in the center of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\Omega_j = u\Gamma_j + u\widetilde{\Lambda}_j\Upsilon^{-1}$  for  $j\in J$ , then  $\{\Omega_j\}_{j\in J}$  is an operator dual of  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  with the corresponding invertible operator  $\frac{1}{2}u^{-1}\Upsilon$ . Also, The sequence  $\{u\Gamma_j\}$  is an operator dual of  $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j\in J}$  with the corresponding invertible operator  $u^{-1}\Upsilon$ .

*Proof.* By the properties of operator duality of  $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i\in I}$  and the canonical dual \*-g-frame, we have for  $f\in\mathcal{H}$ 

$$\sum_{j \in I} \Lambda_j^* \Omega_j (\frac{1}{2} u^{-1} \Upsilon) f = \sum_{j \in I} [\Lambda_j^* u \Gamma_j (\frac{1}{2} u^{-1} \Upsilon) + \Lambda_j^* u \widetilde{\Lambda}_j \Upsilon^{-1} (\frac{1}{2} u^{-1} \Upsilon)] f = \frac{1}{2} f + \frac{1}{2} f = f.$$

The equality shows that  $\{\Omega_j\}_{j\in J}$  is an operator dual with the corresponding invertible operator  $\frac{1}{2}u^{-1}\Upsilon$ . The proof of the last part is similarly.  $\square$ 

In more, we mention that the operator duality relation of \*-g-frames is symmetric. It is considered in the next remark.

**Remark 5.7.** If  $\{(\Gamma_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  is an operator dual for  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  with the corresponding invertible operator  $\Upsilon$ , then  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  is an operator dual for  $\{(\Gamma_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  with the corresponding invertible operator  $\Upsilon^*$ . For see this, assume that  $\Theta_{\Lambda}$  and  $\Theta_{\Gamma}$  are the pre-\*-g-frame operators of  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  and  $\{(\Gamma_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$ , respectively, and I is identity operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . By the definition of operator dual,

$$f = \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{j}^{*} \Gamma_{j} \Upsilon f, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}, \Longrightarrow I = (\Theta_{\Lambda}^{*} \Theta_{\Gamma}) \Upsilon$$

Since  $\Upsilon$  is invertible,  $\Upsilon^{-1} = \Theta^*_{\Lambda} \Theta_{\Gamma}$  and

$$I = \Upsilon(\Theta_{\Lambda}^* \Theta_{\Gamma}) = (\Theta_{\Gamma}^* \Theta_{\Lambda}) \Upsilon \Longrightarrow f = \sum_{i \in I} \Gamma_{j}^* \Lambda_{j} \Upsilon^* f \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The last remark concludes  $f = \sum_{j \in J} \Gamma_j^* \Lambda_j \Upsilon f = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* \Gamma_j \Upsilon f$ , for  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ . Now, if  $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in J}$  is a \*-*g*-frame with bounds *A* and *B* and  $\Upsilon$  is an invertible and adjointable operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ , then  $\{\Gamma_j \Upsilon\}_{j \in J}$  is a \*-*g*-frame because

$$\sum_{j\in J} \langle \Gamma_j \Upsilon f, \Gamma_j \Upsilon f \rangle \le B \|\Upsilon\| \langle f, f \rangle B^* \|\Upsilon\|,$$

and

$$\sum_{j\in I} \langle \Gamma_j \Upsilon f, \Gamma_j \Upsilon f \rangle \geq A \langle \Upsilon^* \Upsilon f, f \rangle A^* \geq A \| (\Upsilon^* \Upsilon)^{-1} \|^{-1/2} \langle f, f \rangle A^* \| (\Upsilon^* \Upsilon)^{-1} \|^{-1/2}.$$

Therefore,  $\{\Gamma_j \Upsilon\}_{j \in J}$  is an ordinary dual for  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$ , and it seems that generalized duals of  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  are not different with ordinary duals. But since the form of them are different, we characterize the all of generalized duals of a given \*-g-frame. For ordinary case, it is enough that  $\Upsilon = I$  in the following results. Later, the operator duals of a given \*-g-frame are studied. By Remark 5.7, we have  $I = \Theta_{\Lambda}^* \Theta_{\Gamma} \Upsilon = \Theta_{\Gamma}^* \Theta_{\Lambda} \Upsilon^*$ . Then  $\{(\Gamma_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  is an operator dual of  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  if and only if  $\Theta_{\Gamma}$  is a right inverse of  $\Upsilon \Theta_{\Lambda}^*$ . Therefore, to characterize all of the operator duals of  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$ , we must study all of the right inverses of  $\Upsilon \Theta_{\Lambda}^*$ . The following proposition considers this subject.

**Proposition 5.8.** Let  $\Lambda = \{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  be a \*-g-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with the pre-\*-frame operator  $\Theta_{\Lambda}$  and the \*-g-frame operator S. If  $\Upsilon$  is an invertible element in  $B_*(\mathcal{H})$ , the set of all of right inverses of  $\Upsilon\Theta_{\Lambda}^*$  is

$$\{\Theta_{\Lambda}S^{-1}\Upsilon^{-1}+(I-\Theta_{\Lambda}S^{-1}\Theta_{\Lambda}^{*})\xi\ ;\ \xi\in B_{*}(\mathcal{H},\oplus_{j\in J}\mathcal{K}_{j})\}.$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $\xi$  is an arbitrary element in  $B_*(\mathcal{H}, \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{K}_i)$ . We have

$$\begin{split} \Upsilon\Theta_{\Lambda}^*[\Theta_{\Lambda}S^{-1}\Upsilon^{-1} + (I - \Theta_{\Lambda}S^{-1}\Theta_{\Lambda}^*)\xi] &= \Upsilon\Theta_{\Lambda}^*\Theta_{\Lambda}S^{-1}\Upsilon^{-1} + \Upsilon\Theta_{\Lambda}^*\xi - \Upsilon\Theta_{\Lambda}^*\Theta_{\Lambda}S^{-1}\Theta_{\Lambda}^*\xi \\ &= \Upsilon SS^{-1}\Upsilon^{-1} + \Upsilon\Theta_{\Lambda}^*\xi - \Upsilon SS^{-1}\Theta_{\Lambda}^*\xi = I + \Upsilon\Theta_{\Lambda}^*\xi - \Upsilon\Theta_{\Lambda}^*\xi = I. \end{split}$$

Now, if  $\Phi$  is an arbitrary right inverse of  $\Upsilon\Theta_{\Lambda}^*$ , then it is enough that set  $\xi = \Phi$  and the proof of the proposition is complete.  $\square$ 

Considering an arbitrary right inverse of the operator  $\Upsilon\Theta^*_{\Lambda'}$  we obtain an operator dual corresponding it. The following proposition illustrates this fact.

**Proposition 5.9.** Let  $\Lambda = \{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  be a \*-g-frame in  $\mathcal{H}$  with the pre-\*-g-frame operator  $\Theta_{\Lambda}$ . If  $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \to \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathcal{K}_j$  is any adjointable right inverse of  $\Upsilon \Theta_{\Lambda}^*$ , then  $\{(\pi_j \Phi, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  is an operator dual of  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  with the corresponding invertible operator  $\Upsilon$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.6, the sequence  $\{(\pi_j\Phi)\}_{j\in J}$  is a \*-*g*-Bessel sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Also, since  $\Phi^*(\Upsilon\Theta_{\Lambda}^*)^* = I$ ,  $\Phi^*$  is surjective and for  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\|(\Phi^*\Phi)^{-1}\|^{-1}\langle f, f\rangle \leq \langle \Phi f, \Phi f\rangle = \sum_{j\in J} \langle (\pi_j \Phi)f, (\pi_j \Phi)f\rangle,$$

and we have  $\{(\pi_j\Phi,\mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j\in J}$  is a \*-*g*-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with pre-\*-*g*-frame operator  $\Phi$ . Moreover, from  $I=\Phi^*(\Theta_\Lambda\Upsilon^*)$  obtain  $f=\sum_{j\in J}(\pi_j\Phi)\Lambda_j\Upsilon^*(f)$ , for  $f\in\mathcal{H}$ . It means that  $\{(\pi_j\Phi,\mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j\in J}$  is an operator dual for  $\{(\Lambda_j,\mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j\in J}$  with the corresponding invertible operator  $\Upsilon^*$ .  $\square$ 

We can summarize the results in this section in the following theorem about to characterize of the all of operator duals for a given \*-*g*-frame.

**Theorem 5.10.** Let  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j\in J}$  be a \*-g-frame in  $\mathcal{H}$  with the pre-\*-g-frame operator  $\Theta$ , the \*-g-frame operator S and the canonical dual \*-g-frame  $\{(\widetilde{\Lambda}_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j\in J}$ . Then the set of all of operator duals for  $\{(\Lambda_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j\in J}$  is of the form

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_{j}\Upsilon+\Delta_{j}-\sum_{k\in J}\widetilde{\Lambda_{j}}\Lambda_{k}^{*}\Delta_{k},$$

such that the sequence  $\{(\Delta_i, \mathcal{K}_i)\}_{i\in I}$  is a \*-g-Bessel sequence and  $\Upsilon$  is an invertible operator in  $B_*(\mathcal{H})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{(\Delta_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j\in J}$  be a \*-*g*-Bessel sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$  with the pre-\*-*g*-frame operator  $\Phi$  and let  $\Upsilon$  is an invertible operator in  $B_*(\mathcal{H})$ . Set

$$\xi_j = \widetilde{\Lambda}_j \Upsilon + \Delta_j - \sum_{k \in I} \widetilde{\Lambda}_j \Lambda_k^* \Delta_k,$$

for  $j \in I$ , and define the linear operator

$$\Xi: \mathcal{H} \to \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{K}_i$$
, by  $\Xi f = (\xi_i f)_{i \in I}$ .

Clearly,  $\Xi$  is adjointable. For every  $j \in J$ , we have

$$\pi_j\Xi=\Lambda_jS^{-1}\Upsilon+\Delta_j-\Lambda_jS^{-1}\sum_{k\in I}\Lambda_k^*\Delta_k=\pi_j(\Theta S^{-1}\Upsilon+\Phi-\Theta S^{-1}\Theta^*\Phi).$$

Then  $\Xi = \Theta S^{-1} \Upsilon + (I - \Theta S^{-1} \Theta^*) \Phi$ . By Proposition 5.8 and Proposition 5.9,  $\{(\xi_j, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in J}$  becomes an operator dual \*-g-frame of  $\{(\Lambda_i, \mathcal{K}_i)\}_{i \in I}$  with the corresponding invertible operator  $\Upsilon^{-1}$ .  $\square$ 

### Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank Prof. M. A. Dehghan for his valuable comments and suggestions. The author also would like to express her sincere gratitude to anonymous referees for their helpful comments and recommendations which improved the quality of the paper.

The author is supported by a research grant from Vali-e-Asr University of Research Office.

#### References

- [1] A. Alijani, M. A. Dehghan, G-frames and their duals in Hilbert C\*-modules, Ab. B. Iran. Math. Soc., 38 (3) (2011), 567–580.
- [2] A. Alijani, M. A. Dehghan, \*-frames in Hilbert C\*-modules, U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A, 73 (4) (2011), 89–106.
  [3] M. A. Dehghan, M. A. Hasankhani Fard, G-dual frames in Hilbert spaces, U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A, 75 (1) (2013), 129–140.
- [4] M. Frank, D. R. Larson, Frames in Hilbert C\*-modules and C\*-algebra, J. Operator theory, 48 (2002), 273-314.
- [5] A. Khosravi, B. Khosravi, Fusion frames and q-frames in Hilbert C\*-modules, int. J. Wavelet. Multiresolution and information processing, 6 (3) (2008), 433-446.
- [6] E. C. Lance, Hilbert C\*-Modules, A Toolkit for Operator Algebraists, University of Leeds, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [7] B. Magajna, Hilbert C\*-modules in which all closed submodules are complemented, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 125 (3) (1997),
- [8] V. M. Manuilov, Adjointability of operators on Hilbert C\*-modules, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, LXV (2) (1996), 161–169.
- [9] N. E. Wegge Olsen, K-Theory and C\*-Algebras, A Friendly Approch, Oxford University Press, Oxford, England, 1993.
- [10] W. Sun, G-frames and g-Riesz bases, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 322 (2006) 437–452.
- [11] X. Xiao, X. Zeng, Some properties of g-frames in Hilbert C\*-modules, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 363 (2010) 399–408.