



On Zweier I-Convergent Double Sequence Spaces

Vakeel A. Khan^a, Nazneen Khan^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202002 (INDIA)

Abstract. In this article we introduce the Zweier I-convergent double sequence spaces ${}_2Z^I$, ${}_2Z_0^I$ and ${}_2Z_\infty^I$. We prove the decomposition theorem and study topological properties, algebraic properties and some inclusion relations on these spaces.

1. Introduction

Let N , R and C be the sets of all natural, real and complex numbers respectively. We write

$${}_2\omega = \{x = (x_{ij}) : x_{ij} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C}\},$$

the space of all real or complex sequences.

Let ℓ_∞ , c and c_0 denote the Banach spaces of bounded, convergent and null sequences respectively normed by $\|x\|_\infty = \sup_k |x_k|$.

At the initial stage the notion of I-convergence was introduced by Kostyrko, Šalát and Wilczyński [1]. Later on it was studied by Šalát, Tripathy and Ziman [2], Demirci [3] and many others. I-convergence is a generalization of Statistical Convergence.

Now we have a list of some basic definitions used in the paper .

Definition 1.1. [4,5] Let X be a non empty set. Then a family of sets $I \subseteq 2^X$ denoting the power set of X is said to be an ideal in X if

- (i) $\emptyset \in I$
- (ii) I is additive i.e $A, B \in I \Rightarrow A \cup B \in I$.
- (iii) I is hereditary i.e $A \in I, B \subseteq A \Rightarrow B \in I$.

An Ideal $I \subseteq 2^X$ is called non-trivial if $I \neq 2^X$. A non-trivial ideal $I \subseteq 2^X$ is called admissible if $\{\{x\} : x \in X\} \subseteq I$. A non-trivial ideal I is maximal if there cannot exist any non-trivial ideal $J \neq I$ containing I as a subset. For each ideal I , there is a filter $\mathcal{L}(I)$ corresponding to I . i.e

$$\mathcal{L}(I) = \{K \subseteq N : K^c \in I\}, \text{ where } K^c = N - K.$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 40C05; 46A45, 46E30, 46E40, 46B20

Keywords. Ideal, filter, I-convergence field, I-convergent, monotone and solid double sequence spaces, Lipschitz function

Received: 03 April 2014 ; Accepted: 30 November 2014

Communicated by Hari M. Srivastava

Email addresses: vakhanmaths@gmail.com (Vakeel A. Khan), nazneenmaths@gmail.com (Nazneen Khan)

Definition 1.2. A double sequence of complex numbers is defined as a function $x : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We denote a double sequence as (x_{ij}) , where the two subscripts run through the sequence of natural numbers independent of each other. A number $a \in \mathbb{C}$ is called a double limit of a double sequence (x_{ij}) if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists some $N = N(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|(x_{ij}) - a| < \epsilon, \quad \text{for all } i, j \geq N \text{ (see [6, 7, 8])}$$

Definition 1.3.[7] A double sequence $(x_{ij}) \in {}_2\omega$ is said to be I-convergent to a number L if for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\{i, j \in \mathbb{N} : |x_{ij} - L| \geq \epsilon\} \in I.$$

In this case we write $I - \lim x_{ij} = L$.

Definition 1.4.[7] A double sequence $(x_{ij}) \in {}_2\omega$ is said to be I-null if $L = 0$. In this case we write

$$I - \lim x_{ij} = 0.$$

Definition 1.5. [7] A double sequence $(x_{ij}) \in {}_2\omega$ is said to be I-cauchy if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist numbers $m = m(\epsilon), n = n(\epsilon)$ such that

$$\{i, j \in \mathbb{N} : |x_{ij} - x_{mn}| \geq \epsilon\} \in I.$$

Definition 1.6.[7] A double sequence $(x_{ij}) \in {}_2\omega$ is said to be I-bounded if there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\{i, j \in \mathbb{N} : |x_{ij}| > M\}.$$

Definition 1.7.[7] A double sequence space E is said to be solid or normal if $(x_{ij}) \in E$ implies $(\alpha_{ij}x_{ij}) \in E$ for all sequence of scalars (α_{ij}) with $|\alpha_{ij}| < 1$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 1.8.[7] A double sequence space E is said to be monotone if it contains the canonical preimages of its stepspaces.

Definition 1.9.[7] A double sequence space E is said to be convergence free if $(y_{ij}) \in E$ whenever $(x_{ij}) \in E$ and $x_{ij} = 0$ implies $y_{ij} = 0$.

Definition 1.10.[7] A double sequence space E is said to be a sequence algebra if $(x_{ij} \cdot y_{ij}) \in E$ whenever $(x_{ij}), (y_{ij}) \in E$.

Definition 1.11.[7] A double sequence space E is said to be symmetric if $(x_{ij}) \in E$ implies $(x_{\pi(ij)}) \in E$, where π is a permutation on N .

A sequence space $\lambda \subset \omega$ with linear topology is called a K-space provided each of maps $p_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $p_i(x) = x_i$ is continuous for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let λ and μ be two sequence spaces and $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix of real or complex numbers a_{nk} , where $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we say that A defines a matrix mapping from λ to μ , and we denote it by writing $A : \lambda \rightarrow \mu$.

If for every sequence $x = (x_k) \in \lambda$ the sequence $Ax = \{(Ax)_n\}$, the A transform of x is in μ , where

$$(Ax)_n = \sum_k a_{nk}x_k, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1)$$

By $(\lambda : \mu)$, we denote the class of matrices A such that $A : \lambda \rightarrow \mu$.

Thus, $A \in (\lambda : \mu)$ if and only if series on the right side of (1) converges for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $x \in \lambda$. (see[9]).

The approach of constructing the new sequence spaces by means of the matrix domain of a particular limitation method have been recently studied by Başar and Altay[10], Malkowsky[11], Ng and Lee[12] and

Wang[13], Başar, Altay and Mursaleen[14]. For more information one can refer [15,16,17] Şengönül[18] defined the sequence $y = (y_i)$ which is frequently used as the Z^p transform of the sequence $x = (x_i)$ i.e,

$$y_i = px_i + (1 - p)x_{i-1}$$

where $x_{-1} = 0, p \neq 1, 1 < p < \infty$ and Z^p denotes the matrix $Z^p = (z_{ik})$ defined by

$$z_{ik} = \begin{cases} p, (i = k), \\ 1 - p, (i - 1 = k); (i, k \in N), \\ 0, \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Following Basar, and Altay [10], Şengönül[18] introduced the Zweier sequence spaces \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{Z}_0 as follows

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : Z^p x \in c\}$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_0 = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : Z^p x \in c_0\}.$$

The following Lemmas will be used for establishing some results of this article.

Lemma 1.12. A sequence space E is solid implies that E is monotone. (See [19,20])

Lemma 1.13. Let $K \in \mathcal{L}(I)$ and $M \subseteq N$. If $M \notin I$, then $M \cap K \notin I$. (See [19,20])

Lemma 1.14. If $I \subset 2^N$ and $M \subseteq N$. If $M \notin I$, then $M \cap K \notin I$. (See [21,22,23])

Main Results

In this article we introduce the following classes of sequence spaces.

$${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I = \{x = (x_{ij}) \in {}_2\omega : \{(i, j) \in N \times N : I - \lim Z^p x = L \text{ for some } L\} \in I\}$$

$${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I = \{x = (x_{ij}) \in {}_2\omega : \{(i, j) \in N \times N : I - \lim Z^p x = 0\} \in I\}$$

$${}_2\mathcal{Z}_\infty^I = \{x = (x_{ij}) \in {}_2\omega : \{(i, j) \in N \times N : \sup_{i,j} |Z^p x| < \infty\} \in I\}$$

We also denote by

$${}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I = {}_2\mathcal{Z}_\infty \cap {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I \text{ and } {}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^I = {}_2\mathcal{Z}_\infty \cap {}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I$$

Theorem 2.1. The classes of sequences ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I, {}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I, {}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I$ and ${}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^I$ are linear spaces.

Proof. We shall prove the result for the space ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$.

The proof for the other spaces will follow similarly.

Let $(x_{ij}), (y_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$ and let α, β be scalars. Then

$$I - \lim |x_{ij} - L_1| = 0, \text{ for some } L_1 \in C ;$$

$$I - \lim |y_{ij} - L_2| = 0, \text{ for some } L_2 \in C ;$$

That is for a given $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$A_1 = \{(i, j) \in N \times N : |x_{ij} - L_1| > \frac{\epsilon}{2}\} \in I, \tag{1}$$

$$A_2 = \{(i, j) \in N \times N : |y_{ij} - L_2| > \frac{\epsilon}{2}\} \in I. \tag{2}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(\alpha x_{ij} + \beta y_{ij}) - (\alpha L_1 + \beta L_2)| &\leq |\alpha|(|x_{ij} - L_1|) + |\beta|(|y_{ij} - L_2|) \\
 &\leq |x_{ij} - L_1| + |y_{ij} - L_2|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, by (1) and (2),

$$\{(i, j) \in N \times N : |(\alpha x_{ij} + \beta y_{ij}) - (\alpha L_1 + \beta L_2)| > \epsilon\} \subset A_1 \cup A_2.$$

Therefore $(\alpha x_{ij} + \beta y_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$. Hence ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$ is a linear space.

We state the following result without proof in view of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.2. The spaces ${}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I$ and ${}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^I$ are normed linear spaces, normed by

$$\|x_{ij}\|_* = \sup_{i,j} |x_{ij}|. \tag{3}$$

Theorem 2.3. A sequence $x = (x_{ij}) \in {}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I$ I-converges if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N_\epsilon = (m, n) \in N \times N$ such that

$$\{(i, j) \in N \times N : |x_{ij} - x_{N_\epsilon}| < \epsilon\} \in {}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I \tag{4}$$

Proof. Suppose that $L = I - \lim x$. Then

$$B_\epsilon = \{(i, j) \in N \times N : |x_{ij} - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}\} \in {}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

Fix an $N_\epsilon = (m, n) \in B_\epsilon$. Then we have

$$|x_{N_\epsilon} - x_{ij}| \leq |x_{N_\epsilon} - L| + |L - x_{ij}| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

which holds for all $(i, j) \in B_\epsilon$.

Hence $\{(i, j) \in N \times N : |x_{ij} - x_{N_\epsilon}| < \epsilon\} \in {}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I$.

Conversely, suppose that $\{(i, j) \in N \times N : |x_{ij} - x_{N_\epsilon}| < \epsilon\} \in {}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I$.

That is

$$\{(i, j) \in N \times N : |x_k - x_{N_\epsilon}| < \epsilon\} \in {}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$. Then the set

$$C_\epsilon = \{(i, j) \in N \times N : x_{ij} \in [x_{N_\epsilon} - \epsilon, x_{N_\epsilon} + \epsilon]\} \in {}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

Let $J_\epsilon = [x_{N_\epsilon} - \epsilon, x_{N_\epsilon} + \epsilon]$.

If we fix an $\epsilon > 0$ then we have $C_\epsilon \in {}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I$ as well as $C_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \in {}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I$.

Hence $C_\epsilon \cap C_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \in {}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I$.

This implies that

$$J = J_\epsilon \cap J_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \neq \phi$$

that is

$$\{(i, j) \in N \times N : x_{ij} \in J\} \in {}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I$$

that is

$$\text{diam} J \leq \text{diam} J_\epsilon$$

where the diam of J denotes the length of interval J.

In this way, by induction we get the sequence of closed intervals

$$J_\epsilon = I_0 \supseteq I_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq I_k \supseteq \dots$$

with the property that $diam I_k \leq \frac{1}{2} diam I_{k-1}$ for $(k=2,3,4,\dots)$ and $\{(i, j) \in N \times N : x_{ij} \in I_k\} \in m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I$ for $(k=1,2,3,4,\dots)$.

Then there exists a $\xi \in \cap I_k$ where $(i, j) \in N \times N$ such that $\xi = I - \lim x$, that is $L = I - \lim x$.

Theorem 2.4. Let I be an admissible ideal. Then the following are equivalent.

- (a) $(x_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$;
- (b) there exists $(y_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}$ such that $x_{ij} = y_{ij}$, for a.a.k.r.I;
- (c) there exists $(y_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}$ and $(z_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I$ such that $x_{ij} = y_{ij} + z_{ij}$ for all $(i, j) \in N \times N$ and

$$\{(i, j) \in N \times N : |y_{ij} - L| \geq \epsilon\} \in I;$$

- (d) there exists a subset $K = \{k_1 < k_2, \dots\}$ of N such that $K \in \mathcal{L}(I)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_{k_n} - L| = 0$.

Proof. (a) implies (b). Let $(x_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$. Then there exists $L \in C$ such that

$$\{(i, j) \in N \times N : |x_{ij} - L| \geq \epsilon\} \in I.$$

Let (m_t, n_t) be an increasing sequence with $(m_t, n_t) \in N \times N$ such that

$$\{(i, j) \leq (m_t, n_t) : |x_{ij} - L| \geq \frac{1}{t}\} \in I.$$

Define a sequence (y_{ij}) as

$$y_{ij} = x_{ij}, \text{ for all } (i, j) \leq (m_1, n_1).$$

For $(m_t, n_t) < (i, j) \leq (m_{t+1}, n_{t+1})$ for $t \in N$.

$$y_{ij} = \begin{cases} x_{ij}, & \text{if } |x_{ij} - L| < t^{-1}, \\ L, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $(y_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}$ and form the following inclusion

$$\{(i, j) \leq (m_t, n_t) : x_{ij} \neq y_{ij}\} \subseteq \{(i, j) \leq (m_t, n_t) : |x_{ij} - L| \geq \epsilon\} \in I.$$

We get $x_{ij} = y_{ij}$, for a.a.k.r.I.

- (b) implies (c). For $(x_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$, there exists $(y_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}$ such that $x_{ij} = y_{ij}$, for a.a.k.r.I. Let $K = \{(i, j) \in N \times N : x_{ij} \neq y_{ij}\}$, then $K \in I$.

Define a sequence (z_{ij}) as

$$z_{ij} = \begin{cases} x_{ij} - y_{ij}, & \text{if } (i, j) \in K, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $z_{ij} \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I$ and $y_{ij} \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}$.

- (c) implies (d). Let $P_1 = \{(i, j) \in N \times N : |z_{ij}| \geq \epsilon\} \in I$ and

$$K = P_1^c = \{(i_1, j_1) < (i_2, j_2) < \dots\} \in \mathcal{L}(I).$$

Then we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_{(i_n, j_n)} - L| = 0$.

- (d) implies (a). Let $K = \{(i_1, j_1) < (i_2, j_2) < \dots\} \in \mathcal{L}(I)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_{(i_n, j_n)} - L| = 0$.

Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, and Lemma 1.17, we have

$$\{(i, j) \in N \times N : |x_{ij} - L| \geq \epsilon\} \subseteq K^c \cup \{(i, j) \in K : |x_{ij} - L| \geq \epsilon\}.$$

Thus $(x_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$.

Theorem 2.5. The inclusions ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I \subset {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I \subset {}_2\mathcal{Z}_\infty^I$ hold and are proper.

Proof. Let $(x_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$. Then there exists $L \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$I - \lim |x_{ij} - L| = 0$$

We have $|x_{ij}| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x_{ij} - L| + \frac{1}{2}|L|$.

Taking the supremum over (i, j) on both sides we get $(x_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}_\infty^I$.

The inclusion ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I \subset {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$ is obvious. The strict inclusion is also trivial.

Theorem 2.6. The function $\tilde{h} : {}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I \rightarrow R$ is the Lipschitz function, where ${}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I = {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I \cap {}_2\mathcal{Z}_\infty$, and hence uniformly continuous.

Proof. Let $x, y \in {}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I, x \neq y$. Then the sets

$$A_x = \{(i, j) \in N \times N : |x_{ij} - \tilde{h}(x)| \geq \|x - y\|_*\} \in I,$$

$$A_y = \{(i, j) \in N \times N : |y_{ij} - \tilde{h}(y)| \geq \|x - y\|_*\} \in I.$$

Thus the sets,

$$B_x = \{(i, j) \in N \times N : |x_{ij} - \tilde{h}(x)| < \|x - y\|_*\} \in \mathcal{E}(I)$$

$$B_y = \{(i, j) \in N \times N : |y_{ij} - \tilde{h}(y)| < \|x - y\|_*\} \in \mathcal{E}(I).$$

Hence also $B = B_x \cap B_y \in \mathcal{E}(I)$, so that $B \neq \emptyset$.

Now taking (i, j) in B ,

$$|\tilde{h}(x) - \tilde{h}(y)| \leq |\tilde{h}(x) - x_{ij}| + |x_{ij} - y_{ij}| + |y_{ij} - \tilde{h}(y)| \leq 3\|x - y\|_*.$$

Thus \tilde{h} is a Lipschitz function.

For ${}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^I$ the result can be proved similarly.

Theorem 2.7. If $x, y \in {}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I$, then $(x, y) \in {}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I$ and $\tilde{h}(xy) = \tilde{h}(x)\tilde{h}(y)$.

Proof. For $\epsilon > 0$

$$B_x = \{(i, j) \in N \times N : |x - \tilde{h}(x)| < \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{E}(I),$$

$$B_y = \{(i, j) \in N \times N : |y - \tilde{h}(y)| < \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{E}(I).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} |x \cdot y - \tilde{h}(x)\tilde{h}(y)| &= |x \cdot y - x\tilde{h}(y) + x\tilde{h}(y) - \tilde{h}(x)\tilde{h}(y)| \\ &\leq |x||y - \tilde{h}(y)| + |\tilde{h}(y)||x - \tilde{h}(x)| \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

As ${}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_\infty$, there exists an $M \in R$ such that $\tilde{h}|x| < M$ and $|\tilde{h}(y)| < M$.

Using eqn(5) we get

$$|x \cdot y - \tilde{h}(x)\tilde{h}(y)| \leq M\epsilon + M\epsilon = 2M\epsilon$$

For all $(i, j) \in B_x \cap B_y \in \mathcal{E}(I)$.

Hence $(x, y) \in {}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I$ and $\tilde{h}(xy) = \tilde{h}(x)\tilde{h}(y)$.

For ${}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^I$ the result can be proved similarly.

Theorem 2.8. The spaces ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I$ and ${}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^I$ are solid and monotone .

Proof. We shall prove the result for ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I$.
Let $(x_{ij}) \in \mathcal{Z}_0^I$. Then

$$I - \lim_k |x_{ij}| = 0 \tag{6}$$

Let (α_{ij}) be a sequence of scalars with $|\alpha_{ij}| \leq 1$ for all $(i, j) \in N \times N$. Then the result follows from (6) and the following inequality

$$|\alpha_{ij}x_{ij}| \leq |\alpha_{ij}||x_{ij}| \leq |x_{ij}| \text{ for all } (i, j) \in N \times N.$$

That the space ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I$ is monotone follows from the Lemma 1.16.

For ${}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}_0^I}^I$ the result can be proved similarly.

Theorem 2.9. If I is not maximal, then the space ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$ is neither solid nor monotone.

Proof. Here we give a counter example. Let $(x_{ij}) = 1$ for all $(i, j) \in N \times N$. Then $(x_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$. Let $K \subseteq N \times N$ be such that $K \notin I$ and $N \times N - K \notin I$

Define the sequence

$$(y_{ij}) = \begin{cases} (x_{ij}), & \text{if } (i, j) \in K, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then (y_{ij}) belongs to the canonical preimage of K -step space of ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$ but $(y_{ij}) \notin {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$.

Hence ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$ is not monotone.

Theorem 2.10. The spaces ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$ and ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I$ are sequence algebras.

Proof. We prove that ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I$ is a sequence algebra.

Let $(x_{ij}), (y_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I$. Then

$$I - \lim |x_{ij}| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad I - \lim |y_{ij}| = 0$$

Then we have $I - \lim |(x_{ij} \cdot y_{ij})| = 0$. Thus $(x_{ij} \cdot y_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I$

Hence ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I$ is a sequence algebra.

For the space ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$, the result can be proved similarly.

Theorem 2.11. The spaces ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$ and ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I$ are not convergence free in general.

Proof. Here we give a counter example. Let $I = I_f$. Consider the sequence (x_{ij}) and (y_{ij}) defined by

$$x_{ij} = \frac{1}{i \cdot j} \quad \text{and} \quad y_{ij} = i \cdot j \quad \text{for all } (i, j) \in N \times N$$

Then $(x_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$ and ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I$, but $(y_{ij}) \notin {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$ and ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I$.

Hence the spaces ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$ and ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I$ are not convergence free.

Theorem 2.12. If I is not maximal and $I \neq I_f$, then the spaces ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$ and ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I$ are not symmetric.

Proof. Let $A \in I$ be infinite. If

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } i, j \in A, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $x_{ij} \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I \subset {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$

let $K \subset N$ be such that $K \notin I$ and $N - K \notin I$. Let $\phi : K \rightarrow A$ and $\psi : N - K \rightarrow N - A$ be bijections, then the map $\pi : N \rightarrow N$ defined by

$$\pi(k) = \begin{cases} \phi(k), & \text{for } k \in K, \\ \psi(k), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

is a permutation on N , but $x_{(\pi(m)\pi(n))} \notin \mathcal{Z}^I$ and $x_{(\pi(m)\pi(n))} \notin {}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I$. Hence ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$ and ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I$ are not symmetric.

Theorem 2.13. The sequence spaces ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$ and ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I$ are linearly isomorphic to the spaces ${}_2c^I$ and ${}_2c_0^I$ respectively, i.e ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I \cong {}_2c^I$ and ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I \cong {}_2c_0^I$.

Proof. We shall prove the result for the space ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$ and ${}_2c^I$. The proof for the other spaces will follow similarly. We need to show that there exists a linear bijection between the spaces ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$ and c^I . Define a map $T : {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I \rightarrow {}_2c^I$ such that $x \rightarrow x' = Tx$

$$T(x_{ij}) = px_{ij} + (1 - p)x_{(i-1)(j-1)} = x'_{ij}$$

where $x_{-1} = 0, p \neq 1, 1 < p < \infty$. Clearly T is linear. Further, it is trivial that $x = 0 = (0, 0, 0, \dots)$ whenever $Tx = 0$ and hence injective. Let $x'_{ij} \in {}_2c^I$ and define the sequence $x = x_{ij}$ by

$$x_{ij} = M \sum_{r=0}^i \sum_{s=0}^j (-1)^{(i-r)(j-s)} N^{(i-r)(j-s)} x'_{ij}$$

for $(i, j) \in N \times N$ and where $M = \frac{1}{p}$ and $N = \frac{1-p}{p}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{(i,j) \rightarrow \infty} px_{ij} + (1 - p)x_{(i-1)(j-1)} &= p \lim_{(i,j) \rightarrow \infty} M \sum_{r=0}^i \sum_{s=0}^j (-1)^{(i-r)(j-s)} N^{(i-r)(j-s)} x'_{ij} \\ &+ (1 - p) \lim_{(i,j) \rightarrow \infty} M \sum_{r=0}^{i-1} \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} (-1)^{(i-1-r)(j-1-s)} N^{(i-1-r)(j-1-s)} x'_{(i-1)(j-1)} \\ &= \lim_{(i,j) \rightarrow \infty} x'_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $x \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I$. Hence T is a linear bijection. Also we have $\|x\|_* = \|Z^p x\|_c$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_* &= \sup_{(i,j) \in N \times N} |px_{ij} + (1 - p)x_{(i-1)(j-1)}| \\ &= \sup_{(i,j) \in N \times N} |pM \sum_{r=0}^i \sum_{s=0}^j (-1)^{(i-r)(j-s)} N^{(i-r)(j-s)} x'_{ij} \\ &+ (1 - p)M \sum_{r=0}^{i-1} \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} (-1)^{(i-1-r)(j-1-s)} N^{(i-1-r)(j-1-s)} x'_{(i-1)(j-1)}| \\ &= \sup_{(i,j) \in N \times N} |x'_{ij}| = \|x'\|_{{}_2c^I}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I \cong {}_2c^I$.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to record their gratitude to the reviewer for his careful reading and making some useful corrections which improved the presentation of the paper.

References

- [1] P.Kostyrko, T.Šalát, W.Wilczyński, I-convergence, *Real Anal. Exch.* 26(2) 669-686(2000).
- [2] T.Šalát, B.C.Tripathy, M.Ziman, On some properties of I-convergence, *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.*, (28)279-286(2004).
- [3] K.Demirci, I-limit superior and limit inferior, *Math. Commun.*, 6: 165-172(2001).
- [4] P.Das, P.Kostyrko, P.Malik, W. Wilczyński, I and I*-Convergence of Double Sequences, *Mathematica Slovaca*, 58,605-620,(2008).
- [5] B.C.Tripathy and B.Hazarika, Paranorm I-Convergent sequence spaces, *Math. Slovaca*, 59(4)485-494.(2009).
- [6] M.Gurdal, Ş.Ahmet, Extremal I-Limit Points of Double sequences, *Applied Mathematics E-Notes*, 8,131-137,(2008).
- [7] V.A.Khan and N.Khan, On a new I-convergent double sequence spaces, *International Journal of Analysis*, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Article ID-126163 Vol 2013,1-7(2013).
- [8] V.A.Khan and S.Tabassum, On Some New double sequence spaces of Invariant Means defined by Orlicz function, *Communications Fac. Sci.* 60,11-21 (2011).
- [9] V.A.Khan and K. Ebadullah, On Zweier I-convergent sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions, *Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics*, Universidad Catolica del Norte Antofagasta-Chile(CHILE), Vol. 33, No.3, 259-276 (2014).
- [10] F.Başar and B.Altay, On the spaces of sequences of p-bounded variation and related matrix mappings, *Ukrainian Math. J.* 55.(2003).
- [11] E.Malkowsky, Recent results in the theory of matrix transformation in sequence spaces, *Math. Vesnik.* (49)187-196(1997).
- [12] P.N.Ng and P.Y.Lee, Cesaro sequence spaces of non-absolute type, *Comment. Math. Prace. Mat.* 20(2)429-433(1978).
- [13] C.S.Wang, On Nörlund sequence spaces, *Tamkang J. Math.* (9)269-274(1978).
- [14] B.Altay, F.Başar, and M.Mursaleen, On the Euler sequence space which include the spaces l_p and l_∞ , *Inform. Sci.*, 176(10)(2006), 1450-1462.
- [15] N.L. Braha, H.M.Srivastava and S.A.Mohiuddine, A Korovin's type approximation theorem for periodic functions via the statistical summability of the generalized de la Vallée Poussin mean, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 228 (2014), 162-169.
- [16] M. Mursaleen, A.Khan and H.M.Srivastava, Operators constructed by means of q -Lagrange polynomials and A -statistical approximation, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 219 (2013), 6911-6918.
- [17] H.M.Srivastava, M. Mursaleen and A.Khan, Generalized equi-statistical convergence of positive linear operators and associated approximation theorems, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 55 (2012) 2040-2051.
- [18] M.Şengönül, On The Zweier Sequence Space, *Demonstratio Math.* Vol.XL No.(1)181-196(2007).
- [19] V.A.Khan and N.Khan, On some I- Convergent double sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 4(2), 1-8, (2013).
- [20] V.A.Khan and N.Khan, On some I- Convergent double sequence spaces defined by a modulus function, *Engineering, Scientific Research*, 5, 35-40, (2013).
- [21] V.A.Khan and N.Khan, I-Pre-Cauchy Double Sequences and Orlicz Functions, *Engineering, Scientific Research*, 5, 52-56, (2013).
- [22] V.A.Khan and K.Ebadullah, Ayhan Esi, N. Khan, M.Shafiq, On Paranorm Zweier I-Convergent Sequence Spaces, *Journal of Mathematics*, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Vol 2013, Article ID 613501, 1-6(2013).
- [23] V.A.Khan and K.Ebadullah, The Sequence Space $BV_0^p(p)$, *Filomat(SERBIA)* DOI 10.2298/FIL1404829K 28:4 (2014), 829 - 838.