



## New type of Lacunary Orlicz Difference Sequence Spaces Generated By Infinite Matrices

Ayhan Esi<sup>a</sup>, Bipan Hazarika<sup>b</sup>, Ayten Esi<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Adiyaman University, Department of Mathematics, 02040, Adiyaman, Turkey

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Rajiv Gandhi University, Rono Hills, Doimukh-791112, Arunachal Pradesh, India

**Abstract.** The main purpose of this paper is to introduce the spaces  $\widehat{w}_\theta^p [A, M, \Delta, p]$ ,  $\widehat{w}_\theta [A, M, \Delta, p]$  and  $\widehat{w}_\theta^\infty [A, M, \Delta, p]$  generated by infinite matrices defined by Orlicz functions. Some properties of these spaces are discussed. Also we introduce the concept of  $\widehat{S}_\theta [A, \Delta]$  –statistical convergence and derive some results between the spaces  $\widehat{S}_\theta [A, \Delta]$  and  $\widehat{w}_\theta [A, \Delta]$ . Further, we study some geometrical properties such as order continuous, the Fatou property and the Banach-Saks property of the new space  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta, p]$ . Finally, we introduce the notion of  $\widehat{S}_\theta^\alpha [A, \Delta]$  –statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$  of real number sequences and obtain some inclusion relations between the set of  $\widehat{S} [A, \Delta]$  –statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $p = (p_k)$  be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers. If  $H = \sup_k p_k < \infty$ , then for any complex numbers  $a_k$  and  $b_k$

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq C (|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k}) \quad (1)$$

where  $C = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$ . Also, for any complex number  $\alpha$ , (see [18])

$$|\alpha|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |\alpha|^H). \quad (2)$$

We denote  $w, \ell_\infty, c$  and  $c_0$ , for the spaces of all, bounded, convergent, null sequences, respectively. Also, by  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_p$ , we denote the spaces of all absolutely summable and  $p$ -absolutely summable series, respectively. Recall that a sequence  $(x(i))_{i=1}^\infty$  in a Banach space  $X$  is called *Schauder* (or *basis*) of  $X$  if for each  $x \in X$  there exists a unique sequence  $(a(i))_{i=1}^\infty$  of scalars such that  $x = \sum_{i=1}^\infty a(i)x(i)$ , i.e.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n a(i)x(i) = x$ . A sequence space  $X$  with a linear topology is called a *K-space* if each of the projection maps  $P_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $P_i(x) = x(i)$  for  $x = (x(i))_{i=1}^\infty \in X$  is continuous for each natural  $i$ . A *Fréchet space* is a complete metric linear space and the metric is generated by a *F-norm* and a Fréchet space which is a *K-space* is called an *FK-space* i.e. a *K-space*  $X$  is called an *FK-space* if  $X$  is a complete linear metric space. In other words,  $X$  is an *FK-space* if  $X$  is a Fréchet space with continuous coordinatewise projections. All the sequence spaces mentioned above

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 40A05, 40C05, 46A45.

*Keywords.* Lacunary sequence, infinite matrix, Orlicz function, statistical convergence

Received: 04 September 2014; Accepted: 08 July 2015

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

*Email addresses:* aesi23@hotmail.com (Ayhan Esi), bh\_rgu@yahoo.co.in (Bipan Hazarika), aytenesi@yahoo.com (Ayten Esi)

are FK-space except the space  $c_{00}$ . An FK-space  $X$  which contains the space  $c_{00}$  is said to have the *property AK* if for every sequence  $(x(i))_{i=1}^{\infty} \in X, x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x(i)e(i)$  where  $e(i) = (0, 0, \dots, 1^{i^{\text{th place}}, 0, 0, \dots)$ .

A Banach space  $X$  is said to be a *Köthe sequence space* if  $X$  is a subspace of  $w$  such that

- (a) if  $x \in w, y \in X$  and  $|x(i)| \leq |y(i)|$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x \in X$  and  $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$
- (b) there exists an element  $x \in X$  such that  $x(i) > 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We say that  $x \in X$  is *order continuous* if for any sequence  $(x_n) \in X$  such that  $x_n(i) \leq |x(i)|$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_n(i) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  holds.

A Köthe sequence space  $X$  is said to be *order continuous* if all sequences in  $X$  are order continuous. It is easy to see that  $x \in X$  order continuous if and only if  $\|(0, 0, \dots, 0, x(n+1), x(n+2), \dots)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

A Köthe sequence space  $X$  is said to have the *Fatou property* if for any real sequence  $x$  and  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  such that  $x_n \uparrow x$  coordinatewisely and  $\sup_n \|x_n\| < \infty$ , we have that  $x \in X$  and  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

A Banach space  $X$  is said to have the *Banach-Saks property* if every bounded sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  admits a subsequence  $(z_n)$  such that the sequence  $(t_k(z))$  is convergent in  $X$  with respect to the norm, where

$$t_k(z) = \frac{z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_k}{k} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Some of works on geometric properties of sequence space can be found in [1, 2, 16, 19].

An *Orlicz function*  $M$  is a function  $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  which is continuous, convex, nondecreasing function such that  $M(0) = 0, M(x) > 0$  for  $x > 0$  and  $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . If convexity of Orlicz function is replaced by  $M(x + y) \leq M(x) + M(y)$  then this function is called the *modulus function* and characterized by Nakano [20], followed by Ruckle [24]. An Orlicz function  $M$  is said to satisfy  $\Delta_2$ -condition for all values  $u$ , if there exists  $K > 0$  such that  $M(2u) \leq KM(u), u \geq 0$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *An Orlicz function satisfies the inequality  $M(\lambda x) \leq \lambda M(x)$  for all  $\lambda$  with  $0 < \lambda < 1$ .*

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [17] used the idea of Orlicz function to construct the sequence space

$$l_M = \left\{ (x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{r}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } r > 0 \right\},$$

which is a Banach space normed by

$$\|(x_k)\| = \inf \left\{ r > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{r}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The space  $l_M$  is closely related to the space  $l_p$ , which is an Orlicz sequence space with  $M(x) = |x|^p$ , for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

In the later stage, different Orlicz sequence spaces were introduced and studied by Esi [3, 4, 6], Esi and Et [5], Güngör and Et [15], Parashar and Choudhary [22], Tripathy and Mahanta [26], Tripathy and Hazarika [27], and many others.

### 2. Classes of Lacunary Orlicz Difference Sequences

The strongly almost summable sequence spaces were introduced and studied by Maddox [18], Nanda [21], Güngör et al., [12], Esi [7], Gungor and Et [15] and many authors. For matrix maps on sequence spaces we refer to [23] and for difference sequence spaces we refer to [28–31] and references therein.

By lacunary sequence we mean an increasing sequence  $\theta = (k_r)$  of positive integers satisfying;  $k_0 = 0$  and  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . We denote the intervals, which  $\theta$  determines, by  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ . Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be an infinite matrix of non-negative real numbers with all rows are linearly independent for all  $i, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and  $B_{kn}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ki}x_{n+i}$  if the series converges for each  $k$  and  $n$ . Now we define the following sequence spaces. Let  $M$  be an Orlicz function,  $p = (p_k)$  be a sequence of positive real numbers and  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence, and for  $\rho > 0$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{w}_\theta^o [A, M, \Delta, p] &= \left\{ x \in w : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0, \text{ uniformly on } n \right\}, \\ \widehat{w}_\theta [A, M, \Delta, p] &= \left\{ x \in w : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0, \text{ for some } L, \text{ uniformly on } n \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\widehat{w}_\theta^\infty [A, M, \Delta, p] = \left\{ x \in w : \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty, \text{ uniformly on } n \right\},$$

where  $\Delta B_{kn}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_{ki} - a_{k+1,i}) x_{n+i}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** For any Orlicz function  $M$  and a bounded sequence  $p = (p_k)$  of positive real numbers,  $\widehat{w}_\theta^o [A, M, \Delta, p]$ ,  $\widehat{w}_\theta [A, M, \Delta, p]$  and  $\widehat{w}_\theta^\infty [A, M, \Delta, p]$  are linear spaces over the set of complex field.

*Proof.* We give the proof only for the space  $\widehat{w}_\theta^o [A, M, \Delta, p]$  and for other spaces follow by applying similar method. Let  $x = (x_k), y = (y_k) \in \widehat{w}_\theta^o [A, M, \Delta, p]$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then there exist  $\rho_1 > 0$  and  $\rho_2 > 0$  such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x)|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(y)|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0.$$

Define  $\rho_3 = \max \{2|\alpha|\rho_1, 2|\beta|\rho_2\}$ . Since the operator  $\Delta B_{kn}$  is linear and  $M$  is non-decreasing and convex, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(\alpha x + \beta y)|}{\rho_3} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\alpha \Delta B_{kn}(x) + \beta \Delta B_{kn}(y)|}{\rho_3} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\alpha \Delta B_{kn}(x)|}{\rho_3} \right) + M \left( \frac{|\beta \Delta B_{kn}(y)|}{\rho_3} \right) \right]^{p_k} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \frac{1}{2^{p_k}} \left[ M\left(\frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x)|}{\rho_1}\right) + M\left(\frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(y)|}{\rho_2}\right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M\left(\frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x)|}{\rho_1}\right) + M\left(\frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(y)|}{\rho_2}\right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M\left(\frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x)|}{\rho_1}\right) \right]^{p_k} + \frac{C}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M\left(\frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(y)|}{\rho_2}\right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$ , so  $\alpha x + \beta y \in \widehat{w}_\theta^0 [A, M, \Delta, p]$ , hence it is a linear space.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** For any Orlicz function  $M$  and a bounded sequence  $p = (p_k)$  of positive real numbers,  $\widehat{w}_\theta^0 [A, M, \Delta, p]$  is a topological paranormed space, paranormed by

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pr}{H}} : \left( \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M\left(\frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x)|}{\rho}\right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq 1, r = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\}$$

where  $T = \max(1, \sup_k p_k = H)$ .

*Proof.* The subadditivity of  $g$  follows from the Theorem 2.1 by taking  $\alpha = \beta = 1$  and it is clear that  $g(x) = g(-x)$ . Since  $M(0) = 0$ , we get  $\inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pr}{H}} \right\} = 0$  for  $x = 0$ . Suppose that  $x_k \neq 0$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that  $\Delta B_{kn}(x) \neq 0$  for each  $k$  and  $n$ . Let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , then

$$\frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x)|}{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \infty.$$

It follows that

$$\left( \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M\left(\frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x)|}{\varepsilon}\right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \rightarrow \infty$$

which is a contradiction. Now we prove that scalar multiplication is continuous. Let  $\lambda$  be any complex number, by definition

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda x) &= \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pr}{H}} : \left( \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M\left(\frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(\lambda x)|}{\rho}\right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq 1, r = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pr}{H}} : \left( \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M\left(\frac{|\lambda| |\Delta B_{kn}(x)|}{\rho}\right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq 1, r = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $s = \frac{\rho}{\lambda}$ , then  $\rho = s|\lambda|$  and since  $|\lambda|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |\lambda|^H)$  we have

$$g(\lambda x) \leq |\lambda|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |\lambda|^H) \inf \left\{ s^{\frac{pr}{H}} : \left( \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M\left(\frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x)|}{s}\right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq 1, r = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\}$$

which converges to zero as  $x$  converges to zero in  $\widehat{w}_\theta^0 [A, M, \Delta, p]$ . Now suppose that  $\lambda_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  and  $x$  is fixed in  $\widehat{w}_\theta^0 [A, M, \Delta, p]$ . For arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $r_o$  be a positive integer such that

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M\left(\frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x)|}{\rho}\right) \right]^{p_k} \leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^T$$

for some  $\rho > 0$  and  $r > r_0$ . This implies that

$$\left( \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\lambda \Delta B_{kn}(x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for some  $\rho > 0$  and  $r > r_0$ . Let  $0 < |\lambda| < 1$ . Using the convexity of Orlicz function  $M$ , for  $r > r_0$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\lambda| |\Delta B_{kn}(x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} < \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^T.$$

Since  $M$  is continuous everywhere in  $[0, \infty)$ , then we consider the function, for  $r \leq r_0$

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|t \Delta B_{kn}(x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k}.$$

Then  $f$  is continuous at zero. So there is a  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that  $|f(t)| < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^T$  for  $0 < t < \delta$ . Let  $A$  be such that  $|\lambda_i| < \delta$  for  $i > A$  and  $r \leq r_0$

$$\left( \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\lambda_i \Delta B_{kn}(x)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for  $i > A$  and all  $r$ , so that  $g(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** Let the sequence  $p = (p_k)$  be bounded. Then  $\widehat{w}_\theta^0 [A, M, \Delta, p] \subset \widehat{w}_\theta [A, M, \Delta, p] \subset \widehat{w}_\theta^\infty [A, M, \Delta, p]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x = (x_k) \in \widehat{w}_\theta^0 [A, M, \Delta, p]$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x)|}{2\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \frac{C}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \frac{1}{2^{p_k}} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} + \frac{C}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \frac{1}{2^{p_k}} \left[ M \left( \frac{|L|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \frac{C}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \frac{1}{2^{p_k}} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} + C \max \left( 1, \sup \left[ M \left( \frac{|L|}{\rho} \right) \right]^H \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $H = \sup_k p_k < \infty$  and  $C = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$ . Thus we have  $x = (x_k) \in \widehat{w}_\theta [A, M, \Delta, p]$ . The inclusion  $\widehat{w}_\theta [A, M, \Delta, p] \subset \widehat{w}_\theta^\infty [A, M, \Delta, p]$  is obvious.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** If  $0 < p_k < q_k$  and  $\left(\frac{q_k}{p_k}\right)$  is bounded, then  $\widehat{w}_\theta [A, M, \Delta, p] \subset \widehat{w}_\theta [A, M, \Delta, q]$ .

*Proof.* If we take  $\left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} = w_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then using the same technique employed in the proof of Theorem 2.9 of Gungr et al., [12].  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** The following statements are valid.

- (i) If  $0 < \inf_k p_k \leq 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\widehat{w}_\theta [A, M, \Delta, p] \subset \widehat{w}_\theta [A, M, \Delta]$ .
- (ii) If  $1 \leq p_k \leq \sup_k p_k = H < \infty$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\widehat{w}_\theta [A, M, \Delta] \subset \widehat{w}_\theta [A, M, \Delta, p]$ .

The proof of the following result is a routine work, so we omitted.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $M$  be an Orlicz function satisfies  $\Delta_2$ -condition. Then  $\widehat{w}_\theta^0 [A, \Delta, p] \subset \widehat{w}_\theta^0 [A, M, \Delta, p], \widehat{w}_\theta [A, \Delta, p] \subset \widehat{w}_\theta [A, M, \Delta, p]$  and  $\widehat{w}_\theta^\infty [A, \Delta, p] \subset \widehat{w}_\theta^\infty [A, M, \Delta, p]$ .

### 3. New Sequence Space of Order $\alpha$

In this section let  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  be any real number,  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence, and  $p$  be a positive real number such that  $1 < p < \infty$ . Now we define the following sequence space.

$$\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p) = \left\{ x \in w : \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} |\Delta B_{kn}(x)|^p < \infty, \text{ uniformly on } n. \right\}$$

Special cases:

- (a) For  $p = 1$  we have  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p) = \widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta]$ .
- (b) For  $\alpha = 1$  and  $p = 1$  we have  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p) = \widehat{w}_\theta^\infty [A, \Delta]$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and  $p$  be a positive real number such that  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then the sequence space  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$  is a BK-space normed by

$$\|x\|_\alpha = \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \left( \sum_{k \in I_r} |\Delta B_{kn}(x)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

*Proof.* The proof of the result is straightforward, so omitted.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and  $p$  be a positive real number such that  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] \subset \widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$ .

*Proof.* The proof of the result is straightforward, so omitted.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be fixed real numbers such that  $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$  and  $p$  be a positive real number such that  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p) \subset \widehat{w}_{\theta\beta}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$ .

*Proof.* The proof of the result is straightforward, so omitted.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be fixed real numbers with  $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$  and  $p$  be a positive real number such that  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . For any two lacunary sequences  $\theta = (h_r)$  and  $\phi = (l_r)$  for all  $r$ , then  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p) \subset \widehat{w}_{\phi\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$  if and only if  $\sup_r \left( \frac{h_r^\alpha}{l_r^\beta} \right) < \infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x = (x_k) \in \widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$  and  $\sup_{r \geq 1} \left( \frac{h_r^\alpha}{l_r^\beta} \right) < \infty$ . Then

$$\sup_r \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} |\Delta B_{kn}(x)|^p < \infty$$

and there exists a positive number  $K$  such that  $h_r^\alpha \leq K l_r^\beta$  and so that  $\frac{1}{l_r^\beta} \leq \frac{K}{h_r^\alpha}$  for all  $r$ . Therefore, we have

$$\frac{1}{l_r^\beta} \sum_{k \in I_r} |\Delta B_{kn}(x)|^p \leq \frac{K}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} |\Delta B_{kn}(x)|^p.$$

Now taking supremum over  $r$ , we get

$$\sup_r \frac{1}{l_r^\beta} \sum_{k \in I_r} |\Delta B_{kn}(x)|^p \leq \sup_r \frac{K}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} |\Delta B_{kn}(x)|^p$$

and hence  $x \in \widehat{w}_{\phi\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$ .

Next suppose that  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p) \subset \widehat{w}_{\phi\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$  and  $\sup_r \left(\frac{h_r^\alpha}{l_r^\beta}\right) = \infty$ . Then there exists an increasing sequence  $(r_i)$  of natural numbers such that  $\lim_i \left(\frac{h_{r_i}^\alpha}{l_{r_i}^\beta}\right) = \infty$ . Let  $L$  be a positive real number, then there exists  $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{h_{r_i}^\alpha}{l_{r_i}^\beta} > L$  for all  $r_i \geq i_0$ . Then  $h_{r_i}^\alpha > L l_{r_i}^\beta$  and so  $\frac{1}{l_{r_i}^\beta} > \frac{L}{h_{r_i}^\alpha}$ . Therefore we can write

$$\frac{1}{l_{r_i}^\beta} \sum_{k \in I_{r_i}} |\Delta B_{kn}(x)|^p > \frac{L}{h_{r_i}^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_{r_i}} |\Delta B_{kn}(x)|^p \text{ for all } r_i \geq i_0.$$

Now taking supremum over  $r_i \geq i_0$  then we get

$$\sup_{r_i \geq i_0} \frac{1}{l_{r_i}^\beta} \sum_{k \in I_{r_i}} |\Delta B_{kn}(x)|^p > \sup_{r_i \geq i_0} \frac{L}{h_{r_i}^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_{r_i}} |\Delta B_{kn}(x)|^p. \tag{3}$$

Since the relation (3) holds for all  $L \in \mathbb{R}^+$  (we may take the number  $L$  sufficiently large), we have

$$\sup_{r_i \geq i_0} \frac{1}{l_{r_i}^\beta} \sum_{k \in I_{r_i}} |\Delta B_{kn}(x)|^p = \infty$$

but  $x = (x_k) \in \widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta, p]$  with

$$\sup_r \left(\frac{h_r^\alpha}{l_r^\beta}\right) < \infty.$$

Therefore  $x \notin \widehat{w}_{\phi\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$  which contradicts that  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p) \subset \widehat{w}_{\phi\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$ . Hence  $\sup_{r \geq 1} \left(\frac{h_r^\alpha}{l_r^\beta}\right) < \infty$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be fixed real numbers with  $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$  and  $p$  be a positive real number such that  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . For any two lacubary sequences  $\theta = (h_r)$  and  $\phi = (l_r)$  for all  $r \geq 1$ , then

- (a)  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p) = \widehat{w}_{\phi\beta}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$  if and only if  $0 < \inf_r \left(\frac{h_r^\alpha}{l_r^\beta}\right) < \sup_r \left(\frac{h_r^\alpha}{l_r^\beta}\right) < \infty$ .
- (b)  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p) = \widehat{w}_{\phi\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$  if and only if  $0 < \inf_r \left(\frac{h_r^\alpha}{l_r^\alpha}\right) < \sup_r \left(\frac{h_r^\alpha}{l_r^\alpha}\right) < \infty$ .
- (c)  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p) = \widehat{w}_{\theta\beta}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$  if and only if  $0 < \inf_r \left(\frac{h_r^\alpha}{h_r^\beta}\right) < \sup_r \left(\frac{h_r^\alpha}{h_r^\beta}\right) < \infty$ .

We state the following results without proof.

**Theorem 3.6.**  $\ell_p [A, \Delta] \subset \widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p) \subset \ell_\infty [A, \Delta]$ .

*Proof.* The proof of the result is straightforward, so omitted.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** If  $0 < p < q$ , then  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p) \subset \widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (q)$ .

*Proof.* The proof of the result is straightforward, so omitted.  $\square$

#### 4. Some Geometric Properties of the New Space

In this section we study some of the geometric properties like order continuous, the Fatou property and the Banach-Saks property of type  $p$  in this new sequence space.

**Theorem 4.1.** The space  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$  is order continuous.

*Proof.* To show that the space  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$  is an AK-space. It is easy to see that  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$  contains  $c_{00}$  which is the space of real sequences which have only a finite number of non-zero coordinates. By using the definition of AK-properties, we have that  $x = (x(i)) \in \widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$  has a unique representation  $x = \sum_{i=1}^\infty x(i)e(i)$  i.e.  $\|x - x^{[j]}\|_\alpha = \|(0, 0, \dots, x(j), x(j+1), \dots)\|_\alpha \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , which means that  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$  has AK. Therefore BK-space  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$  contains  $c_{00}$  has AK-property, hence the space  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$  is order continuous.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *The space  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$  has the Fatou property.*

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be a real sequence and  $(x_j)$  be any nondecreasing sequence of non-negative elements form  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$  such that  $x_j(i) \rightarrow x(i)$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  coordinatewisely and  $\sup_j \|x_j\|_\alpha < \infty$ .

Let us denote  $T = \sup_j \|x_j\|_\alpha$ . Since the supremum is homogeneous, then we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \left( \sum_{k \in I_r} |\Delta B_{kn}(x_j(i))|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \left( \sum_{k \in I_r} \left| \frac{\Delta B_{kn}(x_j(i))}{\|x_n\|_\alpha} \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \frac{1}{\|x_n\|_\alpha} \|x_n\|_\alpha = 1.$$

Also by the assumptions that  $(x_j)$  is non-drecreasing and convergent to  $x$  coordinatewisely and by the Beppo-Levi theorem, we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \limsup_r \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \left( \sum_{k \in I_r} |\Delta B_{kn}(x_j(i))|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \sup_r \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \left( \sum_{k \in I_r} \left| \frac{\Delta B_{kn}(x(i))}{T} \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 1,$$

whence

$$\|x\|_\alpha \leq T = \sup_j \|x_j\|_\alpha = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_j\|_\alpha < \infty.$$

Therefore  $x \in \widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$ . On the other hand, since  $0 \leq x_j$  for any natural number  $j$  and the sequence  $(x_j)$  is non-decreasing, we obtain that the sequence  $(\|x_j\|_\alpha)$  is bounded form above by  $\|x\|_\alpha$ . Therefore  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_j\|_\alpha \leq \|x\|_\alpha$  which contadicts the above inequality proved already, yields that  $\|x\|_\alpha = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_j\|_\alpha$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** *The space  $\widehat{w}_{\theta\alpha}^\infty [A, \Delta] (p)$  has the Banach-Saks property.*

*Proof.* The proof of the result follows from the standard technique.  $\square$

### 5. Lacunary Statistical Convergence

The notion of statistical convergence was introduced by Fast [8] and studied various authors (see [7, 9, 25]). The notion of lacunary statistical convergence was introduced by Fridy and Orhan [10] and has been investigated for the real case in [11]. For more details on lacunary statistical convergence we refer to [13, 14] and many others. In this section, we define the concept of  $\widehat{S}_\theta [A, \Delta]$ -statistical convergence and establish the relationship of  $\widehat{S}_\theta [A, \Delta]$  with  $\widehat{w}_\theta [A, \Delta]$ . Also we introduce the notion of  $\widehat{S}_\theta [A, \Delta]$  –statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$  of real number sequences and obtain some inclusion relations between the set of  $\widehat{S}[A, \Delta]$ –statistical convergence of order  $\alpha$ .

**Definition 5.1.** [8] *A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is said to be statistically convergent to  $L$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

*In this case we write  $S - \lim x = L$  or  $x_k \rightarrow L(S)$ .*

**Definition 5.2.** [10] Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence. A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is said to be lacunary statistically convergent or  $S_\theta$ -convergent to  $L$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k \in I_r : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case we write  $S_\theta - \lim x = L$  or  $x_k \rightarrow L(S_\theta)$  and  $S_\theta = \{x \in w : S_\theta - \lim x = L \text{ for some } L\}$ .

**Definition 5.3.** Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence. A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is said to be  $\widehat{S}_\theta[A, \Delta]$ -convergent to  $L$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k \in I_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case we write  $\widehat{S}_\theta[A, \Delta] - \lim x = L$  or  $x_k \rightarrow L(\widehat{S}_\theta[A, \Delta])$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence.

- (a) If  $x_k \rightarrow L(\widehat{w}_\theta[A, \Delta])$  then  $x_k \rightarrow L(\widehat{S}_\theta[A, \Delta])$ ,
- (b) If  $x \in l_\infty[A, \Delta]$  and  $x_k \rightarrow L(\widehat{S}_\theta[A, \Delta])$ , then  $x_k \rightarrow L(\widehat{w}_\theta[A, \Delta])$ ,
- (c)  $\widehat{w}_\theta[A, \Delta] \cap l_\infty[A, \Delta] = \widehat{S}_\theta[A, \Delta] \cap l_\infty[A, \Delta]$ , where

$$l_\infty[A, \Delta] = \left\{ x \in w : \sup_{k,n} |\Delta B_{kn}(x)| < \infty \right\}.$$

*Proof.* (a) Suppose that  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x_k \rightarrow L(\widehat{w}_\theta[A, \Delta])$ , then we have

$$\sum_{k \in I_r} |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon}} |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon |\{k \in I_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|.$$

Therefore  $x_k \rightarrow L(\widehat{S}_\theta[A, \Delta])$ .

(b) Suppose that  $x \in l_\infty[A, \Delta]$  and  $x_k \rightarrow L(\widehat{S}_\theta[A, \Delta])$ , i.e., for some  $K > 0$ ,  $|\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \leq K$  for all  $k$  and  $n$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| &= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon}} |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| < \varepsilon}} |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \\ &\leq \frac{K}{h_r} |\{k \in I_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , the right side goes to zero, which implies that  $x_k \rightarrow L(\widehat{w}_\theta[A, \Delta])$ .

(c) Follows from (a) and (b).  $\square$

**Definition 5.5.** Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  be given. A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is said to be almost statistically  $[A, \Delta]$ -convergent of order  $\alpha$  or  $\widehat{S}^\alpha[A, \Delta]$ -convergent of order  $\alpha$  if there is a real number  $L$  such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} |\{k \leq n : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case we write  $\widehat{S}^\alpha[A, \Delta] - \lim x = L$  or  $x_k \rightarrow L(\widehat{S}^\alpha[A, \Delta])$ .

**Definition 5.6.** Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence and  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  be given. A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is said to be  $\widehat{S}_\theta^\alpha[A, \Delta]$ -convergent of order  $\alpha$  if there is a real number  $L$  such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0. \tag{4}$$

In this case we write  $\widehat{S}_\theta^\alpha[A, \Delta] - \lim x = L$  or  $x_k \rightarrow L(\widehat{S}_\theta^\alpha[A, \Delta])$ .

**Theorem 5.7.** Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $x = (x_k)$  and  $(y = (y_k))$  be sequences of real numbers.

- (a) If  $\widehat{S}_\theta^\alpha[A, \Delta] - \lim_k x_k = x_0$  and  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\widehat{S}_\theta^\alpha[A, \Delta] - \lim_k (cx_k) = cx_0$ ;
- (b) If  $\widehat{S}_\theta^\alpha[A, \Delta] - \lim_k x_k = x_0$  and  $\widehat{S}_\theta^\alpha[A, \Delta] - \lim_k y_k = y_0$ , then  $\widehat{S}_\theta^\alpha[A, \Delta] - \lim_k (x_k + y_k) = x_0 + y_0$ .

*Proof.* (a) For  $c = 0$ , the result is trivial. Suppose that  $c \neq 0$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the result follows from the following inequality

$$\frac{1}{n^\alpha} |\{k \leq n : |\Delta B_{kn}(cx) - cx_0| \geq \varepsilon\}| = \frac{1}{n^\alpha} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - x_0| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{|c|} \right\} \right|.$$

(b) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The result follows from the from the following inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n^\alpha} |\{k \leq n : |\Delta B_{kn}(x + y) - (x_0 + y_0)| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n^\alpha} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - x_0| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \right| + \frac{1}{n^\alpha} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : |\Delta B_{kn}(y) - y_0| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \right| \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 5.8.** Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $x = (x_k)$  and  $(y = (y_k))$  be sequences of real numbers.

- (a) If  $\widehat{S}_\theta^\alpha[A, \Delta] - \lim_k x_k = x_0$  and  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\widehat{S}_\theta^\alpha[A, \Delta] - \lim_k (cx_k) = cx_0$ ;
- (b) If  $\widehat{S}_\theta^\alpha[A, \Delta] - \lim_k x_k = x_0$  and  $\widehat{S}_\theta^\alpha[A, \Delta] - \lim_k y_k = y_0$ , then  $\widehat{S}_\theta^\alpha[A, \Delta] - \lim_k (x_k + y_k) = x_0 + y_0$ .

*Proof.* (a) For  $c = 0$ , the result is trivial. Suppose that  $c \neq 0$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the result follows from the following inequality

$$\frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(cx) - cx_0| \geq \varepsilon\}| = \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \left| \left\{ k \in I_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - x_0| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{|c|} \right\} \right|.$$

(b) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The result follows from the from the following inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(x + y) - (x_0 + y_0)| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \left| \left\{ k \in I_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - x_0| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \right| + \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \left| \left\{ k \in I_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(y) - y_0| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 5.9.** If  $0 < \alpha < \beta \leq 1$ , then  $\widehat{S}_\theta^\alpha[A, \Delta] \subset \widehat{S}_\theta^\beta[A, \Delta]$  and the inclusion is strict.

*Proof.* The proof of the result follows from the following inequality.

$$\frac{1}{h_r^\beta} |\{k \in I_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \left| \left\{ k \in I_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{|c|} \right\} \right|.$$

To prove the inclusion is strict, let  $\theta$  be given and we consider a sequence  $x = (x_k)$  be defined by

$$\Delta B_{kn}(x_k) = \begin{cases} [\sqrt{h_r}], & \text{if } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, [\sqrt{h_r}]; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have  $x \in \widehat{S}_\theta^\beta[A, \Delta]$  for  $\frac{1}{2} < \beta \leq 1$  but  $x \notin \widehat{S}_\theta^\alpha[A, \Delta]$  for  $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . □

**Corollary 5.10.** *If a sequence is  $\widehat{S}_\theta^\alpha [A, \Delta]$ -convergent to  $L$  then it is  $\widehat{S}_\theta [A, \Delta]$ -convergent to  $L$ .*

**Theorem 5.11.** *Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence. If  $\liminf_r q_r > 1$ , then  $\widehat{S}^\alpha [A, \Delta] \subset \widehat{S}_\theta^\alpha [A, \Delta]$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\liminf_r q_r > 1$ , then there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $q_r \geq 1 + \delta$  for sufficiently large  $r$  which implies that

$$\frac{h_r}{k_r} \geq \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{h_r}{k_r}\right)^\alpha \geq \left(\frac{\delta}{1 + \delta}\right)^\alpha \Rightarrow \frac{1}{k_r^\alpha} \geq \frac{\delta^\alpha}{(1 + \delta)^\alpha} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha}.$$

If  $x_k \rightarrow L(\widehat{S}^\alpha [A, \Delta])$  then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for sufficiently large  $r$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k_r^\alpha} |\{k \leq k_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| &\geq \frac{1}{k_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &\geq \frac{\delta^\alpha}{(1 + \delta)^\alpha} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|. \end{aligned}$$

This complete the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.12.** *Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence. If  $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$ , then  $\widehat{S}^\alpha [A, \Delta] \subset \widehat{S} [A, \Delta]$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$ , then there exists an  $K > 0$  such that  $q_r < K$  for all  $r$ . Suppose that  $x_k \rightarrow L(\widehat{S}^\alpha [A, \Delta])$  and let  $M_r = |\{k \in I_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|$ . Then from relation (4) for given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $r_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$

$$\frac{M_r}{h_r^\alpha} < \varepsilon \Rightarrow \frac{M_r}{h_r} < \varepsilon \text{ for all } r > r_0.$$

The rest of the proof of the theorem follows by using the similar technique of Lemma 3 [10].  $\square$

**Theorem 5.13.** *If*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_r^\alpha}{k_r}, \tag{5}$$

*then  $\widehat{S} [A, \Delta] \subset \widehat{S}^\alpha [A, \Delta]$ .*

*Proof.* For a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\{k \leq k_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \supset \{k \in I_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{1}{k_r^\alpha} |\{k \leq k_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \frac{1}{k_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = \frac{h_r^\alpha}{k_r} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|.$$

By taking limit as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  and from relation (5) we have

$$x_k \rightarrow L(\widehat{S} [A, \Delta]) \Rightarrow x_k \rightarrow L(\widehat{S}^\alpha [A, \Delta]).$$

$\square$

**Definition 5.14.** Let  $M$  be an Orlicz function,  $p = (p_k)$  be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers,  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence, and for  $\rho > 0$ , now we define

$$\widehat{w}_\theta^\alpha [A, M, \Delta, p] = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0, \text{ for some } L, \text{ uniformly on } n \right\}.$$

If  $M(x) = x$  and  $p_k = p$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  then we shall write  $\widehat{w}_\theta^\alpha [A, M, \Delta, p] = \widehat{w}_\theta^\alpha [A, \Delta] (p)$  and if  $M(x) = x$  then we shall write  $\widehat{w}_\theta^\alpha [A, M, \Delta, p] = \widehat{w}_\theta^\alpha [A, \Delta, p]$ .

**Theorem 5.15.** Let  $(p_k)$  be a bounded and  $0 < \inf_k p_k \leq p_k \leq \sup_k p_k = H < \infty$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1]$  be real numbers such that  $\alpha \leq \beta$ ,  $M$  be an Orlicz function and  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence, then  $\widehat{w}_\theta^\alpha [A, M, \Delta, p] \subset \widehat{S}_\theta^\beta [A, \Delta]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x = (x_k) \in \widehat{w}_\theta^\alpha [A, M, \Delta, p]$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. As  $h_r^\alpha \leq h_r^\beta$  for each  $r$  we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} &= \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \left[ \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon}} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} + \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| < \varepsilon}} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{h_r^\beta} \left[ \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon}} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} + \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| < \varepsilon}} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{h_r^\beta} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon}} \left[ M \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{1}{h_r^\beta} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon}} \min \left( [M(\varepsilon_1)]^h, [M(\varepsilon_1)]^H \right), \quad \varepsilon_1 = \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{h_r^\beta} |\{k \in I_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \min \left( [M(\varepsilon_1)]^h, [M(\varepsilon_1)]^H \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the above inequality we have  $(x_k) \in \widehat{S}_\theta^\beta [A, \Delta]$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.16.** Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $M$  be an Orlicz function and  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence, then  $\widehat{w}_\theta^\alpha [A, M, \Delta, p] \subset \widehat{S}_\theta^\alpha [A, \Delta]$ .

**Theorem 5.17.** Let  $M$  be an Orlicz function,  $x = (x_k)$  be a sequence in  $l_\infty [A, \Delta]$ , and  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence. If  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_r}{h_r^\alpha} = 1$ , then  $\widehat{S}_\theta^\alpha [A, \Delta] \subset \widehat{w}_\theta^\alpha [A, M, \Delta, p]$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x = (x_k)$  is in  $l_\infty [A, \Delta]$  and  $\widehat{S}_\theta^\alpha [A, \Delta] - \lim_k x_k = L$ . As  $x = (x_k) \in l_\infty [A, \Delta]$  there exists  $K > 0$  such that  $|\Delta B_{kn}(x)| \leq K$  for all  $k$  and  $n$ . For given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} &= \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon}} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} + \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| < \varepsilon}} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon}} \max \left\{ \left[ M \left( \frac{K}{\rho} \right) \right]^h, \left[ M \left( \frac{K}{\rho} \right) \right]^H \right\} + \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| < \varepsilon}} \left[ M \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \left[ M \left( \frac{K}{\rho} \right) \right]^h, \left[ M \left( \frac{K}{\rho} \right) \right]^H \right\} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} |\{k \in I_r : |\Delta B_{kn}(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| + \frac{h_r}{h_r^\alpha} \max \left\{ \left[ M \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \right) \right]^h, \left[ M \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \right) \right]^H \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have  $(x_k) \in \widehat{w}_\theta^\alpha [A, M, \Delta, p]$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.18.** Let  $M$  be an Orlicz function and if  $\inf_k p_k > 0$ , then limit of any sequence  $x = (x_k)$  in  $\widehat{w}_\theta^\alpha [A, M, \Delta, p]$  is unique.

*Proof.* Let  $\lim_k p_k = s > 0$ . Suppose that  $(x_k) \rightarrow l_1 (\widehat{w}_\theta^\alpha [A, M, \Delta, p])$  and  $(x_k) \rightarrow l_2 (\widehat{w}_\theta^\alpha [A, M, \Delta, p])$ . Then there exist  $\rho_1 > 0$  and  $\rho_2 > 0$  such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x) - l_1|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0, \text{ uniformly on } n$$

and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x) - l_2|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0, \text{ uniformly on } n.$$

Let  $\rho = \max\{2\rho_1, 2\rho_2\}$ . As  $M$  is nondecreasing and convex, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|l_1 - l_2|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} &\leq \frac{D}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} \frac{1}{2^{p_k}} \left( \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x) - l_1|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} + \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x) - l_2|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right) \\ \frac{D}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left( \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x) - l_1|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} + \frac{D}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|\Delta B_{kn}(x) - l_2|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right) &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sup_k p_k = H$  and  $D = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$ . Therefore we get

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left[ M \left( \frac{|l_1 - l_2|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0.$$

As  $\lim_k p_k = s$ , we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[ M \left( \frac{|l_1 - l_2|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} = \left[ M \left( \frac{|l_1 - l_2|}{\rho} \right) \right]^s$$

and so  $l_1 = l_2$ . Hence the limit is unique.  $\square$

## 6. Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Prof. Dragan S. Djordjević and the referees for his/her much encouragement, support, constructive criticism, careful reading and making a useful comment which improved the presentation and the readability of the paper.

## References

- [1] Y. A. Cui, H. Hudzik, On the Banach-Saks and weak Banach-Saks properties of some Bannach sequence spaces, Acta Sci. Math.(Szeged), 65(1999), 179-187.
- [2] J. Diestel, *Sequence and Series in Banach spaces*, in Graduate Texts in Math., Vol. 92, Springer-Verlag,1984.
- [3] A. Esi, Generalized difference sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions, General Math., 17(2)(2009), 53-66.
- [4] A. Esi, Some new sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, 27(1)(1999), 71-76.
- [5] A. Esi, M. Et, Some new sequence spaces defined by a sequence of Orlicz functions. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 31(8)(2000), 967-973.
- [6] A. Esi, On some generalized difference sequence spaces of invariant means defined by a sequence of Orlicz functions, J. Comp. Anal. Appl., 11(3)(2009),524-535.
- [7] A. Esi, The A-statistical and strongly (A-p)-Cesaro convergence of sequences, Pure and Appl. Math. Sci., XLIII(1-2)(1996), 89-93.
- [8] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, Coll. Math., 2(1951), 241-244.
- [9] J. A. Fridy, On statistical convergence, Analysis, 5(4)(1985), 301-313.

- [10] J. A. Fridy, C. Orhan, Lacunary statistical convergence, *Pac. J. Math.*, 160(1)(1993), 43-51.
- [11] J. A. Fridy, C. Orhan, Lacunary statistical summability, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 173(1993), 497-504.
- [12] M. Güngör, M. Et and Y. Altin, Strongly  $(V_{\sigma}, \lambda, q)$ -summable sequences defined by Orlicz functions, *App. Math. Comput.*, 157(2004), 561-571.
- [13] B. Hazarika, E. Savaş, Lacunary statistical convergence of double sequences and some inclusion results in  $n$ -normed spaces, *Acta Math. Vietna.*, 38 (2013), 471-485.
- [14] B. Hazarika, Lacunary generalized difference statistical convergence in random 2-normed spaces, *Proyecciones J. Math.*, 31(4) (2012), 373-390.
- [15] M. Güngör, M. Et,  $\Delta^r$ -strongly summable sequences defined by Orlicz functions, *Indian J. Pure-Appl. Math.*, 34(8)(2003), 1141-1151.
- [16] M. Karakaş, M. Et, V. Karakaya, Some geometric properties of a new difference sequence space involving lacunary sequences, *Acta Math. Ser. B. Engl. Ed.*, 33(6)(2013), 1711-1720.
- [17] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, On Orlicz sequence spaces. *Israel J. Math.*, 10(1971), 379-390.
- [18] I. J. Maddox, Spaces of strongly summable sequences, *Quarterly J. Math. Oxford.*, 2(18)(1967), 345-355.
- [19] M. Mursaleen, R. Çolak, M. Et, Some geometric inequalities in a new Banach sequence space, *J. Ineq. Appl.*, 2007, ID-86757, 6 pp.
- [20] H. Nakano, Modular sequence spaces, *Proc. Japan Acad.*;27(1951), 508-512.
- [21] S. Nanda, Strongly almost summable and strongly almost convergent sequences, *Acta Math. Hung.*, 49(1987), 71-76.
- [22] S. D. Parashar, B. Choudhary, Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 25(1994), 419-428.
- [23] D. Rath and B. C. Tripathy, Matrix maps on sequence spaces associated with sets of integers, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 27(2)(1996), 197-206.
- [24] W.H. Ruckle, FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded, *Canad. J. Math.*, 25(1973), 973-978.
- [25] T. Šalát, On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers, *Math. Slovaca*, 30(1980), 139-150.
- [26] B. C. Tripathy, S. Mahanta, On a class of generalized lacunary sequences defined by Orlicz functions. *Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Eng. Ser.*, 20(2)(2004), 231-238.
- [27] B. C. Tripathy, B. Hazarika, Some  $I$ -convergent sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions, *Acta Math. Appl. Sinica*, 27(1)(2011) 149-154.
- [28] B.C. Tripathy and A. Baruah, Lacunary statistically convergent and lacunary strongly convergent generalized difference sequences of fuzzy real numbers, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 50(4)(2010), 565-574.
- [29] B. C. Tripathy and H. Dutta, On some lacunary difference sequence spaces defined by a sequence of Orlicz functions and  $q$ -lacunary  $\Delta_m^n$ -statistical convergence, *An. Stiint. Univ. Ovidius Constanta Ser. Mat.*, 20(1)(2012), 417-430.
- [30] B. C. Tripathy, On generalized difference paranormed statistically convergent sequences, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 35(5)(2004), 655-663.
- [31] B. C. Tripathy and A. J. Dutta, Lacunary bounded variation sequence of fuzzy real numbers, *J. Intell. Fuzzy Systems*, 24(1)(2013), 185-189.