



The Existence of Solution for a k -dimensional System of Fractional Differential Inclusions with Anti-Periodic Boundary Value Conditions

Vahid Hedayati^a, Shahram Rezapour^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University, Azarshahr, Tabriz, Iran

Abstract. We investigate the existence of solutions for a k -dimensional systems of fractional differential inclusions with anti-periodic boundary conditions. We provide two results via different conditions for obtaining solutions of the k -dimensional inclusion problem. We provide some examples to illustrate our results.

1. Introduction

The theory of fractional differential equations has a complete and interesting history. There are many published many works about the existence of solutions for many fractional differential equations by using fixed point theory (see for example, [8]-[12], [25]-[28] and the references there in). Also, some researchers tried to obtain solutions of fractional differential inclusions by using different conditions (see for example, [1]-[7], [14]-[17], [20], [25], [27]-[28], [32] and the references there in). One can find more details elementary notions on fractional differential equations in [22], [26] and [30]. Recently, Chai investigated the existence of solutions for the fractional differential equation

$${}^cD^\alpha u(t) = f(t, u(t), {}^cD^{\gamma_1} u(t), {}^cD^{\gamma_2} u(t)) \quad (1.1)$$

with the anti-periodic boundary value conditions $t^{\beta_1-1} {}^cD^{\beta_1} u(t)|_{t \rightarrow 0} = -t^{\beta_1-1} {}^cD^{\beta_1} u(t)|_{t=1}$, $u(0) = -u(1)$ and $t^{\beta_2-2} {}^cD^{\beta_2} u(t)|_{t \rightarrow 0} = -t^{\beta_2-2} {}^cD^{\beta_2} u(t)|_{t=1}$, where $t \in J = [0, 1]$, $2 < \alpha \leq 3$, $0 < \gamma_1 \leq 1$, $1 < \gamma_2 \leq 2$, $0 < \beta_1 < 1 < \beta_2 < 2$ and cD is the Caputo fractional differentiation ([17]). We use the basic idea of these boundary value conditions and combine it with the basic idea of [5] for investigating a k -dimensional systems of fractional differential inclusions. Also, we use Let $k \geq 2$ be a natural number. In this paper, we investigate the existence solution for a k -dimensional systems of fractional differential inclusions

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^cD^{\alpha_1} u_1(t) \in F_1(t, u_1(t), \dots, u_k(t), {}^cD^{\gamma_{11}} u_1(t), \dots, {}^cD^{\gamma_{1k}} u_k(t), {}^cD^{\gamma_{11}^2} u_1(t), \dots, {}^cD^{\gamma_{1k}^2} u_k(t)), \\ {}^cD^{\alpha_2} u_2(t) \in F_2(t, u_1(t), \dots, u_k(t), {}^cD^{\gamma_{21}} u_1(t), \dots, {}^cD^{\gamma_{2k}} u_k(t), {}^cD^{\gamma_{21}^2} u_1(t), \dots, {}^cD^{\gamma_{2k}^2} u_k(t)), \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ {}^cD^{\alpha_k} u_k(t) \in F_k(t, u_1(t), \dots, u_k(t), {}^cD^{\gamma_{k1}} u_1(t), \dots, {}^cD^{\gamma_{kk}} u_k(t), {}^cD^{\gamma_{k1}^2} u_1(t), \dots, {}^cD^{\gamma_{kk}^2} u_k(t)), \end{array} \right. \quad (1.2)$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 03B80

Keywords. k -dimensional system, anti-periodic boundary condition, fractional differential inclusion

Received: 08 May 2014; Accepted: 30 August 2014

Communicated by Naseer Shahzad

Email addresses: v.hedayati1367@gmail.com (Vahid Hedayati), rezapourshahram@yahoo.ca (Shahram Rezapour)

with the anti-periodic boundary value conditions $t^{\beta_{1i}-1} {}^c D^{\beta_{1i}} u_i(t)|_{t \rightarrow 0} = -t^{\beta_{1i}-1} {}^c D^{\beta_{1i}} u_i(t)|_{t=1}$, $u_i(0) = -u_i(1)$ and $t^{\beta_{2i}-2} {}^c D^{\beta_{2i}} u_i(t)|_{t \rightarrow 0} = -t^{\beta_{2i}-2} {}^c D^{\beta_{2i}} u_i(t)|_{t=1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, where $t \in J$, $2 < \alpha_i \leq 3$, $0 < \gamma_{ij}^1 \leq 1$, $1 < \gamma_{ij}^2 \leq 2$, $0 < \beta_{1i} < 1 < \beta_{2i} < 2$ and $F_i : J \times \mathbb{R}^{3k} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a multifunction for all $1 \leq i, j \leq k$.

2. Preliminaries

Here, we give some needed notions. Let (X, d) be a metric space. It is well known that the Pompeiu-Hausdorff metric $H : 2^X \times 2^X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is defined by

$$H(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(A, b)\},$$

where $d(A, B) = \inf_{a \in A} d(a, B)$ and 2^X is the set of all nonempty subsets of X ([13]). Then $(CB(X), H)$ is a metric space and $(C(X), H)$ is a generalized metric space, where $CB(X)$ is the set of closed and bounded subsets of X and $C(X)$ is the set of closed subsets of X ([23]). Denote the set of compact and convex subsets of X by $P_{cp,co}(X)$. Let $T : X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a multifunction. An element $x \in X$ is called an fixed point of T whenever $x \in Tx$ ([21]). A multifunction $T : X \rightarrow C(X)$ is called a contraction whenever there exists $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that $H(N(x), N(y)) \leq \gamma d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ ([21]). A multifunction $T : X \rightarrow 2^X$ is called lower semi-continuous whenever for each open set A of X , the set $T^{-1}(A) := \{x \in X : Tx \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ is open in X ([21]). We say that T is upper semi- continuous whenever for each open set A of X , the set $\{x \in X : Tx \subset A\}$ is open in X ([21]). Also, $T : X \rightarrow 2^X$ is called compact whenever for each bounded subsets S of X , $\overline{T(S)}$ is a compact set of X ([21]). A multifunction $T : X \rightarrow 2^X$ is said to be completely continuous whenever for each bounded subset B of X , $T(B)$ is relatively compact ([21]). A multifunction $T : J \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ is said to be measurable whenever the function $t \mapsto d(y, T(t))$ is measurable for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, where $J = [0, 1]$ ([19]). We say that $F : J \times \mathbb{R}^{3k} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a Caratheodory multifunction whenever the map $t \mapsto F(t, x_1, \dots, x_{3k})$ is measurable for all $x_1, \dots, x_{3k} \in \mathbb{R}$ and the map $(x_1, \dots, x_{3k}) \mapsto F(t, x_1, \dots, x_{3k})$ is upper semi-continuous for almost all $t \in J$ (see [7], [19] and [23]). Also, a Caratheodory multifunction $F : J \times \mathbb{R}^{3k} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ is called L^1 -Caratheodory whenever for each $\rho > 0$ there exists $\phi_\rho \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$\|F(t, x_1, \dots, x_{3k})\| = \sup\{|v| : v \in F(t, x_1, \dots, x_{3k})\} \leq \phi_\rho(t)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_{3k} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x_1|, \dots, |x_{3k}| \leq \rho$ and almost all $t \in J$ (see [7], [19] and [23]).

Define the space $X_i = \{x : x, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ii}^1} x, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ii}^2} x \in C(J, \mathbb{R})\}$ endowed with the norm

$$\|x\|_i = \sup_{t \in J} |x(t)| + \sup_{t \in J} |{}^c D^{\gamma_{ii}^1} x(t)| + \sup_{t \in J} |{}^c D^{\gamma_{ii}^2} x(t)|$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Also, define the product space $X = X_1 \times \dots \times X_k$ endowed with the norm $\|(u_1, \dots, u_k)\| = \sum_{i=1}^k \|x_i\|_i$. Then, $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space ([31]). By using the idea of the papers [4], [6], [27] and [32], we define the set of the selections of F_i at x by

$$S_{F_i, x} = \left\{ v \in L^1[0, 1] : v(t) \in F_i(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_k(t), {}^c D^{\gamma_{i1}^1} x_1(t), \dots, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ik}^1} x_k(t), \right.$$

$$\left. {}^c D^{\gamma_{i1}^2} x_1(t), \dots, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ik}^2} x_k(t)) \text{ for almost all } t \in J \right\}$$

for all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$. We need the following results in the sequel. One can find the Ascoli-Arzela theorem in [19] and [21].

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be compact metric space and A a closed, equi-continuous and bounded subset of $C(X)$. Then A is relatively compact.*

In 1970, Covitz and Nadler proved next result ([18]).

Lemma 2.2. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. If $N : X \rightarrow C(X)$ is a contractive multifunction, then N has a fixed point.

Lemma 2.3. ([24]) Let X be a Banach space, $F : J \times X \rightarrow P_{cp, cv}(X)$ an L^1 -Caratheodory multifunction and Θ a linear continuous mapping from $L^1(J, X)$ to $C(J, X)$. Then the operator $\Theta o S_F : C(J, X) \rightarrow P_{cp, cv}(C(J), X)$ defined by $(\Theta o S_F)(x) = \Theta(S_{F,x})$ is a closed graph operator in $C(J, X) \times C(J, X)$.

Lemma 2.4. ([21]) Let E be a Banach space, C a closed convex subset of E , U an open subset of C and $0 \in U$. Suppose that $F : \overline{U} \rightarrow P_{cp, cv}(C)$ is a upper semi-continuous compact map, where $P_{cp, cv}(C)$ denotes the family of nonempty, compact convex subsets of C . Then either F has a fixed point in \overline{U} or there exist $u \in \partial U$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that $u \in \lambda F(u)$.

Lemma 2.5. ([19]) Let X and Y be Banach spaces and $F : X \rightarrow P(Y)$ a completely continuous multifunction via nonempty compact values. Then F is upper semi-continuous if and only if F has a closed graph, that is, $x_n \rightarrow x_0$, $y_n \rightarrow y_0$ and $y_n \in F(x_n)$ for all n imply that $y_0 \in F(x_0)$.

Lemma 2.6. ([17]) Let $v \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$, $\alpha \in (2, 3]$ and $0 < \beta_1 < 1 < \beta_2 < 2$. Then a function $u \in C(J, \mathbb{R})$ is a solution of the fractional boundary value problem ${}^c D^\alpha u(t) = v(t)$ with the boundary value conditions $u(0) = -u(1)$, $t^{\beta_1-1} {}^c D^{\beta_1} u(t)|_{t=0} = -t^{\beta_1-1} {}^c D^{\beta_1} u(t)|_{t=1}$ and $t^{\beta_2-2} {}^c D^{\beta_2} u(t)|_{t=0} = -t^{\beta_2-2} {}^c D^{\beta_2} u(t)|_{t=1}$ if and only if u is a solution of the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} v(s) ds + \int_0^1 \left[\frac{-1}{2\Gamma(\alpha)} (1-s)^{\alpha-1} + \frac{(1-2t)\Gamma(2-\beta_1)}{4\Gamma(\alpha-\beta_1)} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta_1-1} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1-\beta_1+2t-2(2-\beta_1)t^2}{8(2-\beta_1)} \frac{\Gamma(3-\beta_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta_2)} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta_2-1} \right] v(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

3. Main Results

Now, we are ready to provide our results about the existence of solution for the k -dimensional system of fractional differential inclusions (1.2). We say that a function $(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k) \in X$ is a solution for the k -dimensional system of inclusions (1.2) whenever there exists functions v_1, \dots, v_k in $L^1[0, 1]$ such that

$$v_i(t) \in F_i(t, u_1(t), \dots, u_k(t), {}^c D^{\gamma_{1i}^1} u_1(t), \dots, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ki}^1} u_k(t), {}^c D^{\gamma_{1i}^2} u_1(t), \dots, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ki}^2} u_k(t))$$

for almost all $t \in J$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_i(t) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} v_i(s) ds \\ & + \int_0^1 \left[\frac{-1}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i)} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-1} + \frac{(1-2t)\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1-\beta_{1i}+2t-2(2-\beta_{1i})t^2}{8(2-\beta_{1i})} \frac{\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} \right] v_i(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

and $t^{\beta_{1i}-1} {}^c D^{\beta_{1i}} u_i(t)|_{t=0} = -t^{\beta_{1i}-1} {}^c D^{\beta_{1i}} u_i(t)|_{t=1}$, $t^{\beta_{2i}-2} {}^c D^{\beta_{2i}} u_i(t)|_{t=0} = -t^{\beta_{2i}-2} {}^c D^{\beta_{2i}} u_i(t)|_{t=1}$ and $u_i(0) = -u_i(1)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$.

3.1. The Caratheodory case

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $F_1, \dots, F_k : J \times \mathbb{R}^{3k} \rightarrow P_{cp, cv}(\mathbb{R})$ are Caratheodory multifunctions, there exist a non-decreasing, bounded and continuous map $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and continuous functions $p_1, \dots, p_k : J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\|F_i(t, u_1(t), \dots, u_k(t), {}^c D^{\gamma_{1i}^1} u_1(t), \dots, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ki}^1} u_k(t), {}^c D^{\gamma_{1i}^2} u_1(t), \dots, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ki}^2} u_k(t))\| =$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\{|y| : y \in F_i(t, u_1(t), \dots, u_k(t), {}^c D^{\gamma_{11}^1} u_1(t), \dots, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ik}^1} u_k(t), {}^c D^{\gamma_{11}^2} u_1(t), \dots, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ik}^2} u_k(t))\} \\ \leq p_i(t) \psi(\|(u_1, \dots, u_k)\|) \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, $(u_1, \dots, u_k) \in \mathcal{X}$ and almost all $t \in J$. Assume that there exist constants $L_i > 0$ such that $\frac{L_i}{(\Lambda_1^i + \Lambda_2^i + \Lambda_3^i) \|p_i\|_\infty \psi(\|(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)\|)} > 1$ for all $(u_1, \dots, u_k) \in \mathcal{X}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_1^i &= \frac{3}{\Gamma(\alpha_i + 1)} + \frac{3\Gamma(2 - \beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i - \beta_{1i} + 1)} + \frac{5\Gamma(3 - \beta_{2i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i - \beta_{2i} + 1)}, \\ \Lambda_2^i &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i - \gamma_{ii}^1 + 1)} + \frac{\Gamma(2 - \beta_{1i})}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i - \beta_{1i} + 1)\Gamma(2 - \gamma_{ii}^1)} + \frac{\Gamma(3 - \beta_{2i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i - \beta_{1i} + 1)\Gamma(2 - \gamma_{ii}^1)(2 - \beta_{1i})} \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(3 - \beta_{2i})}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i - \beta_{2i} + 1)\Gamma(3 - \gamma_{ii}^1)}, \\ \Lambda_3^i &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i - \gamma_{ii}^2 + 1)} + \frac{\Gamma(3 - \beta_{2i})}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i - \beta_{2i} + 1)\Gamma(3 - \gamma_{ii}^2)} \text{ and } \|p_i\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in J} |p_i(t)| \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k. \end{aligned}$$

Then the k -dimensional system of inclusions with the boundary value conditions (1.2) has at least one solution.

Proof. Define the operator $N : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow 2^\mathcal{X}$ by $N(u_1, \dots, u_k) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} N_1(u_1, \dots, u_k) \\ N_2(u_1, \dots, u_k) \\ \vdots \\ N_k(u_1, \dots, u_k) \end{array} \right\}$, where

$$N_i(u_1, \dots, u_k) = \left\{ h \in \mathcal{X}_i : \text{there exists } v \in S_{F_{i,(u_1, \dots, u_k)}} \text{ such that} \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} v(s) ds + \int_0^1 \left[\frac{-1}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i)} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-1} + \frac{(1-2t)\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1-\beta_{1i}+2t-2(2-\beta_{1i})t^2}{8(2-\beta_{1i})} \frac{\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} \right] v(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we show that the operator N has a fixed point. First, we show that $N(u_1, \dots, u_k)$ is convex for all $(u_1, \dots, u_k) \in \mathcal{X}$. Let $(h_1, \dots, h_k), (h'_1, \dots, h'_k) \in N(u_1, \dots, u_k)$. Choose $v_i, v'_i \in S_{F_{i,(u_1, \dots, u_k)}}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} h_i(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} v_i(s) ds + \int_0^1 \left[\frac{-1}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i)} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-1} + \frac{(1-2t)\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1-\beta_{1i}+2t-2(2-\beta_{1i})t^2}{8(2-\beta_{1i})} \frac{\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} \right] v_i(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h'_i(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} v'_i(s) ds + \int_0^1 \left[\frac{-1}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i)} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-1} + \frac{(1-2t)\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1-\beta_{1i}+2t-2(2-\beta_{1i})t^2}{8(2-\beta_{1i})} \frac{\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} \right] v'_i(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in J$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $0 \leq w \leq 1$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [wh_i + (1-w)h'_i](t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} [wv_i(s) + (1-w)v'_i(s)] ds + \int_0^1 \left[\frac{-1}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i)} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(1-2t)\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1} + \frac{1-\beta_{1i}+2t-2(2-\beta_{1i})t^2}{8(2-\beta_{1i})} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} \right] v_i(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(3 - \beta_{2i})}{\Gamma(\alpha_i - \beta_{2i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i - \beta_{2i}-1} \left[wv_i(s) + (1-w)v'_i(s) \right] ds.$$

Since F_i is convex valued for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, $[wh_i + (1-w)h'_i] \in N_i(u_1, \dots, u_k)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & w(h_1, \dots, h_k) + (1-w)(h'_1, \dots, h'_k) \\ &= (wh_1 + (1-w)h'_1, \dots, wh_k + (1-w)h'_k) \in N(u_1, \dots, u_k). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we show that N maps bounded sets of X into bounded sets. Let $r > 0$, $B_r = \{(u_1, \dots, u_k) \in X : \| (u_1, \dots, u_k) \| \leq r\}$, $(u_1, \dots, u_k) \in B_r$ and $(h_1, \dots, h_k) \in N(u_1, \dots, u_k)$. Choose $(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in S_{F_1(u_1, \dots, u_k)} \times \dots \times S_{F_k(u_1, \dots, u_k)}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} h_i(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} v_i(s) ds + \int_0^1 \left[\frac{-1}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i)} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-1} + \frac{(1-2t)\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1-\beta_{1i}+2t-2(2-\beta_{1i})t^2}{8(2-\beta_{1i})} \frac{\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} \right] v_i(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in J$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$. Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} {}^cD^{\gamma_{ii}^1} h_i(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i - \gamma_{ii}^1)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i - \gamma_{ii}^1 - 1} v_i(s) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{t^{1-\gamma_{ii}^1} \Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{2\Gamma(2-\gamma_{ii}^1)\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1} v_i(s) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{t^{1-\gamma_{ii}^1} \Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{4\Gamma(2-\gamma_{ii}^1)\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})(2-\beta_{1i})} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} v_i(s) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{t^{2-\gamma_{ii}^1} \Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{2\Gamma(3-\gamma_{ii}^1)\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} v_i(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} {}^cD^{\gamma_{ii}^2} h_i(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i - \gamma_{ii}^2)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i - \gamma_{ii}^2 - 1} v_i(s) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{t^{2-\gamma_{ii}^2} \Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{2\Gamma(3-\gamma_{ii}^2)\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} v_i(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |h_i(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} |v_i(s)| ds + \int_0^1 \left| \left[\frac{-1}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i)} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-1} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{(1-2t)\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1} + \frac{1-\beta_{1i}+2t-2(2-\beta_{1i})t^2}{8(2-\beta_{1i})} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \frac{\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} \right] v_i(s) \right| ds \leq \|p_i\|_\infty \psi(\|(u_1, \dots, u_k)\|) \Lambda_1^i, \\ |{}^cD^{\gamma_{ii}^1} h_i(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i - \gamma_{ii}^1)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i - \gamma_{ii}^1 - 1} |v_i(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{t^{1-\gamma_{ii}^1} \Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{2\Gamma(2-\gamma_{ii}^1)\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1} |v_i(s)| ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{t^{1-\gamma_{ii}^1}\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{4\Gamma(2-\gamma_{ii}^1)\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})(2-\beta_{1i})}\int_0^1(1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1}|v_i(s)|ds \\ & +\frac{t^{2-\gamma_{ii}^1}\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{2\Gamma(3-\gamma_{ii}^1)\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})}\int_0^1(1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1}|v_i(s)|ds\leq\|p_i\|_\infty\psi(\|(u_1,\dots,u_k)\|)\Lambda_2^i \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |{}^cD^{\gamma_{ii}^2}h_i(t)| & \leq\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\gamma_{ii}^2)}\int_0^t(t-s)^{\alpha_i-\gamma_{ii}^2-1}|v_i(s)|ds \\ & \frac{t^{2-\gamma_{ii}^2}\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{2\Gamma(3-\gamma_{ii}^2)\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})}\int_0^1(1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1}|v_i(s)|ds\leq\|p_i\|_\infty\psi(\|(u_1,\dots,u_k)\|)\Lambda_3^i \end{aligned}$$

for all $t\in J$ and $1\leq i\leq k$. Thus,

$$\|h_i\|_i\leq(\Lambda_1^i+\Lambda_2^i+\Lambda_3^i)\|p_i\|_\infty\psi(r)$$

and so $\|(h_1,\dots,h_k)\|=\sum_{i=1}^k\|h_i\|_i\leq\psi(r)\sum_{i=1}^k(\Lambda_1^i+\Lambda_2^i+\Lambda_3^i)\|p_i\|_\infty$. Now, we show that N maps bounded sets to equi-continuous subsets of \mathcal{X} . Let $(u_1,\dots,u_k)\in B_r$, $t_1,t_2\in J$ with $t_1 < t_2$ and $(h_1,\dots,h_k)\in N(u_1,\dots,u_k)$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |h_i(t_2)-h_i(t_1)| & =\left|\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)}\int_0^{t_2}(t_2-s)^{\alpha_i-1}v_i(s)ds+\int_0^1\left[\frac{-1}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i)}(1-s)^{\alpha_i-1}\right.\right. \\ & \left.\left.+\frac{(1-2t_2)\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})}(1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1}+\frac{1-\beta_{1i}+2t_2-2(2-\beta_{1i})t_2^2}{8(2-\beta_{1i})}\right.\right. \\ & \left.\left.\frac{\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})}(1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1}\right]v_i(s)ds-\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)}\int_0^{t_1}(t_1-s)^{\alpha_i-1}v_i(s)ds\right. \\ & \left.+\int_0^1\left[\frac{-1}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i)}(1-s)^{\alpha_i-1}+\frac{(1-2t_1)\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})}(1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1}\right.\right. \\ & \left.\left.+\frac{1-\beta_{1i}+2t_1-2(2-\beta_{1i})t_1^2}{8(2-\beta_{1i})}\frac{\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})}(1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1}\right]v_i(s)ds\right| \\ & \leq\|p_i\|_\infty\psi(\|(u_1,\dots,u_k)\|)\left[\frac{t_2^{\alpha_i}-t_1^{\alpha_i}}{\Gamma(\alpha_i+1)}-\frac{2(t_2-t_1)\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}+1)}\right. \\ & \left.+\frac{2(t_2-t_1)\Gamma(3-\beta^2-i)}{8(2-\beta_{1i})\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}+1)}\right], \\ |{}^cD^{\gamma_{ii}^1}h_i(t_2)-{}^cD^{\gamma_{ii}^1}h_i(t_1)| & \leq\|p_i\|_\infty\psi(\|(u_1,\dots,u_k)\|)\left[\frac{t_2^{\alpha_i-\gamma_{ii}^1}-t_1^{\alpha_i-\gamma_{ii}^1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\gamma_{ii}^1+1)}\right. \\ & \left.+\frac{(t_2^{1-\gamma_{ii}^1}-t_1^{1-\gamma_{ii}^1})\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}+1)\Gamma(2-\gamma_{ii}^1)}+\frac{(t_2^{1-\gamma_{ii}^1}-t_1^{1-\gamma_{ii}^1})\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}+1)\Gamma(2-\gamma_{ii}^1)(2-\beta_{1i})}\right. \\ & \left.+\frac{(t_2^{2-\gamma_{ii}^1}-t_1^{2-\gamma_{ii}^1})\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}+1)\Gamma(3-\gamma_{ii}^1)}\right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |{}^cD^{\gamma_{ii}^2}h_i(t_2)-{}^cD^{\gamma_{ii}^2}h_i(t_1)| \\ & \leq\|p_i\|_\infty\psi(\|(u_1,\dots,u_k)\|)\left[\frac{t_2^{\alpha_i-\gamma_{ii}^2}-t_1^{\alpha_i-\gamma_{ii}^2}}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\gamma_{ii}^2+1)}+\frac{(t_2^{2-\gamma_{ii}^2}-t_1^{2-\gamma_{ii}^2})\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}+1)\Gamma(3-\gamma_{ii}^2)}\right] \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. This implies that $\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow t_1} |(h_1(t_2) - h_1(t_1), \dots, h_k(t_2) - h_k(t_1))| = 0$, $\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow t_1} |({}^cD^{\gamma_{ii}^1}h_1(t_2) - {}^cD^{\gamma_{ii}^1}h_1(t_1), \dots, {}^cD^{\gamma_{ii}^1}h_k(t_2) - {}^cD^{\gamma_{ii}^1}h_k(t_1))| = 0$ and

$$\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow t_1} |({}^cD^{\gamma_{ii}^2}h_1(t_2) - {}^cD^{\gamma_{ii}^2}h_1(t_1), \dots, {}^cD^{\gamma_{ii}^2}h_k(t_2) - {}^cD^{\gamma_{ii}^2}h_k(t_1))| = 0.$$

Hence by using the Arzela-Ascoli theorem for each bounded subset B of \mathcal{X} , $N(B)$ is relatively compact. Thus, N is completely continuous. Now, we show that N has a closed graph. Let $(u_1^n, \dots, u_k^n) \in \mathcal{X}$ and $(h_1^n, \dots, h_k^n) \in N(u_1^n, \dots, u_k^n)$ ($n \geq 1$) with $(u_1^n, \dots, u_k^n) \rightarrow (u_1^0, \dots, u_k^0)$ and $(h_1^n, \dots, h_k^n) \rightarrow (h_1^0, \dots, h_k^0)$. We show that $(h_1^0, \dots, h_k^0) \in N(u_1^0, \dots, u_k^0)$. For each natural number n , choose $(v_1^n, \dots, v_k^n) \in S_{F_1, (u_1^n, \dots, u_k^n)} \times \dots \times S_{F_k, (u_1^n, \dots, u_k^n)}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} h_i^n(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} v_i^n(s) ds + \int_0^1 \left[\frac{-1}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i)} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(1-2t)\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1} + \frac{1-\beta_{1i}+2t-2(2-\beta_{1i})t^2}{8(2-\beta_{1i})} \frac{\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} \right] v_i^n(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in J$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$. Define the continuous linear operator $\theta_i : L^1(J, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_i$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_i(v)(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} v(s) ds + \int_0^1 \left[\frac{-1}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i)} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(1-2t)\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1} + \frac{1-\beta_{1i}+2t-2(2-\beta_{1i})t^2}{8(2-\beta_{1i})} \frac{\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} \right] v(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 2.3, $\theta_i \circ S_{F_i}$ is a closed graph operator. Since $h_i^n \in \theta_i(S_{F_i, (u_1^n, \dots, u_k^n)})$ for all n , $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $(u_1^n, \dots, u_k^n) \rightarrow (u_1^0, \dots, u_k^0)$, there exists $v_i^0 \in S_{F_i, (u_1^0, \dots, u_k^0)}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} h_i^0(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} v_i^0(s) ds + \int_0^1 \left[\frac{-1}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i)} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(1-2t)\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1} + \frac{1-\beta_{1i}+2t-2(2-\beta_{1i})t^2}{8(2-\beta_{1i})} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} \right] v_i^0(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $h_i^0 \in N_i(u_1^0, \dots, u_k^0)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. This implies that N_i has a closed graph for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ and so N has a closed graph. Now, suppose that there exists $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that $(u_1, \dots, u_k) \in \lambda N(u_1, \dots, u_k)$. Then there exists

$$(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in S_{F_1, (u_1, \dots, u_k)} \times \dots \times S_{F_k, (u_1, \dots, u_k)}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_i(t) &= \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} v_i(s) ds + \int_0^1 \lambda \left[\frac{-1}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i)} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(1-2t)\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1} + \frac{1-\beta_{1i}+2t-2(2-\beta_{1i})t^2}{8(2-\beta_{1i})} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} \right] v_i(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in J$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$. Since $\frac{\|u\|_i}{(\Lambda_1^i + \Lambda_2^i + \Lambda_3^i) \|p_i\|_\infty \psi(\|(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)\|)} \leq 1$, $\|u_i\|_i < L_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Now, put $U = \{(u_1, \dots, u_k) \in \mathcal{X} : \|(u_1, \dots, u_k)\| < \sum_{i=1}^k L_i + 1\}$. Thus, there are no $(u_1, \dots, u_k) \in \partial U$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that $(u_1, \dots, u_k) \in \lambda N(u_1, \dots, u_k)$. Note that, the operator $N : \overline{U} \rightarrow P_{cp, cv}(\overline{U})$ is upper semi-continuous

because it is completely continuous and has closed graph. By considering definition of U , there is no $(u_1, \dots, u_k) \in \partial U$ such that $(u_1, \dots, u_k) \in \lambda N(u_1, \dots, u_k)$ for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Now by using Lemma 2.4, N has a fixed point in \bar{U} . It is easy to check that each fixed point of N is a solution of the k -dimensional system of inclusions (1.2). This completes the proof. \square

Example 3.1. Consider the 2-dimensional system of fractional differential inclusions

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D^{\frac{5}{2}} u_1(t) \in F_1(t, u_1(t), u_2(t), {}^c D^{\frac{1}{2}} u_1(t), {}^c D^{\frac{1}{3}} u_2(t), {}^c D^{\frac{5}{4}} u_1(t), {}^c D^{\frac{3}{2}} u_2(t)), \\ {}^c D^{\frac{8}{3}} u_2(t) \in F_2(t, u_1(t), u_2(t), {}^c D^{\frac{1}{4}} u_1(t), {}^c D^{\frac{3}{4}} u_2(t), {}^c D^{\frac{5}{4}} u_1(t), {}^c D^{\frac{7}{4}} u_2(t)), \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

with the boundary value conditions $t^{\frac{-1}{2}} {}^c D^{\frac{1}{2}} u_1(t)|_{t=0} = -t^{\frac{-1}{2}} {}^c D^{\frac{1}{2}} u_1(t)|_{t=1}$, $u_1(0) = -u_1(1)$, $u_2(0) = -u_2(1)$, $t^{\frac{-2}{3}} {}^c D^{\frac{1}{3}} u_2(t)|_{t=0} = -t^{\frac{-2}{3}} {}^c D^{\frac{1}{3}} u_2(t)|_{t=1}$, $t^{\frac{-3}{4}} {}^c D^{\frac{5}{4}} u_1(t)|_{t=0} = -t^{\frac{-3}{4}} {}^c D^{\frac{5}{4}} u_1(t)|_{t=1}$ and $t^{\frac{-1}{2}} {}^c D^{\frac{3}{2}} u_2(t)|_{t=0} = -t^{\frac{-1}{2}} {}^c D^{\frac{3}{2}} u_2(t)|_{t=1}$. In fact, $k = 2$, $\alpha_1 = \frac{5}{2}$, $\alpha_2 = \frac{8}{3}$, $\gamma_{11}^1 = \frac{1}{2}$, $\gamma_{12}^1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $\gamma_{11}^2 = \frac{5}{4}$, $\gamma_{12}^2 = \frac{3}{4}$, $\gamma_{21}^1 = \frac{1}{4}$, $\gamma_{22}^1 = \frac{3}{4}$, $\gamma_{21}^2 = \frac{5}{4}$, $\gamma_{22}^2 = \frac{7}{4}$, $\beta_{11} = \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta_{12} = \frac{1}{3}$, $\beta_{21} = \frac{5}{4}$, $\beta_{22} = \frac{3}{2}$ and the multifunction $F_1, F_2 : J \times \mathbb{R}^6 \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ are given by

$$F_1(t, x_1, \dots, x_6) = \left[\sin t + \frac{|x_1|}{1+|x_1|} + \cos x_2, 4 + 3t^2 + \frac{1}{1+e^{|x_3|}} + \sin x_4 + \frac{|x_6|^3}{|x_6|^3+2} \right]$$

and $F_2(t, x_1, \dots, x_6) = \left[0, \frac{e^t}{\pi} \left(\frac{|x_1|+|x_2|+|x_3|+|x_4|+|x_6|}{1+|x_1|+|x_2|+|x_3|+|x_4|+|x_6|} \right) + \sin x_5 \right]$. Thus,

$$\|F_1(t, x_1, \dots, x_6)\| = \sup\{|y| : y \in F_1(t, x_1, \dots, x_6)\} \leq 10$$

and $\|F_2(t, x_1, \dots, x_6)\| = \sup\{|y| : y \in F_2(t, x_1, \dots, x_6)\} \leq 2$. We show that F_1 and F_2 are Caratheodory multifunctions. In this way, consider the continuous maps

$$f_1(t) = \sin t + \frac{|x_1|}{1+|x_1|} + \cos x_2,$$

$$g_1(t) = 4 + 3t^2 + \frac{1}{1+e^{|x_3|}} + \sin x_4 + \frac{|x_6|^3}{|x_6|^3+2}, \quad f_2(t) = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$g_2(t) = \frac{e^t}{\pi} \left(\frac{|x_1|+|x_2|+|x_3|+|x_4|+|x_6|}{1+|x_1|+|x_2|+|x_3|+|x_4|+|x_6|} \right) + \sin x_5$$

for all t . Since the maps f_1, g_1, f_2 and g_2 are continuous, it is easy to check that the maps $t \mapsto d(y, F_1(t, x_1, \dots, x_6))$ and $t \mapsto d(y, F_2(t, x_1, \dots, x_6))$ are continuous and so measurable ones for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Also, one can check that $t \mapsto F_1(t, x_1, \dots, x_6)$ and $t \mapsto F_2(t, x_1, \dots, x_6)$ are completely continuous multifunctions via compact values and closed graph for all $t \in J$. Now by using Lemma 2.5, we get $t \mapsto F_1(t, x_1, \dots, x_6)$ and $t \mapsto F_2(t, x_1, \dots, x_6)$ are upper semi-continuous multifunctions for all $x_1, \dots, x_6 \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, F_1 and F_2 are Caratheodory multifunctions. Now, put $p_1(t) = 5$, $p_2(t) = 1$ and $\psi(t) = 2$. If $L_1 > 55.37$ and $L_2 > 10.046$, then we get $\frac{L_1}{(\Lambda_1^1 + \Lambda_2^1 + \Lambda_3^1) \|p_1\|_\infty \psi(\|(u_1, u_2)\|)} > 1$ and $\frac{L_2}{(\Lambda_1^2 + \Lambda_2^2 + \Lambda_3^2) \|p_2\|_\infty \psi(\|(u_1, u_2)\|)} > 1$. Thus, the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 hold and so the 2-dimensional system of fractional differential inclusions (3.1) has at least one solution.

3.2. The Lipschitz case

Now, we provide our next result about the existence of solution for the k -dimensional system of inclusions (1.2) by deleting the assumption of convex values for the multifunctions.

Theorem 3.2. Let $m_1, \dots, m_k \in C(J, \mathbb{R}^+)$ be such that $L = \sum_{i=1}^k \|m_i\|_\infty (\Lambda_1^i + \Lambda_2^i + \Lambda_3^i) < 1$, where $\Lambda_1^i = \frac{3}{\Gamma(\alpha_i+1)} + \frac{3\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}+1)} + \frac{5\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}+1)}$,

$$\Lambda_2^i = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i - \gamma_{ii}^1 + 1)} + \frac{\Gamma(2 - \beta_{1i})}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i - \beta_{1i} + 1)\Gamma(2 - \gamma_{ii}^1)} + \frac{\Gamma(3 - \beta_{2i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i - \beta_{2i} + 1)\Gamma(2 - \gamma_{ii}^1)(2 - \beta_{1i})}$$

$$+ \frac{\Gamma(3 - \beta_{2i})}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i - \beta_{2i} + 1)\Gamma(3 - \gamma_{ii}^1)}$$

and $\Lambda_3^i = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i - \gamma_{ii}^2 + 1)} + \frac{\Gamma(3 - \beta_{2i})}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i - \beta_{2i} + 1)\Gamma(3 - \gamma_{ii}^2)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Suppose that $F_i : J \times \mathbb{R}^{3k} \rightarrow P_{cp}(\mathbb{R})$ is a multifunction such that the map $t \mapsto F_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ is integrable bounded, measurable and

$$\begin{aligned} & H_d(F_i(t, u_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k), F_i(t, x'_1, \dots, x'_k, y'_1, \dots, y'_k, z'_1, \dots, z'_k)) \\ & \leq m_i(t) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |x_i - x'_i| + |y_i - y'_i| + |z_i - z'_i| \right) \end{aligned}$$

for almost all $t \in J$, $x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k, x'_1, \dots, x'_k, y'_1, \dots, y'_k, z'_1, \dots, z'_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then the k -dimensional system of inclusions with the boundary value conditions (1.2) has a solution.

Proof. Note that, the multifunction

$$t \mapsto F_i(t, u_1(t), \dots, u_k(t), {}^c D^{\gamma_{i1}} u_1(t), \dots, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ik}} u_k(t), {}^c D^{\gamma_{i1}} u_1(t), \dots, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ik}} u_k(t))$$

is measurable and closed valued for all $(u_1, \dots, u_k) \in X$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$. Hence, it has measurable selection and so the set $S_{F_i(u_1, \dots, u_k)}$ is nonempty for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Again, consider the operator $N : X \rightarrow 2^X$ defined

$$\text{by } N(u_1, \dots, u_k) = \begin{pmatrix} N_1(u_1, \dots, u_k) \\ N_2(u_1, \dots, u_k) \\ \vdots \\ N_k(u_1, \dots, u_k) \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where}$$

$$N_i(u_1, \dots, u_k) = \left\{ h \in X_i : \text{there exists } v \in S_{F_i(u_1, \dots, u_k)} \text{ such that} \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} v(s) ds + \int_0^1 \left[\frac{-1}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i)} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-1} + \frac{(1-2t)\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1-\beta_{1i}+2t-2(2-\beta_{1i})t^2}{8(2-\beta_{1i})} \frac{\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} \right] v(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

First, we show that $N(u_1, \dots, u_k)$ is a closed subset of X for all $(u_1, \dots, u_k) \in X$. Let $\{(u_1^n, \dots, u_k^n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in $N(u_1, \dots, u_k)$ such that $(u_1^n, \dots, u_k^n) \rightarrow (u_1^0, \dots, u_k^0)$. Choose $(v_1^n, \dots, v_k^n) \in S_{F_1(u_1, \dots, u_k)} \times S_{F_2(u_1, \dots, u_k)} \times \dots \times S_{F_k(u_1, \dots, u_k)}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_i^n(t) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} v_i^n(s) ds + \int_0^1 \left[\frac{-1}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i)} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-1} \right. \\ & + \frac{(1-2t)\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1} + \frac{1-\beta_{1i}+2t-2(2-\beta_{1i})t^2}{8(2-\beta_{1i})} \\ & \left. \frac{\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} \right] v_i^n(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in J$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$. Since F_i is compact valued for all i , $\{v_i^n\}_{n \geq 1}$ has a subsequence which converges to some $v_i^0 \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R})$. Denote the subsequence again by $\{v_i^n\}_{n \geq 1}$. It is easy to check that $v_i^0 \in S_{F_i(u_1, \dots, u_k)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} u_i^n(t) \rightarrow u_i^0(t) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} v_i^0(s) ds + \int_0^1 \left[\frac{-1}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i)} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-1} \right. \\ & + \frac{(1-2t)\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1} + \frac{1-\beta_{1i}+2t-2(2-\beta_{1i})t^2}{8(2-\beta_{1i})} \frac{\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} \left. \right] v_i^0(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in J$. This implies that $u_i^0 \in N_i(u_1, \dots, u_k)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. This implies that $(u_1^0, \dots, u_k^0) \in N(u_1, \dots, u_k)$. Now, we show that N is a contractive multifunction with the constant $L < 1$, where $L = \sum_{i=1}^k \|m_i\|_\infty (\Lambda_1^i + \Lambda_2^i + \Lambda_3^i) < 1$. Let $(x_1, \dots, x_k), (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in X$ and $(h_1, \dots, h_k) \in N(y_1, \dots, y_k)$ be given. Then, we can choose

$$(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in S_{F_1, (y_1, \dots, y_k)} \times S_{F_2, (y_1, \dots, y_k)} \times \dots \times S_{F_k, (y_1, \dots, y_k)}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} h_i(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} v_i(s) ds + \int_0^1 \left[\frac{-1}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i)} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-1} + \frac{(1-2t)\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1-\beta_{1i}+2t-2(2-\beta_{1i})t^2}{8(2-\beta_{1i})} \frac{\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} \right] v_i(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in J$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} H_d &\left(F_i(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_k(t), {}^c D^{\gamma_{1i}^1} x_1(t), \dots, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ik}^1} x_k(t), {}^c D^{\gamma_{1i}^2} x_1(t), \dots, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ik}^2} x_k(t)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. F_i(t, y_1(t), \dots, y_k(t), {}^c D^{\gamma_{1i}^1} y_1(t), \dots, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ik}^1} y_k(t), {}^c D^{\gamma_{1i}^2} y_1(t), \dots, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ik}^2} y_k(t)) \right) \\ &\leq m_i(t) \sum_{i=1}^k (|x_i(t) - y_i(t)| + |{}^c D^{\gamma_{ii}^1} x_i(t) - {}^c D^{\gamma_{ii}^1} y_i(t)| + |{}^c D^{\gamma_{ii}^2} x_i(t) - {}^c D^{\gamma_{ii}^2} y_i(t)|) \end{aligned}$$

for almost all $t \in J$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$, there exists

$$w_i \in F_i(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_k(t), {}^c D^{\gamma_{1i}^1} x_1(t), \dots, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ik}^1} x_k(t), {}^c D^{\gamma_{1i}^2} x_1(t), \dots, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ik}^2} x_k(t))$$

such that

$$|v_i(t) - w_i| \leq m_i(t) \sum_{i=1}^k (|x_i(t) - y_i(t)| + |{}^c D^{\gamma_{ii}^1} x_i(t) - {}^c D^{\gamma_{ii}^1} y_i(t)| + |{}^c D^{\gamma_{ii}^2} x_i(t) - {}^c D^{\gamma_{ii}^2} y_i(t)|)$$

for almost all $t \in J$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$. Consider the multifunction $U_i : J \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ by

$$U_i(t) = \{w \in \mathbb{R} : |v_i(t) - w| \leq m_i(t)g(t) \text{ for almost all } t \in J\},$$

where $g(t) = \sum_{i=1}^k (|x_i(t) - y_i(t)| + |{}^c D^{\gamma_{ii}^1} x_i(t) - {}^c D^{\gamma_{ii}^1} y_i(t)| + |{}^c D^{\gamma_{ii}^2} x_i(t) - {}^c D^{\gamma_{ii}^2} y_i(t)|)$. Since v_i and $\varphi_i = m_i \sum_{i=1}^k (|x_i - y_i| + |{}^c D^{\gamma_{ii}^1} x_i - {}^c D^{\gamma_{ii}^1} y_i| + |{}^c D^{\gamma_{ii}^2} x_i - {}^c D^{\gamma_{ii}^2} y_i|)$ are measurable for all i , $U_i(\cdot) \cap F_i(t, x_1(\cdot), \dots, x_k(\cdot), {}^c D^{\gamma_{1i}^1} x_1(\cdot), \dots, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ik}^1} x_k(\cdot), {}^c D^{\gamma_{1i}^2} x_1(\cdot), \dots, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ik}^2} x_k(\cdot))$ is a measurable multifunction. Thus, we can choose

$$v'_i(t) \in F_i(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_k(t), {}^c D^{\gamma_{1i}^1} x_1(t), \dots, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ik}^1} x_k(t), {}^c D^{\gamma_{1i}^2} x_1(t), \dots, {}^c D^{\gamma_{ik}^2} x_k(t))$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} h'_i(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} v'_i(s) ds + \int_0^1 \left[\frac{-1}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i)} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-1} + \frac{(1-2t)\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1-\beta_{1i}+2t-2(2-\beta_{1i})t^2}{8(2-\beta_{1i})} \frac{\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} \right] v'_i(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in J$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$. Since

$$|h_i(t) - h'_i(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i-1} |v_i(s) - v'_i(s)| ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^1 \left[\left| \frac{-1}{2\Gamma(\alpha_i)} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-1} \frac{(1-2t)\Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{4\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1} + \frac{1-\beta_{1i}+2t-2(2-\beta_{1i})t^2}{8(2-\beta_{1i})} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \frac{\Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{\Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})} (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} \right] |v_i(s) - v'_i(s)| ds \leq \Lambda_1^i \|m_i\|_\infty \|(x_1 - y_1, \dots, x_k - y_k)\|, \\
& |{}^cD^{\gamma_{ii}^1} h_i(t) - {}^cD^{\gamma_{ii}^1} h'_i(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i - \gamma_{ii}^1)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i - \gamma_{ii}^1 - 1} |v_i(s) - v'_i(s)| ds \\
& \quad + \frac{t^{1-\gamma_{ii}^1} \Gamma(2-\beta_{1i})}{2\Gamma(2-\gamma_{ii}^1) \Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{1i}-1} |v_i(s) - v'_i(s)| ds \\
& \quad + \frac{t^{1-\gamma_{ii}^1} \Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{4\Gamma(2-\gamma_{ii}^1) \Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{1i})(2-\beta_{1i})} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} |v_i(s) - v'_i(s)| ds \\
& \quad + \frac{t^{2-\gamma_{ii}^1} \Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{2\Gamma(3-\gamma_{ii}^1) \Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} |v_i(s) - v'_i(s)| ds \\
& \quad \leq \|m_i\|_\infty \|(x_1 - y_1, \dots, x_k - y_k)\| \Lambda_2^i
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& |{}^cD^{\gamma_{ii}^2} h_i(t) - {}^cD^{\gamma_{ii}^2} h'_i(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_i - \gamma_{ii}^2)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha_i - \gamma_{ii}^2 - 1} |v_i(s) - v'_i(s)| ds \\
& \quad + \frac{t^{2-\gamma_{ii}^2} \Gamma(3-\beta_{2i})}{2\Gamma(3-\gamma_{ii}^2) \Gamma(\alpha_i-\beta_{2i})} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha_i-\beta_{2i}-1} |v_i(s) - v'_i(s)| ds \\
& \quad \leq \|m_i\|_\infty \|(x_1 - y_1, \dots, x_k - y_k)\| \Lambda_3^i,
\end{aligned}$$

we get $\|h_i - h'_i\|_i \leq (\Lambda_1^i + \Lambda_2^i + \Lambda_3^i) \|m_i\|_\infty \|(x_1 - y_1, \dots, x_k - y_k)\|$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(h_1, \dots, h_k) - (h'_1, \dots, h'_k)\| = \sum_{i=1}^k \|h_i - h'_i\|_i \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^k (\Lambda_1^i + \Lambda_2^i + \Lambda_3^i) \|m_i\|_\infty \|(x_1 - y_1, \dots, x_k - y_k)\| \\
& \leq L \|(x_1, \dots, x_k) - (y_1, \dots, y_k)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $H(N(x_1, \dots, x_k), N(y_1, \dots, y_k)) \leq L \|(x_1, \dots, x_k) - (y_1, \dots, y_k)\|$ and so N is a closed valued contractive multifunction. By using Lemma 2.6, N has a fixed point. One can check that each fixed point of N is a solution for the k -dimensional system of inclusions with the boundary value conditions (1.2). \square

Example 3.2. Consider the 2-dimensional system of fractional differential inclusions

$$\begin{cases} {}^cD^{\frac{7}{3}} u_1(t) \in F_1(t, u_1(t), u_2(t), {}^cD^{\frac{1}{3}} u_1(t), {}^cD^{\frac{1}{2}} u_2(t), {}^cD^{\frac{5}{3}} u_1(t), {}^cD^{\frac{5}{4}} u_2(t)), \\ {}^cD^{\frac{13}{6}} u_2(t) \in F_2(t, u_1(t), u_2(t), {}^cD^{\frac{1}{3}} u_1(t), {}^cD^{\frac{6}{7}} u_2(t), {}^cD^{\frac{7}{6}} u_1(t), {}^cD^{\frac{7}{4}} u_2(t)), \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

with the boundary conditions $t^{-\frac{1}{2}} {}^cD^{\frac{1}{2}} u_1(t)|_{t=0} = -t^{-\frac{1}{2}} {}^cD^{\frac{1}{2}} u_1(t)|_{t=1}$, $u_1(0) = -u_1(1)$, $u_2(0) = -u_2(1)$, $t^{\frac{-3}{4}} {}^cD^{\frac{1}{4}} u_2(t)|_{t=0} = -t^{\frac{-3}{4}} {}^cD^{\frac{1}{4}} u_2(t)|_{t=1}$, $t^{-\frac{1}{2}} {}^cD^{\frac{3}{2}} u_1(t)|_{t=0} = -t^{-\frac{1}{2}} {}^cD^{\frac{3}{2}} u_1(t)|_{t=1}$ and

$$t^{\frac{-3}{5}} {}^cD^{\frac{7}{5}} u_2(t)|_{t=0} = -t^{\frac{-3}{5}} {}^cD^{\frac{7}{5}} u_2(t)|_{t=1}.$$

In fact, $k = 2$, $\alpha_1 = \frac{7}{3}$, $\alpha_2 = \frac{13}{6}$, $\gamma_{11}^1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $\gamma_{12}^1 = \frac{1}{2}$, $\gamma_{11}^2 = \frac{5}{3}$, $\gamma_{12}^2 = \frac{5}{4}$, $\gamma_{21}^1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $\gamma_{22}^1 = \frac{6}{7}$, $\gamma_{21}^2 = \frac{7}{6}$, $\gamma_{22}^2 = \frac{7}{4}$, $\beta_{11} = \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta_{12} = \frac{1}{4}$, $\beta_{21} = \frac{3}{2}$, $\beta_{22} = \frac{7}{5}$ and the multifunctions $F_1, F_2 : J \times \mathbb{R}^6 \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ are given by $F_1(t, x_1, \dots, x_6) = \left[0, \frac{t \sin x_1}{9\pi(2+t^2)} + \frac{tx_2}{75(1+|x_2|)} + \frac{|x_3|}{80(1+|x_3|)} + \frac{t \cos x_4}{10e^2} + \frac{tx_5}{70(1+|x_5|)} + \frac{t^2|x_6|}{75(1+|x_6|)}\right]$ and

$$F_2(t, x_1, \dots, x_6) = \left[0, \frac{\cos t(|x_1| + |x_2| + |x_3| + |x_4|)}{25\sqrt{\pi}(1+|x_1| + |x_2| + |x_3| + |x_4|)} + \frac{|x_5|}{45(1+|x_5|)} + \frac{t^2 \sin x_6}{30(t^2+1)}\right].$$

Since $\|F_1(t, x_1, \dots, x_6)\| = \sup\{|y| : y \in F_1(t, x_1, \dots, x_6)\} \leq \frac{t}{9\pi(2+t^2)} + \frac{t}{75} + \frac{1}{80} + \frac{t}{10e^2} + \frac{t}{70} + \frac{t^2}{75}$ and $\|F_2(t, x_1, \dots, x_6)\| = \sup\{|y| : y \in F_2(t, x_1, \dots, x_6)\} \leq \frac{\cos t}{25\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{1}{45} + \frac{t^2}{30(t^2+1)}$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_6 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in J$, we get $t \mapsto F_1(t, x_1, \dots, x_6)$ and $t \mapsto F_2(t, x_1, \dots, x_6)$ are integrable bounded multifunctions for all $x_1, \dots, x_5 \in \mathbb{R}$. By using a similar argument in Example 3.1, we can show that $t \mapsto F_1(t, x_1, \dots, x_6)$ and $t \mapsto F_2(t, x_1, \dots, x_6)$ are measurable. On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$H(F_1(t, x_1, \dots, x_6), F_1(t, y_1, \dots, y_6)) \leq \left(\frac{t}{9\pi(2+t^2)} + \frac{t}{75} + \frac{1}{80} + \frac{t}{10e^2} + \frac{t}{70} + \frac{t^2}{75}\right) \sum_{i=1}^6 |x_i - y_i|$$

and $H(F_2(t, x_1, \dots, x_6), F_2(t, y_1, \dots, y_6)) \leq \left(\frac{\cos t}{25\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{1}{45} + \frac{t^2}{30(t^2+1)}\right) \sum_{i=1}^6 |x_i - y_i|$ for all $t \in J$ and $x_1, \dots, x_6, y_1, \dots, y_6 \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, put

$$m_1(t) = \frac{t}{9\pi(2+t^2)} + \frac{t}{75} + \frac{1}{80} + \frac{t}{10e^2} + \frac{t}{70} + \frac{t^2}{75}$$

and $m_2(t) = \frac{\cos t}{25\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{1}{45} + \frac{t^2}{30(t^2+1)}$ for all $t \in J$. Then, we have

$$H(F_1(t, x_1, \dots, x_6), F_1(t, y_1, \dots, y_6)) \leq m_1(t) \sum_{i=1}^6 |x_i - y_i|$$

and $H(F_2(t, x_1, \dots, x_6), F_2(t, y_1, \dots, y_6)) \leq m_2(t) \sum_{i=1}^6 |x_i - y_i|$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_6, y_1, \dots, y_6 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in J$. On the other hand, we have

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^2 \|m_i\|_\infty (\Lambda_1^i + \Lambda_2^i + \Lambda_3^i)$$

$$\leq (0.09 \times (2.654 + 1.74 + 1.63)) + (0.06 \times (1.962 + 1.15 + 1.46)) \simeq 0.8178 < 1.$$

Thus, the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 hold and so the 2-dimensional system (3.2) has at least one solution.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their gratitude to the referees for their helpful suggestions which improved final version of this paper. Research of the authors was supported by Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University.

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, B. Ahmad, Existence theory for anti-periodic boundary value problems of fractional differential equations and inclusions, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* 62 (2011) 1200–1214.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal, M. Benchohra, S. Hamani, A survey on existence results for boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations and inclusions, *Acta Appl. Math.* 109 (2010) 973–1033.
- [3] B. Ahmad, S. K. Ntouyas, Boundary value problem for fractional differential inclusions with four-point integral boundary conditions, *Surveys in Math. Appl.* 6 (2011) 175–193.
- [4] B. Ahmad, S. K. Ntouyas, A. Alsaedi, On fractional differential inclusions with anti-periodic type integral boundary conditions, *Boundary Value Probl.* (2013) 2013:82.

- [5] B. Ahmad, N. Shahzad, *A nonlocal boundary value problem for fractional differential inclusions of arbitrary order involving convex and non-convex valued maps*, Vietnam J. Math. 38 (2010) No. 4, 435–451.
- [6] A. Alsaedi, S. K. Ntouyas, B. Ahmad, Existence of solutions for fractional differential inclusions with separated boundary conditions in Banach spaces, Abstract Appl. Anal. (2013) Article ID 869837, 17 pages.
- [7] J. Aubin, A. Cellina, *Differential inclusions: set-valued maps and viability theory*, Springer-Verlag (1984).
- [8] D. Baleanu, R. P. Agarwal, H. Mohammadi, Sh. Rezapour, *Some existence results for a nonlinear fractional differential equation on partially ordered Banach spaces*, Bound. Value Prob. (2013) 2013:112.
- [9] D. Baleanu, H. Mohammadi, Sh. Rezapour, *Some existence results on nonlinear fractional differential equations*, Philos. Trans. of the Royal Society A 371 (2013) 20120144.
- [10] D. Baleanu, H. Mohammadi, Sh. Rezapour, *The existence of solutions for a nonlinear mixed problem of singular fractional differential equations*, Adv. Diff. Eq. (2013) 2013:359.
- [11] D. Baleanu, Z. Nazemi, Sh. Rezapour, *The existence of positive solutions for a new coupled system of multi-term singular fractional integro-differential boundary value problems*, Abstract Applied Analysis (2013) Article ID 368659, 15 pages.
- [12] D. Baleanu, Z. Nazemi, Sh. Rezapour, *Attractivity for a k-dimensional system of fractional functional differential equations and global attractivity for a k-dimensional system of nonlinear fractional differential equations*, J. Ineq. Appl. (2014) 2014:31.
- [13] V. Berinde, M. Pacurar, *The role of the Pompeiu-Hausdorff metric in fixed point theory*, Creat. Math. Inform. 22 (2013) No. 2, 35–42.
- [14] M. Bragdi, A. Debbouche, D. Baleanu, *Existence of solutions for fractional differential inclusions with separated boundary conditions in Banach space*, Adv. Math. Physics (2013) Article ID 426061, 5 pages
- [15] M. Benchohra and N. Hamidi, *Fractional order differential inclusions on the Half-Lin*, Surveys in Math. Appl. 5 (2010) 99–111.
- [16] M. Benchohra, S. K. Ntouyas, *On second order differential inclusions with periodic boundary conditions*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae LXIX (2000) No. 2, 173–181.
- [17] G. Chai, *Existence results for anti-periodic boundary value problems of fractional differential equations*, Adv. Diff. Eq. (2013) 2013:53.
- [18] H. Covitz, S. Nadler, *Multivalued contraction mappings in generalized metric spaces*, Israel J. Math. 8 (1970) 5–11.
- [19] K. Deimling, *Multi-valued differential equations*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin (1992).
- [20] A. M. A. El-Sayed, A. G. Ibrahim, *Multivalued fractional differential equations*, Appl. Math. Comput. 68 (1995) 15–25.
- [21] A. Granas, J. Dugundji, *Fixed Point Theory*, Springer-Verlag (2005).
- [22] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations* North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Elsevier Science (2006).
- [23] M. Kisielewicz, *Differential inclusions and optimal control*, Kluwer, Dordrecht (1991).
- [24] 17. A. Lasota, Z. Opial, *An application of the Kakutani-Ky Fan theorem in the theory of ordinary differential equations*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Set. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 13 (1965) 781–786.
- [25] X. Liu, Z. Liu, *Existence result for fractional differential inclusions with multivalued term depending on lower-order derivative*, Abstract and Applied Analysis (2012) Article ID 423796, 24 pages.
- [26] K. S. Miller, B. Ross, *An introduction to the fractional calculus and differential equations*, John Wiley (1993).
- [27] J. J. Nieto, A. Ouahab, P. Prakash, *Extremal solutions and relaxation problems for fractional differential inclusions*, Abstract Appl. Anal. (2013) Article ID 292643, 9 pages
- [28] A. Ouahab, *Some results for fractional boundary value problem of differential inclusions*, Nonlinear Analysis 69 (2008) 3877–3896.
- [29] P. D. Phung, L. X. Truong, *On a fractional differential inclusion with integral boundary conditions in Banach space*, Fractional Calculus Appl. Anal. 16 (2013) No. 3, 538–558.
- [30] I. Podlubny, *Fractional differential equations*, Academic Press (1999).
- [31] X. Su, *Boundary value problem for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations*, Appl. Math. Letters 22 (2009) 64–69.
- [32] J. Wang, A. G. Ibrahim, *Existence and controllability results for nonlocal fractional impulsive differential inclusions in Banach spaces*, J. Function Spaces Appl. (2013) Article ID 518306, 16 pages.