



## On Some Applications of Noshiro-Warschawski's Theorem

Janusz Sokół<sup>a</sup>, Mamoru Nunokawa<sup>b</sup>, Nak Eun Cho<sup>c</sup>, Huo Tang<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Rzeszów, ul. Prof. Pigoń 1, 35-310 Rzeszów, Poland

<sup>b</sup>University of Gunma, Hoshikuki-Cho 798-8, Chuou-Ward, Chiba 260-0808, Japan

<sup>c</sup>Corresponding Author, Department of Applied Mathematics, Pukyong National University, Busan 608-737, Korea

<sup>d</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Chifeng University, Chifeng 024000, Inner Mongolia, China

**Abstract.** We apply Noshiro-Warschawski's theorem to prove that if  $f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + \dots$  is analytic in  $|z| < 1$  and if  $|\Re\{zf''(z)\}| \leq \alpha|z|^\alpha$  in  $|z| < 1$ , for some  $\alpha > 0$ , then  $f(z)$  is univalent in  $|z| < 1$ . Also, applying Ozaki's condition, we obtain several sufficient conditions for functions to be  $p$ -valent or  $p$ -valently starlike function in  $|z| < 1$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the class of functions analytic in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the class of functions being in  $\mathcal{H}$  and having the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (1)$$

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of all univalent functions in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_p \subset \mathcal{H}$  be the class of analytic functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} z^{p+n} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (2)$$

So we have  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1$ . A function  $f(z)$  which is analytic in a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  is called  $p$ -valent in  $D$  if for every complex number  $w$ , the equation  $f(z) = w$  have at most  $p$  roots in  $D$  and there will be a complex number  $w_0$  such that the equation  $f(z) = w_0$ , has exactly  $p$  roots in  $D$ .

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C80

Keywords. Carathéodory functions, univalent functions;  $p$ -valent;  $p$ -valently starlike; Ozaki's condition; Noshiro-Warschawski's theorem.

Received: 07 January 2017; Accepted: 13 January 2017

Communicated by Hari M. Srivastava

The third author was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (No. 2016R1D1A1A09916450). Also the fourth author was partly supported by the Natural Science Foundation of the People's Republic of China under Grant 11561001, 11271045; the Natural Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia of the People's Republic of China under Grant 2014MS0101 and the Higher School Foundation of Inner Mongolia of the People's Republic of China under Grants NJZY240, NJZY16251.

Email addresses: [jsoko1@prz.edu.pl](mailto:jsoko1@prz.edu.pl) (Janusz Sokół), [mamoru\\_nuno@doctor.nifty.jp](mailto:mamoru_nuno@doctor.nifty.jp) (Mamoru Nunokawa), [necho@pknu.ac.kr](mailto:necho@pknu.ac.kr) (Nak Eun Cho), [thth2009@163.com](mailto:thth2009@163.com) (Huo Tang)

The well known Noshiro-Warschawski univalence condition (see [10] and [17]), indicates that if  $f(z)$  is analytic in a convex domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  and

$$\Re\{e^{i\theta} f'(z)\} > 0 \quad (z \in D), \tag{3}$$

for some real  $\theta$ , then  $f(z)$  is univalent in  $D$ . S. Ozaki [11] extended the above result by showing that if  $f(z)$  of the form (2) is analytic in a convex domain  $D$  and for some real  $\theta$  we have

$$\Re\{e^{i\theta} f^{(p)}(z)\} > 0 \quad (z \in D),$$

then  $f(z)$  is at most  $p$ -valent in  $D$ . Applying Ozaki's theorem, we find that if  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  and

$$\Re\{f^{(p)}(z)\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}), \tag{4}$$

then  $f(z)$  is at most  $p$ -valent in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Condition (4) says that  $f^{(p)}(z)$  is a Carathéodory function. For several interesting recent developments associated with Carathéodory functions, we refer to the articles [13–16].

In [6] it was proved that if  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p, p \geq 2$ , and

$$|\arg\{f^{(p)}(z)\}| < \frac{3\pi}{4} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}), \tag{5}$$

then  $f(z)$  is at most  $p$ -valent in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Condition (5) says that  $f^{(p)}(z)$  is a strongly Carathéodory function of order  $3/2$ , see [13]. If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies

$$\Re\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

then  $f(z)$  is said to be starlike with respect to the origin in  $\mathbb{D}$  and it is denoted by  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ . It is known that  $\mathcal{S}^* \subset \mathcal{S}$ .

## 2. Main Results

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $f'(0) = 1$  and if*

$$|\Re\{zf''(z)\}| \leq \alpha|z|^\alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}) \tag{6}$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ , then  $f(z)$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

*Proof.* Applying (6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\Re\{f'(z) - 1\}| &= |\Re\{f'(z) - f'(0)\}| = \left| \Re\left\{\int_0^z f''(t) dt\right\} \right| \\ &= \left| \Re\left\{\int_0^r f''(\rho e^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta} d\rho\right\} \right| = \left| \Re\left\{\int_0^r \rho e^{i\theta} f''(\rho e^{i\theta}) \frac{1}{\rho} d\rho\right\} \right| \\ &= \left| \Re\left\{\int_0^r t f''(t) \frac{d\rho}{\rho}\right\} \right| = \left| \int_0^r \Re\{t f''(t)\} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^r |\Re\{t f''(t)\}| \frac{d\rho}{\rho} \\ &\leq \int_0^r \frac{\alpha \rho^\alpha}{\rho} d\rho = [\rho^\alpha]_0^r = r^\alpha < 1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $t = \rho e^{i\theta}, z = r e^{i\theta}$  and  $0 \leq \rho \leq r < 1$ . Therefore,

$$|\Re\{f'(z) - 1\}| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

and  $f'(z)$  satisfies condition (4), which implies the univalence of  $f(z)$  in the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *If  $g(z) \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $g'(0) \neq 0$  and if*

$$|\Re \{zg''(z)\}| \leq 2|z|^2 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}), \tag{7}$$

*then  $g(z)$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$ .*

If we take  $g(z) = z + a_2z^2$ , then  $zg''(z) = 2a_2z$  and condition (7) becomes

$$|\Re \{2za_2\}| \leq |z| \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

which is satisfied whenever  $|2a_2| \leq 1$ . Using this way, we can obtain the known and sharp result. If  $g(z) = z + xz^{n+1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then condition (6), with  $\alpha = n$ , becomes

$$|\Re \{n(n+1)xz^n\}| \leq n|z|^n \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

which is satisfied whenever  $|x| \leq 1/(n+1)$ . Therefore, if  $|x| \leq 1/n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ , then  $h(z) = z + xz^n$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**Corollary 2.3.** *If  $g(z) \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $g'(0) \neq 0$  and if*

$$\left| \Re \left\{ \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(0)} \right\} \right| \leq \alpha|z|^\alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}) \tag{8}$$

*for some  $\alpha > 0$ , then  $g(z)$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $g(z) = b_0 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots$ , then

$$f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{g'(0)} = \frac{b_0}{b_1} + z + \frac{b_2}{b_1}z^2 + \dots$$

with  $f'(0) = 1$  and by (8), we have

$$|\Re \{zf''(z)\}| = \left| \Re \left\{ \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(0)} \right\} \right| < \alpha|z|^\alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

for some  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Then Theorem 2.1 implies the univalence of  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  too, in the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Assume that  $g(z) \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $g'(0) \neq 0$ . If there exists  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  such that*

$$\left| \Re \left\{ \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(0)} \right\} \right| \leq \alpha|z| \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}), \tag{9}$$

*then  $g(z)$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$ .*

*Proof.* For  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , we have  $|z| \leq |z|^\alpha$ . Hence

$$\left| \Re \left\{ \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(0)} \right\} \right| \leq \alpha|z| \leq \alpha|z|^\alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{10}$$

Then Corollary 2.3 implies the univalence of  $f(z)$  in the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ .  $\square$

On the other hand, we have the following known univalence condition.

**Lemma 2.5.** [12] *Let  $f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + \dots$  be analytic in the unit disc and suppose that*

$$|f''(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{11}$$

*Then  $f(z)$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$ .*

Remark 1. If we denote  $z = |z|e^{i\gamma}$ ,  $f''(z) = |f''(z)|e^{i\beta}$ , then (6) becomes

$$|\Re\{|z|e^{i\gamma}|f''(z)|e^{i\beta}\}| \leq \alpha|z|^\alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Hence for  $\alpha = 1$ , we have that

$$|f''(z)| |\cos(\beta + \gamma)| \leq 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}) \tag{12}$$

implies the univalence of  $f(z)$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . So Theorem 2.1 is a generalization of Lemma 2.5. However condition (12) is not convenient.

Remark 2. Putting

$$h(z) = e^{-i\alpha} f(ze^{i\alpha}) = z + a_2 e^{i\alpha} z^2 + \dots = z + i|a_2|z^2 + \dots,$$

where  $\alpha = \pi/2 - \arg\{a_2\}$ . Therefore without loss of generality, we can consider the coefficient  $a_2$  in Lemma 2.5 which is a pure imaginary number.

**Lemma 2.6.** [9, Theorem 2, p. 93] Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ ,  $f^{(k)}(z) \neq 0$  in  $0 < |z| < 1$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$  and suppose that

$$|\arg\{f^{(p)}(z)\}| < \frac{\pi}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \log p\right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{13}$$

Then  $f(z)$  is  $p$ -valent in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^\infty a_n z^n$  be analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$ ,  $f^{(k)}(z) \neq 0$  in  $0 < |z| < 1$  for  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, p$  and suppose that

$$\left| \Im \left\{ \frac{z f^{(p+1)}(z)}{f^{(p)}(z)} \right\} \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \log p \right\} \alpha |z|^\alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}), \tag{14}$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Then  $f(z)$  is  $p$ -valent in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

*Proof.* It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\arg f^{(p)}(z)| &= \left| \Im \left\{ \log\{f^{(p)}(z)\} - \log\{f^{(p)}(0)\} \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^r \left| \Im \left\{ \frac{t f^{(p+1)}(t)}{f^{(p)}(t)} \right\} \right| \frac{1}{\rho} d\rho \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \log p \right\} \int_0^r \frac{\alpha \rho^\alpha}{\rho} d\rho \\ &< \frac{\pi}{2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \log p \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $t = \rho e^{i\theta}$  and  $0 \leq \rho \leq r < 1$ . Applying Lemma 2.6 completes the proof.  $\square$

A function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , is said to be  $p$ -valently starlike of order  $\alpha$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < p$ , if

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

The class of all such functions is usually denoted by  $S_p^*(\alpha)$ . For  $p = 1$ , we receive the well known class of normalized starlike univalent functions  $S^*(\alpha)$  of order  $\alpha$ ,  $S_p^*(0) = S_p^*$ . For further properties of starlike functions and other functions having a geometric property, we refer to [3]. In [7, 8] the second author proved the following theorems.

**Lemma 2.8.** [7] Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ , with  $p \geq 2$  and suppose that

$$\Re \{f^{(p)}(z)\} > -\frac{p! \log\{4/e\}}{2 \log\{e/2\}} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{15}$$

Then  $f(z)$  is  $p$ -valently starlike in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** [8] Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ , with  $p \geq 3$  and suppose that

$$\Re \{f^{(p)}(z)\} > -\frac{p! [1 - 4(\log\{4/e\}) \log\{e/2\}]}{4(\log\{4/e\}) \log\{e/2\}} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{16}$$

Then  $f(z)$  is  $p$ -valent in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ , with  $p \geq 2$  and suppose that

$$\left| \Re \{zf^{(p+1)}(z)\} \right| \leq \frac{p! \alpha |z|^\alpha}{2 \log\{e/2\}} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}), \tag{17}$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Then  $f(z)$  is  $p$ -valently starlike in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

*Proof.* Applying (17), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Re \{f^{(p)}(z) - f^{(p)}(0)\} \right| &= \left| \Re \left\{ \int_0^r t f^{(p+1)}(t) \frac{1}{\rho} d\rho \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^r \left| \Re \{t f^{(p+1)}(t)\} \right| \frac{1}{\rho} d\rho \leq \frac{p!}{2 \log\{e/2\}} \int_0^r \frac{\alpha \rho^\alpha}{\rho} d\rho \\ &< \frac{p!}{2 \log\{e/2\}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $t = \rho e^{i\theta}$  and  $0 \leq \rho \leq r < 1$ . Therefore, applying Lemma 2.8 shows that  $f(z)$  is  $p$ -valently starlike in  $\mathbb{D}$ .  $\square$

Applying the same method as in the proof of Theorem (2.10) and the result of Lemma 2.9, we obtain the following Theorem 2.11.

**Theorem 2.11.** Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ , with  $p \geq 3$  and suppose that

$$\left| \Re \{zf^{(p+1)}(z)\} \right| \leq \frac{p! \alpha |z|^\alpha}{4(\log\{4/e\}) \log\{e/2\}} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}), \tag{18}$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Then  $f(z)$  is  $p$ -valent in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**Theorem 2.12.** Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ , with  $p \geq 2$  and suppose that

$$|f^{(p+1)}(z)| \leq \frac{p!}{2 \log\{e/2\}} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{19}$$

Then  $f(z)$  is  $p$ -valently starlike in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

*Proof.* Applying (19), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Re \{f^{(p)}(z) - f^{(p)}(0)\} \right| &= \left| \Re \left\{ \int_0^r f^{(p+1)}(\rho e^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta} d\rho \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^r |f^{(p+1)}(\rho e^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta}| d\rho \leq \int_0^r \frac{p!}{2 \log\{e/2\}} d\rho \\ &< \frac{p!}{2 \log\{e/2\}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $t = \rho e^{i\theta}$  and  $0 \leq \rho \leq r < 1$ . Therefore, applying Lemma 2.8 shows that  $f(z)$  is  $p$ -valently starlike in  $\mathbb{D}$ .  $\square$

**References**

- [1] D. A. Brannan, W. E. Kirwan, On some classes of bounded univalent functions, *J. London Math. Soc.* **1**(2)(1969) 431–443.
- [2] S. Fukui, K. Sakaguchi, An extension of a theorem of S. Ruscheweyh, *Bull. Fac. Edu. Wakayama Univ. Nat. Sci.* **29**(1980) 1–3.
- [3] A. W. Goodman, *Univalent Functions*, Vols. I and II. Mariner Publishing Co., Tampa 1983.
- [4] I. S. Jack, Functions starlike and convex of order  $\alpha$ , *J. London Math. Soc.* **3**(2)(1971) 469–474.
- [5] S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations, Theory and Applications*, Series of Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 225, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York / Basel 2000.
- [6] M. Nunokawa, A note on multivalent functions, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **13**(2)(1989) 453–455.
- [7] M. Nunokawa, Differential inequalities and Carathéodory functions, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A* **65**(10)(1989) 326–328.
- [8] M. Nunokawa, On criterion for multivalent functions, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A* **67**(2)(1991) 35–37.
- [9] M. Nunokawa, On the theory of multivalent functions, *Panamer. Math. J.* **6**(2)(1996) 87–96.
- [10] K. Noshiro, On the theory of schlicht functions, *J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Jap.* **2**(1)(1934-35) 129–135.
- [11] S. Ozaki, On the theory of multivalent functions, *Sci. Rep. Tokyo Bunrika Daigaku Sect. A* **2**(1935) 167–188.
- [12] S. Ozaki, I. Ono, T. Umezawa, On a general second order derivative, *Sci. Rep. Tokyo Bunrika Daigaku*, **124**(5)(1956) 111–114.
- [13] H. Shiraishi, S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava, Sufficient conditions for strongly Carathéodory functions, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **62**(2011), 2978–2987.
- [14] Y. J. Sim, O. S. Kwon, N. E. Cho and H. M. Srivastava, Some sets of sufficient conditions for Carathéodory functions, *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **21**(2016), 1243–1254.
- [15] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Some new results associated with Carathéodory functions of order  $\alpha$ , *J. Comput. Sci. Appl. Math.* **2** (1)(2016), 11–13.
- [16] Q.-H. Xu, T. Yang and H. M. Srivastava, Sufficient conditions for a general class of Carathéodory functions, *Filomat* **30**(2016), 3615–3625.
- [17] S. Warschawski, On the higher derivatives at the boundary in conformal mapping, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **38**(1935) 310–340.