



C-Class Functions and Pair (\mathcal{F}, h) upper Class on Common Best Proximity Points Results for New Proximal C-Contraction mappings

A.H.Ansari^a, Geno Kadwin Jacob^b, D. Chellapillai^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Karaj, Iran.

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Bharathidasan University, Trichy- 620 024, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract. In this paper, using the concept of C-class and Upper class functions we prove the existence of unique common best proximity point. Our main result generalizes results of Kumam et al. [[17]] and Parvaneh et al. [[21]].

To the memory of Professor Lj. Ćirić (1935–2016)

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Consider a pair (A, B) of nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) . Assume that f is a function from A into B . An element $w \in A$ is said to be a best proximity point whenever $d(w, fw) = d(A, B)$, where

$$d(A, B) = \inf\{d(s, t) : s \in A, t \in B\}.$$

Best proximity point theory of non-self functions was initiated by Fan [1] and Kirk et al. [[16]]; see also [[19][15][11][13] [4][8][9][24][25][20][18]].

Definition 1.1. Consider non-self functions $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n : A \rightarrow B$. We say the a point $s \in A$ is a common best proximity point of f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n if

$$d(s, f_1(s)) = d(s, f_2(s)) = \dots = d(s, f_n(s)) = d(A, B).$$

Definition 1.2. ([17]) Let (X, d) be a metric space and $\emptyset \neq A, B \subset X$. We say the pair (A, B) has the V-property if for every sequence $\{t_n\}$ of B satisfying $d(s, t_n) \rightarrow d(s, B)$ for some $s \in A$, there exists a $t \in B$ such that $d(s, t) = d(s, B)$.

Definition 1.3. ([5]) A continuous function $F : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called C-class function if for any $s, t \in [0, \infty)$, the following conditions hold:

- (1) $F(s, t) \leq s$;
- (2) $F(s, t) = s$ implies that either $s = 0$ or $t = 0$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47H10; Secondary 54H25

Keywords. common best proximity point; triangular α -proximal admissible; proximal C-contraction, C-class functions, pair (\mathcal{F}, h) upper class

Received: 17 September 2016; Accepted: 30 January 2017

Communicated by Vladimir Rakoćević

Email addresses: analsisamirmath2@gmail.com (A.H.Ansari), genomaths@gmail.com (Geno Kadwin Jacob), chellapillai2@gmail.com (D. Chellapillai)

An extra condition on F that $F(0,0) = 0$ could be imposed in some cases if required. The letter C will denote the class of all C - functions.

Example 1.4. ([5]) Following examples show that the class C is nonempty:

1. $F(s, t) = s - t$.
2. $F(s, t) = ms$, for some $m \in (0, 1)$.
3. $F(s, t) = \frac{s}{(1+t)^r}$ for some $r \in (0, \infty)$.
4. $F(s, t) = \log(t + a^s)/(1 + t)$, for some $a > 1$.
5. $F(s, t) = \frac{s}{\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x}}{\sqrt{x+t}} dx$, where Γ is the Euler Gamma function.

Definition 1.5. [6, 7] We say that the function $h: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function of subclass of type I, if $x \geq 1 \implies h(1, y) \leq h(x, y)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Example 1.6. [6, 7] Define $h: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by:

- (a) $h(x, y) = (y + l)^x, l > 1$;
- (b) $h(x, y) = (x + l)^y, l > 1$;
- (c) $h(x, y) = x^n y, n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (d) $h(x, y) = y$;
- (e) $h(x, y) = \frac{1}{n+1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n x^i \right) y, n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (f) $h(x, y) = \left[\frac{1}{n+1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n x^i \right) + l \right]^y, l > 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then h is a function of subclass of type I.

Definition 1.7. [6, 7] Let $h, \mathcal{F}: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then we say that the pair (\mathcal{F}, h) is an upper class, if h is a function of subclass of type I and: (i) $0 \leq s \leq 1 \implies \mathcal{F}[s, t] \leq \mathcal{F}[1, t]$, (ii) $h(1, y) \leq \mathcal{F}[1, t] \implies y \leq t$ for all $t, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Example 1.8. [6, 7] Define $h, \mathcal{F}: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by:

- (a) $h(x, y) = (y + l)^x, l > 1$ and $\mathcal{F}[s, t] = st + l$;
- (b) $h(x, y) = (x + l)^y, l > 1$ and $\mathcal{F}[s, t] = (1 + l)^{st}$;
- (c) $h(x, y) = x^m y, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{F}[s, t] = st$;
- (d) $h(x, y) = y$ and $\mathcal{F}[s, t] = t$;
- (e) $h(x, y) = \frac{1}{n+1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n x^i \right) y, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{F}[s, t] = st$;
- (f) $h(x, y) = \left[\frac{1}{n+1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n x^i \right) + l \right]^y, l > 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{F}[s, t] = (1 + l)^{st}$

for all $x, y, s, t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then the pair (\mathcal{F}, h) is an upper class of type I.

Let Φ_u denote the class of the functions $\varphi: [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ which satisfy the following conditions:

- (a) φ continuous;
- (b) $\varphi(u, v) > 0, (u, v) \neq (0, 0)$ and $\varphi(0, 0) \geq 0$.

Let Ψ_a be a set of all continuous functions $\psi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying the following conditions:

(ψ_1) ψ is continuous and strictly increasing.

(ψ_2) $\psi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

Also we denote by Ψ the family of all continuous functions from $[0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty)$ to $[0, +\infty)$ such that $\psi(u, v) = 0$ if and only if $u = v = 0$ where $\psi \in \Psi$.

Lemma 1.9. ([14]) Suppose (X, d) is a metric space. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $\{x_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence then there exist an $\varepsilon > 0$ and sequences of positive integers $\{m(k)\}$ and $\{n(k)\}$ with

$m(k) > n(k) > k$ such that $d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \geq \varepsilon$, $d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) < \varepsilon$ and

(i) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)+1}) = \varepsilon$;

(ii) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) = \varepsilon$;

(iii) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) = \varepsilon$

We note that also can see $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1}) = \varepsilon$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) = \varepsilon$

Definition 1.10. ([21]) Let (X, d) be a metric space, $\emptyset \neq A, B \subset X$, $\alpha : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a function and $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ non-self mappings. We say that (f, g) is a triangular α -proximal admissible pair, if for all $p, q, r, t_1, t_2, s_1, s_2 \in A$,

$$T_1 : \begin{cases} \alpha(t_1, t_2) \geq 1 \\ d(s_1, f(t_1)) = d(A, B) \\ d(s_2, g(t_2)) = d(A, B) \end{cases} \implies \alpha(s_1, s_2) \geq 1$$

$$T_2 : \begin{cases} \alpha(p, r) \geq 1 \\ \alpha(r, q) \geq 1 \end{cases} \implies \alpha(p, q) \geq 1.$$

Let (X, d) be a metric space, $\emptyset \neq A, B \subset X$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= \{x \in A : d(x, y) = d(A, B) \text{ for some } y \in B\} \\ B_0 &= \{y \in B : d(x, y) = d(A, B) \text{ for some } x \in A\} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Definition 1.11. ([21]) Let (X, d) be a metric space, $\emptyset \neq A, B \subset X$, and $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ non-self mappings. We say that (f, g) is a generalized proximal C -contraction pair if, for all $s, t, p, q \in A$,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} d(s, f(p)) &= d(A, B) \\ d(t, g(q)) &= d(A, B) \end{aligned} \right\} \implies d(s, t) \leq \frac{1}{2}(d(p, t) + d(q, s)) - \psi(d(p, t), d(q, s)), \tag{2}$$

in which $\psi \in \Psi$.

Definition 1.12. ([21]) Let (X, d) be a metric space, $\emptyset \neq A, B \subset X$, $\alpha : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a function and $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ non-self functions. If, for all $s, t, p, q \in A$,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} d(s, f(p)) &= d(A, B) \\ d(t, g(q)) &= d(A, B) \end{aligned} \right\} \implies \alpha(p, q)d(s, t) \leq \frac{1}{2}(d(p, t) + d(q, s)) - \psi(d(p, t), d(q, s)), \tag{3}$$

then (f, g) is said to be an α -proximal C_1 -contraction pair.

If in the definition above, we replace (2) by

$$(\alpha(p, q) + l)^{d(s,t)} \leq (l + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}(d(p,t)+d(q,s)) - \psi(d(p,t), d(q,s))}, \tag{4}$$

where $l > 0$, then (f, g) is said to be an α -proximal C_2 -contraction pair.

In this paper, we generalize some results of Parvaneh et al. [[21]] to obtain some new common best proximity point theorems. Next, by an example and some fixed point results, we support our main result.

2. Main Results

Definition 2.1. Let A and B be two nonempty subsets of a metric space, (X, d) . Let $\mu : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a function and $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ non-self mappings. We say that (f, g) is a triangular μ – subproximal admissible pair, if for all $p, q, r, s, t_1, t_2, s_1, s_2 \in A$,

$$T_1 : \begin{cases} \mu(t_1, t_2) \leq 1, \\ d(s_1, f(t_1)) = d(A, B), \\ d(s_2, f(t_2)) = d(A, B) \end{cases} \implies \mu(s_1, s_2) \leq 1$$

$$T_2 : \begin{cases} \mu(p, r) \leq 1, \\ \mu(r, q) \leq 1 \end{cases} \implies \mu(p, q) \leq 1$$

Definition 2.2. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $\emptyset \neq A, B \subset X$, and $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ non-self mappings. We say that (f, g) is a generalized proximal C -contraction pair of type C -class if, for all $s, t, p, q \in A$,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} d(s, f(p)) = d(A, B) \\ d(t, g(q)) = d(A, B) \end{array} \right\} \implies d(s, t) \leq F\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(p, t) + d(q, s)), \psi(d(p, t), d(q, s))\right), \quad (5)$$

in which $\psi \in \Psi_u$.

Definition 2.3. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $\emptyset \neq A, B \subset X$, $\alpha : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a function and $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ non-self functions. If, for all $s, t, p, q \in A$,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} d(s, f(p)) = d(A, B) \\ d(t, g(q)) = d(A, B) \end{array} \right\} \implies h(\alpha(p, q), d(s, t)) \leq \mathcal{F}\left(\mu(p, q), F\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(p, t) + d(q, s)), \psi(d(p, t), d(q, s))\right)\right), \quad (6)$$

then (f, g) is said to be an α, μ -proximal C -contraction pair of type C -class.

Theorem 2.4. Let A and B be two nonempty subsets of a metric space, (X, d) . Let A be complete and A_0 be nonempty. Moreover, assume that the non-self functions $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ satisfy;

- (i). f, g are continuous,
 - (ii). $f(A_0) \subset B_0$ and $g(A_0) \subset B_0$,
 - (iii). (f, g) is a generalised proximal C -contraction pair of type C -class ,
- Then, the functions f and g have a unique common best proximity point.

Proof. Choose, $s_0 \in A_0$ be arbitrary. Since $f(A_0) \subset B_0$, there exists $s_1 \in A_0$ such that

$$d(s_1, f(s_0)) = d(A, B).$$

Since $g(A_0) \subset B_0$, there exists $s_2 \in A_0$ such that $d(s_2, g(s_1)) = d(A, B)$. Now as $f(A_0) \subset B_0$, there exists $s_3 \in A_0$ such that $d(s_3, f(s_2)) = d(A, B)$.

We continue this process and construct a sequence $\{s_n\}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} d(s_{2n+1}, f(s_{2n})) = d(A, B), \\ d(s_{2n+2}, g(s_{2n+1})) = d(A, B). \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Claim(1).

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(s_n, s_{n+1}) = 0 \quad (8)$$

From (5) we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n+2}) &\leq F\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+2}) + d(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n+1})), \Psi(d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+2}), d(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n+1}))\right) \\
 &= F\left(\frac{1}{2}d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+2}), \Psi(d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+2}), 0)\right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2}d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+2}) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2}[d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}) + d(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n+2})]
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

which implies $d(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n+2}) \leq d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1})$. Therefore, $\{d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1})\}$ is an non-negative decreasing sequence and so converges to $d > 0$. Now, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (9), we get

$$d \leq \frac{1}{2}d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(d + d) = d$$

that is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}) = 2d. \tag{10}$$

Again, taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (9), and using (10)we get

$$F(d, \Psi(2d, 0)) = d$$

So, $d = 0$, or , $\Psi(2d, 0) = 0$ and hence $d = 0$.

Claim(2). $\{s_n\}$ is cauchy.

By, (8) it is enough to show that subsequence $\{s_{2n}\}$ is cauchy. Suppose, to the contrary, that $\{s_{2n}\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence. By lemma (1.9) there exists $\epsilon > 0$ for which we can find subsequences $\{s_{2n_k}\}$ and $\{s_{2m_k}\}$ of $\{s_{2n}\}$ with $2n_k > 2m_k > 2k$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \epsilon &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(s_{2m(k)}, s_{2n(k)}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(s_{2m(k)}, s_{2n(k)+1}) \\
 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(s_{2m(k)+1}, s_{2n(k)}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(s_{2m(k)+1}, s_{2n(k)+1})
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

From (5) we have

$$d(s_{2n_{k+1}}, s_{2m_k}) \leq F\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(s_{2m_k}, s_{2n_k}) + s_{2n_{k+1}}, s_{2m_{k-1}}), \Psi(d(s_{2m_k}, s_{2n_k}), s_{2n_{k+1}}, s_{2m_{k-1}})\right) \tag{12}$$

Taking $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality and using (11), and the continuity of F, Ψ , we would obtain

$$F\left(\frac{1}{2}(\epsilon + \epsilon), \Psi(\epsilon, \epsilon)\right) = \epsilon$$

and therefore, $\epsilon = 0$, or , $\Psi(\epsilon, \epsilon) = 0$, which would imply $\epsilon = 0$, a contradiction. Thus, $\{s_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence. Since A is complete, there is a $z \in A$ such that $s_n \rightarrow z$. Now, from

$$d(s_{2n+1}, f(s_{2n})) = d(A, B), \quad d(s_{2n+2}, g(s_{2n+1})) = d(A, B)$$

By continuity of f and g , taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have $d(z, f(z)) = d(z, g(z)) = d(A, B)$. So, z is a common best proximity point of the mappings f and g . Let, w is also a common best proximity point of mappings f and g . From (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(z, w) &\leq F\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(z, w) + d(w, z)), -\Psi(d(z, w), d(w, z))\right) \\
 &= F(d(z, w), \Psi(d(z, w), d(w, z)))
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

So, $d(z, w) = 0$, or , $\Psi(d(z, w), d(z, w)) = 0$, Hence $d(z, w) = 0$, and therefore $z = w$. \square

Theorem 2.5. Let A and B be two nonempty subsets of a metric space, (X, d) . Let A be complete and A_0 be nonempty. Moreover, assume that the non-self functions $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ satisfy;

- (i). f, g are continuous,
- (ii). $f(A_0) \subset B_0$ and $g(A_0) \subset B_0$,
- (iii). (f, g) is an α, μ -proximal C-contraction pair of type C-class ,
- (iv). (f, g) is a triangular α -proximal admissible pair and a triangular μ - subproximal admissible pair,
- (v). there exist $s_0, s_1 \in A_0$ such that $d(s_1, f(s_0)) = d(A, B)$, $\alpha(s_1, s_0) \geq 1, \mu(s_1, s_0) \leq 1$. Then, the functions f and g have a common best proximity point. Furthermore, if $z, w \in X$ are common best proximity points and $\alpha(z, w) \geq 1, \mu(z, w) \leq 1$, then common best proximity point is unique.

Proof. By (iv), we can find $s_0, s_1 \in A_0$ such that

$$d(s_1, f(s_0)) = d(A, B), \quad \alpha(s_1, s_0) \geq 1, \mu(s_1, s_0) \leq 1.$$

Define the sequence $\{s_n\}$ as in (7) of the theorem(2.4). Since, (f, g) is triangular α -proximal admissible and triangular μ - subproximal admissible, we have $\alpha(s_n, s_{n+1}) \geq 1, \mu(s_n, s_{n+1}) \leq 1$. Then

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(s_n, s_{n+1}) \geq 1, \\ d(s_{2n+1}, f(s_{2n})) = d(A, B) \\ d(s_{2n+2}, g(s_{2n+1})) = d(A, B). \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \mu(s_n, s_{n+1}) \leq 1, \\ d(s_{2n+1}, f(s_{2n})) = d(A, B) \\ d(s_{2n+2}, g(s_{2n+1})) = d(A, B). \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

If $s = s_{2n+1}, t = s_{2n+2}, p = s_{2n}, q = s_{2n+1}$, and (f, g) is an α, μ -proximal C-contraction pair of type C-class. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} h(1, d(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n+2})) &\leq h(\alpha(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}), d(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n+2})) \\ &\leq \mathcal{F} \left[\mu(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}), F\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+2}) + d(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n+1}))\right), \psi(d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+2}), d(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n+1})) \right), \\ &\leq \mathcal{F} \left[1, F\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+2}) + d(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n+1}))\right), \psi(d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+2}), d(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n+1})) \right), \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned} d(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n+2}) &\leq F\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+2}) + d(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n+1})), \Psi(d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+2}), d(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n+1}))\right) \\ &= F\left(\frac{1}{2}d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+2}), \Psi(d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+2}), 0)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+2}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}[d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}) + d(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n+2})] \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

which implies $d(s_{2n+1}, s_{2n+2}) \leq d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1})$. Therefore, $\{d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1})\}$ is an non-negative decreasing sequence and so converges to $d > 0$. Now, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (16), we get

$$d \leq \frac{1}{2}d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(d + d) = d$$

that is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(s_{2n}, s_{2n+1}) = 2d. \tag{17}$$

Again, taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (9), and using (17) we get

$$F(d, \Psi(2d, 0)) = d$$

So, $d = 0$, or $\Psi(2d, 0) = 0$ and hence $d = 0$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(s_n, s_{n+1}) = 0 \tag{18}$$

Now we prove that

$$\alpha(s_{2m_k-1}, s_{2n_k}) \geq 1, \mu(s_{2m_k-1}, s_{2n_k}) \leq 1, \quad n_k > m_k > k. \tag{19}$$

Since (f, g) is triangular α -proximal admissible and triangular μ -subproximal admissible and

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(s_{2m_k-1}, s_{2m_k}) \geq 1 \\ \alpha(s_{2m_k}, s_{2m_k+1}) \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mu(s_{2m_k-1}, s_{2m_k}) \leq 1 \\ \mu(s_{2m_k}, s_{2m_k+1}) \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

From (T_2) of definition(1.10) and definition(2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(s_{2m_k-1}, s_{2m_k+1}) &\geq 1 \\ \mu(s_{2m_k-1}, s_{2m_k+1}) &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Again, since (f, g) is triangular α -proximal admissible and triangular μ -subproximal admissible and

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(s_{2m_k-1}, s_{2m_k+1}) \geq 1 \\ \alpha(s_{2m_k+1}, s_{2m_k+2}) \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mu(s_{2m_k-1}, s_{2m_k+1}) \leq 1 \\ \mu(s_{2m_k+1}, s_{2m_k+2}) \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

From (T_2) of definition(1.10) and definition(2.1) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(s_{2m_k-1}, s_{2m_k+2}) &\geq 1 \\ \mu(s_{2m_k-1}, s_{2m_k+2}) &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

By continuing this process, we get (19).

Now, we prove that $\{s_n\}$ is Cauchy.

By, (18) it is enough to show that subsequence $\{s_{2n}\}$ is Cauchy. Suppose, to the contrary, that $\{s_{2n}\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence. By lemma (1.9) there exists $\epsilon > 0$ for which we can find subsequences $\{s_{2n_k}\}$ and $\{s_{2m_k}\}$ of $\{s_{2n}\}$ with $2n_k > 2m_k > 2k$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(s_{2m(k)}, s_{2n(k)}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(s_{2m(k)}, s_{2n(k)+1}) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(s_{2m(k)+1}, s_{2n(k)}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(s_{2m(k)+1}, s_{2n(k)+1}) \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Now if let $s = s_{2n_k+1}$, $t = s_{2m_k}$, $p = s_{2n_k}$, $q = s_{2m_k} - 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} h(1, d(s_{2n_k+1}, s_{2m_k})) &\leq h(\alpha(s_{2n_k}, s_{2m_k-1}), d(s_{2n_k+1}, s_{2m_k})) \\ &\leq \mathcal{F}\left[\mu(s_{2n_k}, s_{2m_k-1}), F\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(s_{2n_k}, s_{2m_k}) + d(s_{2m_k-1}, s_{2n_k+1})), \psi(d(s_{2n_k}, s_{2m_k}), d(s_{2m_k-1}, s_{2n_k+1}))\right)\right] \\ &\leq \mathcal{F}\left[1, F\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(s_{2n_k}, s_{2m_k}) + d(s_{2m_k-1}, s_{2n_k+1})), \psi(d(s_{2n_k}, s_{2m_k}), d(s_{2m_k-1}, s_{2n_k+1}))\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$d(s_{2n_k+1}, s_{2m_k}) \leq F\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(s_{2m_k}, s_{2n_k}) + d(s_{2n_k+1}, s_{2m_k-1})), \Psi(d(s_{2m_k}, s_{2n_k}), d(s_{2n_k+1}, s_{2m_k-1}))\right) \tag{21}$$

Taking $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality and using (20), and the continuity of F, Ψ , we would obtain

$$F\left(\frac{1}{2}(\epsilon + \epsilon), \Psi(\epsilon, \epsilon)\right) = \epsilon$$

and therefore, $\epsilon = 0$, or, $\Psi(\epsilon, \epsilon) = 0$, which would imply $\epsilon = 0$, a contradiction. Thus, $\{s_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence. Since A is complete, there is a $z \in A$ such that $s_n \rightarrow z$. Now, from

$$d(s_{2n+1}, f(s_{2n})) = d(A, B), \quad d(s_{2n+2}, g(s_{2n+1})) = d(A, B)$$

By continuity of f and g , taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have $d(z, f(z)) = d(z, g(z)) = d(A, B)$. So, z is a common best proximity point of the mappings f and g . Let, w is also a common best proximity point of mappings f and g . Since $\alpha(z, w) \geq 1$, $\mu(z, w) \leq 1$ from (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(1, d(z, w)) &\leq h(\alpha(z, w), d(z, w)) \\ &\leq \mathcal{F}\left[\mu(z, w), F\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(z, w) + d(w, z)), \psi(d(z, w), d(w, z))\right)\right], \\ &\leq \mathcal{F}\left[1, F\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(z, w) + d(w, z)), \psi(d(z, w), d(w, z))\right)\right], \end{aligned}$$

therefore ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, w) &\leq F\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(z, w) + d(w, z)), -\Phi(d(z, w), d(w, z))\right) \\ &= F(d(z, w), \Phi(d(z, w), d(w, z))) \end{aligned}$$

So, $d(z, w) = 0$, or, $\Psi(d(z, w), d(z, w)) = 0$, Hence $d(z, w) = 0$, and therefore $z = w$. \square

Definition 2.6. ([21]) Let $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ self-mappings and $p, q, r \in X$ be any three elements. We say that (f, g) is a triangular α -admissible pair if

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \alpha(p, q) \geq 1 &\implies \alpha(f(p), g(q)) \geq 1 \text{ or } \alpha(g(p), f(q)) \geq 1, \\ (ii) \begin{cases} \alpha(p, r) \geq 1 \\ \alpha(r, q) \geq 1 \end{cases} &\implies \alpha(p, q) \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.7. Let $\mu : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ self-mappings and $p, q, r \in X$ be any three elements. We say that (f, g) is a triangular μ -subadmissible pair if

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \mu(p, q) \leq 1 &\implies \mu(f(p), g(q)) \leq 1 \text{ or } \mu(g(p), f(q)) \leq 1, \\ (ii) \begin{cases} \mu(p, r) \leq 1 \\ \mu(r, q) \leq 1 \end{cases} &\implies \mu(p, q) \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

The corollary is an consequence of the last theorem.

Corollary 2.8. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$. Moreover, let the self functions f and g satisfy:

- (i) f and g are continuous,
- (ii) there exists $s_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(s_0, f(s_0)) \geq 1$,
- (iii) (f, g) is a triangular α -admissible pair and triangular μ - subadmissible pair ,
- (iv) for all $p, q \in X$,

$$\alpha(p, q)d(f(p), g(q)) \leq \frac{1}{2}\mu(p, q)(d(p, g(q)) + d(q, f(p))) - \Psi(d(p, g(q)), d(q, f(p)))$$

(or)

$$(\alpha(p, q) + 1)^{d(f(p), g(q))} \leq (1 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}\mu(p, q)(d(p, g(q)) + d(q, f(p)))} - \Psi(d(p, g(q)), d(q, f(p)))$$

Then f and g have common fixed point. Moreover, if $x, y \in X$ are common fixed points and $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1, \mu(x, y) \leq 1$, then the common fixed point of f and g is unique, that is $x = y$.

Now, we remove the continuity hypothesis of f and g and get the following theorem.

Theorem 2.9. Let A and B be two nonempty subsets of a metric space, (X, d) . Let A be complete, the pair (A, B) have the V -property, and A_0 be nonempty. Moreover, assume that the non-self functions $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ satisfy;

- (i). $f(A_0) \subset B_0$ and $g(A_0) \subset B_0$,
- (ii). (f, g) is a generalised proximal C -contraction pair of type C -class,

Then, the functions f and g have a unique common best proximity point.

Proof. By Theorem(2.4), there is a cauchy sequence $\{s_n\} \subset A$ and $z \in A_0$ such that (7) holds and $s_n \rightarrow z$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, B) &\leq d(z, f(s_{2n})) \\ &\leq d(z, s_{2n+1}) + d(s_{2n+1}, f(s_{2n})) \\ &\leq d(z, s_{2n+1}) + d(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

we take $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, and we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z, f(s_n)) = d(z, B) = d(A, B). \tag{22}$$

Since the pair (A, B) has the V -property, there is a $p \in B$ such that $d(z, p) = d(A, B)$ and so $z \in A_0$. Moreover, Since $f(A_0) \subset B_0$, there is a $q \in A$ such that

$$d(q, f(z)) = d(A, B). \tag{23}$$

Furthermore $d(s_{2n+2}, g(s_{2n+1})) = d(A, B)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since (f, g) is a generalised proximal C -contraction pair, we have

$$d(q, s_{2n+2}) \leq F\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(z, s_{2n+2}) + d(s_{2n+1}, q)), \Psi(d(z, s_{2n+2}), d(s_{2n+1}, q))\right)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we have

$$d(q, z) \leq F\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(z, q)), \Psi(d(z, q), 0)\right)$$

So, $d(q, z) = 0$, or , $\Psi(d(q, z), 0) = 0$, Thus $d(z, q) = 0$, which implies that $z = q$. Then, by (23), z is a best proximity point of f .

Similarly, it is easy to prove that z is a best proximity point of g . Then, z is a common best proximity point of f and g . By the proof of Theorem(2.4), we conclude that f and g have unique common best proximity point. \square

Theorem 2.10. Let A and B be two nonempty subsets of complete metric space (X, d) . Let A be complete, the pair (A, B) have V -property and A_0 is non-empty. Moreover, suppose that the non-self functions $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ satisfy:

(i) $f(A_0) \subset B_0$ and $g(A_0) \subset B_0$,

(ii) (f, g) is an α, μ -proximal C -contraction pair of type C -class,

(iii) (f, g) is a triangular α -proximal admissible pair, and a triangular μ -subproximal admissible pair

(iv) there exist $s_0, s_1 \in A_0$ such that $d(s_1, f(s_0)) = d(A, B)$, $\alpha(s_1, s_0) \geq 1$, $\mu(s_1, s_0) \leq 1$.

(v) if $\{s_n\}$ is a sequence in A such that $\alpha(s_n, s_{n+1}) \geq 1$, $\mu(s_n, s_{n+1}) \leq 1$ and $s_n \rightarrow s_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\alpha(s_n, s_0) \geq 1$, $\mu(s_n, s_0) \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Then f and g have a common best proximity point. Furthermore, if $z, w \in X$ are common best proximity points and $\alpha(z, w) \geq 1$, $\mu(z, w) \leq 1$, then common best proximity point is unique.

Proof. As similar to the proof of Theorem (2.5) that there exist a sequence $\{s_n\}$ and z in A such that $s_n \rightarrow z$ and $\alpha(s_n, s_{n+1}) \geq 1$, $\mu(s_n, s_{n+1}) \leq 1$. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, B) &\leq d(z, f(s_{2n})) \\ &\leq d(z, s_{2n+1}) + d(s_{2n+1}, f(s_{2n})) \\ &\leq d(z, s_{2n+1}) + d(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

we take $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, and we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z, f(s_n)) = d(z, B) = d(A, B). \tag{24}$$

Since the pair (A, B) has the V -property, there is a $p \in B$ such that $d(z, p) = d(A, B)$ and so $z \in A_0$. Moreover, Since $f(A_0) \subset B_0$, there is a $q \in A$ such that

$$d(q, f(z)) = d(A, B). \tag{25}$$

Furthermore $d(s_{2n+2}, g(s_{2n+1})) = d(A, B)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, by (v), $\alpha(s_n, z) \geq 1$, $\mu(s_n, z) \leq 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. By (f, g) is an α, μ -proximal C -contraction pair of type C -class, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &h(1, d(q, s_{2n+2})) \\ &\leq h(\alpha(z, s_{2n+1}), d(q, s_{2n+2})) \\ &\leq \mathcal{F}\left[\mu(z, s_{2n+1}), F\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(z, s_{2n+2}) + d(s_{2n+1}, q)) - \Psi(d(z, s_{2n+2}), d(s_{2n+1}, q))\right)\right] \\ &\leq \mathcal{F}\left[1, F\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(z, s_{2n+2}) + d(s_{2n+1}, q)), \psi(d(z, s_{2n+2}), d(s_{2n+1}, q))\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$d(q, s_{2n+2}) \leq F\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(z, s_{2n+2}) + d(s_{2n+1}, q)), \psi(d(z, s_{2n+2}), d(s_{2n+1}, q))\right)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we have

$$d(q, z) \leq F\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(z, q)), \psi(0, d(z, q))\right)$$

So, $d(q, z) = 0$, or $\Psi(0, d(q, z)) = 0$, thus $d(z, q) = 0$, which implies that $z = q$. Then, by (25), z is a best proximity point of f . Similarly, we can prove z is a best proximity point of g . Therefore, z is a common

best proximity point of f and g . If $z, w \in X$ are common best proximity points and $\alpha(z, w) \geq 1, \mu(z, w) \leq 1$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, w) &\leq F\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(z, w) + d(w, z)), \Phi(d(z, w), d(w, z))\right) \\ &= F(d(z, w), \Phi(d(z, w), d(w, z))) \\ &\leq d(z, w) \end{aligned}$$

So, $d(z, w) = 0$, or $\Psi(d(z, w), d(z, w)) = 0$, Therefore, $d(z, w) = 0$ and hence $z = w$. \square

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of the main theorem of this section.

Corollary 2.11. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$. Moreover, let the self functions f and g satisfy:

- (i) there exists $s_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(s_0, f(s_0)) \geq 1$,
- (ii) (f, g) is a triangular α -admissible pair,
- (iii) for all $p, q \in X$,

$$\alpha(p, q)d(f(p), g(q)) \leq \frac{1}{2}\mu(p, q)(d(p, g(q)) + d(q, f(p))) - \Psi(d(p, g(q)), d(q, f(p)))$$

(or)

$$(\alpha(p, q) + l)^{d(f(p), g(q))} \leq (l + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}\mu(p, q)(d(p, g(q)) + d(q, f(p)))} - \Psi(d(p, g(q)), d(q, f(p)))$$

(iv) if $\{s_n\}$ is a sequence in A such that $\alpha(s_n, s_{n+1}) \geq 1$ and $s_n \rightarrow s_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\alpha(s_n, s_0) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then f and g have common fixed point. Moreover, if $x, y \in X$ are common fixed points and $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$, then the common fixed point of f and g is unique, that is $x = y$.

Example 2.12. Consider $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the usual metric, $A = \{-8, 0, 8\}$ and $B = \{-4, -2, 4\}$. Then, A and B are nonempty closed subsets of X with $d(A, B) = 2, A_0 = \{0\}$ and $B_0 = \{-2\}$. Define $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ by $f(0) = -2, f(8) = 4, f(-8) = -4$ and $g(x) = -2$ for all $x \in A$. and $\Psi : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\Psi(s, t) = \sqrt{st}$ also $F(s, t) = s - t$. If,

$$\begin{cases} d(u, f(p)) = d(A, B) = 2 \\ d(v, f(q)) = d(A, B) = 2 \end{cases}$$

then, $u = v = p = 0$ and $q \in A$. Hence all the conditions of Theorem(2.4) hold for this example and clearly 0 is the unique best proximity point of f and g .

Example 2.13. Let $X = [0, 2] \times [0, 2]$ and d be the Euclidean metric. Let

$$A = \{(0, m) : 0 \leq m \leq 2\} \quad B = \{(2, m) : 0 \leq m \leq 2\}$$

Then, $d(A, B) = 2, A_0 = A$ and $B_0 = B$. Define $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ by $f(0, m) = (2, m)$ and $g(0, m) = (2, 2)$. Also define $\alpha, \mu : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\mu(p, q) = 1$ and

$$\alpha(p, q) = \begin{cases} \frac{10}{9} & \text{if } p, q \in (0, 2) \times \{(0, 0), (0, 2)\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $\Psi : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\Psi(s, t) = 2 \quad \text{for all } s, t \in X$$

also $F(s, t) = \frac{s}{1+t}h(x, y) = xy$, and $\mathcal{F}(s, t) = st$. Assume that

$$\begin{cases} d(u, f(p)) = d(A, B) = 2 \\ d(v, f(q)) = d(A, B) = 2 \end{cases}$$

Hence, $u = p$ and $v = (0, 2)$, then $u = v$ and (2) holds. If $p \neq (0, 2)$, then $\alpha(p, q) = 0$ and (2) holds, which implies that (f, g) is an α -proximal C-contraction pair of type C-class. Hence, all the hypothesis of the Theorem(*) are satisfied. Moreover, if $\{s_n\}$ is a sequence such that $\alpha(s_n, s_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $s_n \rightarrow s_0$, then $s_n = (0, 2)$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ and hence $s_0 = (0, 2)$. Then $\alpha(s_n, s_0) \geq 1$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$. Clearly, (A, B) has the V-property and then all the conclusions of Theorem(2.10) hold. Clearly, $(0, 2)$ is the unique common best proximity point of f and g .

Example 2.14. Let $X = [0, 3] \times [0, 3]$ and d be the Euclidean metric. Let

$$A = \{(0, m) : 0 \leq m \leq 3\} \quad B = \{(3, m) : 0 \leq m \leq 3\}$$

Then, $d(A, B) = 3$, $A_0 = A$ and $B_0 = B$. Define $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ by

$$f(0, m) = \begin{cases} (3, 3) & m = \frac{3}{2} \\ (3, \frac{m}{2}) & m \neq \frac{3}{2} \end{cases}$$

and $g(0, m) = (3, 3)$. Also define $\alpha, \mu : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\mu(p, q) = 1$ and

$$\alpha(p, q) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } p, q \in (0, \frac{3}{2}) \times A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $\Psi : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\Psi(s, t) = \frac{1}{2}(s + t) \quad \text{for all } s, t \in X$$

also $F(s, t) = s - t, h(x, y) = xy$, and $\mathcal{F}(s, t) = st$.

It is easy to see that all required hypothesis of Theorem(2.10) are satisfied unless (iii). Clearly f and g have no common best proximity point. It is worth noting that pair (f, g) does not have the triangular α -proximal admissible property.

References

- [1] W. Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis, (3rd edition), McGraw-Hill, New York, 1986.
- [2] J. A. Goguen, L-fuzzy sets, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 18 (1967) 145–174.
- [3] P. Erdős, S. Shelah, Separability properties of almost-disjoint families of sets, Israel Journal of Mathematics 12 (1972) 207–214.
- [4] F. Akbar, M. A. Kutbi, M. H. Shah, N. Shafqat, Random coupled and tripled best proximity results with cyclic contraction in metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 9(3) (2016) 940–956.
- [5] A. H. Ansari, Note on φ - ψ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point, The 2nd Regional Conference on Mathematics And Applications, Payame Noor University (2014) 377–380.
- [6] A. H. Ansari, Note on α -admissible mappings and related fixed point theorems, The 2nd Regional Conference on Mathematics And Applications, PNU (2014), 373–376.
- [7] A. H. Ansari and Satish Shukla, Some fixed point theorems for ordered F - (\mathcal{F}, h) -contraction and subcontractions in 0- f -orbitally complete partial metric spaces, J. Adv. Math. Stud. Vol. 9 (2016) 37–53.
- [8] H. Aydi, A. Felhi, E. Karapinar, On common best proximity points for generalized α - ψ -proximal contractions, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 9(5) (2016) 2658–2670.
- [9] H. Aydi, A. Felhi, Best proximity points for cyclic Kannan-Chatterjea-Ciric type contractions on metric-like spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 9(5) (2016) 2458–2466.
- [10] V. Berinde, Comparing Krasnoselskij and Mann iterative methods for Lipschitzian generalized pseudocontractions, In Proceedings of the International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Its Applications, Valencia, Spain, July 13-19, 2003 (Garcia-Falset, J. et al., Eds.), Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama (2004) 15–26.
- [11] C. Di Bari, T. Suzuki, C. Vetro, Best proximity points for cyclic Meir-Keeler contractions, Nonlinear Anal. 69 (2008) 3790–3794.
- [12] K. Fan, Extensions of two fixed point theorems of F. E. Browder, Math. Z. 12 (1969) 234–240.
- [13] M. Gabeleh, Proximal weakly contractive and proximal nonexpansive non-self-mappings in metric and Banach spaces, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 158 (2013) 615–625.

- [14] G.V.R. Babu and P.D. Sailaja, A Fixed Point Theorem of Generalized Weakly Contractive Maps in Orbitally Complete Metric Spaces, *Thai Journal of Mathematics* 9 (2011) 1–10.
- [15] M. Z. Haddadi, Best proximity point iteration for nonexpensive mapping in Banach spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 7(2) (2014) 126–130.
- [16] W. A. Kirk, S. Reich, P. Veeramani, Proximinal retracts and best proximity pair theorems, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 24 (2003) 851–862.
- [17] P. Kumam, P. Salimi, C. Vetro, Best proximity point results for modified α -proximal C-contraction mappings, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* (2014).
- [18] A. Latif, M. Abbas, A. Hussain, Coincidence best proximity point of Fg-weak contractive mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 9(5) (2016) 2448–2457.
- [19] C. Mongkolkeha, W. Sintunavarat, Best proximity points for multiplicative proximal contraction mapping on multiplicative metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 8(6) (2015) 1134–1140.
- [20] V. Pragadeeswarar, M. Marudai, P. Kumam, Best proximity point theorems for multivalued mappings on partially ordered metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 9(4), 1911–1921.
- [21] Parvaneh Lo'lo', Seiyed Mansour Vaezpour, Reza Saadati, Common best proximity points results for new proximal C-contraction mappings, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* (2016).
- [22] X. Qin, and Y. Su, Viscosity approximation methods for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, *Carpathian J. Math.* 22 (2006) No.1-2 163–172.
- [23] I. A. Rus, A. Petruşel, G. Petruşel, *Fixed Point Theory: 1950 – 2000. Romanian Contributions*, House of the Book of Science, Cluj-Napoca (2002).
- [24] S. Sadiq Basha, N. Shahzad, Best proximity point theorems for generalized proximal contractions, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 42 (2012).
- [25] T. Suzuki, M. Kikkawa, C. Vetro, The existence of best proximity points in metric spaces with the property UC, *Nonlinear Anal.* 71 (2009) 2918–2926.