



On Perturbed Monomials on 2-adic Spheres Around 1

Nacima Memić^a, Zenan Šabanac^a

^aUniversity of Sarajevo, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Zmaja od Bosne 33-35, Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Abstract. We provide a complete description of ergodic perturbed monomials on 2-adic spheres around the unity.

1. Introduction

As it was mentioned in [4], non-expanding dynamics on the ring of p -adic integers \mathbb{Z}_p have been explicitly studied in many papers like [3], [2], [8] and [7]. Recently, some results on dynamical systems were considered on spheres [4] and on general compact sets [9]. First results on ergodicity for monomial dynamical systems on p -adic spheres were obtained in [6]. Later, ergodicity criteria for locally analytic dynamical systems on p -adic spheres were studied in [1].

Let \mathbb{Z}_2 denote the ring of 2-adic integers endowed with its ultra-metric norm $|\cdot|$ and natural probability measure μ . It is known that each element x from \mathbb{Z}_2 has the form $x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i 2^i$, where $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

Let S consist of a collection of $2^n \mathbb{Z}_2$ -cosets and for arbitrary $x \in S$ let the elements $x, f(x), \dots, f^{k-1}(x)$ be representatives of distinct classes of $2^n \mathbb{Z}_2$ -cosets, where $k = 2^n \mu(S)$.

An isometric function $f : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ is said to be transitive modulo 2^n on S if the set $\{x, f(x), \dots, f^{k-1}(x)\}$ is composed of only one cycle. In other words, $f^k(x) = x \pmod{2^n}$, but $f^r(x) \neq x \pmod{2^n}$, for all $r < k$.

We recall that in [2, Theorem 1.1.] and [3, Proposition 4.35.] we find that an isometric function $f : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ is ergodic on S if and only if it is transitive modulo 2^n on the set S for every positive integer n . Moreover, [4, Section 4.] is about perturbed monomials on spheres $S_{2^r}(1)$ centered at 1 with radius 2^{-r} . These are functions of the form $f(x) = x^s + 2^{r+1}u(x)$, where the function u is 1-Lipschitz. Our attempt is to study these functions with arbitrary functions u defined on the ring \mathbb{Z}_2 . We describe all ergodic perturbed monomials of this form on $S_{2^r}(1)$ for different values of integers s and r . Then, [4, Theorem 4.1.] is obtained as a direct consequence of this description. Our results are based on some reformulation of [7, Lemma 3.12.] on a compact set of \mathbb{Z}_2 which consists of two disjoint balls of the same measure.

2. Main Results

LEMMA 2.1. *Let a and b be different nonnegative integers. Let $a, b < 2^k$, where k is some positive integer. Set $S = (a + 2^k \mathbb{Z}_2) \cup (b + 2^k \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Let $f : S \rightarrow S$ be isometric. Then, f is ergodic on S if and only if the following conditions are satisfied*

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11S82

Keywords. Perturbed monomials, Transitive functions, 2-adic spheres

Received: 28 July 2016; Accepted: 20 October 2016

Communicated by Dragana S. Cvetković-Ilić

Email addresses: nacima.o@gmail.com (Nacima Memić), zsabanac@pmf.unsa.ba (Zenan Šabanac)

- (1) $f(a) - b = 0 \pmod{2^k}$,
- (2) $f(a) + f(b) = a + b + 2^k \pmod{2^{k+1}}$,
- (3)
$$\sum_{\epsilon_k, \dots, \epsilon_{k+n} \in \{0,1\}} \left(f\left(a + \sum_{i=k}^{k+n} \epsilon_i 2^i\right) + f\left(b + \sum_{i=k}^{k+n} \epsilon_i 2^i\right) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\epsilon_k, \dots, \epsilon_{k+n} \in \{0,1\}} \left(a + \sum_{i=k}^{k+n} \epsilon_i 2^i + b + \sum_{i=k}^{k+n} \epsilon_i 2^i \right) + 2^{k+n+1} \pmod{2^{k+n+2}}, \forall n \geq 0.$$

Proof. Recall that according to [7, Lemma 3.12.], an isometric function g is transitive modulo 2^{n+1} , $n \geq 1$, if and only if it is transitive modulo 2^n and S_n is odd, where

$$S_n = \sum_{0 \leq m \leq 2^n - 1} g_{m,n}, \quad g(m) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_{m_i} 2^i, \quad g_{m_i} \in \{0, 1\}, \forall i \geq 0.$$

This can be expressed as

$$\sum_{m=0}^{2^n-1} g(m) = \sum_{m=0}^{2^n-1} m + 2^n \pmod{2^{n+1}}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\psi : \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow S$ defined by $\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 2^{k-1}x + a, & x \in 2\mathbb{Z}_2; \\ 2^{k-1}(x - 1) + b, & x \in 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_2. \end{cases}$

It is clear that $g := \psi^{-1} \circ f \circ \psi$ is ergodic on \mathbb{Z}_2 if and only if f is ergodic on S . For $n \geq 2$ (2.1) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) &\Leftrightarrow \sum_{m=0}^{2^n-1} \psi^{-1} \circ f \circ \psi(m) = 2^{n-1}(2^n - 1) + 2^n \pmod{2^{n+1}} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \sum_{0 \leq m \leq 2^n-1, m \text{ even}} \psi^{-1} \circ f(2^{k-1}m + a) + \sum_{0 \leq m \leq 2^n-1, m \text{ odd}} \psi^{-1} \circ f(2^{k-1}(m - 1) + b) = 2^{n-1} \pmod{2^{n+1}} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \sum_{0 \leq m \leq 2^n-1, m \text{ even}} \left(\frac{f(2^{k-1}m + a) - b}{2^{k-1}} + 1 + \frac{f(2^{k-1}m + b) - a}{2^{k-1}} \right) = 2^{n-1} \pmod{2^{n+1}} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \sum_{0 \leq m \leq 2^n-1, m \text{ even}} (f(2^{k-1}m + a) + f(2^{k-1}m + b)) = 2^{n-1}(a + b) \pmod{2^{n+k}} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \sum_{\epsilon_k, \dots, \epsilon_{k+n-2} \in \{0,1\}} \left(f\left(a + \sum_{i=k}^{k+n-2} \epsilon_i 2^i\right) + f\left(b + \sum_{i=k}^{k+n-2} \epsilon_i 2^i\right) \right) = \sum_{\epsilon_k, \dots, \epsilon_{k+n-2} \in \{0,1\}} \left(a + \sum_{i=k}^{k+n-2} \epsilon_i 2^i + b + \sum_{i=k}^{k+n-2} \epsilon_i 2^i \right) + 2^{n+k-1} \pmod{2^{n+k}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand it is clear that f is transitive modulo 2^k on S if and only if Condition (1) is satisfied and Condition (2) is equivalent to (2.1) for $n = 1$. \square

Theorem 2.2. Let s and r be positive integers. Assume that $s = 1 \pmod{4}$. Let the functions f and u be defined on \mathbb{Z}_2 such that $f(x) = x^s + 2^{r+1}u(x)$. Denote by $S_{2^r}(1)$ the sphere of radius 2^{-r} centered at 1. Then, f is ergodic on $S_{2^r}(1)$ if and only if u satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $|u(x) - u(y)| < 2^{r+1}|x - y|, \forall x, y \in S_{2^r}(1)$,
- (2)
$$\sum_{\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} u\left(1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i\right) = 2^{l-r} \pmod{2^{l-r+1}}, \forall l \geq r.$$

Proof. It is clear that $g(x) = x^s$ is isometric on $S_{2^r}(1)$. Then, f is also isometric on this set if and only if Condition (1) is satisfied. On the other hand, applying Lemma 2.1 if f is isometric on $S_{2^r}(1)$ then it is also ergodic on this set if and only the following formula holds:

$$\sum_{\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} f(1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) = \sum_{\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) + 2^{l+1} \pmod{2^{l+2}}, \forall l \geq r. \tag{2.2}$$

The main idea of the proof is based on the fact that the function g is ergodic on some specific subsets depending on the values of r and l . Lemma 2.1 is then applied on g which yields that f is ergodic if and only if u satisfies statement (2) of this theorem.

Set $s = 1 + 2^k \pmod{2^{k+1}}$, for some $k \geq 2$. We first consider the case when $r = 1$.

For every positive even integer m and all $x \in S_2(1)$, we have $x^m + 1 = 2 \pmod{4}$.

For every positive odd integer m and all $|x| = 1$, we have

$$|x^m + 1| = |x + 1| \cdot |x^{m-1} - x^{m-2} + \dots + x^2 - x + 1| = |x + 1|, \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$|x^m - 1| = |x - 1| \cdot |x^{m-1} + x^{m-2} + \dots + x^2 + x + 1| = |x - 1|. \tag{2.4}$$

It follows that for $x \in S_2(1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |x^s - x| &= |x^{s-1} - 1| = |x^{\frac{s-1}{2}} - 1| \cdot |x^{\frac{s-1}{2}} + 1| = \frac{1}{2} |x^{\frac{s-1}{2}} + 1| = \dots = \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} |x^{\frac{s-1}{2^k}} - 1| \cdot |x^{\frac{s-1}{2^k}} + 1| = \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} |x - 1| \cdot |x + 1| = \frac{1}{2^k} |x + 1|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Moreover,

$$|x^{s^2} - x| = |x^{s^2-1} - 1| = |x^{(s-1)\frac{s+1}{2}} - 1| \cdot |x^{(s-1)\frac{s+1}{2}} + 1| = |x^{s-1} - 1| \cdot |x^{s-1} + 1| = \frac{1}{2} |x^{s-1} - 1|. \tag{2.6}$$

By means of [9, Lemma 3.1.] and [5, Proposition 9] (see also [9, Lemma 3.3.] as a modified version of [5, Proposition 9]), we get that g is ergodic on each set of the form $x + \frac{2^k}{|x+1|} \mathbb{Z}_2$, where $x \in S_2(1)$.

Now we verify that (2.2) is equivalent to Condition (2) for all $l \geq 1$. First, for $l \leq k$ and $x \in S_2(1)$ we have from (2.5)

$$|x^s - x| = \frac{1}{2^k} |x + 1| \leq \frac{1}{2^l} |x + 1| \leq \frac{1}{2^{l+2}}.$$

It follows immediately that Condition (2) is equivalent to (2.2). Now, let $l \geq k + 1$. We have

$$x^s = x \pmod{2^{l+2}}, \forall x \in \{|x + 1| \leq 2^{-l-2+k}\}. \tag{2.7}$$

Moreover, from Lemma 2.1, since as mentioned above g is ergodic on each set of the form $x + \frac{2^k}{|x+1|} \mathbb{Z}_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall t \leq l - k, \forall x \in \{|x + 1| = 2^{-t}\}, \forall \epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_{t+k-1} \in \{0, 1\} : \\ \sum_{\epsilon_{t+k}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i)^s = \sum_{\epsilon_{t+k}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) + 2^{l+1} \pmod{2^{l+2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} t = l - k + 1, \forall x \in \{|x + 1| = 2^{-t}\} : \\ (3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i)^s = (3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) + 2^{l+1} \pmod{2^{l+2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

It follows from (2.5) and (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall t \leq l - k + 1, \forall x \in \{|x + 1| = 2^{-t}\}: \\ \sum_{\epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i)^s = \sum_{\epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) + \sum_{\epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_{t+k-1} \in \{0,1\}} 2^{l+1} \pmod{2^{l+2}} \\ = \sum_{\epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) \pmod{2^{l+2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

We obtain from (2.7) and (2.10)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (3 + \sum_{i=2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i)^s &= \sum_{t=2}^{l+1-k} \sum_{\epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i)^s + \sum_{\epsilon_{l+2-k}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (3 + \sum_{i=2}^{l+1-k} 2^i + \sum_{i=l+2-k}^l \epsilon_i 2^i)^s \\ &= \sum_{t=2}^{l+1-k} \sum_{\epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) + \sum_{\epsilon_{l+2-k}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (3 + \sum_{i=2}^{l+1-k} 2^i + \sum_{i=l+2-k}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) \pmod{2^{l+2}} \\ &= \sum_{\epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (3 + \sum_{i=2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) \pmod{2^{l+2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

which completes the proof for the case when $r = 1$.

Now, let $r \geq 2$. We have from (2.4) for $x \in S_{2^r}(1)$

$$|x^s - x| = |x^{s-1} - 1| = |x^{\frac{s-1}{2}} + 1| \cdot |x^{\frac{s-1}{2}} - 1| = \frac{1}{2} |x^{\frac{s-1}{2}} - 1| = \dots = \frac{1}{2^k} |x^{\frac{s-1}{2^k}} - 1| = \frac{1}{2^k} |x - 1|. \tag{2.12}$$

Also,

$$|x^{s^2} - x| = |x^{s^2-1} - 1| = |x^{(s-1)\frac{s+1}{2}} - 1| \cdot |x^{(s-1)\frac{s+1}{2}} + 1| = |x^{s-1} - 1| \cdot |x^{s-1} + 1| = \frac{1}{2} |x^{s-1} - 1|. \tag{2.13}$$

Hence, g is ergodic on each set of the form $x + 2^{r+k}\mathbb{Z}_2$, where $x \in S_{2^r}(1)$. In order to see that Condition (2) is equivalent to (2.2), first consider the case when $l \leq r + k - 2$. From (2.12)

$$|x^s - x| = \frac{1}{2^k} |x - 1| = \frac{1}{2^{k+r}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{l+2}},$$

which gives immediately that Condition (2) is equivalent to (2.2).

Besides, when $l \geq r + k$, for all $\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_{r+k-1} \in \{0, 1\}$ we have from ergodicity of function g on the set $1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^{r+k-1} \epsilon_i 2^i + 2^{r+k}\mathbb{Z}_2$:

$$\sum_{\epsilon_{r+k}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i)^s = \sum_{\epsilon_{r+k}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) + 2^{l+1} \pmod{2^{l+2}}.$$

Also, for $l = r + k - 1$ and all $\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_{r+k-1} \in \{0, 1\}$

$$(1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i)^s = (1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) + 2^{l+1} \pmod{2^{l+2}}.$$

This yields for $l \geq r + k - 1$,

$$\sum_{\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i)^s = \sum_{\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) + \sum_{\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_{r+k-1} \in \{0,1\}} 2^{l+1} \pmod{2^{l+2}}$$

$$= \sum_{\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) \pmod{2^{l+2}}.$$

□

Theorem 2.3. Let s and r be positive integers. Assume that $s \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $r \geq 2$. Let the functions f and u be defined on \mathbb{Z}_2 such that $f(x) = x^s + 2^{r+1}u(x)$. Then, f is ergodic on $S_{2^r}(1)$ if and only if u satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $|u(x) - u(y)| < 2^{r+1}|x - y|, \forall x, y \in S_{2^r}(1),$
- (2) $u(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \forall x \in S_{2^r}(1),$
- (3) $\sum_{\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} u(1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) \equiv 2^{l-r} \pmod{2^{l-r+1}}, \forall l \geq r + 1.$

Proof. Arguing as in the previous theorem, it suffices to prove that an isometric function f is ergodic on $S_{2^r}(1)$ if and only if Conditions (2) and (3) are simultaneously satisfied. The sphere $S_{2^r}(1)$ can be expressed as $(x + 2^{r+2}\mathbb{Z}_2) \cup (x + 2^{r+1} + 2^{r+2}\mathbb{Z}_2)$, for all $x \in S_{2^r}(1)$. Notice that from Condition (1) of Lemma 2.1 f is transitive modulo 2^{r+2} if and only if $f(x) \equiv x + 2^{r+1} \pmod{2^{r+2}}$, for all $x \in S_{2^r}(1)$. Namely,

$$x^s - x + 2^{r+1}u(x) \equiv 2^{r+1} \pmod{2^{r+2}}, \forall x \in S_{2^r}(1).$$

From (2.3) and (2.4)

$$|x^s - x| = |x^{s-1} - 1| = |x^{\frac{s-1}{2}} + 1| \cdot |x^{\frac{s-1}{2}} - 1| = |x + 1| \cdot |x - 1| = 2^{-r-1}. \tag{2.14}$$

Hence, f is transitive modulo 2^{r+2} if and only if Condition (2) is true. It remains to prove that f is transitive modulo 2^{l+2} for all $l \geq r + 1$ if and only if Condition (3) is valid.

If $s \equiv 2^k - 1 \pmod{2^{k+1}}$, let $l \in \{r + 1, \dots, k + r - 1\}$. Notice that from (2.3) and (2.4) we also have

$$\begin{aligned} |x^{s^2} - x| &= |x^{s^2-1} - 1| = |x^{\frac{s^2-1}{2}(s-1)} + 1| \cdot |x^{\frac{s^2-1}{2}(s-1)} - 1| = \frac{1}{2} |x^{\frac{s^2-1}{2}(s-1)} - 1| = \dots = \\ &= \frac{1}{2^k} |x^{\frac{s^2-1}{2^k}(s-1)} - 1| = \frac{1}{2^k} |x^{\frac{s^2-1}{2^k} \frac{s-1}{2}} + 1| \cdot |x^{\frac{s^2-1}{2^k} \frac{s-1}{2}} - 1| = \frac{1}{2^k} |x + 1| \cdot |x - 1| = 2^{-k-r-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

From (2.14) and (2.15) we conclude that the function g is ergodic on each set of the form $(x + 2^{k+r+1}\mathbb{Z}_2) \cup (x^s + 2^{k+r+1}\mathbb{Z}_2)$, where $x \in S_{2^r}(1)$. Hence, $\forall x \in S_{2^r}(1) : g^2(x + 2^{k+r+1}\mathbb{Z}_2) = x + 2^{k+r+1}\mathbb{Z}_2$. It follows that $\forall l \in \{r + 1, \dots, k + r - 1\}, \forall x \in S_{2^r}(1) : g^2(x + 2^{l+2}\mathbb{Z}_2) = x + 2^{l+2}\mathbb{Z}_2$. Therefore, $\forall l \in \{r + 1, \dots, k + r - 1\}, \forall x \in S_{2^r}(1)$ function g is transitive modulo 2^{l+1} on the set $(x + 2^{l+1}\mathbb{Z}_2) \cup (x^s + 2^{l+1}\mathbb{Z}_2)$, but it is not transitive modulo 2^{l+2} . According to Lemma 2.1, for $a = x, b = x^s \pmod{2^{l+1}}$ and $k = l + 1$, Condition (1) is verified, but Condition (2) which gives transitivity of g modulo 2^{l+2} is not verified.

We get $g(a) + g(b) \equiv a + b \pmod{2^{l+2}}$. Namely, if x has the form $x = a = 1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i$ and $x^s = b \pmod{2^{l+1}}$,

where $b = 1 + 2^r + 2^{r+1} + \sum_{i=r+2}^l \epsilon'_i 2^i$, we must have

$$(1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i)^s + (1 + 2^r + 2^{r+1} + \sum_{i=r+2}^l \epsilon'_i 2^i)^s \equiv (1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) + (1 + 2^r + 2^{r+1} + \sum_{i=r+2}^l \epsilon'_i 2^i) \pmod{2^{l+2}}.$$

This yields

$$\sum_{\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i)^s = \sum_{\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) \pmod{2^{l+2}},$$

which implies that Condition (3) is equivalent to the transitivity of f modulo 2^{l+2} .

Besides, for $l \geq k + r$, since function g is ergodic on each set of the form $(x + 2^{k+r+1}\mathbb{Z}_2) \cup (x^s + 2^{k+r+1}\mathbb{Z}_2)$, we get for all fixed $\epsilon_{r+2}, \dots, \epsilon_{k+r} \in \{0, 1\}$, if $\epsilon'_{r+2}, \dots, \epsilon'_{k+r} \in \{0, 1\}$ are such that

$$(1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+2}^{k+r} \epsilon_i 2^i)^s \in 1 + 2^r + 2^{r+1} + \sum_{i=r+2}^{k+r} \epsilon'_i 2^i + 2^{k+r+1}\mathbb{Z}_2,$$

according to Lemma 2.1 (3) we must have for $l \geq k + r + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\epsilon_{k+r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} \left((1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i)^s + (1 + 2^r + 2^{r+1} + \sum_{i=r+2}^{k+r} \epsilon'_i 2^i + \sum_{i=r+k+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i)^s \right) \\ &= \sum_{\epsilon_{k+r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} \left((1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) + (1 + 2^r + 2^{r+1} + \sum_{i=r+2}^{k+r} \epsilon'_i 2^i + \sum_{i=r+k+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) \right) + 2^{l+1} \pmod{2^{l+2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $l = r + k$, by Lemma 2.1 (2), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+2}^{r+k} \epsilon_i 2^i)^s + (1 + 2^r + 2^{r+1} + \sum_{i=r+2}^{k+r} \epsilon'_i 2^i)^s \\ &= (1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+2}^{r+k} \epsilon_i 2^i) + (1 + 2^r + 2^{r+1} + \sum_{i=r+2}^{k+r} \epsilon'_i 2^i) + 2^{l+1} \pmod{2^{l+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for every $l \geq k + r$, we also get that f is transitive modulo 2^{l+2} if and only if Condition (3) is true because

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i)^s &= \sum_{\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) + \sum_{\epsilon_{r+2}, \dots, \epsilon_{r+k} \in \{0,1\}} 2^{l+1} \pmod{2^{l+2}} \\ &= \sum_{\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) \pmod{2^{l+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.4. Let $s \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Let the functions f and u be defined on \mathbb{Z}_2 such that $f(x) = x^s + 4u(x)$. Then, f is ergodic on $S_2(1)$ if and only if u satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $|u(x) - u(y)| < 4|x - y|, \forall x, y \in S_2(1)$,
- (2) $u(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \forall x \in S_2(1)$,
- (3) $\sum_{\epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} u(3 + \sum_{i=2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{l+2}}, \forall l \geq 2$.

Proof. As seen above, f is isometric if and only if Condition (1) is true. Assume that Condition (1) is satisfied. As seen in (2.14) we have that

$$|x^s - x| = |x + 1| \cdot |x - 1| = \frac{1}{2}|x + 1| \leq \frac{1}{8}, \forall x \in S_2(1). \tag{2.16}$$

Therefore, f is transitive modulo 8 if and only if $4u(x) \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, which is equivalent to Condition (2).

Recall that for $s = 2^k - 1 \pmod{2^{k+1}}$, from (2.15) we have

$$|x^{s^2} - x| = \frac{1}{2^k}|x + 1| \cdot |x - 1| = \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}|x + 1|. \tag{2.17}$$

This means that g is ergodic on each set of the form $(x + \frac{2^{k+1}}{|x+1|}\mathbb{Z}_2) \cup (x^s + \frac{2^{k+1}}{|x+1|}\mathbb{Z}_2)$, where $x \in S_2(1)$.

In order to prove that f is transitive modulo 2^{l+2} , for all $l \geq 2$ if and only if Condition (3) is satisfied it suffices to verify that

$$\sum_{\epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (3 + \sum_{i=2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i)^s = \sum_{\epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (3 + \sum_{i=2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) + 2^{l+1} \pmod{2^{l+2}}. \tag{2.18}$$

Indeed, take first $l \leq k + 1$. For all $t \geq 2$ and $x \in \{|x + 1| = 2^{-t}\}$, the function g is not transitive modulo 2^{l+2} on $(x + 2^{l+1}\mathbb{Z}_2) \cup (x^s + 2^{l+1}\mathbb{Z}_2)$.

Then, from Lemma 2.1, if $t \leq l - 1$, for all fixed $\epsilon_{t+2}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0, 1\}$, if $\epsilon'_{t+2}, \dots, \epsilon'_l \in \{0, 1\}$ are such that

$$(3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i)^s \in 3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + 2^{t+1} + \sum_{i=t+2}^l \epsilon'_i 2^i + 2^{l+1}\mathbb{Z}_2,$$

we must have

$$\begin{aligned} & (3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i)^s + (3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + 2^{t+1} + \sum_{i=t+2}^l \epsilon'_i 2^i)^s = \\ & 3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i + 3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + 2^{t+1} + \sum_{i=t+2}^l \epsilon'_i 2^i \pmod{2^{l+2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Besides, for $t = l$, we have

$$(3 + \sum_{i=2}^{l-1} 2^i)^s = 3 + \sum_{i=2}^{l-1} 2^i + 2^{l+1} \pmod{2^{l+2}}. \tag{2.20}$$

While, when $t \geq l + 1$, we have from (2.16),

$$x^s = x \pmod{2^{l+2}}, \forall x \in \{|x + 1| = 2^{-t}\}. \tag{2.21}$$

Using (2.19), (2.20) and (2.21), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (3 + \sum_{i=2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i)^s &= \sum_{t=2}^{l-1} \sum_{\epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i)^s + (3 + \sum_{i=2}^{l-1} 2^i)^s + (3 + \sum_{i=2}^l 2^i)^s \\ &= \sum_{t=2}^{l-1} \sum_{\epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) + (3 + \sum_{i=2}^{l-1} 2^i) + 2^{l+1} + (3 + \sum_{i=2}^l 2^i) \pmod{2^{l+2}} \\ &= \sum_{\epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} (3 + \sum_{i=2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i) + 2^{l+1} \pmod{2^{l+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (2.18) for $l \in \{2, \dots, k + 1\}$.

In a similar way, if $l \geq k + 2$ and $t \in \{2, \dots, l - k\}$, then since g is ergodic on $(x + 2^{t+k+1}\mathbb{Z}_2) \cup (x^s + 2^{t+k+1}\mathbb{Z}_2)$, for $x \in \{|x + 1| = 2^{-t}\}$, we get for all fixed $\epsilon_{t+2}, \dots, \epsilon_{t+k} \in \{0, 1\}$, if $\epsilon'_{t+2}, \dots, \epsilon'_{t+k} \in \{0, 1\}$ are such that

$$(3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+2}^{t+k} \epsilon_i 2^i)^s \in 3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + 2^{t+1} + \sum_{i=t+2}^{t+k} \epsilon'_i 2^i + 2^{t+k+1}\mathbb{Z}_2,$$

we have from Lemma 2.1

$$\sum_{\epsilon_{t+k+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} \left[\left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i \right)^s + \left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + 2^{t+1} + \sum_{i=t+2}^{t+k} \epsilon'_i 2^i + \sum_{i=t+k+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i \right)^s \right]$$

$$= \sum_{\epsilon_{t+k+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} \left[\left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i \right) + \left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + 2^{t+1} + \sum_{i=t+2}^{t+k} \epsilon'_i 2^i + \sum_{i=t+k+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i \right) \right] + 2^{l+1} \pmod{2^{l+2}},$$

where if $t = l - k$, the sum over $\epsilon_{t+k+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0, 1\}$ contains only one term and $\sum_{i=t+k+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i = 0$.

Therefore,

$$\sum_{\epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} \left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i \right)^s = \sum_{\epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} \left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i \right) + \sum_{\epsilon_{t+2}, \dots, \epsilon_{t+k} \in \{0,1\}} 2^{l+1} \pmod{2^{l+2}} \tag{2.22}$$

$$= \sum_{\epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} \left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i \right) \pmod{2^{l+2}}.$$

Meanwhile, for $t \in \{l - k + 1, \dots, l - 1\}$, as seen above function g is not transitive modulo 2^{l+2} on the set $(x + 2^{l+1}\mathbb{Z}_2) \cup (x^s + 2^{l+1}\mathbb{Z}_2)$, for $x \in \{|x + 1| = 2^{-t}\}$. Hence, for all fixed $\epsilon_{t+2}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0, 1\}$, if $\epsilon'_{t+2}, \dots, \epsilon'_l \in \{0, 1\}$ are such that

$$\left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i \right)^s \in 3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + 2^{t+1} + \sum_{i=t+2}^l \epsilon'_i 2^i + 2^{l+1}\mathbb{Z}_2,$$

where $\sum_{i=t+2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i = \sum_{i=t+2}^l \epsilon'_i 2^i = 0$, for $t = l - 1$, we have

$$\left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i \right)^s + \left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + 2^{t+1} + \sum_{i=t+2}^l \epsilon'_i 2^i \right)^s$$

$$= \left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i \right) + \left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + 2^{t+1} + \sum_{i=t+2}^l \epsilon'_i 2^i \right) \pmod{2^{l+2}}. \tag{2.23}$$

For $t = l$, we get

$$\left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^{l-1} 2^i \right)^s = 3 + \sum_{i=2}^{l-1} 2^i + 2^{l+1} \pmod{2^{l+2}}. \tag{2.24}$$

Finally, when $t \geq l + 1$, we have from (2.16)

$$\left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i \right)^s = 3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i \pmod{2^{l+2}}. \tag{2.25}$$

We conclude from (2.22), (2.23), (2.24) and (2.25)

$$\sum_{\epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} \left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i \right)^s = \sum_{t=2}^{l-1} \sum_{\epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} \left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i \right)^s + \left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^{l-1} 2^i \right)^s + \left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^l 2^i \right)^s =$$

$$= \sum_{t=2}^{l-1} \sum_{\epsilon_{t+1}, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} \left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^{t-1} 2^i + \sum_{i=t+1}^l \epsilon_i 2^i \right) + \left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^{l-1} 2^i \right) + 2^{l+1} + \left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^l 2^i \right) \pmod{2^{l+2}}$$

$$= \sum_{\epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_l \in \{0,1\}} \left(3 + \sum_{i=2}^l \epsilon_i 2^i \right) + 2^{l+1} \pmod{2^{l+2}}.$$

□

Corollary 2.5. [4, Theorem 4.1.] Let u be a 1-Lipschitz function defined on \mathbb{Z}_2 . Let s and r be positive integers, then the function $f(x) = x^s + 2^{r+1}u(x)$ is ergodic on $S_{2^r}(1)$ if and only if $s \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $u(1) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

Proof. Assume first that f is ergodic and $u(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. It is clear that in this case u does not satisfy the conditions of Theorems 2.2 and 2.4. It follows that $s \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $r \geq 2$. Meanwhile, we prove that u does not verify Condition (3) of Theorem 2.3. Indeed, since u is 1-Lipschitz

$$u(1 + 2^r) + u(1 + 2^r + 2^{r+1}) = 2u(1 + 2^r) \pmod{4} = 0 \pmod{4},$$

which contradicts Condition (3) of Theorem 2.3 for $l = r + 1$.

In this part we assume that f is ergodic and $u(1) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $s \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. By means of Theorem 2.3, we get that $r = 1$. By Theorem 2.4 u satisfies Conditions (1), (2) and (3). Meanwhile,

$$u(3) + u(7) = 2u(3) \pmod{4} = 2 \pmod{4},$$

which contradicts Condition (3) of Theorem 2.4.

On the other hand, if $s \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $u(1) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, then we claim that u satisfies all conditions of Theorem 2.2. Indeed, for $l = r$ Condition (2) of Theorem 2.2 is equivalent to $u(1 + 2^r) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, which is true by assumption.

Suppose that Condition (2) of Theorem 2.2 is satisfied for all $l \in \{r, \dots, l_0\}$, for some $l_0 \geq r$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_{l_0+1} \in \{0,1\}} u\left(1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^{l_0+1} \epsilon_i 2^i\right) &= \sum_{\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_{l_0} \in \{0,1\}} \left[u\left(1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^{l_0} \epsilon_i 2^i\right) + u\left(1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^{l_0} \epsilon_i 2^i + 2^{l_0+1}\right) \right] \\ &= 2 \sum_{\epsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \epsilon_{l_0} \in \{0,1\}} u\left(1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^{l_0} \epsilon_i 2^i\right) \pmod{2^{l_0+1}} = 2^{l_0+1-r} \pmod{2^{l_0+1-r+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

because

$$u\left(1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^{l_0} \epsilon_i 2^i + 2^{l_0+1}\right) - u\left(1 + 2^r + \sum_{i=r+1}^{l_0} \epsilon_i 2^i\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{l_0+1}}.$$

This proves Condition (2) for all $l \geq r$. □

Acknowledgment. The authors thank the referees for their valuable suggestions and comments that improved the presentation.

References

- [1] V. Anashin, Ergodic transformations in the space of p -adic integers, *p-Adic Mathematical Physics*, AIP Conf. Proc. 826 (2006) 3–24.
- [2] V. S. Anashin, Uniformly distributed sequences of p -adic integers, *Discrete Math. Appl.* 12 (6) (2002) 527-590.
- [3] V. Anashin, A. Khrennikov, *Applied Algebraic Dynamics*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 49., Berlin, 2009.
- [4] V. Anashin, A. Khrennikov, E. Yurova, Ergodicity criteria for non-expanding transformations of 2-adic spheres, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 34 (2) (2014) 367-377.
- [5] F. Durand, F. Paccaut, Minimal polynomial dynamics on the set of 3-adic integers, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 41 (2) (2009) 302–314.
- [6] M. Gundlach, A. Khrennikov, K.-O. Lindahl, On ergodic behavior of p -adic dynamical system, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* 4 (4) (2001) 569-577.
- [7] S. Jeong, Toward the ergodicity of p -adic 1-Lipschitz functions represented by the van der Put series, *J. Number Theory*, 133 (9) (2013) 2874–2891.
- [8] A. Khrennikov, E. Yurova, Criteria of measure-preserving for p -adic dynamical systems in terms of the van der Put basis, *J. Number Theory* 133 (2) (2013) 484–491.
- [9] N. Memić, Ergodic polynomials on subsets of p -adic integers, *p-Adic Numbers Ultrametric Anal. Appl.* 8 (2) (2016) 149–159.