



## A Fixed Point Theorem for JS-contraction Type Mappings with Applications to Polynomial Approximations

Ishak Altun<sup>a,b</sup>, Nassir Al Arifi<sup>c</sup>, Mohamed Jleli<sup>d</sup>, Aref Lashin<sup>e,f</sup>, Bessem Samet<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>King Saud University, College of Science, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Kirikkale University, 71450 Yahsihan, Kirikkale, Turkey

<sup>c</sup>College of Science, Geology and Geophysics Department, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia

<sup>d</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Science, King Saud University, P.O. Box 2455, Riyadh, 11451, Saudi Arabia

<sup>e</sup>College of Engineering, Petroleum and Natural Gas Engineering Department, King Saud University, Riyadh 11421, Saudi Arabia

<sup>f</sup>Faculty of Science, Geology Department, Benha University, Benha 13518, Egypt

**Abstract.** A fixed point theorem is established for a new class of JS-contraction type mappings. As applications, some Kelisky-Rivlin type results are obtained for linear and nonlinear  $q$ -Bernstein-Stancu operators.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Theta$  be the set of functions  $\theta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (1, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions:

( $\Theta_1$ )  $\theta$  is non-decreasing;

( $\Theta_2$ ) For each sequence  $\{t_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(t_n) = 1 \iff \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0^+;$$

( $\Theta_3$ ) There exist  $r \in (0, 1)$  and  $\ell \in (0, \infty]$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\theta(t)-1}{t^r} = \ell$ .

Recently, Jleli and Samet [4] introduced the class of JS-contraction mappings as follows.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a given mapping. The mapping  $T$  is said to be a JS-contraction if there exist  $\theta \in \Theta$  and  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$(x, y) \in X \times X, d(Tx, Ty) > 0 \implies \theta(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq [\theta(d(x, y))]^k.$$

In [4], the following generalization of Banach contraction principle was established.

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47H10; Secondary 41A36

Keywords. JS-contraction, Picard iteration,  $q$ -Bernstein-Stancu operator, nonlinear  $q$ -Bernstein-Stancu operator

Received: 14 August 2016; Accepted: 03 November 2016

Communicated by Calogero Vetro

The authors extend their appreciation to Distinguished Scientist Fellowship Program (DSFP) at King Saud University (Saudi Arabia).

Email addresses: [ishakaltun@yahoo.com](mailto:ishakaltun@yahoo.com) (Ishak Altun), [nalarifi@ksu.edu.sa](mailto:nalarifi@ksu.edu.sa) (Nassir Al Arifi), [jleli@ksu.edu.sa](mailto:jleli@ksu.edu.sa) (Mohamed Jleli), [arlashin@ksu.edu.sa](mailto:arlashin@ksu.edu.sa) (Aref Lashin), [bsamet@ksu.edu.sa](mailto:bsamet@ksu.edu.sa) (Bessem Samet)

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space, and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be JS-contraction. Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

Observe that Banach contraction principle follows from Theorem 1.2 by taking  $\theta(t) = e^{\sqrt{t}}$ . For other related results, we refer the reader to [13, 16].

In this paper, a fixed point theorem for a new class of JS-contraction type mappings is presented. Next, this theorem is used to study the iterates properties of some polynomial operators including  $q$ -Bernstein-Stancu operators and  $q$ -Bernstein-Stancu operators of nonlinear type.

## 2. A Fixed Point Theorem

In this section, a new fixed point theorem is established for a new class of JS-contraction type mappings. The obtained result is an extension of Theorem 1.2.

At first, let us introduce some notations. Let  $M$  be a nonempty set, and let  $T : M \rightarrow M$  be a given mapping. We denote by  $\text{Fix}(T)$  the set of all the fixed points of  $T$ , that is,

$$\text{Fix}(T) = \{x \in M : x = Tx\}.$$

Suppose that  $M$  is a group with respect to a certain operation  $+$ . For  $x \in M$  and  $N \subset M$ , we denote by  $x + N$  the subset of  $M$  defined by

$$x + N = \{x + y : y \in N\}.$$

We denote by  $\mathbb{N}$  the set of positive integers, that is,

$$\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

We denote by  $\mathbb{N}^*$  the set defined by

$$\mathbb{N}^* = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}.$$

Our fixed point theorem can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $E$  be a group with respect to a certain operation  $+$ . Let  $X$  be a subset of  $E$  endowed with a certain metric  $d$  such that  $(X, d)$  is complete. Let  $X_0 \subset X$  be a closed subset of  $X$  such that  $X_0$  is a subgroup of  $E$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a given mapping satisfying*

$$(x, y) \in X \times X, x - y \in X_0, d(Tx, Ty) \neq 0 \implies \theta(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq [\theta(d(x, y))]^k, \quad (1)$$

where  $k \in (0, 1)$  is a constant and  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Suppose that the operation mapping  $\pm : X \times X \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$\pm(x, y) = x \pm y, \quad (x, y) \in X \times X$$

is continuous with respect to the metric  $d$ . Moreover, suppose that

$$x - Tx \in X_0, \quad x \in X. \quad (2)$$

Then we have

(i) For every  $x \in X$ , the Picard sequence  $\{T^n x\}$  converges to a fixed point of  $T$ .

(ii) For every  $x \in X$ ,

$$(x + X_0) \cap \text{Fix}(T) = \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  be an arbitrary point in  $X$ . If for some  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $T^p x = T^{p+1} x$ , then  $T^p x$  will be a fixed point of  $T$ . So, without restriction of the generality, we can suppose that  $d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) > 0$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . From (2), we have

$$x - Tx \in X_0.$$

Using (1), we obtain

$$\theta(d(Tx, T^2x)) \leq [\theta(d(x, Tx))]^k.$$

Again, using (2), we obtain

$$Tx - T^2x = Tx - T(Tx) \in X_0,$$

which implies from (1) that

$$\theta(d(T^2x, T^3x)) \leq [\theta(d(Tx, T^2x))]^k \leq [\theta(d(x, Tx))]^{k^2}.$$

Therefore, by induction we obtain

$$T^n x - T^{n+1} x \in X_0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{3}$$

and

$$\theta(d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)) \leq [\theta(d(x, Tx))]^{k^n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus, we have

$$1 \leq \theta(d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)) \leq [\theta(d(x, Tx))]^{k^n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4}$$

Passing to the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (4), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)) = 1,$$

which implies from  $(\Theta_2)$  that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) = 0. \tag{5}$$

From condition  $(\Theta_3)$ , there exist  $r \in (0, 1)$  and  $\ell \in (0, \infty]$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta(d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)) - 1}{[d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)]^r} = \ell.$$

Suppose that  $\ell < \infty$ . In this case, let  $B = \ell/2 > 0$ . From the definition of the limit, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\left| \frac{\theta(d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)) - 1}{[d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)]^r} - \ell \right| \leq B, \quad n \geq n_0.$$

This implies that

$$\frac{\theta(d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)) - 1}{[d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)]^r} \geq \ell - B = B, \quad n \geq n_0.$$

Then,

$$n[d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)]^r \leq An[\theta(d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)) - 1], \quad n \geq n_0,$$

where  $A = 1/B$ .

Suppose now that  $\ell = \infty$ . Let  $B > 0$  be an arbitrary positive number. From the definition of the limit, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{\theta(d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)) - 1}{[d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)]^r} \geq B, \quad n \geq n_0.$$

This implies that

$$n[d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)]^r \leq An[\theta(d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)) - 1], \quad n \geq n_0,$$

where  $A = 1/B$ .

Thus, in all cases, there exists  $A > 0$  and  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$n[d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)]^r \leq An[\theta(d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)) - 1], \quad n \geq n_0.$$

Using (4), we obtain

$$n[d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)]^r \leq An([\theta(d(x, Tx))]^{kn} - 1), \quad n \geq n_0.$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n[d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)]^r = 0.$$

Thus, there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) \leq \frac{1}{n^{1/r}}, \quad n \geq n_1. \quad (6)$$

Using (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^n x, T^{n+m} x) &\leq d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) + d(T^{n+1} x, T^{n+2} x) + \cdots + d(T^{n+m-1} x, T^{n+m} x) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n^{1/r}} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^{1/r}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{(n+m-1)^{1/r}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{1/r}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the Picard sequence  $\{T^n x\}$  is Cauchy in the complete metric space  $(X, d)$  (since  $r \in (0, 1)$ ). Then there is some  $\omega \in X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^n x, \omega) = 0. \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, observe that for  $n, p \geq 1$ ,

$$T^n x - T^{n+p} x = (T^n x - T^{n+1} x) + (T^{n+1} x - T^{n+2} x) + \cdots + (T^{n+p-1} x - T^{n+p} x).$$

Therefore, by (3) and using the fact that  $(X_0, +)$  is a group, we deduce that

$$T^n x - T^{n+p} x \in X_0, \quad n, p \geq 1.$$

Passing to the limit as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , using (7), the continuity of the operation mapping  $\pm$ , and the closure of  $X_0$ , we obtain that

$$T^n x - \omega \in X_0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (8)$$

Without restriction of the generality, we may suppose that  $d(T^n x, T\omega) > 0$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, using (8) and (1), we have

$$1 \leq \theta(d(T^{n+1} x, T\omega)) \leq [\theta(T^n x, \omega)]^k, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Passing to the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , using (7) and  $(\Theta_2)$ , we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^{n+1} x, T\omega) = 0. \quad (9)$$

Next, (7), (9) and the uniqueness of the limit yield  $\omega = T\omega$ , that is,  $\omega$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . Then (i) is proved. In order to prove (ii), let  $x \in X$  be fixed. We know that the Picard sequence  $\{T^n x\}$  converges to  $\omega \in X$ , a fixed point of  $T$ . Moreover, from (8), we have  $\omega - x \in X_0$ , that is,  $\omega \in x + X_0$ . Therefore, we have

$$\left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x \right\} \subset (x + X_0) \cap \text{Fix}(T).$$

Now, let  $z \in (x + X_0) \cap \text{Fix}(T)$  be fixed. Then

$$Tz = z \quad \text{and} \quad z - x \in X_0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$z - Tx = Tz - Tx = (Tz - z) + (z - Tx) + (z - x) \in X_0.$$

Again,

$$z - T^2x = T^2z - T^2x = (T^2z - Tz) + (Tx - T^2x) + (z - Tx) \in X_0.$$

Hence, by induction we obtain

$$z - T^n x \in X_0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Without restriction of the generality, we may suppose that  $z \neq T^n x$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, by (1) we have

$$1 \leq \theta(d(z, T^{n+1}x)) = \theta(d(Tz, T^{n+1}x)) \leq [\theta(d(z, T^n x))]^k \leq \dots \leq [\theta(d(z, x))]^{k^{n+1}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Passing to the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using  $(\Theta_2)$ , we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^n x, z) = 0,$$

which yields  $z \in \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x \right\}$ . Then we proved that

$$(x + X_0) \cap \text{Fix}(T) \subset \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x \right\}.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

The following result follows immediately from Theorem 2.1 with  $\theta(t) = e^{-\sqrt{t}}$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $E$  be a group with respect to a certain operation  $+$ . Let  $X$  be a subset of  $E$  endowed with a certain metric  $d$  such that  $(X, d)$  is complete. Let  $X_0 \subset X$  be a closed subset of  $X$  such that  $X_0$  is a subgroup of  $E$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a given mapping satisfying*

$$(x, y) \in X \times X, x - y \in X_0 \implies d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y),$$

where  $k \in (0, 1)$  is a constant. Suppose that the operation mapping  $\pm : X \times X \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$\pm(x, y) = x \pm y, \quad (x, y) \in X \times X$$

is continuous with respect to the metric  $d$ . Moreover, suppose that

$$x - Tx \in X_0, \quad x \in X.$$

Then we have

(i) For every  $x \in X$ , the Picard sequence  $\{T^n x\}$  converges to a fixed point of  $T$ .

(ii) For every  $x \in X$ ,

$$(x + X_0) \cap \text{Fix}(T) = \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x \right\}.$$

### 3. Applications: Iterates Properties of Some Polynomial Operators

In this section, as applications of Theorem 2.1, the iterates properties of some polynomial operators are investigated. Two types of polynomial operators are discussed:  $q$ -Bernstein-Stancu operators and  $q$ -Bernstein-Stancu operators of nonlinear type. For each kind of operators, a Kelisky-Rivlin type result is established. Let us mention some well known contributions in this topic. In [6], via some linear algebra tools, Kelisky and Rivlin studied the iterates properties of the class of Bernstein operators. Another proof of Kelisky-Rivlin theorem was presented by I.A. Rus [10] with the help of some trick with the Contraction principle. Another possibility to establish Kelisky-Rivlin theorem, which is based on a fixed point theorem for linear operators on a Banach space, was suggested by Jachymski [3]. For other related works, we refer to [1, 2, 8, 14, 15] and references therein.

The following basic notations in quantum calculus will be used. Let  $q > 0$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $q$ -integer  $[n]_q$  is defined by

$$[n]_q = 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{n-1} \quad (n \geq 1), \quad [0]_q = 0.$$

The  $q$ -factorial  $[n]_q!$  is defined by

$$[n]_q! = [1]_q [2]_q \dots [n]_q \quad (n \geq 1), \quad [0]_q! = 1.$$

For integers  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , the  $q$ -binomial is defined by

$$\binom{n}{k}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[n-k]_q! [k]_q!}.$$

It is clear that for  $q = 1$ , we have

$$[n]_1 = n, \quad [n]_1! = n!, \quad \binom{n}{k}_1 = \binom{n}{k}.$$

For more details on quantum calculus, we refer to [5].

#### 3.1. A Kelisky-Rivlin type result for $q$ -Bernstein-Stancu operators

Let  $C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$  be the set of real valued and continuous functions  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . For  $f \in C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $q > 0$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , the  $q$ -Bernstein-Stancu operator of order  $n$  is defined by [7]

$$B_n(q, \alpha)(f)(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n f\left(\frac{[i]_q}{[n]_q}\right) B_{n,i}^{q,\alpha}(t), \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

where

$$B_{n,i}^{q,\alpha}(t) = \binom{n}{i}_q \frac{\prod_{s=0}^{i-1} (t + \alpha[s]_q) \prod_{j=0}^{n-i-1} (1 - q^j t + \alpha[j]_q)}{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 + \alpha[j]_q)}.$$

From here on an empty product is taken to be equal to 1.

If  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $B_n(q, 0)$  reduces to the  $q$ -Bernstein polynomial of order  $n$  introduced by Phillips [9]

$$B_n(q, 0)(f)(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n f\left(\frac{[i]_q}{[n]_q}\right) \binom{n}{i}_q t^i \prod_{j=0}^{n-1-i} (1 - q^j t), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

If  $q = 1$ ,  $B_n(1, \alpha)$  reduces to the Bernstein-Stancu polynomial of order  $n$  introduced by Stancu [11]

$$B_n(1, \alpha)(f)(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) \binom{n}{i} \frac{\prod_{s=0}^{i-1} (t + \alpha s) \prod_{j=0}^{n-i-1} (1 - t + \alpha j)}{\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 + \alpha j)}, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

If  $(\alpha, q) = (0, 1)$ , we obtain the standard Bernstein polynomial of order  $n$

$$B_n(1, 0)(f)(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) \binom{n}{i} t^i (1 - t)^{n-i}, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

The following lemmas will be useful later (see [2, 15]).

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $q \in (0, 1)$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=0}^n B_{n,i}^{q,\alpha}(t) = 1.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $q \in (0, 1)$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Then

$$\min \{B_{n,0}^{q,\alpha}(t) + B_{n,n}^{q,\alpha}(t) : t \in [0, 1]\} > 0.$$

We have the following Kelisky-Rivlin type result for  $q$ -Bernstein-Stancu operators.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $0 < q < 1$ . Then, for every  $f \in C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} [B_n(q, \alpha)]^N(f)(t) = f(0) + [f(1) - f(0)]t, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

*Proof.* Let  $X = E = C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$ . We endow  $X$  with the metric  $d$  defined by

$$d(f, g) = \max\{|f(t) - g(t)| : t \in [0, 1]\}, \quad (f, g) \in X \times X.$$

Then  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Let  $X_0$  be the subset of  $X$  defined by

$$X_0 = \{f \in X : f(0) = f(1) = 0\}.$$

Then  $X_0$  is a closed linear subspace of  $X$ . Let  $(f, g) \in X \times X$  be such that  $f - g \in X_0$ , that is,

$$(f, g) \in X \times X \quad \text{and} \quad f(0) = g(0), \quad f(1) = g(1).$$

Let  $t \in [0, 1]$  be fixed. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |B_n(q, \alpha)(f)(t) - B_n(q, \alpha)(g)(t)| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=0}^n f\left(\frac{[i]_q}{[n]_q}\right) B_{n,i}^{q,\alpha}(t) - \sum_{i=0}^n g\left(\frac{[i]_q}{[n]_q}\right) B_{n,i}^{q,\alpha}(t) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=0}^n \left( f\left(\frac{[i]_q}{[n]_q}\right) - g\left(\frac{[i]_q}{[n]_q}\right) \right) B_{n,i}^{q,\alpha}(t) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^n \left| f\left(\frac{[i]_q}{[n]_q}\right) - g\left(\frac{[i]_q}{[n]_q}\right) \right| B_{n,i}^{q,\alpha}(t) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| f\left(\frac{[i]_q}{[n]_q}\right) - g\left(\frac{[i]_q}{[n]_q}\right) \right| B_{n,i}^{q,\alpha}(t) \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} B_{n,i}^{q,\alpha}(t) \right) d(f, g). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} B_{n,i}^{q,\alpha}(t) &= 1 - (B_{n,0}^{q,\alpha}(t) + B_{n,n}^{q,\alpha}(t)) \\ &\leq 1 - \lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda = \min \{ B_{n,0}^{q,\alpha}(t) + B_{n,n}^{q,\alpha}(t) : t \in [0, 1] \} > 0. \tag{10}$$

Therefore, we have

$$(f, g) \in X \times X, f - g \in X_0 \implies d(B_n(q, \alpha)(f), B_n(q, \alpha)(g)) \leq kd(f, g),$$

where  $k = 1 - \lambda \in (0, 1)$ . Next, using lemma 3.1, for every  $f \in X$  we have

$$\gamma(t) := f(t) - B_n(q, \alpha)(f)(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \left( f(t) - f\left(\frac{[i]_q}{[n]_q}\right) \right) B_{n,i}^{q,\alpha}(t), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

We can check easily that

$$\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = 0,$$

which yields

$$f - B_n(q, \alpha)(f) \in X_0, \quad f \in X.$$

Applying Theorem 2.1 (or Corollary 2.2), we deduce that

$$(f + X_0) \cap \text{Fix}(B_n(q, \alpha)) = \left\{ \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} [B_n(q, \alpha)]^N(f) \right\}, \quad f \in X.$$

Let  $f \in X$ . It is not difficult to observe that the function  $\omega : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\omega(t) = f(0)(1 - t) + f(1)t, \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

belongs to  $\text{Fix}(B_n(q, \alpha))$ . Moreover, for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\mu(t) := \omega(t) - f(t) = f(0)(1 - t) + f(1)t - f(t).$$

Observe that

$$\mu(0) = f(0) - f(0) = 0$$

and

$$\mu(1) = f(1) - f(1) = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\omega \in f + X_0$ . As consequence, we get

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} d([B_n(q, \alpha)]^N(f), \omega) = 0,$$

which yields the desired result.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** Another proof of Theorem 3.3 can be found in [15]. This proof is based on some linear algebra tools. In our opinion, the presented proof in this paper is more easy and more simplified.

3.2. A Kelisky-Rivlin type result for nonlinear  $q$ -Bernstein-Stancu operators

For  $f \in C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $q > 0$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we define the nonlinear  $q$ -Bernstein-Stancu operator of order  $n$  by

$$T_n(q, \alpha)(f)(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \left| f\left(\frac{[i]_q}{[n]_q}\right) \right| B_{n,i}^{q,\alpha}(t), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Using Theorem 2.1, we shall establish the following Kelisky-Rivlin type result.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $0 < q < 1$ . Then, for every  $f \in C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$  such that  $f(0) \geq 0$  and  $f(1) \geq 0$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} [T_n(q, \alpha)]^N(f)(t) = f(0) + [f(1) - f(0)]t, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

*Proof.* Let  $E = C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$  and  $X$  be the subset of  $E$  defined by

$$X = \{f \in E : f(0) \geq 0, f(1) \geq 0\}.$$

We endow  $X$  with the metric  $d$  defined by

$$d(f, g) = \max\{|f(t) - g(t)| : t \in [0, 1]\}, \quad (f, g) \in X \times X.$$

Then  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Let  $X_0$  be the subset of  $X$  defined by

$$X_0 = \{f \in E : f(0) = f(1) = 0\}.$$

Then  $X_0$  is a closed subgroup of  $E$ . Let  $(f, g) \in X \times X$  be such that  $f - g \in X_0$ , that is,

$$(f, g) \in X \times X \quad \text{and} \quad f(0) = g(0), f(1) = g(1).$$

Let  $t \in [0, 1]$  be fixed. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |T_n(q, \alpha)(f)(t) - T_n(q, \alpha)(g)(t)| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=0}^n \left| f\left(\frac{[i]_q}{[n]_q}\right) \right| B_{n,i}^{q,\alpha}(t) - \sum_{i=0}^n \left| g\left(\frac{[i]_q}{[n]_q}\right) \right| B_{n,i}^{q,\alpha}(t) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=0}^n \left( \left| f\left(\frac{[i]_q}{[n]_q}\right) \right| - \left| g\left(\frac{[i]_q}{[n]_q}\right) \right| \right) B_{n,i}^{q,\alpha}(t) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^n \left| f\left(\frac{[i]_q}{[n]_q}\right) - g\left(\frac{[i]_q}{[n]_q}\right) \right| B_{n,i}^{q,\alpha}(t) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| f\left(\frac{[i]_q}{[n]_q}\right) - g\left(\frac{[i]_q}{[n]_q}\right) \right| B_{n,i}^{q,\alpha}(t) \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} B_{n,i}^{q,\alpha}(t) \right) d(f, g) \\ &= (1 - \lambda)d(f, g), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda$  is given by (10). Therefore, we have

$$(f, g) \in X \times X, f - g \in X_0 \implies d(T_n(q, \alpha)(f), T_n(q, \alpha)(g)) \leq kd(f, g),$$

where  $k = 1 - \lambda \in (0, 1)$ . Next, for every  $f \in X$  we have

$$\gamma'(t) := f(t) - T_n(q, \alpha)(f)(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \left( f(t) - \left| f\left(\frac{[i]_q}{[n]_q}\right) \right| \right) B_{n,i}^{q,\alpha}(t), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Observe that

$$\gamma'(0) = f(0) - |f(0)| = f(0) - f(0) = 0$$

and

$$\gamma'(1) = f(1) - |f(1)| = f(1) - f(1) = 0.$$

Then

$$f - T_n(q, \alpha)(f) \in X_0, \quad f \in X.$$

Applying Theorem 2.1 (or Corollary 2.2), we deduce that

$$(f + X_0) \cap \text{Fix}(T_n(q, \alpha)) = \left\{ \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} [T_n(q, \alpha)]^N(f) \right\}, \quad f \in X.$$

Let  $f \in X$ . It is not difficult to observe that the function  $\omega : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\omega(t) = f(0)(1-t) + f(1)t, \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

belongs to  $(f + X_0) \cap \text{Fix}(T_n(q, \alpha))$ . As consequence, we get

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} d([T_n(q, \alpha)]^N(f), \omega) = 0,$$

which yields the desired result.  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** Note that Theorem 4.1 in [3] cannot be applied in our case since it requires linear operators defined on a certain Banach space  $X$ . Observe that in our case,  $X$  is not a linear space.

**Remark 3.7.** The case  $(\alpha, q) = (0, 1)$  was considered in [12]. The authors claimed that if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for every  $f \in X = C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$ , the Picard sequence  $[T_n(0, 1)]^N(f)$  converges uniformly to a fixed point of  $T_n(0, 1)$  (see Corollary 4 in [12]). For the proof of this claim, the authors used that  $f - T_n(0, 1)(f) \in X_0$  for every  $f \in X$ , where  $X_0$  is the set of functions  $u \in X$  such that  $u(0) = u(1) = 0$ . Unfortunately, the above property is not true. To observe this fact, we have just to consider a function  $f \in X$  such that  $f(0) < 0$  or  $f(1) < 0$ . Our Theorem 3.5 for the case  $(\alpha, q) = (0, 1)$  is a corrected version of Corollary 4 in [12].

## References

- [1] O. Agratini, I. A. Rus, Iterates of a class of discrete linear operators via contraction principle, *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae* 44 (2003) 555–563.
- [2] O. Agratini, On a  $q$ -analogue of Stancu operators, *Open Mathematics* 8 (1) (2010) 191–198.
- [3] J. Jachymski, The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 136 (2008) 1359–1373.
- [4] M. Jleli, B. Samet, A new generalization of the Banach contraction principle, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2014:38 (2014).
- [5] V. Kac, P. Cheung, *Quantum Calculus*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York (2002)
- [6] R. P. Kelisky, T. J. Rivlin, Iterates of Bernstein polynomials, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 21 (1967) 511–520.
- [7] G. Nowak, Approximation properties for generalized  $q$ -Bernstein polynomials, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 350 (2009) 50–55.
- [8] S. Ostrovska,  $q$ -Bernstein polynomials and their iterates, *Journal of Approximation Theory* 123 (2003) 232–255.
- [9] G. M. Phillips, A generalization of the Bernstein polynomials based on the  $q$ -integers, *The ANZIAM Journal* 42 (2000) 79–86.
- [10] I. A. Rus, Iterates of Bernstein operators, via contraction principle, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 292 (2004) 259–261.
- [11] D. D. Stancu, Approximation of functions by a new class of linear polynomial operators, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl* 13 (1968) 1173–1194.
- [12] A. Sultana, V. Vetrivel, Fixed points of Mizoguchi-Takahashi contraction on a metric space with a graph and applications, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 417 (2014) 336–344.
- [13] T. Suzuki, Comments on some recent generalization of the Banach contraction principle, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2016:111 (2016).
- [14] T. Vedi, M. A. Ozarslan, Chlodowsky-type  $q$ -Bernstein-Stancu-Kantorovich operators, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2015:91 (2015).
- [15] W. Yali, Z. Yinying, Iterates properties for  $q$ -Bernstein-Stancu operators, *International Journal of Modeling and Optimization* 3 (2013) 362–368.
- [16] Li. Zhilong, J. Shujun, Fixed point theorems of JS-quasi-contractions, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2016:40 (2016).