



Lightlike Hypersurfaces of an (ε) -Para Sasakian Manifold with a Semi-Symmetric Non-Metric Connection

Feyza Esra Erdoğan^a, Selcen Yüksel Perktaş^b

^aFaculty of Education, Adiyaman University, 02040, Adiyaman, Turkey

^bFaculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Adiyaman University, 02040, Adiyaman, Turkey

Abstract.

In the present paper, we study a lightlike hypersurface, when the ambient manifold is an (ε) -para Sasakian manifold endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. We obtain a condition for such a lightlike hypersurface to be totally geodesic. We define invariant and screen semi-invariant lightlike hypersurfaces of (ε) -para Sasakian manifolds with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Also, we obtain integrability conditions for the distributions $D \perp \langle \delta \rangle$ and $D' \perp \langle \delta \rangle$ of a screen semi-invariant lightlike hypersurface of an (ε) -para Sasakian manifolds with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

1. Introduction

The theory of submanifolds of semi-Riemannian manifolds is one of the most important topics in differential geometry. In case the induced metric on the submanifold of semi-Riemannian manifold is degenerate, the study becomes more difficult and is quite different from the study of non-degenerate submanifolds. The primary difference between the lightlike submanifolds and non-degenerate submanifolds arises due to the fact that in the first case the normal vector bundle has non-trivial intersection with the tangent vector bundle, and moreover in a lightlike hypersurface the normal vector bundle is contained in the tangent vector bundle. Lightlike submanifolds of semi-Riemannian manifolds were introduced by K. L. Duggal and A. Bejancu in [9] (see also [10]). Since then, many authors have focused to extend their ideas on this topic (for example, see [1–3, 11, 12, 16]).

The idea of semi-symmetric connection was introduced by A. Friedmann and J. A. Schouten [13] in 1924. A linear connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is called semi-symmetric, if its torsion \tilde{T} satisfies

$$\tilde{T}(W, Z) = \eta(Z)W - \eta(W)Z,$$

where η is a non-zero 1-form associated with a vector fields δ defined by

$$\eta(W) = \tilde{g}(W, \delta).$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C25; Secondary 53C15, 53C40, 53C50

Keywords. (ε) -para Sasakian manifold, lightlike hypersurface, invariant lightlike hypersurface, screen semi-invariant lightlike hypersurface, semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

Received: 03 October 2017; Revised: 03 January 2018; Accepted: 16 January 2018

Communicated by Ljubiša D.R. Kočinac

Email addresses: ferdogan@adiyaman.edu.tr (Feyza Esra Erdoğan), sperktas@adiyaman.edu.tr (Selcen Yüksel Perktaş)

In 1930, E. Bartolotti [5] gave geometrical meaning of such a connection. In 1932, H. A. Hayden [14] defined and studied semi-symmetric metric connection. In 1970, Yano [24] started the systematic study of semi-symmetric metric connection and this was further developed by various authors. In 1991, N. S. Agashe and M. R. Chafle [4] introduced a semi-symmetric connection $\check{\nabla}$ satisfying $\check{\nabla}g \neq 0$ and called such a connection as semi-symmetric non-metric connection. They gave the relation between the curvature tensors of the manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection and the Riemannian connection.

An almost paracontact structure $(\check{\phi}, \delta, \check{\eta})$ satisfying $\check{\phi}^2 = I - \check{\eta} \otimes \delta$ and $\check{\eta}(\delta) = 1$ on a differentiable manifold was introduced by I. Sato [17] in 1976. The structure is an analogue of the almost contact structure [7, 20]. An almost contact manifold is always odd-dimensional but an almost paracontact manifold could be even-dimensional as well. In 1969, T. Takahashi [22] initiated the study of almost contact manifolds equipped with an associated pseudo-Riemannian metric. In particular, he studied Sasakian manifolds equipped with an associated pseudo-Riemannian metric. These indefinite almost contact metric manifolds and indefinite Sasakian manifolds are also known as (ε) -almost contact metric manifolds and (ε) -Sasakian manifolds [6, 8]. Also, in 1989, K. Matsumoto replaced the structure vector field δ by $-\delta$ in an almost paracontact manifold and associated a Lorentzian metric with the resulting structure and called it Lorentzian almost paracontact manifold [18]. In a Lorentzian almost paracontact manifold given by K. Matsumoto, the semi-Riemannian metric has only index 1 and the structure vector field δ is always timelike. In [23], the authors introduced (ε) -almost paracontact structures by associating almost paracontact structure with a semi-Riemannian metric, where the structure vector field δ is spacelike or timelike according as $\varepsilon = 1$ or $\varepsilon = -1$. Lightlike hypersurfaces of such an (ε) -para Sasakian manifolds were studied by S. Yüksel Perktas et al. [26] (see also [21]).

In 2014, S.K. Pandey et al. [19] studied semi-symmetric non-metric connection in an indefinite para-Sasakian manifold. They obtained the relation between the semi-symmetric non-metric connection and Levi-Civita connection in an indefinite para-Sasakian manifold.

In this article, we study a lightlike hypersurface, when the ambient manifold is an (ε) -para Sasakian manifold with semi-symmetric non-metric connection. We obtain condition for such a lightlike hypersurface to be totally geodesic. Also, we find integrability conditions for the distributions of some special lightlike hypersurfaces. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a brief account of lightlike hypersurfaces of a semi-Riemannian manifold, for later use. In Section 3, an (ε) -para Sasakian manifold with semi-symmetric non-metric connection is given. In Section 4, we investigate lightlike hypersurfaces of an (ε) -para Sasakian manifold with semi-symmetric non-metric connection. In Section 5, invariant lightlike hypersurfaces of such manifolds are studied. Finally, in Section 6 screen semi-invariant lightlike hypersurfaces of such manifolds are investigated and we find some necessary and sufficient conditions for integrability of distributions.

2. Lightlike Hypersurfaces

Let (\check{M}, \check{g}) be an $(n + 2)$ -dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold of fixed index $q \in \{1, \dots, n + 1\}$ and M a hypersurface of \check{M} . Assume that the induced metric $g = \check{g}|_M$ on hypersurface is degenerate on M . Then, there exist a vector field $\xi \neq 0$ on M such that

$$g(\xi, W) = 0,$$

for all $W \in \Gamma(TM)$.

The radical space of $T_W M$, at each point $W \in M$, is defined by

$$\text{Rad } T_W M = \{\xi \in T_W M : g(\xi, W) = 0, W \in T_W M\}, \quad (1)$$

whose dimension is called the nullity degree of g and (M, g) is called a lightlike hypersurface of (\check{M}, \check{g}) . Since g is degenerate and any null vector is perpendicular to itself, $T_W M^\perp$ is also degenerate and

$$\text{Rad } T_W M = T_W M \cap T_W M^\perp. \quad (2)$$

For a hypersurface M , $\dim T_W M^\perp = 1$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{Rad } T_W M &= 1, \\ \text{Rad } T_W M &= T_W M^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

We call $\text{Rad } TM$ the radical distribution and it is spanned by the null vector field ξ .

Consider a complementary vector bundle $S(TM)$ of $\text{Rad } TM$ in TM . This means that

$$TM = S(TM) \perp \text{Rad } TM, \tag{3}$$

where \perp denotes the orthogonal direct sum. The bundle $S(TM)$ is called the screen distribution on M . Since the screen distribution $S(TM)$ is non-degenerate, there exists a complementary orthogonal vector sub-bundle $S(TM)^\perp$ to $S(TM)$ in TM which is called the screen transversal bundle of dimension 2 [10].

Since $\text{Rad } TM$ is a lightlike vector sub-bundle of $S(TM)^\perp$, therefore for any local section $\xi \in \Gamma(\text{Rad } TM)$ there exists a unique local section N of $S(TM)^\perp$ such that

$$g(N, N) = 0 \quad g(\xi, N) = 1. \tag{4}$$

Hence, N is not tangent to M and $\{\xi, N\}$ is a local frame field of $S(TM)^\perp$. Moreover, we have a 1-dimensional vector sub-bundle $\text{ltr}TM$ of TM , namely lightlike transversal bundle, which is locally spanned by N . Then we set

$$S(TM)^\perp = \text{Rad } TM \oplus \text{ltr}TM,$$

where the decomposition is not orthogonal. Thus we have the following decomposition of

$$TM = S(TM) \perp \text{Rad } TM \oplus \text{ltr}TM = TM \oplus \text{ltr}TM. \tag{5}$$

From the above decomposition of a semi-Riemannian manifold \check{M} along a lightlike hypersurface M , we may consider the following local quasi-orthonormal field of frames of \check{M} along M :

$$\{W_1, \dots, W_n, \xi, N\},$$

where $\{W_1, \dots, W_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\Gamma(S(TM))$. According to the decomposition given by (5), we have the following the Gauss and the Weingarten formulas, respectively:

$$\check{\nabla}_W V = \nabla_W V + B(W, V)N, \tag{6}$$

$$\check{\nabla}_W N = -A_N W + \tau(W)N, \tag{7}$$

where B is a symmetric $(0, 2)$ tensor which is called the second fundamental form and A is an endomorphism of TM which is called the shape operator with respect to N and τ is a 1-form on M .

For each $W \in \Gamma(TM)$, we can write

$$W = SW + \alpha(W)\xi, \tag{8}$$

where S is projection of TM on $S(TM)$ and α is a 1-form given by

$$\alpha(W) = \check{g}(W, N). \tag{9}$$

From (7), for all $W, V, U \in \Gamma(TM)$, we get

$$(\nabla_W \check{g})(V, U) = B(W, V)\alpha(U) + B(W, U)\alpha(V),$$

which implies that the induced connection ∇ is a non-metric connection on M .

From (3), we have

$$\nabla_W S = \nabla_W^* S + C(W, S)\xi \tag{10}$$

$$\nabla_W \xi = -A_\xi^* W - \tau(W)\xi \tag{11}$$

for all $W \in \Gamma(TM)$, $S \in \Gamma(S(TM))$, where C , A_ξ^* and ∇^* are the local second fundamental form, the local shape operator and the induced connection on $S(TM)$, respectively. Note that $\nabla_W^* S$ and $A_\xi^* W$ belong to $\Gamma(S(TM))$. Also, we have the following

$$g(A_\xi^* W, V) = B(W, V), \quad g(A_\xi^* W, N) = 0, \quad B(W, \xi) = 0, \quad g(A_N W, N) = 0. \tag{12}$$

Moreover, from the first and third equations of (12) we have [9]

$$A_\xi^* \xi = 0. \tag{13}$$

3. (ε) -para Sasakian Manifolds with a Semi-Symmetric Non-Metric Connection

Let \check{M} be an almost paracontact manifold equipped with an almost paracontact structure $(\check{\phi}, \delta, \check{\eta})$ consisting of a tensor field $\check{\phi}$ of type $(1, 1)$, a vector field δ and 1-form $\check{\eta}$ satisfying

$$\check{\phi}^2 = I - \check{\eta} \otimes \delta, \tag{14}$$

$$\check{\eta}(\delta) = 1, \tag{15}$$

$$\check{\phi}(\delta) = 0, \tag{16}$$

$$\check{\eta} \circ \check{\phi} = 0. \tag{17}$$

Let \check{M} be an n -dimensional almost paracontact manifold and \check{g} be a semi-Riemannian metric with $index(\check{g}) = v$, such that

$$\check{g}(\check{\phi}W, \check{\phi}V) = \check{g}(W, V) - \varepsilon \check{\eta}(W) \check{\eta}(V), \tag{18}$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. In this case, \check{M} is called an (ε) -almost paracontact metric manifold equipped with an (ε) -almost paracontact structure $(\check{\phi}, \delta, \check{\eta}, \check{g})$ [23].

In view of equations (15),(16) and (18), we have

$$\check{g}(\check{\phi}W, V) = \check{g}(W, \check{\phi}V) \tag{19}$$

and

$$\check{g}(W, \delta) = \varepsilon \check{\eta}(W), \tag{20}$$

for all $W, V \in \Gamma(T\check{M})$. From equation (20), it follows that

$$\check{g}(\delta, \delta) = \varepsilon, \tag{21}$$

i.e. the structure vector field δ is never lightlike. An (ε) -almost paracontact metric manifold $(\check{M}, \check{\phi}, \delta, \check{\eta}, \check{g}, \varepsilon)$ is said to be spacelike (ε) -almost paracontact metric manifold, if $\varepsilon = 1$ and \check{M} is said to be a \check{M} timelike (ε) -almost paracontact metric manifold if $\varepsilon = -1$.

An (ε) -almost paracontact metric structure is called an (ε) -para Sasakian structure [23] if

$$(\check{\nabla}_W \check{\phi})(V) = -\check{g}(\check{\phi}W, \check{\phi}V) \delta - \delta \check{\eta}(V) \check{\phi}^2 W, \quad \forall W, V \in \Gamma(T\check{M}), \tag{22}$$

where $\check{\nabla}$ the Levi-Civita connection. A manifold \check{M} endowed with an (ε) -para Sasakian structure is called an (ε) -para Sasakian manifold.

In an (ε) -para Sasakian manifold, we have

$$\check{\nabla}_W \delta = \varepsilon \check{\phi}, \tag{23}$$

$$\Omega(W, V) = \varepsilon \check{g}(\check{\phi}W, V) = (\check{\nabla}_W \check{\eta})V, \tag{24}$$

for all $W, V \in \Gamma(T\check{M})$, where Ω is the fundamental 2-form.

The $\check{\nabla}$ on a semi-Riemannian manifold (\check{M}, \check{g}) is called semi-symmetric connection, if its torsion tensor \check{T} satisfies

$$\check{T}(W, V) = \check{\eta}(V)W - \check{\eta}(W)V, \tag{25}$$

$$\check{\eta}(W) = \check{g}(W, \delta). \tag{26}$$

Let $\check{\nabla}$ be a linear connection and $\check{\nabla}$ be a Levi-Civita connection of an (ε) -para Sasakian manifold \check{M} such

$$\check{\nabla}_W V = \check{\nabla}_W V + F(W, V), \tag{27}$$

where F is a tensor of type $(1, 2)$.

For a semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\check{\nabla}$ in \check{M} , we have

$$F(W, V) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\check{T}(W, V) + \check{T}^*(W, V) + \check{T}^*(V, W) \right] + \check{g}(W, V)\delta, \tag{28}$$

where

$$\check{T}^*(W, V) = \check{\eta}(V)W - \check{g}(W, V)\delta. \tag{29}$$

Using (25) and (29) in equation (28), we get

$$F(W, V) = \check{\eta}(V)W. \tag{30}$$

Hence in view of equations (27) and (30), a semi-symmetric connection on an (ε) -para Sasakian manifold \check{M} is given by

$$\check{\nabla}_W V = \check{\nabla}_W V + \check{\eta}(V)W. \tag{31}$$

Also, we have

$$(\check{\nabla}_W \check{g})(V, Z) = -\check{\eta}(V)\check{g}(W, Z) - \check{\eta}(Z)\check{g}(W, V). \tag{32}$$

In a lightlike hypersurface, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\check{\nabla}_W \check{g})(V, Z) &= B(W, V)g(N, Z) + B(W, Z)g(V, N) \\ &\quad - \check{\eta}(V)g(W, Z) - \check{\eta}(Z)g(V, W). \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

In view of equations (25) and (32), we conclude that the connection $\check{\nabla}$ is a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Thus equation (31) gives the relation between the Levi-Civita connection $\check{\nabla}$ and semi-symmetric connection $\check{\nabla}$ on an (ε) -para Sasakian manifold \check{M} .

In view of equation (31), we have

$$(\check{\nabla}_W \check{\phi})(V) = \check{\nabla}_W \check{\phi}(V) - \check{\phi}(\check{\nabla}_W V),$$

i.e.,

$$(\check{\nabla}_W \check{\phi})(V) = (\check{\nabla}_W \check{\phi})(V) - \check{\eta}(V)\check{\phi}(W). \tag{34}$$

Replacing W and V by $\check{\phi}W$ and $\check{\phi}V$ and using equation (17), we find

$$\left(\check{\nabla}_{\check{\phi}W}\check{\phi}\right)(\check{\phi}V) = \left(\check{\nabla}_{\check{\phi}W}\check{\phi}\right)(\check{\phi}V) = -\check{g}(\check{\phi}^2W, \check{\phi}^2V)\delta, \tag{35}$$

for all $W, V \in T\check{M}$ [19].

Example 3.1. Let us assume the manifold R_q^{2m+1} with

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\eta} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(dz - \sum_{i=1}^m y^i dx^i \right), \\ \delta &= 2dz, \\ \check{g} &= \check{\eta} \otimes \check{\eta} + \frac{1}{4} \left(-\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{q}{2}} dx^i \otimes dx^i + dy^i \otimes dy^i + \sum_{i=\frac{q}{2}+1}^m dx^i \otimes dx^i + dy^i \otimes dy^i \right), \\ \check{\phi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m (X_i \partial x_i + Y_i \partial y_i) + Z \partial z \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^m (Y_i \partial x_i + X_i \partial y_i) + \sum_{i=1}^m Y_i y^i \partial z, \end{aligned}$$

where (x^i, y^i, z) are the cartesian coordinates on R_q^{2m+1} . Then $(R_q^{2m+1}, \check{g}, \check{\phi}, \check{\eta}, \delta)$ is a usual para-Sasakian manifold [21].

Example 3.2. Let R^3 be the 3-dimensional real number space with a coordinate system (x, y, z) . We define

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\eta} &= dz, \\ \delta &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \\ \check{\phi} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \check{\phi} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \check{\phi} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) = 0 \\ \check{g} &= e^{-2z}(dx)^2 + e^{2z}(dy)^2 - (dz)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then $(\check{\phi}, \check{g}, \check{\eta}, \delta)$ is an (ε) -para Sasakian structure. Let $\check{\nabla}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ denote the Levi-Civita connection and a linear connection on R^3 , respectively. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &= -e^{-2z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \check{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0, \check{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\ \check{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &= 0, \check{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = e^{2z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \check{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \\ \check{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \check{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \check{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

If we define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &= -e^{2z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = 0, \tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = 0, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &= 0, \tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = e^{2z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

then by using (36) and (37) we see that

$$\tilde{T}(W, V) = \check{\eta}(V)W - \check{\eta}(W)V,$$

which implies that $\tilde{\nabla}$ is a semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

4. Lightlike Hypersurfaces of an (ϵ) - para Sasakian Manifold with a Semi-Symmetric Non-Metric Connection

Let M be a lightlike hypersurface of an (ϵ) - para Sasakian manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. In this case, if we take into account the fact that $\check{\nabla}$ is a Levi-Civita connection, we can write the Gauss and Weingarten formulas as given by (6) and (7), respectively, where ∇ denotes the induced connection on M from Levi-Civita connection $\check{\nabla}$.

Assume that $\tilde{\nabla}$ is a semi-symmetric connection on \check{M} . If we denote the induced connection from $\tilde{\nabla}$ on TM by $\mathring{\nabla}$, we can write

$$\tilde{\nabla}_W V = \mathring{\nabla}_W V + m(W, V)N, \tag{38}$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_W N = -\mathring{A}_N W + w(W)N. \tag{39}$$

Therefore, from (31) and above equations, we find

$$\mathring{\nabla}_W V = \nabla_W V + \check{\eta}(V)W, \tag{40}$$

$$m(W, V) = B(W, V), \tag{41}$$

$$w(W) = \tau(W). \tag{42}$$

Since ∇ is not a metric connection, then from (40), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathring{\nabla}_W g)(V, Z) &= B(W, V)\theta(Z) + B(W, Z)\theta(V) - \\ &\quad \check{\eta}(V)g(W, Z) - \check{\eta}(Z)g(V, W), \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

which implies that $\mathring{\nabla}$ is a non-metric connection. Also, we have

$$\mathring{T}(W, V) = \check{\eta}(V)W - \check{\eta}(W)V. \tag{44}$$

As an adaptation of [25], we have:

Proposition 4.1. *Let M be a lightlike hypersurface of an (ϵ) - para Sasakian manifold \check{M} with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then M have a semi-symmetric non metric connection. Hence,*

$$\mathring{T}(W, V) = \check{\eta}(V)W - \check{\eta}(W)V,$$

$$\mathring{\nabla}_W V = \nabla_W V + \check{\eta}(V)W,$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathring{\nabla}_W g)(V, Z) &= B(W, V)\theta(Z) + B(W, Z)\theta(V) \\ &\quad - \check{\eta}(V)g(W, Z) - \check{\eta}(Z)g(V, W). \end{aligned}$$

Now, replacing the Levi-Civita connection $\check{\nabla}$ by semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ in (22), the equation is reformed to

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_W \check{\phi})(V) = (\check{\nabla}_W \check{\phi})(V) - \check{\eta}(V)\check{\phi}(W), \tag{45}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_W \check{\phi})(V) &= -\check{g}(\check{\phi}W, \check{\phi}V)\delta - \epsilon\check{\eta}(V)W \\ &\quad + \epsilon\check{\eta}(V)\check{\eta}(W)\delta - \check{\eta}(V)\check{\phi}(W). \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Replacing V by δ in (46) and using (16), $\check{\eta}(\check{\nabla}_W \delta) = 0$, we find

$$\check{\nabla}_W \delta = W + \varepsilon \check{\phi}(W). \tag{47}$$

Let (M, g) be a lightlike hypersurface of (\check{M}, \check{g}) . For local sections ξ and N of $Rad TM$ and $ltrTM$, respectively, in view of (26) and (14), we have

$$\check{\eta}(\xi) = 0, \check{\eta}(N) = 0, \tag{48}$$

$$\check{\phi}^2 \xi = 0, \check{\phi}^2 N = 0. \tag{49}$$

For $W \in \Gamma(TM)$, we can write

$$\check{\phi}W = \phi W + h(W)N, \tag{50}$$

where $\phi W \in \Gamma(TM)$ and

$$h(W) = g(\check{\phi}W, \xi) = g(W, \check{\phi}\xi). \tag{51}$$

Proposition 4.2. *Let $(\check{M}, \check{\phi}, \delta, \check{\eta}, \check{g}, \varepsilon)$ be an (ε) - para Sasakian manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection and M be a lightlike hypersurface of \check{M} , such that structure vector field δ is tangent to M . Then we have*

$$g(\check{\phi}\xi, \xi) = 0, \tag{52}$$

$$g(\check{\phi}\xi, N) = g(\xi, \check{\phi}N) = \varepsilon g(\delta, A_N \xi), \tag{53}$$

where ξ is a local section of $Rad TM$ and N is a local section of $ltrTM$.

Proof. From (47) and (13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(\check{\phi}\xi, \xi) &= \varepsilon g(\check{\nabla}_\xi \delta - \xi, \xi) \\ &= -\varepsilon g(\delta, \nabla_\xi \xi) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(\check{\phi}\xi, N) &= \varepsilon g(\check{\nabla}_\xi \delta - \xi, N) \\ &= -\varepsilon g(\delta, \check{\nabla}_\xi N) \\ &= \varepsilon g(\delta, A_N \xi) = g(\xi, \check{\phi}N). \end{aligned}$$

Also, we find

$$g(\check{\phi}\xi, \check{\phi}N) = 1.$$

This completes the proof. \square

From the proposition above, we can say that there is no component of $\check{\phi}\xi$ in $ltrTM$, thus $\check{\phi}\xi \in \Gamma(TM)$. Moreover, there may be a component of $\check{\phi}\xi$ in $Rad TM$.

Therefore, for any lightlike hypersurface M of (ε) - para Sasakian manifolds with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection \check{M} , from the decomposition

$$D = S(TM) \perp Rad TM \perp \check{\phi}(Rad TM)$$

and

$$D' = \check{\phi}(l\text{tr}TM),$$

we have

$$TM = D \oplus D'. \tag{54}$$

Consider two null vector field H and K and their 1-forms h and k , such that

$$H = \check{\phi}N, \quad h(W) = g(W, K), \tag{55}$$

$$K = \check{\phi}\xi, \quad k(W) = g(W, H). \tag{56}$$

Denote the projection morphism of TM on D by S . Any vector field W on TM is expressed by

$$W = SW + h(W)H. \tag{57}$$

Applying $\check{\phi}$ to the both sides of the last equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\phi}W &= \check{\phi}SW + h(W)\check{\phi}H, \\ \check{\phi}W &= \phi W + h(W)N, \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

where ϕ is a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$ globally defined on M by $\phi W = \check{\phi}SW$.

If we apply $\check{\phi}$ to (58) and using (14)–(17) with (55) and (56), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\phi}^2W &= \check{\phi}\phi W + h(W)\check{\phi}N, \\ W - \check{\eta}(W)\delta &= \phi^2W + h(W)H, \end{aligned}$$

which imply

$$\phi^2W = W - \check{\eta}(W)\delta - h(W)H + h(\phi W)N. \tag{59}$$

Using (32), (25), (19) and (58), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathring{V}_Wg)(V, Z) &= B(W, V)g(N, Z) + B(W, Z)g(V, N) \\ &\quad - \check{\eta}(V)g(W, Z) - \check{\eta}(Z)g(V, W). \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

Also, we have

$$\mathring{T}(W, V) = \check{\eta}(V)W - \check{\eta}(W)V, \tag{61}$$

for $W, V \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $(\check{M}, \check{\phi}, \delta, \check{\eta}, \check{g}, \varepsilon)$ be an (ε) -para Sasakian manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection and M be a lightlike hypersurface of \check{M} , such that structure vector field δ is tangent to M . Then we have*

$$B(W, V) - B(V, W) = -\varepsilon(\check{\eta} \otimes h)(W, V)g(\delta, A_N\xi), \tag{62}$$

$$B(W, V) = g(A_\xi^*W, V) + \check{\eta}(V)h(W), \tag{63}$$

$$C(W, PV) = g(PV, A_NW) + \check{\eta}(PV)k(W), \tag{64}$$

$$g(A_NW, \delta) = -(1 + \varepsilon)k(W). \tag{65}$$

Proof. For all $W, V, \delta \in \Gamma(TM)$, using (38) and (57), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\eta}(V)g(W, \xi) - \check{\eta}(W)g(V, \xi) &= B(W, V) - B(V, W), \\ \varepsilon [\check{\eta}(V)h(W) - \check{\eta}(W)h(V)]g(\delta, A_N\xi) &= B(W, V) - B(V, W), \end{aligned}$$

which imply

$$B(W, V) - B(V, W) = -\varepsilon(\check{\eta} \otimes h)(W, V)g(\delta, A_N\xi).$$

Also using (38) and (41), we find that the local second fundamental forms are related to their shape operators by

$$\begin{aligned} B(W, V) &= g\left(\check{\nabla}_W V, \xi\right) \\ &= -g(V, \check{\nabla}_W \xi) + \check{\eta}(V)h(W) \\ &= g\left(A_\xi^* W, V\right) + \check{\eta}(V)h(W). \end{aligned}$$

For a projection morphism P to $S(TM)$ from M , we get

$$\begin{aligned} C(W, PV) &= g\left(\check{\nabla}_W PV, N\right) \\ &= -g(PV, \check{\nabla}_W N) + \check{\eta}(PV)k(W) \\ &= g(PV, A_N W) + \check{\eta}(PV)k(W). \end{aligned}$$

Applying $\check{\nabla}_W$ to $g(\delta, N) = 0$ and using (60), (47), (56) and (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(W + \varepsilon\check{\phi}W, N) &= g(\delta, -A_N W + \tau(W)N), \\ k(W) + \varepsilon k(W) &= -g(A_N W, \delta), \\ g(A_N W, \delta) &= -(1 + \varepsilon)k(W). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Now, applying $\check{\nabla}_W$ to (50), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\nabla}_W \check{\phi}V &= \check{\nabla}_W \phi V + \left(\check{\nabla}_W h\right)(V)N + h(V)\check{\nabla}_W N, \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} -g(W, V)\delta + 2\varepsilon\check{\eta}(W)\check{\eta}(V)\delta - \varepsilon\check{\eta}(V)W \\ -\check{\eta}(V)\phi W - \check{\eta}(V)h(W)N + h(\check{\nabla}_W V)N \\ +B(W, V)H \end{array} \right) &= \left(\begin{array}{c} (\check{\nabla}_W \phi)V + B(W, \phi V)N + \check{\eta}(\phi V)W \\ \left(\check{\nabla}_W h\right)(V)N - h(V)A_N W - h(V)\tau(W)N \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\check{\nabla}_W \phi)V &= -g(W, V)\delta + 2\varepsilon\check{\eta}(W)\check{\eta}(V)\delta \\ &\quad - \varepsilon\check{\eta}(V)W - \check{\eta}(V)\phi W + \check{\eta}(\phi V)W \\ &\quad + h(V)A_N W + B(W, V)H \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

$$\left(\check{\nabla}_W h\right)(V) = h(V)\tau(W) - \check{\eta}(V)h(W) + h(\check{\nabla}_W V) - B(W, \phi V). \tag{67}$$

From (33), we have

$$\left(\check{\nabla}_W h\right)(V) = \varepsilon B(W, V)g(\delta, A_N\xi) - \check{\eta}(V)h(W). \tag{68}$$

If we use (68) in (67), we arrive at

$$h(\check{\nabla}_W V) = h(V)\tau(W) - B(W, \phi V) - \varepsilon B(W, V)g(\delta, A_N\xi). \tag{69}$$

Theorem 4.4. *A lightlike hypersurface M of an (ε) - para Sasakian manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection is totally geodesic if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W \phi)V &= -g(W, V)\delta + 2\varepsilon\eta(W)\eta(V)\delta \\ &\quad -\varepsilon\eta(V)W - \eta(V)\phi W + \eta(\phi V)W, \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

$$A_N W = (\nabla_W \phi)H + g(W, H)\delta, \tag{71}$$

where $V \in \Gamma(D)$.

Proof. For any $V \in \Gamma(D)$, we have $h(V) = 0$. Then, (66) is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W \phi)V &= -g(W, V)\delta + 2\varepsilon\eta(W)\eta(V)\delta \\ &\quad -\varepsilon\eta(V)W - \eta(V)\phi W \\ &\quad + \eta(\phi V)W - B(W, V)H. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, replacing V by H in (66), we also obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W \phi)H &= -g(W, H)\delta + 2\varepsilon\eta(W)\eta(H)\delta \\ &\quad -\varepsilon\eta(H)W - \eta(H)\phi W + \eta(\phi H)W \\ &\quad + h(H)A_N W - B(W, H)H, \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

where

$$\eta(H) = 0, \tag{73}$$

$$h(H) = 1, \tag{74}$$

$$\eta(\phi H) = 0. \tag{75}$$

If taking into account (73)-(75) with (72), we find

$$(\nabla_W \phi)H = -g(W, H)\delta - B(W, H)H + A_N W,$$

which yields

$$A_N W = (\nabla_W \phi)H + g(W, H)\delta + B(W, H)H. \tag{76}$$

As a result, if we assume that M is totally geodesic, then (76) is reduced (71). The converse is clear. Thus, we complete the proof. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let M be a lightlike hypersurface of an (ε) - para Sasakian manifold \check{M} with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then, for any $W \in \Gamma(TM)$,*

i) if the vector field H is parallel, then we have

$$A_N W = \eta(A_N W)\delta + h(A_N W)H,$$

ii) if the vector field K is parallel, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_\xi^* W - \eta(A_\xi^* W)\delta &= 0, \\ \tau(W) &= 0, \\ h(\phi A_\xi^* W) + h(A_\xi^* W) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. i) Applying ϕ to (76) and using (59), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \phi A_N W &= \phi \left((\nabla_W \phi) H \right) + g(W, H) \phi \delta + B(W, H) \phi H \\ &= \phi \left[\nabla_W \phi H - \phi (\nabla_W H) \right] + g(W, H) \left[\check{\phi} \delta - h(\delta) N \right] \\ &\quad + B(W, H) \left[\check{\phi} H - h(H) N \right] \\ &= -\phi^2 (\nabla_W H) \\ &= -\nabla_W H + \check{\eta} (\nabla_W H) \delta + h(\nabla_W H) H + h(\phi \nabla_W H) N, \end{aligned}$$

for all $W \in \Gamma(TM)$. If H is parallel, i.e. $\nabla_W H = 0$, then this equation reduced to

$$\phi A_N W = 0.$$

From this equation and (58), we get

$$\check{\phi} (A_N W) = h(A_N W) N.$$

Applying $\check{\phi}$ to this equation and using (14), we obtain

$$A_N W = \check{\eta} (A_N W) \delta + h(A_N W) H.$$

ii) Suppose that the vector field K is parallel. Replacing V by ξ in (66) and using (12), we have

$$(\nabla_W \phi) \xi = 0.$$

Hence, we find

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W \phi) \xi &= \nabla_W \phi \xi - \phi (\nabla_W \xi) \\ 0 &= -\nabla_W K + \phi (A_\xi^* W) + \tau(W) K \\ \phi (A_\xi^* W) &= -\tau(W) K. \end{aligned}$$

Applying ϕ to this equation and using (59), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^2 (A_\xi^* W) &= -\tau(W) \phi K \\ h(\phi A_\xi^* W) N + A_\xi^* W - \check{\eta} (A_\xi^* W) \delta - h(A_\xi^* W) H &= -\tau(W) \phi K, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let M be a lightlike hypersurface of an (ε) -para Sasakian manifold \check{M} with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then, the screen distribution of M is integrable if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} C(W, \delta) &= C(\delta, W), \\ C(W, \delta) &= \varepsilon g(\phi W, N), \\ g(\phi W, N) &= g(W, \phi N). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For all $W, V \in \Gamma(S(TM))$, $N \in \Gamma(ltrTM)$ the screen distribution is integrable if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} g([W, V], N) &= 0 \\ g(W, N) + \varepsilon g(W, \check{\phi} N) - g(\nabla_\delta W, N) &= 0 \\ C(W, \delta) &= \varepsilon g(W, \check{\phi} N) \\ C(W, \delta) &= \varepsilon g(\phi W, N). \end{aligned}$$

Also we can write screen distribution is integrable if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} g([W, V], N) &= 0 \\ g(\tilde{\nabla}_W \delta - \tilde{\nabla}_\delta W - \check{\eta}(\delta)W - \check{\eta}(W)\delta, N) &= 0 \\ g(\nabla_W \delta, N) - g(\nabla_\delta W, N) &= 0 \\ C(W, \delta) - C(\delta, W) &= 0 \\ C(W, \delta) &= C(\delta, W) \\ g(\phi W, N) &= g(W, \phi N). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

5. Invariant Lightlike Hypersurfaces of an (ϵ) -para Sasakian Manifold with a Semi-Symmetric Non-Metric Connection

Definition 5.1. Let $(\check{M}, \check{\phi}, \delta, \check{\eta}, \check{g}, \epsilon)$ be an $(n + 2)$ -dimensional (ϵ) -almost paracontact metric manifold endowed a semi-symmetric non-metric connection and M be a lightlike hypersurface of \check{M} . If

$$\check{\phi}(S(TM)) = S(TM)$$

then, M is called an invariant lightlike hypersurface of \check{M} [26].

Theorem 5.2. Let $(\check{M}, \check{\phi}, \delta, \check{\eta}, \check{g}, \epsilon)$ be an $(n + 2)$ -dimensional (ϵ) -almost paracontact metric manifold endowed semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then M is an invariant lightlike hypersurface of \check{M} if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\phi}(Rad TM) &= Rad TM, \\ \check{\phi}(ltrTM) &= ltrTM. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let M be an invariant lightlike hypersurface of \check{M} . From $\check{\phi}E = \phi E = P\phi E + \theta(\phi E)E$, for any $W \in \Gamma(TM)$, we get

$$\check{g}(\check{\phi}E, W) = \check{g}(E, \phi W + h(w)N) = h(w), \tag{77}$$

$$\check{g}(\check{\phi}E, W) = \check{g}(\check{\phi}E, PW + h(w)H) = \check{g}(\check{\phi}E, PW) + h(w). \tag{78}$$

From (77) and (78), we find

$$\check{g}(\check{\phi}E, PW) = 0,$$

namely, there is not any component of $\check{\phi}E$ in $S(TM)$ and $\check{\phi}(Rad TM) = Rad TM$. For any local section N of $ltrTM$, we can write

$$\check{\phi}N = P\phi N + \check{g}(\check{\phi}N, N)E + \check{g}(\check{\phi}N, E)N.$$

Then, for any $W \in \Gamma(TM)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \check{g}(\check{\phi}N, W) &= \check{g}(\check{\phi}N, PW + h(w)H) \\ &= \check{g}(\check{\phi}N, PW) \\ &= \check{g}(N, \check{\phi}PW), \end{aligned}$$

where $PW \in S(TM)$. Since M is an invariant lightlike hypersurface, $\check{\phi}PW \in S(TM)$, then we get

$$\check{g}(\check{\phi}N, W) = \check{g}(N, \check{\phi}PW) = 0.$$

Hence, there is no component of $\check{\phi}N$ in $S(TM)$.

Also if we apply $\check{\phi}$ to $\check{\phi}N = P\phi N + \check{g}(\check{\phi}N, N)E + \check{g}(\check{\phi}N, E)N$, then we find that $P\phi N = 0$. Therefore we have

$$\check{\phi}N = \check{g}(\check{\phi}N, N)E + \check{g}(\check{\phi}N, E)N.$$

which implies

$$\check{g}(\check{\phi}N, N) = \check{g}(\check{\phi}N, E) = 0.$$

Since $\ker \check{\phi} = \text{Span}\{\delta\}$, we find $\check{g}(\check{\phi}N, N) = 0$. Thus $\check{\phi}N = \check{g}(\check{\phi}N, E)N$, that is $\check{\phi}(ltrTM) = ltrTM$.

Conversely, let $\check{\phi}(Rad TM) = Rad TM$ and $\check{\phi}(ltrTM) = ltrTM$. For any $W \in S(TM)$, we have

$$\check{g}(E, \check{\phi}W) = \check{g}(\check{\phi}E, W) = 0.$$

Thus there is no component of $\check{\phi}W$ in $ltrTM$. Similarly, we get

$$\check{g}(\check{\phi}N, W) = \check{g}(N, \check{\phi}W) = 0,$$

which implies that there is no component of $\check{\phi}W$ in $Rad TM$. This completes the proof. \square

Example 5.3. Let $(R_2^5, \check{g}, \check{\phi}, \check{\eta}, \delta)$ be an (ε) -para Sasakian manifold given in Example 3.1., where \check{g} is of signature $(-, +, -, + +)$ with respect to the canonical basis $\{\partial x_1, \partial x_2, \partial y_1, \partial y_2, \partial z\}$. Suppose M is a hypersurface of R_2^5 given by

$$\begin{aligned} -x^1 &= y^1 = u_1, \\ x^2 &= u_2, \\ y^2 &= u_3, \\ z &= u_4. \end{aligned}$$

Then $Rad TM = \text{span}\{E = -2\partial x_1 - 2\partial x_2 + 2\partial y_1 + 2\partial y_2 - (y^1 + y^2)\partial z\}$ and $ltr(TM)$ is spanned by

$$N = \frac{1}{2}(\partial x_1 - \partial x_2 - \partial y_1 + \partial y_2 + (y^1 - y^2)\partial z).$$

It can be easily checked that $\check{\phi}E = -E$, $\check{\phi}N = -N$. Thus M is an invariant lightlike hypersurface of R_2^5 .

Theorem 5.4. Let $(\check{M}, \check{\phi}, \delta, \check{\eta}, \check{g}, \varepsilon)$ be an (ε) -almost paracontact metric manifold endowed semi-symmetric non-metric connection and M be an invariant lightlike hypersurface of \check{M} . Then $(M, \phi, \delta, \check{\eta}, g, \varepsilon)$ is an (ε) -almost paracontact metric manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

Proof. Let M be an invariant lightlike hypersurface of \check{M} and $W, V \in \Gamma(TM)$. From (58) and $\phi W = \check{\phi}SW$, where S denotes the projection morphism of TM on D , we have

$$\check{\phi}W = \phi W = \check{\phi}SW. \tag{79}$$

If we apply $\check{\phi}$ to (79), we can write

$$\phi^2 W = W - \eta(W)\delta. \tag{80}$$

Also from (79), it follows that

$$\check{\phi}\delta = \phi\delta = 0. \tag{81}$$

In view of (80) and (81), we can see that

$$\check{\eta} \circ \check{\phi} = \check{\eta} \circ \phi \tag{82}$$

$$\check{\eta}(\delta) = 1. \tag{83}$$

Moreover, from (19), we find

$$g(\phi W, V) = g(W, \phi V), \tag{84}$$

and from (18), we obtain

$$g(\phi W, \phi V) = g(W, V) - \varepsilon \check{\eta}(W) \check{\eta}(V). \tag{85}$$

Therefore from (80)-(85), we completes proof. \square

Proposition 5.5. *Let M be an invariant lightlike hypersurface of an (ε) -para Sasakian manifold $(\check{M}, \check{\phi}, \delta, \check{\eta}, \check{g}, \varepsilon)$ endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then we have*

$$g(\delta, A_N PW) = \theta(W)(1 + \varepsilon),$$

for $W \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Proof. Since $\check{g}(\delta, N) = 0$ and using (47), we write

$$\check{g}(W, N) + \varepsilon \check{g}(\check{\phi}W, N) = \check{g}(\delta, A_N W). \tag{86}$$

For any $W \in \Gamma(TM)$, from (86), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \check{g}(PW + \theta(W), N) + \varepsilon \check{g}(W, \check{\phi}N) &= \check{g}(\delta, A_N PW) \\ \theta(W)(1 + \varepsilon) &= g(\delta, A_N PW). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Corollary 5.6. *Let M be an invariant lightlike hypersurface of a timelike (resp., spacelike) (ε) -para Sasakian manifold $(\check{M}, \check{\phi}, \delta, \check{\eta}, \check{g}, \varepsilon)$ endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then we have $g(\delta, A_N PW) = 0$ (resp., $g(\delta, A_N PW) = 2\theta(W)$).*

Theorem 5.7. *An invariant lightlike hypersurface of an (ε) -para Sasakian manifold with semi-symmetric non metric connection is also an (ε) -para Sasakian manifold endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Furthermore, we have*

$$B(W, \phi V)N - B(W, V)\phi N = 0, \tag{87}$$

$$\phi(A_N W) = A_{\phi N}W - \theta(W)\delta, \tag{88}$$

for any $W, V \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Proof. From (38) and (41), we find

$$\begin{aligned} (\check{\nabla}_W \check{\phi})(V) &= \check{\nabla}_W \check{\phi}V + B(W, \check{\phi}V) \\ &\quad - \check{\phi} \check{\nabla}_W V - B(W, V)\check{\phi}N. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of an invariant lightlike hypersurface, we have

$$(\check{\nabla}_W \check{\phi})(V) = (\check{\nabla}_W \check{\phi})V + B(W, \phi V)N - B(W, V)\check{\phi}N.$$

Using (46), we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\check{g}(\phi W, \phi V)\delta - \varepsilon\check{\eta}(V)W \\ +\varepsilon\check{\eta}(V)\check{\eta}(W)\delta - \check{\eta}(V)\phi(W) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_W\check{\phi})V + B(W, \phi V)N \\ -B(W, V)\check{\phi}N \end{pmatrix}.$$

Equating tangential parts of above equation provides

$$(\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_W\check{\phi})V = -\check{g}(\phi W, \phi V)\delta - \varepsilon\check{\eta}(V)W + \varepsilon\check{\eta}(V)\check{\eta}(W)\delta - \check{\eta}(V)\phi(W).$$

which implies that M is an (ε) -para Sasakian manifold with semi-symmetric non metric connection via Theorem 5.1. Also, equating transversal parts of above equation gives equation (87).

Next using (46) and (39) with (34), we obtain

$$(\overset{\sim}{\nabla}_W\check{\phi})N = \overset{\sim}{\nabla}_W\check{\phi}N - \check{\phi}(\overset{\sim}{\nabla}_WN),$$

which implies (88) and $\tau(W) = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

6. Screen Semi-Invariant Lightlike Hypersurfaces of an (ε) -para Sasakian Manifold with a Semi-Symmetric Non-Metric Connection

Definition 6.1. Let $(\check{M}, \check{\phi}, \delta, \check{\eta}, \check{g}, \varepsilon)$ be an $(n + 2)$ -dimensional (ε) -almost paracontact metric manifold endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection and M be a lightlike hypersurface of \check{M} . If

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\phi}(Rad TM) &\subset S(TM), \\ \check{\phi}(ltrTM) &\subset S(TM), \end{aligned}$$

then M will be called a screen semi-invariant lightlike hypersurface of \check{M} . (see also

Example 6.2. Let $(R_2^5, \check{g}, \check{\phi}, \check{\eta}, \delta)$ be an (ε) -para Sasakian manifold given in Example 3.1., where \check{g} is of signature $(-, +, -, +)$ with respect to the canonical basis $\{\partial x_1, \partial x_2, \partial y_1, \partial y_2, \partial z\}$. Suppose M is a hypersurface of R_2^5 given by

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &= y^2 = u_2, \\ x^1 &= u_1, \\ y^1 &= u_3, \\ z &= u_4. \end{aligned}$$

Then $Rad TM = span\{2\partial x_1 + \sqrt{2}\partial x_2 - 2\partial y_1 + \sqrt{2}\partial y_2 + (2 + 2y^1 + \sqrt{2}y^2)\partial z\}$ and $ltr(TM)$ is spanned by $N = \sqrt{2}\partial x_1 + \sqrt{2}\partial y_1 + (2 + \sqrt{2}y^1)\partial z$. We easily check that

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\phi}E &= -2\partial x_1 + \sqrt{2}\partial x_2 + 2\partial y_1 + \sqrt{2}\partial y_2 + (2y^1 + \sqrt{2}y^2)\partial z \in \Gamma(S(TM)), \\ \check{\phi}N &= \sqrt{2}\partial x_1 + \sqrt{2}\partial y_1 + \sqrt{2}y^1\partial z \in \Gamma(S(TM)), \end{aligned}$$

thus M is a screen semi invariant lightlike hypersurface of R_2^5 .

Proposition 6.3. A screen semi-invariant lightlike hypersurface of an (ε) -para Sasakian manifold with semi-symmetric non-metric connection is (ε) -para Sasakian manifold, if

$$(\overset{\sim}{\nabla}_W\check{\phi})(V) = -\check{g}(\phi W, \phi V)\delta - \check{\eta}(V)\phi^2W - \check{\eta}(V)\phi W.$$

In view of (55) and (56), we can find

$$\check{g}(H, K) = 1. \tag{89}$$

Therefore $\langle H \rangle \oplus \langle K \rangle$ is a non-degenerate vector bundle of $S(TM)$ with rank 2. Since δ belong to $S(TM)$ and $\check{g}(H, \delta) = \check{g}(K, \delta) = 0$. Hence, there exists a non-degenerate distribution D_\circ of rank $n - 3$ on M such that

$$S(TM) = D_\circ \perp \{\langle H \rangle \oplus \langle K \rangle\} \perp \langle \delta \rangle,$$

we note that D_\circ is invariant distribution with $\check{\phi}$, that is $\check{\phi}D_\circ = D_\circ$. Denoting

$$D = D_\circ \perp Rad TM \perp \langle K \rangle$$

and

$$D' = \langle H \rangle$$

then, we have

$$TM = D \oplus D' \perp \langle \delta \rangle.$$

Thus, every $W \in \Gamma(TM)$ can be expressed as

$$W = RW + QW + \check{\eta}(W)\delta,$$

where R and Q are projections of TM into D and D' , respectively. Hence, we may write

$$\phi W = \check{\phi}RW, \tag{90}$$

$W \in \Gamma(TM)$. If we use (15), (50) and (51), we obtain

$$\check{\phi}^2 W = \phi^2 W + h(\phi W)N + h(W)H. \tag{91}$$

By comparing the tangential and transversal parts above equation, we find

$$\phi^2 = I - \check{\eta} \otimes \delta - h \otimes H, \tag{92}$$

$$h \otimes \phi = 0, \tag{93}$$

$$\phi \delta = 0, \tag{94}$$

$$h(\delta) = 0, \tag{95}$$

as well as

$$\check{\eta}(H) = 0, \check{\eta}(\delta) = 1 \tag{96}$$

$$\check{\eta} \circ \phi = 0. \tag{97}$$

Therefore we have

Proposition 6.4. *Let M be a screen semi-invariant lightlike hypersurface of an (ϵ) -almost paracontact metric manifold with semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then M possesses a para $(\phi, \delta, \check{\eta}, H, h)$ -structure, namely, equations (92)-(97) are provided.*

Now, using equation (45), we write

$$\left(\check{\nabla}_W \check{\phi}\right)(V) = \left(\check{\nabla}_W \check{\phi}\right)(V) - \check{\eta}(V)\check{\phi}(W).$$

Then, if we use (90) and (91), we have

Proposition 6.5. *A screen semi-invariant lightlike hypersurface of an (ϵ) -para Sasakian manifold with semi-symmetric non-metric connection is an (ϵ) -para Sasakian manifold, if*

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_W \check{\phi})(V) &= -\check{g}(\phi W, \phi V) \delta + h(V) \check{g}(\phi W, N) \delta \\ &\quad + h(W) \check{g}(\phi V, N) \delta - \epsilon \check{\eta}(V) \phi^2 W \\ &\quad - \epsilon \check{\eta}(V) h(\phi W) N - \epsilon \check{\eta}(V) h(W) H \\ &\quad - \check{\eta}(V) \phi W - \check{\eta}(V) h(V) N. \end{aligned}$$

Also, we have

Theorem 6.6. *Let M be a screen semi-invariant lightlike hypersurface of an (ϵ) -para Sasakian manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection $(\check{M}, \check{\phi}, \delta, \check{\eta}, \check{g}, \epsilon)$. Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{\nabla}_W K + \phi(A_N W) - \tau(W) K &= 0, \\ B(W, K) &= -h(A_N W). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (46), we have $(\tilde{\nabla}_W \check{\phi})(N) = 0$. Further, from the Gauss and Weingarten formulas and (58), we find

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_W \check{\phi})(N) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathring{\nabla}_W K + B(W, K) N + \phi(A_N W) \\ + h(A_N W) N - \tau(W) K \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

which completes the proof. \square

6.1. Integrability of $D \perp \langle \delta \rangle$

Theorem 6.7. *Let M be a screen semi-invariant lightlike hypersurface of an (ϵ) -para Sasakian manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then, the distribution $D \perp \langle \delta \rangle$ is integrable if and only if*

$$B(\phi W, V) = B(W, \phi V),$$

for all $W, V \in \Gamma(D)$.

Proof. We note that $W \in \Gamma(D \perp \langle \delta \rangle)$ if and only if $h(W) = g(W, K) = 0$. Now from (52), (65) and (69), we have

$$\begin{aligned} h[W, V] &= h(V) \tau(W) - h(W) \tau(V) \\ &\quad + h(W) \check{\eta}(V) - h(V) \check{\eta}(W) \\ &\quad - B(W, \phi V) + B(V, \phi W). \end{aligned}$$

In view of $h(W) = h(V) = 0$, we obtain

$$h[W, V] = B(\phi W, V) - B(W, \phi V),$$

for all $W, V \in \Gamma(D \perp \langle \delta \rangle)$. Hence, we complete the proof. \square

6.2. Integrability of $D' \perp \langle \delta \rangle$

Theorem 6.8. *Let M be a screen semi-invariant lightlike hypersurface of an (ϵ) -para Sasakian manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then the distribution $D' \perp \langle \delta \rangle$ is integrable if and only if*

$$A_N \delta + \epsilon H = 0.$$

Proof. $W \in D' \perp \langle \delta \rangle$ if and only if $\phi W = 0$. For all $W, V \in \Gamma(TM)$ and in view of (66), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W \phi) V &= -g(W, V) \delta + 2\varepsilon \eta(W) \eta(V) \delta \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \eta(V) W - \eta(V) \phi W + \eta(\phi V) W \\ &\quad + h(V) A_N W + B(W, V) H. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \phi [W, V] &= \phi \nabla_W V - \phi \nabla_V W - \varepsilon \eta(V) W \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \eta(W) V + \eta(\phi V) W - \eta(\phi W) V \\ &\quad + h(V) A_N W + h(W) A_N V \\ &\quad + B(W, V) H + B(V, W) H. \end{aligned}$$

In particular from $\phi W = \phi V = 0$, for $W, V \in D' \perp \langle \delta \rangle$, we have

$$\phi [W, V] = -\varepsilon \eta(V) W + \varepsilon \eta(W) V + h(V) A_N W + h(W) A_N V.$$

Hence $D' \perp \langle \delta \rangle$ integrable if and only if

$$\phi [H, \delta] = 0,$$

namely

$$A_N \delta + \varepsilon H = 0.$$

This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] B.E. Acet, S.Yüksel Perktas, E. Kılıç, On lightlike geometry of para-Sasakian manifolds, Scientific Work J., Article ID 696231 (2014).
- [2] B.E. Acet, S. Yüksel Perktas, Screen slant radical transversal lightlike submanifolds of para-Sasakian manifolds, Facta Univ. 31 (2016) 543–557
- [3] B.E. Acet, S. Yüksel Perktas, On geodesic paracontact CR-lightlike submanifolds, British J. Math. Comp. Sci. 14 (2016) 1–11.
- [4] N.S. Agashe, M.R. Chafle, A semi-symmetric non-metric connection on a Riemannian manifold, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 23 (1991) 399–409.
- [5] E. Bartolotti, Sulla Geometria dello variata a connection affine, Ann. di Math. 4 (1930) 53–101.
- [6] A. Bejancu, K.L. Duggal, Real hypersurfaces of indefinite Kaehler manifolds, Int. J. Math Math. Sci. 16 (1993) 545–556.
- [7] D.E. Blair, Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifold, Progress in Mathematics 203, Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
- [8] K.L. Duggal, Space time manifolds and contact structures, Int. J. Math Math. Sci. 13 (1990) 545–554.
- [9] K.L. Duggal, A. Bejancu, Lightlike Submanifolds of Semi-Riemannian Manifolds and its Applications, Kluwer, Dordrecht 1996.
- [10] K.L. Duggal, B. Şahin, Differential Geometry of Lightlike Submanifolds, Frontiers in Mathematics, 2010.
- [11] K.L. Duggal, B. Şahin, Lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Sasakian manifolds, Int. J. Math Math. Sci. (2007) Article ID 57585.
- [12] K.L. Duggal, B. Şahin, Generalized Cauchy-Riemann lightlike submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds, Acta Math. Hungarica 112 (2006) 107–130.
- [13] A. Friedmann, J.A. Schouten, Über die geometrie der halb-symmetrischen Übertragung, Math. Z. 21 (1924) 211–233.
- [14] H.A. Hayden, Subspace of space with torsion, Proc. London Math. Soc. 34 (1932) 27–54.
- [15] D.H. Jin, Special lightlike hypersurfaces of indefinite Kaehler manifolds, Filomat 30 (2016) 1919–1930.
- [16] F. Massamba, Symmetries of null geometry in indefinite Kenmotsu manifolds, Mediter. J. Math. 10 (2013) 1079–1099.
- [17] I. Sato, On a structure similar to the almost contact structure, Tensor (N.S.) 30 (1976) 219–224.
- [18] K. Matsumoto, On Lorentzian paracontact manifolds, Bull. Yamagata Univ. Nat. Sci. 12 (1989) 151–156.
- [19] S.K. Pandey, G. Pandey, K. Tiwari, R.N. Singh, On a semi-symmetric non-metric connection in an indefinite para Sasakian manifold, J. Math. Comp. Sci. 12 (2014) 159–172.
- [20] S. Sasaki, On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structure I, Tohoku Math. J. 12 (1960) 459–476.
- [21] S.S. Shukla, A. Yadev, Radical transversal lightlike submanifolds of indefinite para-Sasakian manifolds, Demonstr. Math. XLVII (2014) 994–1011.
- [22] T. Takahashi, Sasakian manifold with pseudo-Riemannian metric, Tohoku Math. J. 21 (1969) 644–653.

- [23] M. M. Tripathi, E. Kılıç, S. Yüksel Perktas, S. Keleş, Indefinite almost paracontact metric manifolds, *Int. J. Math Math. Sci.* (2010) Article ID 846195, 19 pages.
- [24] K. Yano, On semi-symmetric connection, *Revue Roumanie Math. Pures Appl.* 15 (1970) 1579–1581.
- [25] E. Yaşar, A. Ceylan Çöken, A. Yücesan, Lightlike hypersurfaces in semi-Riemannian manifold with semi-symmetric non-metric connection, *Math. Scand.* 102 (2008) 253–264.
- [26] S. Yüksel Perktas, E. Kılıç, M.M. Tripathi, Lightlike hypersurfaces of an (ϵ) -para Sasakian manifold, *ArXiv:1412.6902*, (2014).