



A New Class of f -Structures Satisfying $f^3 - f = 0$

Yavuz Selim Balkan^a, Siraj Uddin^b, Mića S. Stanković^c, Ali H. Alkhaldi^d

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Art and Sciences, Duzce University, Duzce Turkey

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

^cDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia

^dDepartment of Mathematics, College of Science, King Khalid University, P.O. Box 9004, Abha, Saudi Arabia

Abstract. In this study, we introduce a new class of pseudo f -structure, called hyperbolic f -structure. We give some classifications of this new structure. Further, we extend the notion of (κ, μ, ν) -nullity distribution to hyperbolic almost Kenmotsu f -manifolds. Finally, we construct some non-trivial examples of such manifolds.

1. Introduction

The notion of f -structure was introduced which satisfies

$$f^3 + f = 0 \tag{1}$$

by Yano in 1961 [14]. This is a generalization of some structure defined on different type differentiable manifolds. Almost complex structure J and almost contact structure (φ, ξ, η) are well-known f -structure. By virtue of the definitions of these structures, it is clear that they satisfies (1). While almost complex structure was defined by Weil in 1947 [13] as almost contact structure was introduced by Sasaki in 1960 [11]. Later, many author continued to study on f -structure. Goldberg and Yano defined and studied globally framed metric f -structure on $(2n + s)$ -dimensional differentiable manifolds [6]. A globally framed metric f -structure is a generalization of an almost complex structure and an almost contact structure if $s = 0$ and $s = 1$, respectively, where s denotes the dimension of orthogonal distribution on globally framed metric f -manifolds. Then, Blair gave some classes of globally framed metric f -manifolds in 1970 [3]. Recently, Falcitelli and Pastore defined almost Kenmotsu f -manifold in [5] and Öztürk et al. introduced almost α -cosymplectic f -manifold in [8], which are new classes of globally framed metric f -manifolds.

In a similar way, Matsumoto introduced a pseudo f -structure satisfying

$$f^3 - f = 0 \tag{2}$$

which generalizes some different types of structures [7]. Many authors focused on this structure and made some different classifications (for instance, see [9], [10], [12]).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53D10; 53C15; 53C25; 53C35

Keywords. f -structure; pseudo f -structure; pseudo almost complex structure; hyperbolic Kenmotsu f -manifold

Received: 16 March 2018; Accepted: 18 July 2018

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

The third author is supported by Serbian Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, Grant No. 174012.

Email addresses: y.selimbalkan@gmail.com (Yavuz Selim Balkan), siraj.ch@gmail.com (Siraj Uddin), stmica@ptt.rs (Mića S. Stanković), ahalkhaldi@kku.edu.sa (Ali H. Alkhaldi)

By motivated these studies, in this paper first, we give some fundamental notations and we compute the normality condition of hyperbolic metric f -structure. Then we prove the existence of hyperbolic metric f -structure on a special hypersurface of a pseudo almost complex manifold. Next, we focus on a special class of this new structure of Kenmotsu type. Then we compute some Riemannian curvature properties of hyperbolic almost Kenmotsu f -manifolds. Also, we obtain some conditions for hyperbolic almost Kenmotsu f -manifolds to be flat. Moreover, we extend the notion of (κ, μ, ν) -nullity distribution to hyperbolic almost Kenmotsu f -manifolds and we get its sectional curvature as 1 contrary to Kenmotsu case. Finally, we construct some non-trivial examples satisfying characteristic equations of this new structure.

2. Globally Framed Hyperbolic Metric f -Structure

Let M be a $(2n + s)$ -dimensional manifold and φ is a non-null $(1, 1)$ tensor field on M . If φ satisfies

$$\varphi^3 - \varphi = 0, \tag{3}$$

then φ is called a pseudo f -structure and M is called f -manifold. If $rank\varphi = 2n$, namely $s = 0$, φ is called almost pseudo complex structure and if $rank\varphi = 2n + 1$, namely $s = 1$, then φ reduces an almost pseudo contact structure. $rank\varphi$ is always constant [7].

On an pseudo f -manifold M , P_1 and P_2 operators are defined by

$$P_1 = \varphi^2, \quad P_2 = -\varphi^2 + I, \tag{4}$$

which satisfy

$$P_1 + P_2 = I, \quad P_1^2 = P_1, \quad P_2^2 = P_2, \quad \varphi P_1 = P_1 \varphi = \varphi, \quad P_2 \varphi = \varphi P_2 = 0. \tag{5}$$

These properties show that P_1 and P_2 are complementary projection operators. There are D and D^\perp distributions with respect to P_1 and P_2 operators, respectively. Also, $\dim(D) = 2n$ and $\dim(D^\perp) = s$ [1].

Now, we give the definition of hyperbolic metric f -structure.

Definition 2.1. Let M be a $(2n + s)$ -dimensional f -manifold and φ is a $(1, 1)$ tensor field, ξ_i is vector field and η^i is 1-form for each $1 \leq i \leq s$ on M , respectively. If (φ, ξ_i, η^i) satisfy

$$\eta^j(\xi_i) = -\delta_i^j, \tag{6}$$

$$\varphi^2 = I + \sum_{i=1}^s \eta^i \otimes \xi_i, \tag{7}$$

then (φ, ξ_i, η^i) is called globally framed hyperbolic f -structure or simply framed hyperbolic f -structure and M is called globally framed hyperbolic f -manifold or simply framed hyperbolic f -manifold.

For a framed hyperbolic f -manifold M , the following properties are satisfied :

$$\varphi \xi_i = 0, \tag{8}$$

$$\eta^j \circ \varphi = 0. \tag{9}$$

Definition 2.2. If on a framed hyperbolic f -manifold M , there exists a Riemannian metric which satisfies

$$\eta^j(X) = g(X, \xi_i), \tag{10}$$

and

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = -g(X, Y) - \sum_{i=1}^s \eta^i(X) \eta^i(Y), \tag{11}$$

for all vector fields X, Y on M , then M is called framed hyperbolic metric f -manifold. On a framed hyperbolic metric f -manifold, fundamental 2-form Φ defined by

$$\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \varphi Y), \tag{12}$$

for all vector fields $X, Y \in \chi(M)$.

On a globally framed hyperbolic metric f -manifold the $(1, 1)$ tensor field φ is anti-symmetric, that is

$$g(X, \varphi Y) = -g(\varphi X, Y). \tag{13}$$

Now, we compute the normality condition for globally framed hyperbolic metric f -manifolds. In a similar way of previous studies for globally framed metric f -manifold, after easy calculations then we have four tensors $N^{(1)}, N^{(2)}, N^{(3)}$ and $N^{(4)}$ defined by

$$N^{(1)}(X, Y) = [\varphi, \varphi](X, Y) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^s d\eta^k(X, Y) \xi_k, \quad N^{(2)}(X, Y) = \sum_{k=1}^s \{(\mathcal{L}_{\varphi X} \eta^k)(Y) - (\mathcal{L}_{\varphi Y} \eta^k)(X)\},$$

$$N^{(3)}(X) = \sum_{k=1}^s (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_k} \varphi) X, \quad N^{(4)}(X) = \sum_{k=1}^s (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_k} \eta^k) X,$$

where $(\mathcal{L}_{\varphi X} \eta^k)(Y) = \varphi X \eta^k(Y) - \eta^k([\varphi X, Y])$ for each $1 \leq k \leq s$. A globally framed hyperbolic metric f -manifold is normal if and only if these four tensors vanish. But we see that the vanishing of $N^{(1)}$ implies the vanishing of the other tensors. Thus the normality condition for globally framed hyperbolic metric f -manifold is

$$[\varphi, \varphi](X, Y) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^s d\eta^k(X, Y) \xi_k = 0. \tag{14}$$

For a globally framed hyperbolic metric f -structure $(\varphi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ the covariant derivative of φ is given by

$$2g((\nabla_X \varphi)Y, Z) = 3d\Phi(X, \varphi Y, \varphi Z) - 3d\Phi(X, Y, Z) - g(N^{(1)}(Y, Z), \varphi X) - N^{(2)}(Y, Z) \sum_{k=1}^s \eta^k(X)$$

$$- 2 \sum_{k=1}^s d\eta^k(\varphi Y, X) \eta^k(Z) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^s d\eta^k(\varphi Z, X) \eta^k(X). \tag{15}$$

Now, we define a $(1, 1)$ tensor field h_i for each $1 \leq i \leq s$ which plays an important role on the normality of a globally framed hyperbolic f -manifold as follows

$$h_i = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \varphi = \frac{1}{2} N^{(3)}, \tag{16}$$

where \mathcal{L} denotes the Lie differentiation. If for each $1 \leq i \leq s, h_i$'s vanish identically zero, then the globally framed hyperbolic f -manifold is normal.

Proposition 2.3. *The tensor field h_i for each $1 \leq i \leq s$ is a symmetric operator and satisfies*

- (i) $h_i \xi_j = 0,$
- (ii) $h_i \circ \varphi = -\varphi \circ h_i,$
- (iii) $tr h_i = 0,$
- (iv) $tr \varphi h_i = 0.$

Proof. The proof can be easily derived in a similar way of [3], thus we omit it. \square

3. Existence of Globally Framed Hyperbolic Metric f -Structure

Let (\bar{N}, J, g) be a pseudo Kähler manifold and let M be a hypersurface of \bar{N} with dimension $2n + s$. It is well-known that the almost complex structure J on \bar{N} satisfies

$$J^2 = I, \tag{17}$$

where I denotes the identity map. Furthermore, since M is a hypersurface of \bar{N} , we have

$$JX = \varphi X + \sum_{k=1}^s \eta^k(X)N, \quad N = -\sum_{k=1}^s J(\xi_k), \tag{18}$$

for any vector field X on M . Now, by applying φ on both sides of (18) and using (17), we obtain

$$\varphi^2 X = X + \sum_{k=1}^s \eta^k(X) \xi_k, \tag{19}$$

which means that (φ, ξ_k, η^k) is a globally framed hyperbolic f -structure. Now for any vector fields X, Y on M , we have

$$g(JX, JY) = g\left(\varphi X + \sum_{k=1}^s \eta^k(X)N, \varphi Y + \sum_{k=1}^s \eta^k(Y)N\right). \tag{20}$$

By using (17) in (20) and since N is an orthonormal vector field, then we derive

$$-g(X, Y) = g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) + \sum_{k=1}^s \eta^k(X) \eta^k(Y) \tag{21}$$

and for any ξ_i , we obtain

$$g(X, \xi_i) = \eta^i(X). \tag{22}$$

From (21) and (22), it is clear that $(\varphi, \xi_k, \eta^k, g)$ is an f -structure.

4. Hyperbolic Almost Kenmotsu f -Manifolds

Definition 4.1. Let M be a globally framed hyperbolic metric f -manifold with hyperbolic f -structure $(\varphi, \xi_k, \eta^k, g)$. If for each $k = 1, \dots, s$ the 1-forms are closed, that is $d\eta^k = 0$ and $d\Phi = 2\bar{\eta} \wedge \Phi$ where $\bar{\eta} = \sum_{k=1}^s \eta^k$, then M is called hyperbolic almost Kenmotsu f -manifold. Furthermore, if M is normal then it is a hyperbolic Kenmotsu f -manifold.

Theorem 4.2. On a hyperbolic almost Kenmotsu f -manifold M the following characteristic equations hold

$$(\nabla_X \varphi)(Y) = \sum_{k=1}^s \{g(\varphi X + h_k X, Y) \xi_k - \eta^k(Y) (\varphi X + h_k X)\}, \tag{23}$$

$$\nabla_X \xi_i = \varphi^2 X + \varphi h_i X, \tag{24}$$

$$(\nabla_{\xi_i} \varphi) X = 0 \tag{25}$$

and

$$\nabla_{\xi_i} \xi_j = 0 \tag{26}$$

for any X, Y on M .

Proof. By using (16) in (15) and since M is a hyperbolic almost Kenmotsu f -manifold, then we get (23). For the second part, by taking $Y = \xi_i$ and using (7), it yields the desired result. (25) and (26) can be easily seen from (23) and (25), respectively. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let M be a hyperbolic almost Kenmotsu f -manifold. Then for each $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, we have*

$$(\nabla_{\xi_i} h_j) X = \varphi R(\xi_i, X) \xi_j - \varphi X - (h_i + h_j) X + (\varphi \circ h_i \circ h_j) X, \tag{27}$$

$$(\nabla_{\xi_i} h_i) X = \varphi R(\xi_i, X) \xi_i - \varphi X - 2h_i X + (\varphi \circ h_i^2) X, \tag{28}$$

$$\varphi R(\xi_i, \varphi X) \xi_j + R(\xi_i, X) \xi_j = 2(\varphi^2 - h_i \circ h_j) X, \tag{29}$$

$$\varphi R(\xi_i, \varphi X) \xi_i + R(\xi_i, X) \xi_i = 2(\varphi^2 - h_i^2) X, \tag{30}$$

$$\eta^k (R(\xi_i, X) \xi_j) = 0, \tag{31}$$

$$R(\xi_i, \xi_k) \xi_j = 0, \tag{32}$$

for any vector field X on M .

Proof. For any vector field X on M , we have

$$R(\xi_i, X) \xi_j = \nabla_{\xi_i} \nabla_X \xi_j - \nabla_X \nabla_{\xi_i} \xi_j - \nabla_{[\xi_i, X]} \xi_j. \tag{33}$$

By using (24) and (26) in (33), we derive

$$R(\xi_i, X) \xi_j = \varphi((\nabla_{\xi_i} h_j) X) + \varphi^2 X + (\varphi \circ h_i) X + (\varphi \circ h_j) X - (h_i \circ h_j) X. \tag{34}$$

Applying φ on both sides of (34) and by virtue of (3), we find (27) and considering $i = j$ in (27) we get (28). Applying φ both sides of (34) and replacing X by φX in (34), we obtain

$$\varphi R(\xi_i, \varphi X) \xi_j = -\varphi((\nabla_{\xi_i} h_j) X) + \varphi^2 X - (\varphi \circ h_i) X - (\varphi \circ h_j) X - (h_i \circ h_j) X. \tag{35}$$

By taking summation (34) and (35) side by side, we get (29). From (29) we have (30). The last two identities of the lemma are clear. \square

Corollary 4.4. *If a hyperbolic almost Kenmotsu f -manifold is flat then we have*

$$h_i \circ h_j = \varphi^2$$

for each $i, j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$.

Corollary 4.5. *For a hyperbolic almost Kenmotsu f -manifold, if $R(\xi_i, X) \xi_i = 0$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ and $X \in \Gamma(D)$, then it follows that*

$$h_i^2 = \varphi^2.$$

Lemma 4.6. *Let M be a hyperbolic almost Kenmotsu f -manifold. Then the Riemannian curvature satisfies*

$$g(R(\xi_i, X)Y, Z) = \sum_{k=1}^s \eta^k(Y)g(\varphi^2Z + (\varphi \circ h_k)Z, X) - \sum_{k=1}^s \eta^k(Z)g(\varphi^2Y + (\varphi \circ h_k)^2Y, X) + g((\nabla_Y(\varphi \circ h_i))Z - (\nabla_Z(\varphi \circ h_i))Y, X) \tag{36}$$

and

$$g(R(\xi_i, X)Y, Z) + g(R(\xi_i, X)\varphi Y, \varphi Z) - g(R(\xi_i, \varphi X)Y, \varphi Z) - g(R(\xi_i, \varphi X)\varphi Y, Z) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^s \{ \eta^j(Z)g(h_iX + \varphi X, \varphi Y) - \eta^j(Y)g(h_iX + \varphi X, \varphi Z) \} \tag{37}$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$

Proof. For any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ we have

$$g(R(\xi_i, X)Y, Z) = g(R(Y, Z)\xi_i, X) = \nabla_Y\nabla_Z\xi_i - \nabla_Z\nabla_Y\xi_i - \nabla_{[Y, Z]}\xi_i. \tag{38}$$

By using (24) in (38), we find (36). For the second part of the lemma, let us introduce the operators A and $B_i, i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ defined by

$$A(X, Y, Z) := 2 \sum_{j=1}^s \{ \eta^j(Z)g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) - \eta^j(Y)g(\varphi X, \varphi Z) \} \tag{39}$$

and

$$B_i(X, Y, Z) := -g(\varphi X, (\nabla_Y(\varphi \circ h_i))\varphi Z) - g(\varphi X, (\nabla_{\varphi Y}(\varphi \circ h_i))Z) + g(X, (\nabla_Y(\varphi \circ h_i))Z) + g(X, (\nabla_{\varphi Y}(\varphi \circ h_i))\varphi Z) \tag{40}$$

for each $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$. By a direct computation and using (36) we obtain that the left hand side of (37) is equal to $A(X, Y, Z) + B_i(X, Y, Z) - B_i(X, Z, Y)$. Since

$$\eta_j((\nabla_{\varphi Y}h_i)Z) = \eta_j(\nabla_{\varphi Y}(h_iZ))$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} B_i(X, Y, Z) &= g(X, \nabla_Y((\varphi \circ h_i)Z)) - g(X, (\varphi \circ h_i)\nabla_YZ) + g(X, \nabla_{\varphi Y}((\varphi \circ h_i) \circ \varphi)Z) \\ &\quad - g(X, (\varphi \circ h_i)(\nabla_{\varphi Y}\varphi Z)) - g(\varphi X, \nabla_Y((\varphi \circ h_i) \circ \varphi)Z) + g(\varphi X, (\varphi \circ h_i)(\nabla_Y\varphi Z)) \\ &\quad - g(\varphi X, \nabla_{\varphi Y}((\varphi \circ h_i)Z)) + g(\varphi X, (\varphi \circ h_i)(\nabla_{\varphi Y}Z)) \\ &= g(X, (\nabla_Y\varphi)h_iZ) - g(X, h_i((\nabla_Y\varphi)Z)) + g(X, (h_i \circ \varphi)((\nabla_{\varphi Y}\varphi)Z)) \\ &\quad + g(X, \varphi((\nabla_{\varphi Y}\varphi)h_iZ)) + \sum_{k=1}^s \eta^k((\nabla_{\varphi Y}h_i)Z)\eta^k(X). \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Moreover, from (23), (24) and Proposition 2.3 it follows that

$$(\varphi \circ (\nabla_{\varphi X}\varphi))Y = (\nabla_{\varphi X}\varphi^2)Y - (\nabla_{\varphi X}\varphi)(\varphi Y) = \sum_{j=1}^s ((\nabla_{\varphi X}\eta_j)Y\xi_j) + \sum_{j=1}^s (\eta_j(Y)\nabla_{\varphi X}\xi_j)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 -(\nabla_{\varphi X}\varphi)(\varphi Y) &= \sum_{j=1}^s \nabla_{\varphi X}(g(\xi_j, Y))\xi_j - g(\nabla_{\varphi X}Y, \xi_j)\xi_j + \sum_{j=1}^s \eta_j(Y)(\varphi X - h_jX) \\
 &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^s \left\{ \eta_j(Y)[h_jX + \varphi X] - 2g(X, \varphi Y)\xi_j \right\} - (\nabla_X\varphi)Y.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we find

$$(\varphi \circ (\nabla_{\varphi X}\varphi))Y = -3 \sum_{j=1}^s g(X, \varphi Y)\xi_j + \sum_{j=1}^s g(Y, h_jX)\xi_j + 2 \sum_{j=1}^s \eta_j(Y)\varphi X - (\nabla_X\varphi)Y.$$

Taking into account of (23), then for each $i, j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ we have

$$\eta_i((\nabla_{\varphi Y}h_j)Z) = \eta_i(\nabla_{\varphi Y}(h_jZ)) = (\nabla_{\varphi Y}\eta_i)(h_jZ) = -g(h_jZ, \nabla_{\varphi Y}\xi_i) = g(h_jZ, -h_iY + \varphi Y). \tag{42}$$

By virtue of (41) and (42), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_i(X, Y, Z) &= g(X, (\nabla_Y\varphi)h_iZ) - g(X, h_i((\nabla_Y\varphi)Z)) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^s \eta^j(Z)g(h_iX, \varphi Y) + g(h_iX, (\nabla_Y\varphi)Z) \\
 &\quad - 3 \sum_{j=1}^s \eta^j(X)g(Y, \varphi h_iZ) - \sum_{j=1}^s \eta^j(X)g(h_iZ, h_jY) + \sum_{j=1}^s \eta_j(X)g(h_kZ, h_iY) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^s \eta^j(X)g(\varphi Y, h_jZ) - g(X, (\nabla_Y\varphi)h_iZ) \\
 &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^s \left(\eta^j(Z)g(h_iX, \varphi Y) + 2\eta^j(X)g(\varphi Y, h_iZ) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$A(X, Y, Z) + B_i(X, Y, Z) - B_i(X, Z, Y) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^s \left\{ \eta^j(Z)g(h_iX + \varphi X, \varphi Y) - 2\eta^j(Y)g(h_iX + \varphi X, \varphi Z) \right\},$$

which gives (37). \square

5. Hyperbolic Almost Kenmotsu f-Manifolds with (κ, μ, ν) -Nullity Distribution

In this section we generalize the (κ, μ) -nullity distribution introduced by Blair et al. [4] for the hyperbolic almost Kenmotsu f-manifolds.

Definition 5.1. Let M be a hyperbolic almost Kenmotsu f-manifold and κ, μ and ν are real constants. If for each $1 \leq i \leq s$ and for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, the characteristic vector fields ξ_i 's satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(X, Y)\xi_i &= \kappa \left\{ \bar{\eta}(X)\varphi^2(Y) - \bar{\eta}(Y)\varphi^2(X) \right\} + \mu \left\{ \bar{\eta}(Y)h_i(X) - \bar{\eta}(X)h_i(Y) \right\} \\
 &\quad + \nu \left\{ \bar{\eta}(Y)(\varphi \circ h_i)(X) - \bar{\eta}(X)(\varphi \circ h_i)(Y) \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

then M verifies the (κ, μ, ν) -nullity condition.

Theorem 5.2. Let M be a hyperbolic almost Kenmotsu f -manifold satisfying the (κ, μ, ν) -nullity condition. For each $1 \leq i, j \leq s$, we have

- (i) $h_i \circ h_j = h_j \circ h_i$,
- (ii) $\kappa \leq 1$,
- (iii) if $\kappa \leq 1$, then h_i has eigenvalues 0 or $\pm \sqrt{1 - \kappa}$.

Proof. From (43), it follows that

$$\varphi R(\xi_i, \varphi X)\xi_j + R(\xi_i, X)\xi_j = 2\kappa\varphi^2 X. \tag{44}$$

By virtue of (29) and (44), we obtain

$$(h_i \circ h_j)X = (1 - \kappa)\varphi^2 X = (h_j \circ h_i)X \tag{45}$$

which implies (i). Taking into account of (45), for any $X \in \Gamma(D)$, where D is (κ, μ, ν) -nullity distribution. Then, we derive

$$h_i^2 X = (1 - \kappa)X \tag{46}$$

In view of Proposition 2.3 and (46), it is clear that the eigenvalues of h_i^2 are 0 or $(1 - \kappa)$. Furthermore, h_i is symmetric and $\|h_i(X)\|^2 = (1 - \kappa)\|X\|^2$. Thus $\kappa \leq 1$. Additionally, let t be a real eigenvalue of h_i and let X be eigenvector corresponding to t . Then it follows that $t^2\|X\|^2 = (1 - \kappa)\|X\|^2$ and $t = \pm \sqrt{1 - \kappa}$. From Proposition 2.3 and the above fact, we arrive at (iii). \square

Theorem 5.3. Let M be a hyperbolic almost Kenmotsu f -manifold satisfying the (κ, μ, ν) -nullity condition. Then the following holds

$$h_1 = \dots = h_s. \tag{47}$$

Proof. If $\kappa = 1$, then by virtue of (46), we have $h_1 = \dots = h_s = 0$. Now we assume that $\kappa \leq 1$. For any $p \in M$ and $1 \leq i \leq s$, we can write

$$D_p = (D_+)_p \oplus (D_-)_p,$$

where $(D_+)_p$ is the eigenspace of h_i corresponding p to the eigenvalue $\lambda = \sqrt{1 - \kappa}$ and $(D_-)_p$ denotes the eigenspace of h_i corresponding p to the eigenvalue $-\lambda$. If $X \in D_p$, we have

$$X = X_+ + X_-,$$

where X_+ and X_- denote the components of X in the eigenspaces $(D_+)_p$ and $(D_-)_p$, respectively. Hence we deduce

$$h_i(X) = \lambda(X_+ + X_-).$$

On the other hand, for $i \neq j$

$$h_j(X) = h_j(X_+ + X_-) = h_j\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}h_i(X_+) - \frac{1}{\lambda}h_i(X_-)\right) = \frac{1}{\lambda}(h_i \circ h_j)(X_+ + X_-) = \lambda(X_+ + X_-) = h_i(X)$$

which implies (47). \square

Corollary 5.4. Let M be a hyperbolic Kenmotsu f -manifold satisfying the (κ, μ, ν) -nullity condition. Then its sectional curvature $\kappa = 1$. In other words, Kenmotsu f -manifold is a manifold of positive curvature.

Remark 5.5. Throughout this paper whenever (43), we put $h = h_1 = \dots = h_s$ and therefore (43) takes the form

$$R(X, Y)\xi_i = \kappa \{ \bar{\eta}(X)\varphi^2(Y) - \bar{\eta}(Y)\varphi^2(X) \} + \mu \{ \bar{\eta}(Y)h(X) - \bar{\eta}(X)h(Y) \} + \nu \{ \bar{\eta}(Y)(\varphi \circ h)(X) - \bar{\eta}(X)(\varphi \circ h)(Y) \}. \tag{48}$$

By using (48) and the symmetric properties of the curvature tensor, φ^2 and h , we conclude that

$$R(\xi_i, X)Y = \kappa \{ \bar{\eta}(Y)\varphi^2X - g(X, \varphi^2Y)\bar{\xi} \} + \mu \{ g(hX, Y)\bar{\xi} - \bar{\eta}(Y)hX \} + \nu \{ g((\varphi \circ h)X, Y)\bar{\xi} - \bar{\eta}(Y)(\varphi \circ h)X \} \tag{49}$$

where $\bar{\xi} = \sum_{k=1}^s \xi_k$.

Remark 5.6. Let M be a hyperbolic almost Kenmotsu f -manifold satisfying the (κ, μ, ν) -nullity condition. Let us denote by D_+ and D_- the n -dimensional distributions of the eigenspaces of $\lambda = \sqrt{1 - \kappa}$ and $-\lambda$, respectively. We can easily see that D_+ and D_- are mutually orthogonal. Furthermore, since φ anti-commutes with h , we derive $\varphi(D_+) = D_-$ and $\varphi(D_-) = D_+$. In other words, D_+ is a Legendrian distribution and D_- is the conjugate Legendrian distribution of D_+ .

Proposition 5.7. Let M be a hyperbolic almost Kenmotsu f -manifold satisfying the (κ, μ, ν) -nullity condition. Then M is a hyperbolic Kenmotsu f -manifold if and only if $\kappa = 1$.

Proof. The result follows from (46) and by virtue of the definition of $(1, 1)$ tension field h . \square

Remark 5.8. Under the above proposition, we can consider a hyperbolic Kenmotsu f -manifold as a class of $(1, \mu, \nu)$ -space.

Remark 5.9. Let M be a hyperbolic almost Kenmotsu f -manifold satisfying the (κ, μ, ν) -nullity condition. Then, we have

$$R(\xi_i, X)\xi_j = \kappa\varphi^2X - \mu hX - \nu(\varphi \circ h)X \tag{50}$$

for any vector field X on M .

Proposition 5.10. Let M be a hyperbolic almost Kenmotsu f -manifold verifying the (κ, μ, ν) -nullity distribution. Then we have

$$\nabla_{\xi_i}hX = -\mu(\varphi \circ h)X - (\nu + 2)hX, \tag{51}$$

$$R(\xi_i, \varphi X)\xi_j - \varphi R(\xi_i, X)\xi_j = 2\mu(\varphi \circ h)X + 2\nu hX, \tag{52}$$

$$R(\xi_i, \varphi X)\xi_j + \varphi R(\xi_i, X)\xi_j = 2\kappa\varphi X, \tag{53}$$

$$Q\xi_i = 2n\kappa\bar{\xi}. \tag{54}$$

Proof. From (28) and (50), we get (51). By using (50), we derive (52) and (53). The last part can be proved in a similar fashion of [2]. \square

6. Examples

In this section, we construct non-trivial examples of hyperbolic Kenmotsu f -manifolds.

Example 6.1. Let N be a 6-dimensional pseudo Kähler manifold and let \mathcal{V} be a 2-dimensional non-degenerate vector space with the signature $(-, -)$. Denoting f the positive differentiable function, let us consider the warped product $M = N \times_f \mathcal{V}$ with the warping function f . Since N is a pseudo Kähler manifold, M satisfies (8)-(11), (23) and (24). Then we find a $(6 + 2)$ -dimensional hyperbolic Kenmotsu f -manifold.

Example 6.2. Let us consider $(4 + 2)$ -dimensional manifold $M = \{(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2) : (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2) \neq (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)\}$, where $(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2)$ are the standart coordinates in R^6 . The vector fields

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, & e_2 &= z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, & e_3 &= -z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \\ e_4 &= -z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}, & e_5 &= -z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, & e_6 &= -z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}, \end{aligned}$$

are linearly independent at each point of M . Let g be the nondegenerate semi-Riemannian metric defined by

$$\begin{aligned} g(e_i, e_j) &= 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6; \quad i \neq j \\ g(e_k, e_k) &= 1, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, 4 \\ g(e_l, e_l) &= -1, \quad l = 5, 6 \end{aligned}$$

Let η^1 and η^2 be 1 forms defined by $\eta^1(Z) = g(Z, e_5)$ and $\eta^2(Z) = g(Z, e_6)$ for each vector field $Z \in \chi(M)$. Let φ be the $(1, 1)$ tensor field defined by

$$\varphi e_1 = -e_3, \quad \varphi e_2 = -e_4, \quad \varphi e_5 = 0, \quad \varphi e_6 = 0.$$

By using the linearity of φ and g , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^1(e_5) &= -1, & \eta^2(e_6) &= -1, & \varphi^2 Z &= Z + \eta^1(Z) e_5 + \eta^2(Z) e_6 \\ g(\varphi Z, \varphi W) &= -g(Z, W) - \{ \eta^1(Z) \eta^1(W) + \eta^2(Z) \eta^2(W) \} \end{aligned}$$

for any $Z, W \in \chi(M)$. Thus $(\varphi, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ defines a globally framed hyperbolic f -structure on M . Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the metric g . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_3] &= [e_2, e_4] = 0, & [e_1, e_5] &= e_1, & [e_1, e_4] &= 0, \\ [e_2, e_6] &= e_2, & [e_2, e_5] &= 0, & [e_4, e_6] &= e_4, & [e_5, e_6] &= 0, \\ [e_3, e_5] &= e_3, & [e_2, e_3] &= 0, & [e_1, e_6] &= [e_1, e_2] = 0, \\ [e_3, e_4] &= 0, & [e_4, e_5] &= 0, & [e_3, e_6] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By using the Koszul's formula, we deduce

$$\nabla_X \xi_i = \varphi^2 X, \quad i = 1, 2$$

for any X on M , which implies that M is a hyperbolic Kenmotsu f -manifold.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their gratitude to King Khalid University, Saudi Arabia for providing administrative and technical support.

References

- [1] Y.B. Baik, *A certain polynomial structure*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **16** (2) (1980), 167–175.
- [2] Y.S. Balkan, N. Aktan, *Almost Kenmotsu f -manifolds*, Carpathian Math. Publ. **7** (1) (2015), 6–21.
- [3] D.E. Blair, *Geometry of manifolds with structural group $U(n) \times O(s)$* , Journal of Differential Geometry **4** (2) (1970), 155–167.
- [4] D.E. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos, B.J. Papantoniou, *Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition*, Israel J. Math. **91** (1) (1995), 189–214.
- [5] M. Falcitelli, A.M. Pastore, *Almost Kenmotsu f -manifolds*, Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications **12** (1) (2007), 32–43.
- [6] S.I. Goldberg, K. Yano, *Globally framed f -manifolds*, Illinois J. Math. **15** (3) (1971), 456–474.
- [7] K. Matsumoto, *On a structure defined by a tensor field f of type $(1, 1)$ satisfying $f^3 - f = 0$* , Bull. Yamagata Univ. **1** (1976), 33–47.
- [8] H. Öztürk, C. Murathan, N. Aktan, A. Turgut Vanlı *Almost α -cosymplectic f -manifolds*, Annals of Alexandru Ioan Cuza University-Mathematics **60** (1) (2014), 211–226.
- [9] E. Özusağlam, E. Dikici, *Pseudo f -manifolds with complemented frames*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras (2016) **26**: 305. doi:10.1007/s00006-015-0585-2.
- [10] S.S. Pujar, *On a structure defined by a tensor field f of type $(1, 1)$ satisfying $P^3 - P = 0$* , Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (10) (2000), 1229–1234.
- [11] S. Sasaki, *On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structure I*, Tohoku Mathematical Journal **12** (3) (1960), 459–476.
- [12] M.D. Upadhyay, K.K. Dube, *Almost contact hyperbolic (f, g, η, ξ) -structure*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **28** (1-2) (1976), 1–4.
- [13] A. Weil, *Sur la théorie des formes différentielles attachées à une variété analytique complexe*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, **20** (1) (1947), 110–116.
- [14] K. Yano, *On a structure f satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$* , Technical Report No. 12, University of Washington, Washington-USA, 1961.