



## Non-Archimedean Stability of a Generalized Reciprocal-Quadratic Functional Equation in Several Variables by Direct and Fixed Point Methods

B. V. Senthil Kumar<sup>a</sup>, Hemen Dutta<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, C. Abdul Hakeem College of Engineering & Technology, Melvisharam - 632 509, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Gauhati University, Gawahati - 781 014, Assam, India

**Abstract.** This study is aimed to determine various stabilities of a generalized reciprocal-quadratic functional equation of the form

$$r\left(\sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j u_j\right) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p r(u_j)}{\left[\sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j \prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^p \sqrt{r(u_k)}\right]^2}$$

connected with Ulam, Hyers, T. M. Rassias, J. M. Rassias and Gavruta in non-Archimedean fields, where  $\beta_j \neq 0; j = 1, 2, \dots, p$  are arbitrary real numbers and  $0 < \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_p = \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j = \beta \neq 1$  in non-Archimedean fields by direct and fixed point methods.

### 1. Introduction

The issue created by Ulam [43] in 1940 is the source for the speculation of stability of functional equations. The question devised by Ulam was responded by Hyers [9] which made a cornerstone in the conjecture of stability of functional equation. The result proved by Hyers is called as Hyers–Ulam stability or  $\epsilon$ -stability of functional equation. Then, Hyers' result was simplified by Aoki [1]. Also, Hyers' result was modified by T. M. Rassias [34] considering the upper bound as sum of powers of norms. The result obtained by T. M. Rassias is called as Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of functional equation. Later, J. M. Rassias [32] established Hyers' result by taking the upper bound as product of powers of norms. This theorem is called as Ulam–Gavruta–Rassias stability of functional equation. In 1994, to promote the stability result into simple form, Gavruta [7] reinstated the upper bound by a general control function. This type of stability result accomplished by Gavruta is known as generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of functional equation.

The  $p$ -adic numbers were discovered by Hensel [8] in 1897 as a number theoretical analogue of power series in complex analysis. In fact, he introduced a field with a valuation normed which does not have the Archimedean property. Even though there are many classical results in the normed space theory with

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 39B82; Secondary 39B72

*Keywords.* Reciprocal functional equation, Reciprocal-quadratic functional equation, Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability, non-Archimedean field

Received: 19 August 2017; Accepted: 23 January 2018

Communicated by Hari M. Srivastava

*Email addresses:* [bvskumarmaths@gmail.com](mailto:bvskumarmaths@gmail.com) (B. V. Senthil Kumar), [hemen\\_dutta08@rediffmail.com](mailto:hemen_dutta08@rediffmail.com) (Hemen Dutta)

non-Archimedean property, but their proofs are different and require a relatively new kind of perception. It may be noted that  $|n| \leq 1$  in every valuation field, every triangle is isosceles and there may be no unit vector in a non-Archimedean normed space [29]. These facts formulate the non-Archimedean structure is of exceptional attention.

The stability of functional equations in non-Archimedean was firstly obtained by Arriola and Beyer [2]. They investigated the stability of Cauchy functional equations over  $p$ -adic fields. The stability of some other functional equations in non-Archimedean normed spaces have been investigated by many mathematicians [12], [19], [20], [22], [24], [25].

Isac and Rassias [10] were the foremost mathematicians to present the applications of stability problem of functional equations via fixed point theorems. Generally, the investigation of stability of functional equations is carried out through direct method in which the precise solution of the functional equation is unambiguously derived as a limit of a (Hyers) sequence, starting from the given approximate solution. The stability of Cauchy additive functional equation was proved by applying fixed point method by Radu [35] in 2003. There are many results available on the stability of various functional equations using fixed point method [6], [11], [23], [33].

For the first time, Ravi and Senthil Kumar [39] obtained the generalized Hyers–Ulam stability for a rational functional equation

$$h(u + v) = \frac{h(u)h(v)}{h(u) + h(v)}. \tag{1}$$

It is easy to verify that the rational function  $h(u) = \frac{k}{u}$  is a solution of the functional equation (1).

Bodaghi and Kim [5] investigated Ulam stability for the quadratic reciprocal functional equation

$$Q_r(2p + q) + Q_r(2p - q) = \frac{2Q_r(p)Q_r(q)[4Q_r(q) + Q_r(p)]}{(4Q_r(q) - Q_r(p))^2}. \tag{2}$$

The quadratic reciprocal function  $Q_r(p) = \frac{k}{p^2}$  is a solution of the functional equation (2).

Bodaghi and Ebrahimdoost [4] generalized equation (2) as

$$Q_r((a + 1)u + av) + Q_r((a + 1)u - av) = \frac{2Q_r(u)Q_r(v) \left[ (a + 1)^2 Q_r(v) + a^2 Q_r(u) \right]}{\left( (a + 1)^2 Q_r(v) - a^2 Q_r(u) \right)^2} \tag{3}$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a \neq 0$  and attained its generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability.

Further results associated with the stability of different rational functional equations are available in ([36], [37], [38], [41]).

Recently the theory of Ulam–Hyers stability has developed enormously in investigating various equations such as polynomial equations, different type of functional equations, ordinary differential equations and partial differential equations with interesting and motivating results (see [3], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [26], [27], [28], [30], [31], [42], [44], [45], [46]).

In recent times, Ravi and Suresh [40] have investigated the generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of reciprocal-quadratic functional equation in two variables of the form

$$R_q(u + v) = \frac{R_q(u)R_q(v)}{R_q(u) + R_q(v) + 2\sqrt{R_q(u)R_q(v)}} \tag{4}$$

in the setting of real numbers. It is easy to verify that the reciprocal-quadratic function  $R_q(u) = \frac{k}{u^2}$  is a solution of equation (4).

In this paper, we extend the equation (4) to several variables in the following form

$$r \left( \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j u_j \right) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p r(u_j)}{\left[ \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j \prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^p \sqrt{r(u_k)} \right]^2} \tag{5}$$

and acquire various stabilities of this equation associated with Ulam, Hyers, T. M. Rassias, J. M. Rassias and Gavruta in non-Archimedean fields, where  $\beta_j \neq 0; j = 1, 2, \dots, p$  are arbitrary real numbers and  $0 < \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_p = \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j = \beta \neq 1$  in non-Archimedean fields by direct and fixed point methods.

Note that for  $j = 2$ , we have

$$r(\beta_1 u_1 + \beta_2 u_2) = \frac{r(u_1)r(u_2)}{[\beta_1 \sqrt{r(u_2)} + \beta_2 \sqrt{r(u_1)}]^2}$$

with  $\beta_1 + \beta_2 \neq 1$  and for  $j = 3$ , we find

$$r(\beta_1 u_1 + \beta_2 u_2 + \beta_3 u_3) = \frac{r(u_1)r(u_2)r(u_3)}{[\beta_1 \sqrt{r(u_2)r(u_3)} + \beta_2 \sqrt{r(u_1)r(u_3)} + \beta_3 \sqrt{r(u_1)r(u_2)}]^2}$$

with  $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 \neq 1$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

In this segment, we summon up the fundamental notions of non-Archimedean field, non-Archimedean norm, non-Archimedean space and non-Archimedean alternative contraction principle which will be useful to establish our main results.

**Definition 2.1.** A field  $K$  is said to be a non-Archimedean field if it is equipped with a function (valuation)  $|\cdot|$  from  $K$  into  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $|u| = 0$  if and only if  $u = 0$ ,  $|uv| = |u||v|$  and  $|u + v| \leq \max\{|u|, |v|\}$  for all  $u, v \in K$ .

Clearly  $|1| = |-1| = 1$  and  $|n| \leq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $X$  be a vector space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{K}$  with a non-Archimedean non-trivial valuation  $|\cdot|$ . A function  $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a non-Archimedean norm (valuation) if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $\|u\| = 0$  if and only if  $u = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\|\rho u\| = |\rho| \|u\|$  ( $\rho \in \mathbb{K}, u \in X$ );
- (iii) the strong triangle inequality (ultrametric); namely,

$$\|u + v\| \leq \max\{\|u\|, \|v\|\} \quad (u, v \in X).$$

Then  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is called a non-Archimedean space. Due to the fact that

$$\|u_n - u_m\| \leq \max\{\|u_{j+1} - u_j\| : m \leq j \leq n - 1\} \quad (n > m),$$

a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  is Cauchy if and only if  $\{u_{n+1} - u_n\}$  converges to zero in a non-Archimedean space. By a complete non-Archimedean space, we mean that every Cauchy sequence is convergent in the space.

An example of a non-Archimedean valuation is the mapping  $|\cdot|$  taking everything but 0 into 1 and  $|0| = 0$ . This valuation is called trivial. Another example of a non-Archimedean valuation on a field  $\mathbb{A}$  is the mapping

$$|\lambda| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} & \text{if } \lambda > 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\lambda} & \text{if } \lambda < 0 \end{cases}$$

for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{A}$ .

**Example 2.2.** Let  $p$  be a prime number. For any non-zero rational number  $x = p^r \frac{m}{n}$  in which  $m$  and  $n$  are coprime to the prime number  $p$ . Consider the  $p$ -adic absolute value  $|x|_p = p^{-r}$  on  $\mathbb{Q}$ . It is easy to check that  $|\cdot|$  is a non-Archimedean norm on  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The completion of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with respect to  $|\cdot|$  which is denoted by  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is said to be the  $p$ -adic number field. Note that if  $p > 2$ , then  $|2^n| = 1$  for all integers  $n$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $A$  be a nonempty set and  $d : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  fulfilling the ensuing properties:

- (i)  $d(u, v) = 0$  if and only if  $u = v$ ,
- (ii)  $d(u, v) = d(v, u)$  (symmetry),
- (iii)  $d(u, w) \leq \max\{d(u, v), d(v, w)\}$  (strong triangle inequality),

for all  $u, v, w \in A$ . Then  $(A, d)$  is called a generalized non-Archimedean metric space.  $(A, d)$  is called complete if every  $d$ -Cauchy sequence in  $A$  is  $d$ -convergent.

**Example 2.4.** For each nonempty set  $A$ , define

$$d(u, u^*) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u = u^* \\ \infty & \text{if } u \neq u^*. \end{cases}$$

Then  $d$  is a generalized non-Archimedean metric on  $A$ .

**Example 2.5.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two non-Archimedean spaces over a non-Archimedean field  $\mathbb{K}$ . If  $B$  has a complete non-Archimedean norm over  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $\phi : A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , for each  $s, t : A \rightarrow B$ , define

$$d(s, t) = \inf\{\delta > 0 : |s(u) - t(u)| \leq \delta\phi(u), \forall u \in A\}.$$

Using Theorem 2.5 [6], Mirmostafae [21] introduced non-Archimedean version of the alternative fixed point theorem as follows:

**Theorem 2.6.** [21] (Non-Archimedean Alternative Contraction Principle) *If  $(A, d)$  is a non-Archimedean generalized complete metric space and  $\sigma : A \rightarrow A$  a strictly contractive mapping (that is  $d(\sigma(u), \sigma(v)) \leq Ld(v, u)$ ), for all  $u, v \in A$  and a Lipschitz constant  $L < 1$ ), then either*

- (i)  $d(J^n(u), J^{n+1}(u)) = \infty$ , for all  $n \geq 0$ , or
- (ii) there exists some  $n_0 \geq 0$  such that  $d(J^n(u), J^{n+1}(u)) < \infty$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ ;

the sequence  $\{J^n(u)\}$  is convergent to a fixed point  $u^*$  of  $J$ ;  $u^*$  is the unique fixed point of  $J$  in the set

$$V = \{v \in A : d(J^{n_0}(u), v) < \infty\}$$

and  $d(v, u^*) \leq d(v, J(v))$  for all  $v$  in this set.

Throughout this paper, we consider that  $\mathbb{E}$  and  $\mathbb{F}$  is a non-Archimedean field and a complete non-Archimedean field, respectively. In the sequel, we denote  $\mathbb{E}^* - \{0\}$  as a non-Archimedean field. In order to simplify manipulations, let us symbolize the difference operator  $D_r : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  by

$$D_r(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p) = r \left( \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j u_j \right) - \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p r(u_j)}{\left[ \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j \prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^p \sqrt{r(u_k)} \right]^2}$$

for all  $u_i \in \mathbb{E}^*, i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ .

**Definition 2.7.** A mapping  $r : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  is said to be as generalized reciprocal-quadratic mapping

if  $r$  satisfies the equation (5).

Assumptions on the above definition and equation (5): By assuming  $r(u_i) \neq 0$ , for all  $u_i \in \mathbb{E}^*, i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , the singular cases are eliminated.

### 3. Non-Archimedean Stability of Equation (5) by Direct Method

In this section, we accomplish various stabilities of the equation (5) in non-Archimedean fields related with Ulam, Hyers, T. M. Rassias, J. M. Rassias and Gavruta by direct method.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\ell \in \{-1, 1\}$ . Let  $\psi : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\beta|^{2\ell(m+1)} \psi(\beta^{\ell(i+1)} u_1, \beta^{\ell(i+1)} u_2, \dots, \beta^{\ell(i+1)} u_p) = 0 \tag{6}$$

for all  $u_i \in \mathbb{E}^*, i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . Suppose that  $r : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  is a mapping satisfying the inequality

$$|D_r(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p)| \leq \psi(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p) \tag{7}$$

for all  $u_i \in \mathbb{E}^*, i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . Then there exists a unique generalized reciprocal-quadratic mapping  $R_q : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$|r(u) - R_q(u)| \leq \max \left\{ |\beta|^{2\ell i} \psi(\beta^{\ell(i+1)} u, \beta^{\ell(i+1)} u, \dots, \beta^{\ell(i+1)} u) : i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \right\} \tag{8}$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{E}^*$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\ell = -1$ . Substituting  $u_i = u$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$  in (7), we acquire

$$\left| r(\beta u) - \frac{1}{\beta^2} r(u) \right| \leq \psi(u, u, \dots, u) \tag{9}$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{E}^*$ . Now, replacing  $u$  by  $\frac{u}{\beta}$  in (9), we attain

$$\left| r(u) - \frac{1}{\beta^2} r\left(\frac{u}{\beta}\right) \right| \leq \psi\left(\frac{u}{\beta}, \frac{u}{\beta}, \dots, \frac{u}{\beta}\right) \tag{10}$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{E}^*$ . Plugging  $u$  into  $\frac{u}{\beta^m}$  in (10) and multiplying by  $\left|\frac{1}{\beta}\right|^{2m}$ , we find

$$\left| \frac{1}{\beta^{2m}} r\left(\frac{u}{\beta^m}\right) - \frac{1}{\beta^{2(m+1)}} r\left(\frac{u}{\beta^{m+1}}\right) \right| \leq \left|\frac{1}{\beta}\right|^{2m} \psi\left(\frac{u}{\beta^{m+1}}, \frac{u}{\beta^{m+1}}, \dots, \frac{u}{\beta^{m+1}}\right) \tag{11}$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{E}^{\sim \approx \supset \setminus}$ . Therefore it is easy to see that the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{1}{\beta^{2m}} r\left(\frac{u}{\beta^m}\right) \right\}$  is a Cauchy sequence by (6) and (11). Since  $\mathbb{F}$  is complete, we can define a mapping  $R_q$  given by

$$R_q(u) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\beta^{2m}} r\left(\frac{u}{\beta^m}\right). \tag{12}$$

For each  $u \in \mathbb{E}^*$  and non-negative integers  $m$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{\beta^{2m}} r\left(\frac{u}{\beta^m}\right) - r(u) \right| &= \left| \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta^{2(j+1)}} r\left(\frac{u}{\beta^{j+1}}\right) - \frac{1}{\beta^{2j}} r\left(\frac{u}{\beta^j}\right) \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{\beta^{2(j+1)}} r\left(\frac{u}{\beta^{j+1}}\right) - \frac{1}{\beta^{2j}} r\left(\frac{u}{\beta^j}\right) \right| : 0 \leq j < m \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \left|\frac{1}{\beta}\right|^{2(j+1)} \psi\left(\frac{u}{\beta^{j+1}}, \frac{u}{\beta^{j+1}}, \dots, \frac{u}{\beta^{j+1}}\right) : 0 \leq j < m \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Using (12) and allowing  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality (13), we find that the inequality (8) is valid. Using (6), (7) and (12), we have for all  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p \in \mathbb{E}^*$

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{R_q}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p)| &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{\beta} \right|^{2m} \left| D_r \left( \frac{u_1}{\beta^m}, \frac{u_2}{\beta^m}, \dots, \frac{u_p}{\beta^m} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{\beta} \right|^{2m} \psi \left( \frac{u_1}{\beta^m}, \frac{u_2}{\beta^m}, \dots, \frac{u_p}{\beta^m} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the mapping  $R_q$  satisfies (5), which implies that it is reciprocal-quadratic mapping. In order to demonstrate the distinctivity of  $R_q$ , let us presume  $R_Q : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be another reciprocal-quadratic mapping satisfying (8). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |R_q(u) - R_Q(u)| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{\beta} \right|^{2n} \left| R_q \left( \frac{u}{\beta^n} \right) - R_Q \left( \frac{u}{\beta^n} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{\beta} \right|^{2n} \max \left\{ \left| R_q \left( \frac{u}{\beta^n} \right) - r \left( \frac{u}{\beta^n} \right) \right|, \left| r \left( \frac{u}{\beta^n} \right) - R_Q \left( \frac{u}{\beta^n} \right) \right| \right\} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \max \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{\beta} \right|^{2(j+n)} \psi \left( \frac{u}{\beta^{j+n+1}}, \frac{u}{\beta^{j+n+1}}, \dots, \frac{u}{\beta^{j+n+1}} \right) : n \leq j \leq m+n \right\} \right\} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{E}^*$ . Similar proof follows for the case  $\ell = 1$ . This implies that  $R_q$  is unique which finishes the proof.  $\square$

In the following corollaries, we assume that  $|2| < 1$  for a non-Archimedian field  $\mathbb{E}$ . We obtain the stability results of equation (5) associated with Hyers, T. M. Rassias and J. M. Rassias by Theorem 3.1.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $r : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be a mapping satisfying the following inequality

$$|D_r(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p)| \leq \epsilon$$

for all  $u_i \in \mathbb{E}^*, i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , where  $\epsilon > 0$  is a constant. Then there exists a unique generalized reciprocal-quadratic mapping  $R_q : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  satisfying (5) and

$$|r(u) - R_q(u)| \leq \epsilon$$

for every  $u \in \mathbb{E}^*$ .

*Proof.* The proof is achieved by assuming  $\psi(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p) = \epsilon$  in Theorem 3.1 when  $\ell = -1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** Assume  $k_1 \geq 0$  and  $\alpha \neq -2$ , as fixed constants. Suppose  $r : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  satisfies

$$|D_r(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p)| \leq k_1 \left( \sum_{i=1}^p |u_i|^\alpha \right)$$

for all  $u_i \in \mathbb{E}^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . Then there exists a unique generalized reciprocal-quadratic mapping  $R_q : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  satisfying (5) and

$$|r(u) - R_q(u)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|p|k_1}{|\beta|^\alpha} |u|^\alpha, & \text{for } \alpha > -2 \\ k_1|p||\beta|^2 |u|^\alpha, & \text{for } \alpha < -2 \end{cases}$$

for every  $u \in \mathbb{E}^*$ .

*Proof.* The proof is established by considering  $\psi(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p) = k_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^p |u_i|^\alpha\right)$  in Theorem 3.1 with  $\alpha > -2$  and  $\ell = -1$  and in Theorem 3.1 with  $\alpha < -2$  and  $\ell = 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $k_2 \geq 0$  be fixed constant. Suppose  $r : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  is a mapping satisfies

$$|D_r(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p)| \leq k_2 \prod_{i=1}^p |u_i|^\alpha$$

for all  $u_i \in \mathbb{E}^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . Then there exists a unique generalized reciprocal-quadratic mapping  $R_q : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  satisfying (5) and

$$|r(u) - R_q(u)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{k_2}{|\beta|^\alpha} |u|^\alpha, & \text{for } \alpha > -2 \\ k_2|\beta|^2 |u|^\alpha, & \text{for } \alpha < -2 \end{cases}$$

for every  $u \in \mathbb{E}^*$ .

*Proof.* The proof is complete by opting  $\psi(x, y) = k_2 \prod_{i=1}^p |u_i|^\alpha$  in Theorem 3.1 with  $\alpha > -2$  and  $\ell = -1$  and in Theorem 3.1 with  $\alpha < -2$  and  $\ell = 1$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Non-Archimedean Stability of Equation (5) by Fixed Point Method

Using fixed point alternative, we investigate various stabilities of the equation (5) in non-Archimedean fields by fixed point method.

**Theorem 4.1.** Suppose that the mapping  $r : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  satisfies the inequality

$$|D_r(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p)| \leq \phi(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p) \tag{14}$$

for all  $u_i \in \mathbb{E}^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , where  $\phi : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a given function. If  $0 < L < 1$ ,

$$|\beta|^{-2} \phi(\beta^{-1}u_1, \beta^{-1}u_2, \dots, \beta^{-1}u_p) \leq L\phi(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p) \tag{15}$$

for all  $u_i \in \mathbb{E}^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , then there exists a unique generalized reciprocal-quadratic mapping

$$R_q : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

such that

$$|r(u) - R_q(u)| \leq L|\beta|^2 \phi(u, u, \dots, u) \tag{16}$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{E}^*$ .

*Proof.* Plugging  $u_i$  by  $\frac{u}{\beta}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$  in (14), we obtain

$$\left| r(u) - \beta^{-2}r(\beta^{-1}u) \right| \leq \phi(\beta^{-1}u, \beta^{-1}u, \dots, \beta^{-1}u) \tag{17}$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{E}^*$ .

For every  $g, h \in \mathbb{E}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  define

$$d(g, h) = \inf\{\delta > 0 : |g(u) - h(u)| \leq \delta\phi(u, u, \dots, u)\}, \text{ for all } u \in \mathbb{E}^*.$$

By Example 2.5,  $d$  defines a complete generalized non-Archimedean metric on  $S = \{g|g : \mathbb{E}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$ . Let  $\sigma : S \rightarrow S$  be defined by  $\sigma(g)(u) : \beta^{-2}g(\beta^{-1}u)$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{E}^*$  and  $g \in S$ . If for some  $g, h \in S$  and  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$|g(u) - h(u)| \leq \delta\phi(u, u, \dots, u) \quad (u \in \mathbb{E}^*),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma(g)(u) - \sigma(h)(u)| &= |\beta|^{-2} |g(\beta^{-1}u) - h(\beta^{-1}u)| \\ &\leq \delta|\beta|^{-2}\phi(\beta^{-1}u, \beta^{-1}u, \dots, \beta^{-1}u) \\ &\leq \delta L\phi(u, u, \dots, u) \quad (u \in \mathbb{E}^*). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $d(\sigma(g), \sigma(h)) \leq Ld(g, h)$ . Hence  $d$  is a strictly contractive mapping on  $S$  with Lipschitz constant  $L$ .

Let  $X = \{g \in S : d(r, g) < \infty\}$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma(r)(u) - \sigma^0 r(u)| &= |\beta^{-2}r(\beta^{-1}u) - r(u)| \\ &\leq \phi(\beta^{-1}u, \beta^{-1}u, \dots, \beta^{-1}u) \\ &\leq L|\beta|^2\phi(u, u, \dots, u) \quad (u \in \mathbb{E}^*). \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $d(\sigma(r), r) \leq L|\beta|^2$ . By Theorem 2.6 (ii),  $\sigma$  has a unique fixed point  $R_q : \mathbb{E}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  which is defined by

$$R_q(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^n(r)(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta^{-2n}r(\beta^{-n}u) \quad (u \in \mathbb{E}^*).$$

The inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{R_q}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p)| &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\beta|^{-2m} |D_r(\beta^{-m}u_1, \beta^{-m}u_2, \dots, \beta^{-m}u_p)| \\ &\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\beta|^{-2m}\phi(\beta^{-m}u_1, \beta^{-m}u_2, \dots, \beta^{-m}u_p) \\ &\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} L^m\phi(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $u_i \in \mathbb{E}^*, i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , implies that  $R_q$  is generalized reciprocal-quadratic. By Theorem 2.6 (ii),  $d(r, R_q) \leq d(\sigma(r), r)$ , that is,

$$|r(u) - R_q(u)| \leq L|\beta|^2\phi(u, u, \dots, u) \quad (u \in \mathbb{E}^*).$$

If  $R_Q : \mathbb{E}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  is another generalized reciprocal-quadratic mapping which satisfies (16), then  $R_Q$  is a fixed point of  $\sigma$  in  $X$ . The uniqueness of the fixed point of  $\sigma$  in  $X$  implies that  $R_q = R_Q$ .  $\square$

The following theorem is dual of Theorem 4.1 and its proof follows directly from Theorem 4.1. Hence we omit the proof.

**Theorem 4.2.** Suppose that the mapping  $r : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  satisfies (14). If  $0 < L < 1$ ,

$$|\beta|^2\phi(\beta u_1, \beta u_2, \dots, \beta u_p) \leq L\phi(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p) \tag{18}$$

for all  $u_i \in \mathbb{E}^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , then there exists a unique generalized reciprocal-quadratic mapping

$$R_q : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

such that

$$|r(u) - R_q(u)| \leq L\phi(\beta^{-1}u, \beta^{-1}u, \dots, \beta^{-1}u) \tag{19}$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{E}^*$ .

In the following corollaries, we investigate the stability results of equation (5) pertinent to Hyers, T. M. Rassias and J. M. Rassias using Theorems 4.1 and 4.2.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let  $r : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be a mapping for which there exists a constant  $\epsilon$  (independent of

$u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p$ )  $\geq 0$  such that the functional inequality

$$|D_r(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p)| \leq \epsilon$$

holds for all  $u_i \in \mathbb{E}^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . Then there exists a unique generalized reciprocal-quadratic mapping  $r_q : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  satisfying the functional equation (5) and

$$|r(u) - R_q(u)| \leq \epsilon$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{E}^*$ .

*Proof.* Taking  $\phi(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p) = \epsilon$  and choosing  $L = |\beta|^{-2}$  in Theorem 4.1, the proof follows immediately.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** Let  $r : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be a mapping and let there exist real numbers  $\alpha \neq -2$  and  $c_1 \geq 0$

such that

$$|D_r(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p)| \leq c_1 \left( \sum_{i=1}^p |u_i|^\alpha \right)$$

for all  $u_i \in \mathbb{E}^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . Then there exists a unique generalized reciprocal-quadratic mapping  $R_q : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  satisfying the functional equation (5) and

$$|r(u) - R_q(u)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|p|c_1}{|\beta|^\alpha} |u|^\alpha, & \text{for } \alpha > -2 \\ |p|c_1|\beta|^2 |u|^\alpha, & \text{for } \alpha < -2 \end{cases}$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{E}^*$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $\phi(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p) = c_1 \left( \sum_{i=1}^p |u_i|^\alpha \right)$  and then select  $L = |\beta|^{-\alpha-2}$ ,  $\alpha > -2$  and  $L = |\beta|^{\alpha+2}$ ,  $\alpha < -2$ , respectively in Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 to get the desired result.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.5.** Let  $c_2 \geq 0$  and  $\alpha \neq -2$  be real numbers, and  $r : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be a mapping satisfying

the functional inequality

$$|D_r(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p)| \leq c_2 \left( \prod_{i=1}^p |u_i|^{\alpha/p} \right)$$

for all  $u_i \in \mathbb{E}^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . Then there exists a unique generalized reciprocal-quadratic mapping  $R_q : \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^* \times \mathbb{E}^* \times \dots \times \mathbb{E}^*}_{p \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  satisfying the functional equation (5) and

$$|r(u) - R_q(u)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{c_2}{|\beta|^\alpha} |u|^\alpha, & \text{for } \alpha > -2 \\ c_2 |\beta|^2 |u|^\alpha, & \text{for } \alpha < -2 \end{cases}$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{E}^*$ .

*Proof.* The proof goes through the same way as in Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 by considering

$$\phi(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p) = c_3 \left( \prod_{i=1}^p |u_i|^{\alpha/p} \right)$$

and then choosing  $\beta = L^{-\alpha-2}$ ,  $\alpha > -2$  and  $L = \beta^{\alpha+2}$ ,  $\alpha < -2$ , respectively.  $\square$

## Acknowledgement

The authors thank the anonymous referees for their useful comments and suggestions.

## References

- [1] T. Aoki, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Society, Japan* 2 (1950) 64–66.
- [2] L. M. Arriola, W. A. Beyer, Stability of the Cauchy functional equation over  $p$ -adic fields, *Real Analysis Exchange*, 31 (2005/2006) 125–132.
- [3] E. Bice, C. Tun, On the Hyers–Ulam stability of certain partial differential equations of second order, *Nonlinear Dynamics Systems Theory* 17 (2) (2017) 150–157.
- [4] A. Bodaghi, Y. Ebrahimdoost, On the stability of quadratic reciprocal functional equation in non-Archimedean fields, *Asian-European Journal of Mathematics* 9 (1) (2016) 9 pages.
- [5] A. Bodaghi, S. O. Kim, Approximation on the quadratic reciprocal functional equation, *Journal of Functional Spaces and Applications* 2014, Article ID532463 (2014) 5 pages.
- [6] L. Cădariu, V. Radu, On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed point approach, *Grazer Mathematische Berichte* 346 (2004) 43–52.
- [7] P. Gavruta, A generalization of the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 184 (1994) 431–436.
- [8] K. Hensel, Über eine neue Begründung der Theorie der algebraischen Zahlen, *Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung* 6 (1897) 431–436.
- [9] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 27 (1941) 222–224.
- [10] G. Isac and T. M. Rassias, Stability of  $\psi$ -additive mappings: applications to nonlinear analysis, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences* 19 (2) (1996) 219–228.
- [11] Y. S. Jung, I. S. Chang, The stability of a cubic type functional equation with the fixed point alternative, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 306b (2005) 752–760.
- [12] Z. Kaiser, On stability of the monomial functional equation in normed spaces over fields with valuation, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 322 (2) (2006) 1188–1198.
- [13] D. Kang, H. B. Kim, The generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of cubic functional equations generalized by an automorphism on groups, *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 13 (9) (2017) 4695–4707.
- [14] C. I. Kim, Fuzzy stability of additive–quadratic functional equations, *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis* 11 (3) (2017) 119–131.
- [15] G. H. Kim and H. Y. Shin, Hyers–Ulam stability of quadratic functional equations on divisible square-symmetric groupoid, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 112 (1) (2017) 189–201.
- [16] S. S. Kim, J. M. Rassias, N. Hussain, Y. J. Cho, Generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of general cubic functional equation in random normed spaces, *Filomat* 30 (1) (2016) 89–98.
- [17] K. D. Kucche, S. T. Sutar, Stability via successive approximation for nonlinear implicit fractional differential equations, *Moroccan Journal of Pure and Applied Analysis* 3 (1) (2017) 36–55.
- [18] T. Li, A. Zada, S. Faisal, Hyers–Ulam stability of  $n^{\text{th}}$  order linear differential equations, *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*. 9 (2016) 2070–2075.

- [19] A. K. Mirmostafae, Approximately additive mappings in non-Archimedean normed spaces, *Bulletin of Korean Mathematical Society* 46 (2) (2009) 378-400.
- [20] A. K. Mirmostafae, Stability of quartic mappings in non-Archimedean normed spaces, *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal* 49 (2009), 289–297.
- [21] A. K. Mirmostafae, Non-Archimedean stability of quadratic equations, *Fixed Point Theory*, 11 (1) (2010) 67–75.
- [22] A. K. Mirmostafae, Hyers–Ulam stability of cubic mappings in non-Archimedean normed spaces, *Kyunpook Mathematical Journal* 50 (2010) 315–327.
- [23] M. Mirzavaziri, M. S. Moslehian, A fixed point approach to stability of a quadratic equation, *Bulletin of Brazilian Mathematical Society* 37 (3) (2006) 361–376.
- [24] M. S. Moslehian, The Jensen functional equation in non-Archimedean normed spaces, *Journal of Functional Spaces and Applications* 7 (1) (2009) 13–24.
- [25] M. S. Moslehian, T. M. Rassias, Stability of functional equations in non-Archimedean spaces, *Applied Analysis and Discrete Mathematics* 1 (2007) 325–334.
- [26] R. Murali, A. Antony Raj, S. Sudha, Hyers–Ulam stability of cubic and quartic functional equations in matrix paranormed spaces, *International Journal of Mathematics and Soft Computing* 6 (2) (2016) 1–11.
- [27] S. Murthy, V. Govindan, M. Sree Shanmuga Velan, Stability of additive–quadratic functional equation in Banach space and Banach algebra: Using direct and fixed point methods, *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology* 42 (1) (2017) 25–35.
- [28] P. Narasimman, A. Bodaghi, Solution and stability of a mixed type functional equation, *Filomat* 31 (5) (2017) 1229–1239.
- [29] L. Narici, E. Beckenstein, Strange terrain–non-Archimedean spaces, *The American Mathematical Monthly* 88 (9) (1981) 667–676.
- [30] C. Park, S. O. Kim, Quadratic  $\alpha$ -functional equations, *International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications* 8 (1) (2017) 1–9.
- [31] D. Popa, G. Pugna, Hyers–Ulam stability of Eulers differential equation, *Results in Mathematics* 69 (2016) 317–325.
- [32] J. M. Rassias, On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, *Journal of Functional Analysis* 46 (1982) 126–130.
- [33] J. M. Rassias, Alternative contraction principle and Ulam stability problem, *Mathematical Sciences Research Journal* 9 (7) (2005) 190–199.
- [34] T. M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Procoeedings of American Mathematical Sociey* 72 (1978) 297–300.
- [35] V. Radu, The fixed point alternative and stability of functional equations, *Fixed Point Theory*, 4 (1) (2003), 91–96.
- [36] K. Ravi, J. M. Rassias, B. V. Senthil Kumar, Ulam stability of generalized reciprocal functional equation in several variables, *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistics* 19(D10) (2010) 1–19.
- [37] K. Ravi, J. M. Rassias, B. V. Senthil Kumar, Ulam stability of reciprocal difference and adjoint functional equations, *Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 8 (1) Art. 13 (2011) 1–18.
- [38] K. Ravi, J. M. Rassias, B. V. Senthil Kumar, A. Bodaghi, Intuitionistic fuzzy stability of a reciprocal–quadratic functional equation, *International Journal of Applied Sciences and Mathematics* 1 (1) (2014) 9–14.
- [39] K. Ravi, B.V. Senthil Kumar, Ulam–Gavruta–Rassias stability of Rassias reciprocal functional equation, *Global Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences* 3 (1-2) (2010) 57–79.
- [40] K. Ravi, S. Suresh, Solution and generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of a reciprocal quadratic functional equation, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 117 (2) Art. No. AP2017-31-4927 (2017).
- [41] K. Ravi, E. Thandapani, B. V. Senthil Kumar, Stability of reciprocal type functional equations, *PanAmerican Mathematical Journal* 21 (1) (2011) 59–70.
- [42] M. Saravanan, S. Murthy, M. Arunkumar, Generalized Hyers–Ulam stability mixed type functional equation stable in a Banach space: Using direct method, *International Journal of Applied Research* 2 (4) (2016) 363–369.
- [43] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Chapter VI, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1964.
- [44] A. Zada, F. U. Khan, U. Riaz, T. Li, Hyers–Ulam stability of linear summation equations, *Journal of Mathematics* 49 (1) (2017) 19–24.
- [45] A. Zada, P. Wang, D. Lassoued, T. Li, Connections between Hyers–Ulam stability and uniform exponential stability of 2-periodic linear nonautonomous systems, *Advances in Difference Equations* 192 (2017) 7 pages.
- [46] Z. Zhao, Hyers–Ulam stability of a kind of polynomial equation, *Mathematica Aeterna*, 6 (4) (2016) 551–556.