



On the Weighted Pseudo Drazin Invertible Elements in Associative Rings and Banach Algebras

Jianlong Chen^{a,*}, Xiaofeng Chen^a, Hassane Zguitti^b

^aSchool of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China.

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Dhar El Mahraz Faculty of Science, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, BO 1796 Fez-Atlas, 30003 Fez Morocco.

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce and investigate the weighted pseudo Drazin inverse of elements in associative rings and Banach algebras. Some equivalent conditions for the existence of the w -pseudo Drazin inverse of $a + b$ are given. Using the Pierce decomposition, the representations for the w -pseudo Drazin inverse are given in Banach algebras.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, \mathcal{R} denotes an associative ring with identity 1. An involution $*$: $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is an anti-isomorphism which satisfies

$$(a^*)^* = a, (a + b)^* = a^* + b^*, (ab)^* = b^*a^*,$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{R}$. Let $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R})$ and $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R})$ be, respectively, the Jacobson radical and the group of units in \mathcal{R} . Recall that ([10, Lemma 4.1])

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R}) = \{a \in \mathcal{R} : 1 - ba \text{ (or } 1 - ab) \text{ is left invertible for any } b \in \mathcal{R}\}. \quad (1.1)$$

Let $\sqrt{\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R})}$ denote the root of $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R})$, which is defined by

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R})} = \{a \in \mathcal{R} : a^k \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R}) \text{ for some } k \geq 1\}.$$

For any element $a \in \mathcal{R}$, let $\text{comm}(a)$ and $\text{comm}^2(a)$ be the *commutant* and the *double commutant* (or bi-commutant) of a , which are respectively defined by

$$\text{comm}(a) = \{x \in \mathcal{R} : ax = xa\},$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 15A09; Secondary 46H05.

Keywords. Weighted pseudo Drazin inverse, rings, Banach algebras

Received: 12 June 2019; Accepted: 05 November 2019

Communicated by Dragana Cvetković-Ilić

This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11771076, No. 11871145), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (no. KYCX19_0055), the Postgraduate Research & Practice Innovation Program of Jiangsu Province (no. KYCX 19_0055).

*Corresponding author: Jianlong Chen

Email addresses: jlchen@seu.edu.cn (Jianlong Chen), xfc189130@163.com (Xiaofeng Chen), hassane.zguitti@usmba.ac.ma (Hassane Zguitti)

$$\text{comm}^2(a) = \{x \in \mathcal{R} : xy = yx \text{ for all } y \in \text{comm}(a)\}.$$

An element $a \in \mathcal{R}$ is *quasinilpotent* if, for every $x \in \text{comm}(a)$, $1 + xa \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R})$ ([7]). Let \mathcal{R}^{qmil} and \mathcal{R}^{nil} be the set of all quasinilpotent elements and the set of all nilpotent elements of \mathcal{R} respectively.

An element $a \in \mathcal{R}$ is said to be *Drazin invertible* if there exists $b \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$b \in \text{comm}(a), bab = b \text{ and } a^k ba = a^k, \tag{1.2}$$

for some nonnegative integer k [6]. If such b exists then it is unique and will be denoted by $b = a^d$ and is called the *Drazin inverse* of a . If $k = 1$ in (1.2) we say that a is group invertible. The set of all Drazin invertible elements in \mathcal{R} will be denoted by \mathcal{R}^d .

The concept of the Drazin inverse was firstly generalized by Koliha for bounded linear operators on Banach spaces and for elements in Banach algebras [8] and then by Koliha and Patrício [9] for elements in a ring. Many properties of such generalized inverses can be found in, for example, [2, 3, 5, 15]. An element $a \in \mathcal{R}$ is said to be *generalized Drazin invertible* if there exists $b \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$b \in \text{comm}^2(a), b = ab^2 \text{ and } a - a^2b \in \mathcal{R}^{qmil}. \tag{1.3}$$

If such b exists it is unique and denoted by $b = a^{gd}$ and called the *generalized Drazin inverse* of a . The set of all generalized Drazin invertible elements in \mathcal{R} will be denoted by \mathcal{R}^{gd} .

Following Wang and Chen [12], an element $a \in \mathcal{R}$ is *pseudo Drazin invertible* if there exists $b \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$b \in \text{comm}^2(a), b = ab^2 \text{ and } a^k - a^{k+1}b \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R}), \text{ for some } k \geq 1. \tag{1.4}$$

If such b exists it is unique and denoted by $b = a^{pd}$. The least positive integer k for which (1.4) hold is called the *pseudo Drazin index* of a and denoted by $i(a)$. The set of all pseudo Drazin invertible elements in \mathcal{R} is denoted by \mathcal{R}^{pd} . Then $\mathcal{R}^d \subseteq \mathcal{R}^{pd} \subseteq \mathcal{R}^{gd}$ and the inclusions may be strict. If \mathcal{A} is a Banach algebra, then we replace the double commutator for the commutator in (1.4).

An element a in \mathcal{R} is pseudo Drazin invertible if and only if a is pseudopolar : a is *pseudopolar* if there exists $e \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$e^2 = e \in \text{comm}^2(a), a + e \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R}) \text{ and } a^k e \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R}) \text{ for some } k \geq 1.$$

The idempotent e is unique and will be denoted a^\square . In this case, $a^\square = 1 - aa^{pd}$, [12].

For $w \in \mathcal{R}$, let \mathcal{R}_w be the ring \mathcal{R} equipped with the w -product

$$a \star b := awb \text{ for all } a, b \in \mathcal{R}.$$

If $w \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R})$, then $1_w = w^{-1}$ is the unit of the ring \mathcal{R}_w . For any positive integer n we write $a^{\star n} = a \star \cdots \star a$ (n factors).

Let $w \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R})$. An element $a \in \mathcal{R}$ is said to be *weighted Drazin invertible* or w -Drazin invertible if a is Drazin invertible in \mathcal{R}_w . The w -Drazin inverse $a^{d,w}$ of a is defined as the Drazin inverse of a in the ring \mathcal{R}_w . The concept of the weighted Drazin inverse was introduced by Cline and Greville [1] for rectangular matrices. In [4], Dajić and Koliha defined and studied the weighted generalized Drazin inverse for bounded linear operators on Banach spaces. In a recent paper [11], Mosić and Djordjević investigated the weighted generalized Drazin inverse for elements in a ring.

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce and to investigate the weighted pseudo Drazin inverse of elements in a ring. In the second section we characterize the weighted pseudo Drazin inverse by means of the weight. Section 3 is devoted to weighted pseudo Drazin invertible elements in a Banach algebra. Using the Pierce decomposition, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for an element to be weighted pseudo Drazin invertible.

2. Weighted pseudo Drazin inverse in associative ring

Definition 2.1. Let $w \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R})$. An element $a \in \mathcal{R}$ is said weighted pseudo Drazin invertible or w -pseudo Drazin invertible if a is pseudo Drazin invertible in \mathcal{R}_w . The w -pseudo Drazin inverse $a^{pd,w}$ of a is defined as the pseudo Drazin inverse of a in the ring \mathcal{R}_w . The index $i_w(a)$ is defined as the index of the pseudo Drazin inverse of a in \mathcal{R}_w . The set of all weighted pseudo Drazin invertible elements \mathcal{R} is denoted by $\mathcal{R}^{pd,w}$.

We notice here that the Jacobson radical of \mathcal{R}_w equals to the Jacobson radical of \mathcal{R} .

Theorem 2.2. Let $w \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R})$. For $a \in \mathcal{R}$ the following assertions are equivalent:

- i) $a \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R}_w)$.
- ii) $aw \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R})$.
- iii) $wa \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R})$.

Proof. i) \implies ii): Assume that $a \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R}_w)$. Let $b \in \mathcal{R}$ and set $c = bw^{-1}$. Then by (1.1), $1_w - a \star c = w^{-1} - a \star c$ is left invertible in \mathcal{R}_w . Hence, there exists some $d \in \mathcal{R}_w$ such that $1_w = w^{-1} = d \star (w^{-1} - a \star c)$. Then $w^{-1} = d - d w a w c$. Thus, $1 = d w (1 - a w b)$ and so $1 - a w b$ is left invertible for all $b \in \mathcal{R}$. Therefore, $aw \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R})$ by (1.1).

ii) \implies i): Suppose that $aw \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R})$. Let $b \in \mathcal{R}_w$ and set $c = bw$. Then by (1.1), $1 - a w c$ is left invertible. Hence, there exists some $d \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $1 = d(1 - a w c)$. Thus, $w^{-1} = d(w^{-1} - a w c w^{-1}) = d w^{-1} \star (w^{-1} - a \star b)$. Therefore, $w^{-1} - a \star b$ is left invertible for all $b \in \mathcal{R}_w$ and so $a \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R}_w)$.

The equivalence i) \iff iii) goes similarly. \square

In the following we give the relationship between the weighted pseudo Drazin inverse of an element and its weight.

Theorem 2.3. Let $w \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R})$. For $a \in \mathcal{R}$, the following assertions are equivalent:

- i) a is w -pseudo Drazin invertible with w -pseudo Drazin inverse $a^{pd,w} = b \in \mathcal{R}$.
- ii) aw is pseudo Drazin invertible in \mathcal{R} and $(aw)^{pd} = bw$.
- iii) wa is pseudo Drazin invertible in \mathcal{R} with $(wa)^{pd} = wb$.

Moreover, the w -pseudo Drazin inverse $a^{pd,w}$ satisfies

$$a^{pd,w} = ((aw)^{pd})^2 a = a((wa)^{pd})^2. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. i) \implies ii): Assume that a is w -pseudo Drazin invertible with w -pseudo Drazin inverse $a^{pd,w} = b$. Then

$$b \in \text{comm}_w^2(a), b \star a \star b = b \text{ and } a^{\star k} - a^{\star k+1} \star b \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R}_w).$$

Step 1. We show that $bw \in \text{comm}^2(aw)$:

Let $y \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $aw y = y a w$. Then, $a \star (y w^{-1}) = (y w^{-1}) \star a$. Hence, $b \star (y w^{-1}) = (y w^{-1}) \star b$. Thus, $b w y w^{-1} = y b$ and then $b w y = y b w$. Therefore, $bw \in \text{comm}^2(aw)$.

Step 2. We have $(bw)aw(bw) = bw$:

Since $b \star a \star b = b$, $b w a w b = b$ and so $(bw)aw(bw) = bw$.

Step 3. $(aw)^k - (aw)^{k+1}bw \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R})$: Indeed, since $a^{\star k} - a^{\star k+1} \star b = (aw)^{k-1}a - (aw)^{k+1}b \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R}_w)$, it follows from Theorem 2.2 that $((aw)^{k-1}a - (aw)^{k+1}b)w = (aw)^k - (aw)^{k+1}bw \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R})$.

ii) \implies i): Suppose that aw is pseudo Drazin invertible with pseudo Drazin inverse $(aw)^{pd} = c \in \mathcal{R}$. Then

$$c \in \text{comm}^2(aw), c(aw)c = c \text{ and } (aw)^k - (aw)^{k+1}c \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R}).$$

Note that $c^2aw = bw$. Then $b = c^2a$. Next, we prove $a^{pd,w} = b$.

Step 1. $b \in \text{comm}_w^2(a)$:

Let $y \in \mathcal{R}_w$ such that $y \star a = a \star y$. Then, $ywa = awy$ and so $(yw)aw = ywaw = awyw$. Hence, $yw \in \text{comm}(aw)$ and then $ywc = cyw$. Now $b \star y = bwy = c^2awy = c^2ywa = ywc^2a = ywb = y \star b$. Then, $b \in \text{comm}_w^2(a)$.

Step 2. $b \star a \star b = b$:

we have $b \star a \star b = bwawb = c^2atawc^2a = cawc^2a = c^2a = b$.

Step 3. $a^{\star k} - a^{\star k+1} \star b \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R}_w)$:

Since $(aw)^k - (aw)^{k+1}c = (aw)^k - (aw)^{k+1}c^2aw = ((aw)^{k-1}a - (aw)^kawc^2a)w \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R})$, by Theorem 2.2, $(aw)^{k-1}a - (aw)^kawc^2a = a^{\star k} - a^{\star k+1} \star b \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R}_w)$.

The equivalence i) \iff iii) goes similarly.

Now assume that a is w -pseudo Drazin invertible. Then, $((aw)^{pd})^2a = a^{pd,w}$ from the proof of ii) \implies i). By the same way, we get $a((wa)^{pd})^2 = a^{pd,w}$. \square

Remark 2.4. From the proof of Theorem 2.3 we deduce that if $a \in \mathcal{R}$ is w -pseudo Drazin invertible, then the pseudo Drazin indices $i_w(a)$, $i(aw)$ and $i(wa)$ satisfy

$$\max\{i(aw), i(wa)\} \leq i_w(a) \leq \min\{i(aw), i(wa)\}.$$

Therefore, $i_w(a) = i(aw) = i(wa)$.

In following theorem ii) and iii) were presented in a Banach algebra in [13]. Here we prove that it is still true in an associative ring.

Theorem 2.5. Let $a \in \mathcal{R}$ be pseudo Drazin invertible. Then the following are true:

i) $a = a^{pd}$ if and only if $a^3 = a$.

ii) $(a^{pd})^{pd} = a^2a^{pd}$.

iii) $a^{pd}(a^{pd})^{pd} = aa^{pd}$.

Proof. i) Assume that $a = a^{pd}$. Then, $a^3 = a(a^{pd})^2 = a^{pd} = a$. Conversely, if $a^3 = a$, then for $b = a$ we have $b \in \text{comm}^2(a)$, $bab = a$ and $a - a^2b = 0 \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{R})$. Thus, a is pseudo Drazin inverse and $a^{pd} = b = a$.

ii) Since $a^{pd}a^2a^{pd} = a^2a^{pd}a^{pd}$, $a^{pd}a^2a^{pd}a^{pd} = a^{pd}$ and $a^2a^{pd}a^{pd}a^2a^{pd} = a^2a^{pd}$, we have $(a^{pd})^\# = a^2a^{pd}$. Which implies that $(a^{pd})^{pd} = a^2a^{pd}$.

iii) From ii) we have $a^{pd}(a^{pd})^{pd} = a^{pd}a^2a^{pd} = aa^{pd}$. \square

Corollary 2.6. Let $a \in \mathcal{R}$ be pseudo Drazin invertible. Then $(a^{pd})^{pd} = a$ if and only if a is group invertible in \mathcal{R} .

Proof. Since $(a^{pd})^{pd} = a^2a^{pd}$, we have $a = a^2a^{pd}$, therefore, it is easy to verify that a^{pd} is the group inverse of a . \square

Theorem 2.7. Let $w \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R})$. Assume that $a \in \mathcal{R}$ is w -pseudo Drazin invertible. Then $a^{pd,w}$ is w -pseudo Drazin invertible and the following are true:

i) $a^{pd,w} = a$ if and only if $a = a^{\star 3} = awawa$.

ii) $(a^{pd,w})^{pd,w} = aw(aw)^{pd}a = awa(wa)^{pd}$.

iii) $a^{pd,w} \star (a^{pd,w})^{pd,w} = awa^{pd,w} = (aw)^{pd}a$.

iv) $((a^{pd,w})^{pd,w})^{pd,w} = a^{pd,w}$.

Proof. Since a is w -pseudo Drazin invertible, from Theorem 2.3, we have aw is pseudo Drazin invertible and $(aw)^{pd} = a^{pd,w}w$. Then by Theorem 2.5, we have $a^{pd,w}$ is pseudo Drazin invertible. Therefore, $a^{pd,w}$ is w -pseudo Drazin invertible by Theorem 2.3.

i) Since a is w -pseudo Drazin invertible and $a^{pd,w} = a$, we have aw is pseudo Drazin invertible and $(aw)^{pd} = aw$ by Theorem 2.3. Then From Theorem 2.5 i), we obtain $(aw)^{pd} = aw$ if and only if $a = a^{\star 3} = awawa$.

- ii) $(a^{pd,w})^{pd,w} = ((a^{pd,w}w)^{pd})^2 a^{pd,w} = (((aw)^{pd})^{pd})^2 a^{pd,w} = ((aw)^2(aw)^{pd})^2 ((aw)^{pd})^2 a = aw(aw)^{pd}a$. Similarly, we have $(a^{pd,w})^{pd,w} = awa(aw)^{pd}$.
- iii) $a^{pd,w} \star (a^{pd,w})^{pd,w} = (aw)^{pd}aw(aw)^{pd}a = (aw)^{pd}a$.
- iv) From ii), Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.5 ii), we have $((a^{pd,w})^{pd,w})^{pd,w} = (a^{pd,w}w)(a^{pd,w}w)^{pd}a^{pd,w} = (aw)^{pd}((aw)^{pd})^{pd}a^{pd,w} = (aw)^{pd}(aw)^2(aw)^{pd}((aw)^{pd})^2a = ((aw)^{pd})^2a = a^{pd,w}$. \square

Proposition 2.8. *Let \mathcal{R} be a ring with involution, $w \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{R})$. Then $a \in \mathcal{R}$ is w -pseudo Drazin invertible if and only if a^* is w^* -pseudo Drazin invertible. In this case,*

$$(a^*)^{pd,w^*} = (a^{pd,w})^* \text{ and } i_{w^*}(a^*) = i_w(a).$$

Proof. By [12, Proposition 1.5 and Theorem 3.2] aw is pseudo Drazin invertible if and only if $(aw)^* = w^*a^*$ is pseudo Drazin invertible and $i(aw) = i((aw)^*)$. The result follows from Theorem 2.3. \square

3. Weighted pseudo Drazin inverse in a Banach algebra

In this section, let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra with unit. For an element $w \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$, let \mathcal{A}_w be the Banach algebra equipped with the w -product $a \star b = awb$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 3.1. [16] *Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- i) a is pseudo Drazin invertible.
- ii) a^n is pseudo Drazin invertible for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- iii) a^n is pseudo Drazin invertible for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $w \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$. If $aw = wa$, then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- i) a is w -pseudo Drazin invertible.
- ii) a^n is w^n -pseudo Drazin invertible for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- iii) a^n is w^n -pseudo Drazin invertible for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this case, $(a^n)^{pd,w^n} = (a^{pd,w})^n$.

Proof. i) \implies ii): Since a is w -pseudo Drazin invertible, aw is pseudo Drazin invertible by Theorem 2.3. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that $(aw)^n = a^n w^n$ is pseudo Drazin invertible, thus, a^n is w^n -pseudo Drazin invertible for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

ii) \implies iii): It is clear.

iii) \implies i): By assumption $(aw)^n = a^n w^n$ is pseudo Drazin invertible for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, aw is pseudo Drazin invertible by Lemma 3.1. Therefore, a is w -pseudo Drazin invertible.

In this case, $(a^n)^{pd,w^n} = ((a^n w^n)^{pd})^2 a^n = (((aw)^n)^{pd})^2 a^n = (((aw)^{pd})^2)^n a^n = ((aw)^{pd})^2 a^n = (a^{pd,w})^n$. \square

Remark 3.3. *If $aw \neq wa$, then the formula $(a^n)^{pd,w^n} = (a^{pd,w})^n$ in Theorem 3.2 does not hold in general. For example, let*

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

in $\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$, then $aw \neq wa$, and we can calculate that

$$(a^2 w^2)^{pd} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{9} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (aw)^{pd} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

thus,

$$(a^2)^{pd,w^2} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{9} & \frac{1}{9} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (a^{pd,w})^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{16} & \frac{1}{16} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

therefore, $(a^2)^{pd,w^2} \neq (a^{pd,w})^2$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $w \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$. Assume that a and $b \in \mathcal{A}$ are w -pseudo Drazin invertible. If $awb = bwa = 0$, then $a + b$ is w -pseudo Drazin invertible and $(a + b)^{pd,w} = a^{pd,w} + b^{pd,w}$.

Proof. By assumption aw and bw are pseudo Drazin invertible and $awbw = bwaaw = 0$. Then, it follows from [13, Theorem 2.5] that $aw + bw$ is pseudo Drazin invertible and $(aw + bw)^{pd} = (aw)^{pd} + (bw)^{pd}$, thus, $(a + b)^{pd,w} = a^{pd,w} + b^{pd,w}$ by Theorem 2.3. \square

Corollary 3.5. Let $w \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$. Assume that $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ are w -pseudo Drazin invertible. If $a_i w a_j = 0$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, n, i \neq j$), then $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n$ is w -pseudo Drazin invertible and

$$(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n)^{pd,w} = a_1^{pd,w} + \dots + a_n^{pd,w}.$$

Lemma 3.6. [13] Let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ be pseudo Drazin invertible, $ab = \lambda ba$ ($\lambda \neq 0$). Then

i) $a^{pd}b = \lambda^{-1}ba^{pd}$.

ii) $ab^{pd} = \lambda^{-1}b^{pd}a$.

iii) $(ab)^{pd} = b^{pd}a^{pd} = \lambda^{-1}a^{pd}b^{pd}$.

Theorem 3.7. Let $w \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$. Assume that a and $b \in \mathcal{A}$ are w -pseudo Drazin invertible. If $awb = \lambda bwa$ ($\lambda \neq 0$), then awb is w -pseudo Drazin invertible, and

i) $a^{pd,w}wb = \lambda^{-1}bwa^{pd,w}$.

ii) $awb^{pd,w} = \lambda^{-1}b^{pd,w}wa$.

iii) $(awb)^{pd,w} = b^{pd,w}wa^{pd,w} = \lambda^{-1}a^{pd,w}wb^{pd,w}$.

Proof. i) By assumption aw and bw are pseudo Drazin invertible and $awbw = \lambda bwaaw$. Then, by Lemma 3.6, $(aw)^{pd}bw = \lambda^{-1}bw(aw)^{pd}$, and thus, $a^{pd,w}wb = \lambda^{-1}bwa^{pd,w}$.

The proof of ii) and iii) are similar to the proof of i). \square

Let $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 3.7, we have following corollary.

Corollary 3.8. Let $w \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$. Assume that a and $b \in \mathcal{A}$ are w -pseudo Drazin invertible. If $awb = bwa$, then awb is w -pseudo Drazin invertible and

$$(awb)^{pd,w} = a^{pd,w}wb^{pd,w}.$$

Proposition 3.9. Let $w \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$, a and $b \in \mathcal{A}$. If awb is w -pseudo Drazin invertible, then so is bwa and

$$(bwa)^{pd,w} = bw((awb)^{pd,w}w)^2a.$$

Proof. It follows from [12, Theorem 3.6] and Theorem 2.3. \square

Proposition 3.10. Let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ be w -pseudo Drazin invertible, $w \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$. If $awawb = awbwa$ and $bwbwa = bwawb$, then awb is w -pseudo Drazin invertible, and

$$(awb)^{pd,w} = a^{pd,w}wb^{pd,w}.$$

Proof. It follows from [14, Theorem 2.8] and Theorem 2.3. \square

Proposition 3.11. Let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ be w -pseudo Drazin invertible, $w \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$. If $awawb = awbwa$ and $bwbwa = bwawb$, then $a + b$ is w -pseudo Drazin invertible if and only if $w^{-1} + a^{pd,w}wb$ is w -pseudo Drazin invertible.

Proof. It follows from [14, Theorem 2.10] and Theorem 2.3. \square

Let $e, f \in \mathcal{A}$ be idempotents. Then for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$a = 1 \cdot a \cdot 1 = (e + 1 - e)a(f + 1 - f) = eaf + ea(1 - f) + (1 - e)af + (1 - e)a(1 - f).$$

we may write a as follows

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} eaf & ea(1 - f) \\ (1 - e)af & (1 - e)a(1 - f) \end{pmatrix}_{e,f}. \tag{3.1}$$

This matrix representation of a is called the Pierce decomposition of a . The usual algebraic operations $a + b$ and ab in \mathcal{A} can be interpreted as simple operations between appropriate matrices over \mathcal{A} .

Theorem 3.12. [16] *Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is pseudo Drazin invertible if and only if there exists an idempotent $e \in \mathcal{A}$ such that*

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}_{e,e}$$

where $a_1 \in \mathcal{U}(e\mathcal{A}e)$ and $a_2 \in \sqrt{\mathcal{J}((1 - e)\mathcal{A}(1 - e))}$. In this case, the pseudo Drazin inverse of a is given by

$$a^{pd} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{e,e}.$$

Using the Pierce decomposition, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for an element in Banach algebra to be weighted pseudo Drazin invertible.

Theorem 3.13. *Let $w \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$. An element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is w -pseudo Drazin invertible if and only if there exist two idempotents e and f such that*

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}_{e,f}, w = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & 0 \\ 0 & w_2 \end{pmatrix}_{f,e};$$

where $a_1w_1 \in \mathcal{U}(e\mathcal{A}e)$, $w_1a_1 \in \mathcal{U}(f\mathcal{A}f)$, $a_2w_2 \in \sqrt{\mathcal{J}((1 - e)\mathcal{A}(1 - e))}$ and $w_2a_2 \in \sqrt{\mathcal{J}((1 - f)\mathcal{A}(1 - f))}$. In this case, the w -pseudo Drazin inverse of a satisfies

$$a^{pd,w} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1((w_1a_1)^{-1})^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{e,f} = \begin{pmatrix} ((a_1w_1)^{-1})^2a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{e,f}.$$

Proof. \Rightarrow) Assume that a is w -pseudo Drazin invertible. Then aw and wa are pseudo Drazin invertible elements by Theorem 2.3. It follows from Theorem 3.12 that

$$aw = \begin{pmatrix} (aw)_1 & 0 \\ 0 & (aw)_2 \end{pmatrix}_{e,e}, wa = \begin{pmatrix} (wa)_1 & 0 \\ 0 & (wa)_2 \end{pmatrix}_{f,f},$$

where $e = aw(aw)^{pd}$, $f = wa(wa)^{pd}$ and $(aw)_1 \in \mathcal{U}(e\mathcal{A}e)$, $(wa)_1 \in \mathcal{U}(f\mathcal{A}f)$, $(aw)_2 \in \sqrt{\mathcal{J}((1 - e)\mathcal{A}(1 - e))}$, $(wa)_2 \in \sqrt{\mathcal{J}((1 - f)\mathcal{A}(1 - f))}$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} ea &= (aw)(aw)^{pd}a \\ &= (aw)(aw)((aw)^{pd})^2a \\ &= (aw)(aw)a((wa)^{pd})^2 \text{ by (2.1)} \\ &= a(wa)(wa)^{pd} \\ &= af. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} we &= w(aw)(aw)^{pd} \\ &= w(aw)(aw)((aw)^{pd})^2 \\ &= w(aw)((aw)^{pd})^2aw \\ &= w(aw)a((wa)^{pd})^2w \text{ by (2.1)} \\ &= (wa)(wa)^{pd}w \\ &= fw. \end{aligned}$$

Then $ea = af$ and $we = fw$ imply that $ea(1 - f) = (1 - e)af = fw(1 - e) = (1 - f)we = 0$.

Now the Pierce decompositions of a and w are

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} eaf & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - e)a(1 - f) \end{pmatrix}_{e,f} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}_{e,f}$$

and

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} fwe & 0 \\ 0 & (1 - f)w(1 - e) \end{pmatrix}_{f,e} = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & 0 \\ 0 & w_2 \end{pmatrix}_{f,e}.$$

Hence,

$$aw = \begin{pmatrix} a_1w_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2w_2 \end{pmatrix}_{e,e}, \quad wa = \begin{pmatrix} w_1a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & w_2a_2 \end{pmatrix}_{f,f},$$

and $a_1w_1 = (aw)_1 \in \mathcal{U}(e\mathcal{A}e)$, $w_1a_1 = (wa)_1 \in \mathcal{U}(f\mathcal{A}f)$, $a_2w_2 = (aw)_2 \in \sqrt{\mathcal{J}((1 - e)\mathcal{A}(1 - e))}$, $w_2a_2 = (wa)_2 \in \sqrt{\mathcal{J}((1 - f)\mathcal{A}(1 - f))}$.

Finally, by (2.1) the pseudo Drazin inverse of a is

$$\begin{aligned} a^{pd,w} &= a((wa)^{pd})^2 \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}_{e,f} \begin{pmatrix} (w_1a_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{f,f}^2 \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}_{e,f} \begin{pmatrix} ((w_1a_1)^{-1})^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{f,f} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1((w_1a_1)^{-1})^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{e,f}. \end{aligned}$$

By the same way we get that $a^{pd,w} = \begin{pmatrix} ((a_1w_1)^{-1})^2a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{e,f}$.

\Leftrightarrow Assume that there exist two idempotents e and f such that

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}_{e,f}, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & 0 \\ 0 & w_2 \end{pmatrix}_{f,e},$$

where $a_1w_1 \in \mathcal{U}(e\mathcal{A}e)$, $w_1a_1 \in \mathcal{U}(f\mathcal{A}f)$, $a_2w_2 \in \sqrt{\mathcal{J}((1 - e)\mathcal{A}(1 - e))}$ and $w_2a_2 \in \sqrt{\mathcal{J}((1 - f)\mathcal{A}(1 - f))}$. Then

$$aw = \begin{pmatrix} a_1w_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2w_2 \end{pmatrix}_{e,e}$$

and $a_1w_1 \in (e\mathcal{A}e)^{-1}$, $a_2w_2 \in \sqrt{\mathcal{J}((1 - e)\mathcal{A}(1 - e))}$. Therefore, aw is pseudo Drazin invertible by Theorem 3.12 and so a is w -pseudo Drazin invertible by Theorem 2.3. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the editor and reviewers sincerely for their constructive comments and suggestions that have improved the quality of the paper. Jianlong Chen and Xiaofeng Chen were supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11771076, No.11871145); the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities and the Postgraduate Research & Practice Innovation Program of Jiangsu Province (No. KYCX 19_0055).

References

- [1] R.E. Cline, T.N.E. Greville, A Drazin inverse for rectangular matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 29 (1980) 53-62.
- [2] D.S. Cvetković-Ilić, The generalized Drazin inverse with commutativity up to a factor in a Banach algebra, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 431(5-7) (2009) 783-791.
- [3] D.S. Cvetković-Ilić, C.Y. Deng, Some results on the Drazin invertibility and idempotents, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 359(2) (2009) 731-738.
- [4] A. Dajić, J.J. Koliha, The weighted g -Drazin inverse for operators, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 81 (2006) 405-423.
- [5] C.Y. Deng, D.S. Cvetković-Ilić, Y.M. Wei, Some results on the generalized Drazin inverse of operator matrices, *Linear Multilinear Algebra.* 58(4) (2010) 503-521.
- [6] M.P. Drazin, Pseudo-inverse in associative rings and semigroups, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 65 (1958) 506-514.
- [7] R. Harte, On quasinilpotents in ring, *Panamer. Math. J.* 1 (1991) 10-16.
- [8] J.J. Koliha, A generalized Drazin inverse, *Glasgow Math. J.* 38 (1996) 367–381.
- [9] J.J. Koliha, P. Patrício, Elements of rings with equal spectral idempotents, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 72 (2002) 137-152.
- [10] T.Y. Lam, *A First Course in Noncommutative Rings*, Second ed., Grad. Text in Math., Vol. 131, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 2001.
- [11] D. Mosić, D. Djordjević, Weighted generalized Drazin inverse in rings, *Georgian Math. J.* 23(4) (2016) 587-594.
- [12] Z. Wang, J. L. Chen, Pseudo Drazin inverses in associative rings and Banach algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 437 (2012) 1332-1345.
- [13] H.H. Zhu, J.L. Chen, Additive property of pseudo Drazin inverse of elements in Banach algebras, *Filomat* 28(9) (2014) 1773-1781.
- [14] H.H. Zhu, J.L. Chen, P. Patrício, Representations for the pseudo Drazin inverse of elements in a Banach algebra, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 19 (2015) 349-362.
- [15] G. F. Zhuang, J. L. Chen, D.S. Cvetković-Ilić, Y.M. Wei, Additive Property of Drazin Invertibility of Elements in a ring, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 60(8) (2012) 903-910.
- [16] H.L. Zou, J.L. Chen, On the pseudo Drazin inverse of the sum of two elements in a Banach algebra, *Filomat* 31(7) (2017) 2011-2022.