

Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Differences of Weighted Differentiation Composition Operators From α -Bloch Space to H^{∞} Space

Cui Wanga, Ze-Hua Zhoub

^aCollege of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, P.R. China. ^bSchool of Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300354, P.R. China.

Abstract. This paper characterizes the boundedness and compactness of the differences of weighted differentiation composition operators acting from the α -Bloch space \mathscr{B}^{α} to the space H^{∞} of bounded holomorphic functions on the unit disk \mathbb{D} .

1. Introduction

Let $H(\mathbb{D})$ denote the space of all holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D} and $S(\mathbb{D})$ the class of all holomorphic functions from \mathbb{D} in itself, where \mathbb{D} is the open unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} . Denote by $H^{\infty} = H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ the space of all bounded holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D} with the supremum norm $||f||_{\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f(z)|$.

For $0 < \alpha < \infty$, a holomorphic function f is said to be in the Bloch-type space \mathscr{B}^{α} or α -Bloch space, if

$$||f||_{\alpha} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha} |f'(z)| < \infty.$$

The little Bloch-type space \mathscr{B}_0^{α} , consists of all $f \in \mathscr{B}^{\alpha}$, such that

$$\lim_{|z|\to 1} (1-|z|^2)^{\alpha} |f'(z)| = 0.$$

As we all know, both \mathscr{B}^{α} and \mathscr{B}^{α}_{0} are Banach spaces under the norm

$$||f||_{\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}} = |f(0)| + ||f||_{\alpha}.$$

Moreover, the \mathscr{B}_0^{α} is the closure of polynomials in \mathscr{B}^{α} . When $0 < \alpha < 1$, \mathscr{B}^{α} is the analytic Lipschitz space $Lip_{1-\alpha}$, which consists of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ satisfying

$$|f(z) - f(w)| \le C|z - w|^{1-\alpha},$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47B38; Secondary 30H30, 30H05

Keywords. weighted differentiation composition operators, α -Bloch space, H^{∞} space

Received: 09 March 2015; Accepted: 30 April 2019

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11771323; 11371276), Foundation of Henan Educational Committee(18A110023), and the Scientific Research Foundation for Ph.D. of Henan Normal University (No ad16151)

Corresponding author: Ze-Hua Zhou

Email addresses: cuiwang2016@126.com (Cui Wang), zehuazhoumath@aliyun.com; zhzhou@tju.edu.cn (Ze-Hua Zhou)

for some constant C>0 and all $z,w\in\mathbb{D}$. When $\alpha=1$, \mathscr{B}^{α} becomes the classical Bloch space \mathscr{B} . When $\alpha>1$, \mathscr{B}^{α} is equivalent to the weighted Banach space $H^{\infty}_{\alpha-1}$, where H^{∞}_{α} is the weighted Banach space consisting of all analytic functions f on \mathbb{D} satisfying $\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha}|f(z)|<\infty$. We refer the readers to the excellent monograph [1], and the article [21] about the Bloch-type spaces.

Let $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the weighted differentiation composition operator $D^n_{\varphi,u}$, $D^n_{\varphi,u}f = u(z)f^{(n)}(\varphi(z))$, which is the product of three operators, the composition operator C_{φ} , the order n derivative operator D^n , and the multiplication by u operator M_u . If n=0 and u=1, $D^n_{\varphi,u}$ becomes the composition operator C_{φ} on $H(\mathbb{D})$. If n=0, we get the weighted composition operator $u \in U^n$ defined as $u \in U^n$ and $u \in U^n$ if $u \in U^n$ and $u \in U^n$ reduces to the differentiation operator $u \in U^n$. The boundedness and compactness of differentiation composition operator between spaces of holomorphic functions have been studied extensively. For example, Hibschweiler and Portnoy [4] studied $u \in U^n$ between Bergman and Hardy spaces. Wu and Wulan [19] gave a new compactness criterion for $u \in U^n$ on the Bloch space. Recently, the weighted differentiation composition operator between different holomorphic function spaces has also been investigated by several researchers [10, 13, 20].

Motivated by the research in the topological structure of the set $C(H^2)$ of composition operators on H^2 with the operator norm topology, the difference of two composition operators, i.e. an operator of the form $C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi}$, where φ , ψ are analytic self-maps of \mathbb{D} , was first investigated in the case of H^2 in [15]. Shortly after, the differences of (weighted) composition operators were characterized by many researchers. MacCluer, Ohno and Zhao [11] showed that the compactness of $C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi} : \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ is equivalent to the compactness of $C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$. Also C_{φ} and C_{ψ} are in the same path component of the space of composition operators on \mathcal{H}^{∞} if and only if $C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ is bounded. Hosokawa and Ohno [7] not only provided new results about the boundedness and compactness of the differences of two weighted composition operators from \mathcal{B} to \mathcal{H}^{∞} on \mathbb{D} , but also estimated the essential norms of the differences of two (weighted) composition operators from \mathcal{B} to \mathcal{H}^{∞} . Soon after Song and Zhou [16] improved such characterizations for the high dimensional cases. For further references and details about the difference of two (weighted) composition operators, see [2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 14, 17, 18].

In this paper, our goal is to investigate the boundedness and compactness of the differences of weighted differentiation composition operators from \mathscr{B}^{α} to H^{∞} on \mathbb{D} , i.e. $D^{n}_{\varphi,u} - D^{n}_{\psi,v} : \mathscr{B}^{\alpha} \to H^{\infty}$, where $u, v \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi, \psi \in S(\mathbb{D}), n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Throughout the remainder of this paper, C will denote a positive constant, the exact value of which varies from one appearance to the next. $A \times B$, $A \leq B$ mean that there exist different positive constants C such that $B/C \leq A \leq CB$, $A \leq CB$, $CB \leq A$.

2. Notations and Lemmas

In order to handle the differences of weighted differentiation composition operators we need the pseudo-hyperbolic metric. Recall that, for any a, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, $\sigma_a(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\overline{a}z}$ is the Möbius transformation of \mathbb{D} which interchanges the origin and a. The pseudo-hyperbolic metric is given by $\rho(z,a) = |\sigma_a(z)|$. Moreover, we have that $\sigma_a'(z) = \frac{|a|^2-1}{(1-\overline{a}z)^2}$.

Our main results are based on the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. ([21]) The following asymptotic relationship holds

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha}|f'(z)|\asymp \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}|f^{(j)}(0)|+\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha+n-1}|f^{(n)}(z)|.$$

Lemma 2.2. ([12]) For $f \in H_{\alpha}^{\infty}$ and $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$, $|(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha} f(z) - (1-|w|^2)^{\alpha} f(w)| \leq ||f||_{H_{\alpha}^{\infty}} \rho(z, w)$.

Remark 2.3. For more general weights, the result can be found in [9].

Lemma 2.4. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$, there exists a constant C > 0 such that for all $f \in \mathscr{B}^{\alpha}$,

$$|(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha+n-1}f^{(n)}(z)-(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha+n-1}f^{(n)}(w)| \le C\rho(z,w).$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we get this inequality obviously. □

The following criterion for the compactness is a useful tool and it follows from standard arguments, see Proposition 3.11 of [1].

Lemma 2.5. Let $u, v \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi, \psi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $D^n_{\varphi,u} - D^n_{\psi,v} : \mathscr{B}^\alpha \to H^\infty$ is compact if and only if $D^n_{\varphi,u} - D^n_{\psi,v} : \mathscr{B}^\alpha \to H^\infty$ is bounded and for any bounded sequence $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathscr{B}^α which converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} , $\|(D^n_{\varphi,u} - D^n_{\psi,v})f_k\|_{\infty} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$.

Lemma 2.6. (i) For $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $a \in \mathbb{D}$ with $a \neq 0$, let

$$f_a(z) = \frac{1 - |a|^2}{(\bar{a})^n \alpha \cdots (\alpha + n - 1)(1 - \bar{a}z)^{\alpha}}.$$

Then $f_a(z) \in \mathscr{B}^{\alpha}$ and

$$f_a^{(n)}(z) = \frac{1 - |a|^2}{(1 - \bar{a}z)^{\alpha + n}}.$$

(ii) For $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $a \in \mathbb{D}$ with $a \neq 0$, let

$$g_a(z) = \sigma_a(z) f_a(z) + \frac{(1-|a|^2) f_a(z)}{\bar{a}(1-\bar{a}z)} - \frac{(1-|a|^2)^2}{(\bar{a})^{n+1}(\alpha+1)\cdots(\alpha+n)(1-\bar{a}z)^{\alpha+1}}.$$

Then $g_a(z) \in \mathscr{B}^{\alpha}$ and

$$q_a^{(n)}(z) = \sigma_a(z) f_a^{(n)}(z).$$

Proof. (i) Differentiate the function, we get

$$f_a'(z) = \frac{1 - |a|^2}{(\bar{a})^{n-1}(\alpha+1)\cdots(\alpha+n-1)(1-\bar{a}z)^{\alpha+1}}$$

and

$$f_a^{(n)}(z) = \frac{1 - |a|^2}{(1 - \bar{a}z)^{\alpha + n}}.$$

Using $|1 - \bar{a}z| \ge 1 - |a|$, $|1 - \bar{a}z| \ge 1 - |z|$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|f_{a}\|_{\alpha} &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^{2})^{\alpha} |f'_{a}(z)| \\ &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |a|^{2})(1 - |z|^{2})^{\alpha}}{|a|^{n-1}(\alpha + 1) \cdots (\alpha + n - 1)|1 - \bar{a}z|^{\alpha + 1}} \\ &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|a|^{n-1}(\alpha + 1) \cdots (\alpha + n - 1)} \cdot \frac{1 - |a|^{2}}{|1 - \bar{a}z|} \cdot \frac{(1 - |z|^{2})^{\alpha}}{|1 - \bar{a}z|^{\alpha}} \\ &\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|a|^{n-1}(\alpha + 1) \cdots (\alpha + n - 1)} \cdot \frac{1 - |a|^{2}}{1 - |a|} \cdot \frac{(1 - |z|^{2})^{\alpha}}{(1 - |z|)^{\alpha}} \\ &< \frac{2^{\alpha + 1}}{|a|^{n-1}(\alpha + 1) \cdots (\alpha + n - 1)} \\ &< \infty. \end{split}$$

Suppose z = 0, we have

$$f_a(0) = \frac{1 - |a|^2}{(\overline{a})^n \alpha \cdots (\alpha + n - 1)}.$$

Thus

$$||f_a||_{\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}} = |f_a(0)| + ||f_a||_{\alpha} < \infty.$$

So $f_a(z) \in \mathcal{B}^{\alpha}$, it follows that there exists a constant C > 0 such that $||f_a||_{\mathcal{B}^{\alpha}} \leq C$.

(ii) By Leibniz formula, we obtain

$$\begin{split} g_a^{(n)}(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^n C_n^k \sigma_a^{(n-k)}(z) f_a^{(k)}(z) + \frac{(1-|a|^2)}{\bar{a}} \sum_{k=0}^n C_n^k \frac{(n-k)!(\bar{a})^{n-k}}{(1-\bar{a}z)^{n-k+1}} f_a^{(k)}(z) - \frac{(1-|a|^2)^2}{\bar{a}(1-\bar{a}z)^{\alpha+n+1}} \\ &= \sigma_a(z) f_a^{(n)}(z) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_n^k \sigma_a^{(n-k)}(z) f_a^{(k)}(z) + \frac{(1-|a|^2)}{\bar{a}} \sum_{k=0}^n C_n^k \frac{(n-k)!(\bar{a})^{n-k}}{(1-\bar{a}z)^{n-k+1}} f_a^{(k)}(z) - \frac{(1-|a|^2)^2}{\bar{a}(1-\bar{a}z)^{\alpha+n+1}} \\ &= \sigma_a(z) f_a^{(n)}(z) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_n^k \frac{(n-k)!(|a|^2-1)(\bar{a})^{n-k-1}}{(1-\bar{a}z)^{n-k+1}} \cdot f_a^{(k)}(z) \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^n C_n^k \frac{(n-k)!(\bar{a})^{n-k-1}(1-|a|^2)}{(1-\bar{a}z)^{n-k+1}} \cdot f_a^{(k)}(z) - \frac{(1-|a|^2)^2}{\bar{a}(1-\bar{a}z)^{\alpha+n+1}} \\ &= \sigma_a(z) f_a^{(n)}(z) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_n^k \frac{(n-k)!(1-|a|^2)(\bar{a})^{n-k-1}}{(1-\bar{a}z)^{n-k+1}} \cdot f_a^{(k)}(z) \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^n C_n^k \frac{(n-k)!(\bar{a})^{n-k-1}(1-|a|^2)}{(1-\bar{a}z)^{n-k+1}} \cdot f_a^{(k)}(z) - \frac{(1-|a|^2)^2}{\bar{a}(1-\bar{a}z)^{\alpha+n+1}} \\ &= \sigma_a(z) f_a^{(n)}(z) + \frac{(1-|a|^2)f_a^{(n)}(z)}{\bar{a}(1-\bar{a}z)^{\alpha+n+1}} - \frac{(1-|a|^2)^2}{\bar{a}(1-\bar{a}z)^{\alpha+n+1}} \\ &= \sigma_a(z) f_a^{(n)}(z) + \frac{(1-|a|^2)^2}{\bar{a}(1-\bar{a}z)^{\alpha+n+1}} - \frac{(1-|a|^2)^2}{\bar{a}(1-\bar{a}z)^{\alpha+n+1}} \\ &= \sigma_a(z) f_a^{(n)}(z), \end{split}$$

where $C_n^k = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$.

Using the facts that $|\sigma_a(z)| \le 1$, $|1 - \bar{a}z| \ge 1 - |a|$ and $|1 - \bar{a}z| \ge 1 - |z|$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|g_{a}\|_{\alpha} &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^{2})^{\alpha} |g'_{a}(z)| \\ &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^{2})^{\alpha} |\sigma_{a}(z) f'_{a}(z)| \\ &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^{2})^{\alpha} |\frac{\sigma_{a}(z) (1 - |a|^{2})}{(\overline{a})^{n-1} (\alpha + 1) \cdots (\alpha + n - 1) (1 - \overline{a}z)^{\alpha + 1}}| \\ &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{|\sigma_{a}(z)|}{|a|^{n-1} (\alpha + 1) \cdots (\alpha + n - 1)} \cdot \frac{(1 - |a|^{2})}{|1 - \overline{a}z|} \cdot \frac{(1 - |z|^{2})^{\alpha}}{|1 - \overline{a}z|^{\alpha}} \\ &< \frac{2^{\alpha + 1}}{|a|^{n-1} (\alpha + 1) \cdots (\alpha + n - 1)} \\ &< \infty. \end{split}$$

Taking z = 0, we have

$$g_a(0) = af_a(0) - \frac{(1-|a|^2)}{\bar{a}}f_a(0) - \frac{(1-|a|^2)^2}{(\bar{a})^{n+1}(\alpha+1)\cdots(\alpha+n)}.$$

Thus

$$||q_a||_{\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}} = |q_a(0)| + ||q_a||_{\alpha} < \infty.$$

So $g_a(z) \in \mathcal{B}^{\alpha}$, in other words there exists a constant C > 0 such that $||g_a||_{\alpha} \leq C$. \square

In order to state our main results conveniently, we define some sets as follows.

$$I_{1}(z) = \frac{u(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^{2})^{\alpha + n - 1}}, \ I_{2}(z) = \frac{v(z)}{(1 - |\psi(z)|^{2})^{\alpha + n - 1}},$$

$$\Gamma_{\varphi} = \{\{z_{j}\} \subset \mathbb{D} : |\varphi(z_{j})| \to 1\}, \ \Gamma_{\psi} = \{\{z_{j}\} \subset \mathbb{D} : |\psi(z_{j})| \to 1\},$$

$$G_{u,\varphi} = \{\{z_{j}\} \in \Gamma_{\varphi} : I_{1}(z_{j}) \to 0\}, \ G_{v,\psi} = \{\{z_{j}\} \in \Gamma_{\psi} : I_{2}(z_{j}) \to 0\}.$$

3. The boundedness of $D^n_{\varphi,u}-D^n_{\psi,v}:\mathscr{B}^\alpha\to H^\infty$

Theorem 3.1. Let $u, v \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi, \psi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i)
$$D^n_{\varphi,u} - D^n_{\psi,v} : \mathscr{B}^\alpha \to H^\infty$$
 is bounded.

(ii)

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |I_1(z)| \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)) < \infty, \tag{1}$$

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}|I_1(z)-I_2(z)|<\infty. \tag{2}$$

(iii) Condition (2) and

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |I_2(z)| \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)) < \infty. \tag{3}$$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose that $D_{\varphi,u}^n - D_{\psi,v}^n : \mathscr{B}^\alpha \to H^\infty$ is bounded. We choose the test function $k_\omega(z) = (z - \psi(\omega))^{n+1}/(n+1)!$. Since

$$||k_{\omega}||_{\alpha} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha} |k_{\omega}'(z)| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha} |\frac{(z - \psi(\omega))^n}{n!}| \le \frac{2^n}{n!} < \infty$$

yields $k_{\omega}(z) \in \mathscr{B}^{\alpha}$, meanwhile, $D_{\varphi,u}^{n} - D_{\psi,v}^{n} : \mathscr{B}^{\alpha} \to H^{\infty}$ is bounded, it follows that

$$> ||(D_{\varphi,u}^n - D_{\psi,v}^n)k_{\omega}||_{\infty} \ge |u(\omega)(\varphi(\omega) - \psi(\omega))|.$$
 (4)

If $\varphi(\omega) = 0$, (4) shows

$$> |\mu(\omega)\psi(\omega)| = |I_1(\omega)|\rho(\varphi(\omega), \psi(\omega)).$$
 (5)

Next, we consider another case $\varphi(\omega) \neq 0$. For $a \in \mathbb{D}$ with $a \neq 0$, set

$$f_a(z) = \frac{1 - |a|^2}{(\bar{a})^n \alpha \cdots (\alpha + n - 1)(1 - \bar{a}z)^{\alpha}}$$

and

$$g_a(z) = \sigma_a(z) f_a(z) + \frac{(1-|a|^2) f_a(z)}{\bar{a}(1-\bar{a}z)} - \frac{(1-|a|^2)^2}{(\bar{a})^{n+1}(\alpha+1)\cdots(\alpha+n)(1-\bar{a}z)^{\alpha+1}}.$$

By Lemma 2.6, $f_a(z)$, $g_a(z) \in \mathcal{B}^{\alpha}$. Fix $\omega \in \mathbb{D}$ with $\varphi(\omega) \neq 0$, we get

$$\infty > \|(D_{\varphi,u}^{n} - D_{\psi,v}^{n})f_{\varphi(\omega)}\|_{\infty}
\geq |u(\omega)f_{\varphi(\omega)}^{(n)}(\varphi(\omega)) - v(\omega)f_{\varphi(\omega)}^{(n)}(\psi(\omega))|
= |I_{1}(\omega) - \frac{v(\omega)(1 - |\varphi(\omega)|^{2})}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(\omega)}\psi(\omega))^{n+\alpha}}|
\geq |I_{1}(\omega)| - |I_{2}(\omega)| \frac{(1 - |\psi(\omega)|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}(1 - |\varphi(\omega)|^{2})}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(\omega)}\psi(\omega))^{n+\alpha}}$$
(6)

and

$$\infty > ||(D_{\varphi,u}^{n} - D_{\psi,v}^{n})g_{\varphi(\omega)}||_{\infty}$$

$$\geq |u(\omega)g_{\varphi(\omega)}^{(n)}(\varphi(\omega)) - v(\omega)g_{\varphi(\omega)}^{(n)}(\psi(\omega))|$$

$$= |v(\omega)\sigma_{\varphi(\omega)}(\psi(\omega))f_{\varphi(\omega)}^{(n)}(\psi(\omega))|$$

$$= |\frac{v(\omega)(1 - |\varphi(\omega)|^{2})}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(\omega)}\psi(\omega))^{n+\alpha}}|\rho(\varphi(\omega), \psi(\omega))$$

$$= |I_{2}(\omega)| \frac{(1 - |\psi(\omega)|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}(1 - |\varphi(\omega)|^{2})}{(1 - \overline{\varphi(\omega)}\psi(\omega))^{n+\alpha}}\rho(\varphi(\omega), \psi(\omega)).$$
(7)

Multiplying (6) by $\rho(\varphi(\omega), \psi(\omega))$, then adding (7) gives for all $\omega \in \mathbb{D}$ with $\varphi(\omega) \neq 0$

$$|I_1(\omega)|\rho(\varphi(\omega),\psi(\omega))| < \infty.$$
 (8)

Therefore, by (5) and (8), condition (1) holds. If we change $\psi(\omega)$ into $\varphi(\omega)$ for the function $k_{\omega}(z)$, $\varphi(\omega)$ into $\psi(\omega)$ for the functions $f_{\varphi(\omega)}(z)$, $g_{\varphi(\omega)}(z)$, we can show that (3) holds.

To prove (2), using function $f_a(z)$, by Lemma 2.6, we have

$$> ||(D_{\varphi,\mu}^{n} - D_{\psi,\nu}^{n})f_{\varphi(\omega)}||_{\infty}$$

$$\ge |u(\omega)f_{\varphi(\omega)}^{(n)}(\varphi(\omega)) - v(\omega)f_{\varphi(\omega)}^{(n)}(\psi(\omega))|$$

$$= |I_{1}(\omega) - \frac{v(\omega)}{(1 - |\psi(\omega)|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}}(1 - |\psi(\omega)|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{\varphi(\omega)}^{(n)}(\psi(\omega))|$$

$$= |I_{1}(\omega) - I_{2}(\omega)(1 - |\psi(\omega)|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{\varphi(\omega)}^{(n)}(\psi(\omega))|$$

$$= |I_{1}(\omega) - I_{2}(\omega) + I_{2}(\omega) - I_{2}(\omega)(1 - |\psi(\omega)|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{\varphi(\omega)}^{(n)}(\psi(\omega))|$$

$$= |I_{1}(\omega) - I_{2}(\omega) + I_{2}(\omega)\frac{(1 - |\varphi(\omega)|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}}{(1 - |\varphi(\omega)|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}} - I_{2}(\omega)(1 - |\psi(\omega)|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{\varphi(\omega)}^{(n)}(\psi(\omega))|$$

$$= |I_{1}(\omega) - I_{2}(\omega) + I_{2}(\omega)(1 - |\varphi(\omega)|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{\varphi(\omega)}^{(n)}(\varphi(\omega)) - I_{2}(\omega)(1 - |\psi(\omega)|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{\varphi(\omega)}^{(n)}(\psi(\omega))|$$

$$\ge |I_{1}(\omega) - I_{2}(\omega)| - |I_{2}(\omega)| \cdot |(1 - |\varphi(\omega)|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{\varphi(\omega)}^{(n)}(\varphi(\omega)) - (1 - |\psi(\omega)|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{\varphi(\omega)}^{(n)}(\psi(\omega))|$$

$$\ge |I_{1}(\omega) - I_{2}(\omega)| - C|I_{2}(\omega)|\rho(\varphi(\omega), \psi(\omega)).$$

$$(9)$$

(9) and (3) guarantee

$$|I_1(\omega) - I_2(\omega)| < \infty$$
, for all $\omega \in \mathbb{D}$ with $\varphi(\omega) \neq 0$. (10)

If $\varphi(\omega) = 0$ and $1 > |\psi(\omega)| \ge \frac{1}{2}$, then $\rho(\varphi(\omega), \psi(\omega)) = |\psi(\omega)| \ge \frac{1}{2}$. By conditions (1) and (3), we can deduce directly

$$\frac{|I_{1}(\omega) - I_{2}(\omega)|}{2} \leq |I_{1}(\omega) - I_{2}(\omega)|\rho(\varphi(\omega), \psi(\omega))$$

$$\leq |I_{1}(\omega)|\rho(\varphi(\omega), \psi(\omega)) + |I_{2}(\omega)|\rho(\varphi(\omega), \psi(\omega))$$

$$< \infty. \tag{11}$$

Let $f(z) = \frac{z^n}{n!}$. Since Taylor expansion, $1 - (1 - |\psi(\omega)|^2)^{n+\alpha-1} \le C|\psi(\omega)|$. If $\varphi(\omega) = 0$ and $|\psi(\omega)| < \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$> ||(D_{\varphi,u}^{n} - D_{\psi,v}^{n})f||_{\infty}$$

$$\geq |u(\omega)f^{(n)}(\varphi(\omega)) - v(\omega)f^{(n)}(\psi(\omega))|$$

$$= |u(\omega) - v(\omega)|$$

$$\geq |I_{1}(\omega) - I_{2}(\omega)| - |I_{2}(\omega)|(1 - (1 - |\psi(\omega)|^{2})^{n+\alpha-1})$$

$$\geq |I_{1}(\omega) - I_{2}(\omega)| - C|I_{2}(\omega)\psi(\omega)|$$

$$= |I_{1}(\omega) - I_{2}(\omega)| - C|I_{2}(\omega)|\rho(\varphi(\omega), \psi(\omega)).$$

Applying the above inequality with (3), we obtain

$$|I_1(\omega) - I_2(\omega)| < \infty. \tag{12}$$

Thus by (10), (11) and (12), we conclude that (2) holds for all $\omega \in \mathbb{D}$.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$. Suppose that the conditions (1) and (2) hold. Then

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |I_2(z)| \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z))$$

$$\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |I_1(z) \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)) - I_1(z) \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)) + I_2(z) \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z))|$$

$$\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |I_1(z) - I_2(z)| \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z)) + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |I_1(z)| \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z))$$

$$\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |I_1(z) - I_2(z)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |I_1(z)| \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z))$$

$$\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |I_1(z) - I_2(z)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |I_1(z)| \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z))$$

Thus (3) follows.

(iii)
$$\Rightarrow$$
 (i). For $\forall f \in \mathscr{B}^{\alpha}$ with $||f||_{\alpha} \leq 1$, by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4, we have
$$||(D^n_{\varphi,\mu} - D^n_{\psi,\nu})f||_{\infty}$$

$$\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |u(z)f^{(n)}(\varphi(z)) - v(z)f^{(n)}(\psi(z))|$$

$$= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{u(z)(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\alpha + n - 1}}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\alpha + n - 1}} f^{(n)}(\varphi(z)) - \frac{v(z)(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)^{\alpha + n - 1}}{(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)^{\alpha + n - 1}} f^{(n)}(\psi(z)) \right|$$

$$= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |I_1(z)(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\alpha + n - 1} f^{(n)}(\varphi(z)) - I_2(z)(1 - |\psi(z)|^2)^{\alpha + n - 1} f^{(n)}(\psi(z))|$$

$$= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |I_1(z)(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\alpha + n - 1} f^{(n)}(\varphi(z)) - I_2(z)(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\alpha + n - 1} f^{(n)}(\varphi(z))$$

$$+I_2(z)(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{\alpha+n-1}f^{(n)}(\varphi(z))-I_2(z)(1-|\psi(z)|^2)^{\alpha+n-1}f^{(n)}(\psi(z))|$$

$$\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |I_1(z) - I_2(z)| (1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\alpha + n - 1} |f^{(n)}(\varphi(z))|$$

$$+ \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |I_2(z)| |(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\alpha + n - 1} f^{(n)}(\varphi(z)) - (1 - |\psi(z)|^2)^{\alpha + n - 1} f^{(n)}(\psi(z))|$$

$$\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |I_1(z) - I_2(z)|||f||_{\alpha} + C \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |I_2(z)|\rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z))$$

$$\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |I_1(z) - I_2(z)| + C \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |I_2(z)| \rho(\varphi(z), \psi(z))$$

< ∞.

Therefore, $D^n_{\varphi,u} - D^n_{\psi,v} : \mathscr{B}^\alpha \to H^\infty$ is bounded. \square

Corollary 3.2. Let $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $D_{\varphi,u}^n : \mathscr{B}^{\alpha} \to H^{\infty}$ is bounded if and only if

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}|I_1(z)|<\infty.$$

Remark 3.3. In fact, if $D_{\varphi,u}^n: \mathscr{B}^{\alpha} \to H^{\infty}$ is bounded, choosing the test function $f(z) = \frac{z^n}{n!}$, then there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}|u(z)|< C.$$

Remark 3.4. While preparing the revisions, we found Theorem 3.1 has been obtained by Liang in [8]. Here we only use different methods.

4. The compactness of $D^n_{\varphi,u} - D^n_{\psi,v} : \mathscr{B}^\alpha \to H^\infty$

Theorem 4.1. Let $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $D^n_{\varphi,u} : \mathscr{B}^\alpha \to H^\infty$ is compact if and only if $D^n_{\varphi,u}: \mathscr{B}^\alpha \to H^\infty$ is bounded and

$$\lim_{|\varphi(z)| \to 1} |I_1(z)| = 0. \tag{13}$$

Proof. Suppose that $D^n_{\varphi,\mu}: \mathscr{B}^\alpha \to H^\infty$ is bounded and (13) holds. To establish the assertion, it suffices, in view of Lemma 2.5, to show that for any bounded sequence $\{f_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in \mathscr{B}^{α} which converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} , $||D_{\varphi,u}^n f_k||_{\infty} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $||f_k||_{\alpha} \le 1$. (13) implies that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $r \in (0,1)$, such that when $r < |\varphi(z)| < 1$, we have

$$|I_1(z)| = \frac{|u(z)|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{\alpha+n-1}} < \varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, Lemma 2.1 gives

$$\sup_{r < |\varphi(z)| < 1} u(z) |f_k^{(n)}(\varphi(z))| \le \sup_{r < |\varphi(z)| < 1} \frac{u(z)}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\alpha + n - 1}} ||f_k||_{\alpha} < \varepsilon. \tag{14}$$

Since $D_{\varphi,u}^n: \mathscr{B}^\alpha \to H^\infty$ is bounded, Corollary 3.2 states that

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}|I_1(z)|<\infty.$$

Also, since f_k converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} , Cauchy's estimate gives that $f_k^{(n)}$ converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} . Therefore, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that k > N implies that

$$\sup_{|\varphi(z)| \le r} u(z) |f_k^{(n)}(\varphi(z))| < \varepsilon \sup_{|\varphi(z)| \le r} u(z) < C\varepsilon. \tag{15}$$

By (14) and (15),

$$\begin{split} \|D^n_{\varphi,u}f_k\|_{\infty} &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} u(z)|f_k^{(n)}(\varphi(z))| \\ &= \sup_{|\varphi(z)| \le r} u(z)|f_k^{(n)}(\varphi(z))| + \sup_{r < |\varphi(z)| < 1} u(z)|f_k^{(n)}(\varphi(z))| \\ &\leq (C+1)\varepsilon. \end{split}$$

It follows that the operator $D^n_{\varphi,\mu}: \mathscr{B}^\alpha \to H^\infty$ is compact.

To prove the converse, assume that $D^n_{\varphi,\mu}: \mathscr{B}^\alpha \to H^\infty$ is compact. Then it is obvious that $D^n_{\varphi,\mu}: \mathscr{B}^\alpha \to H^\infty$ is bounded. Let z_k be a sequence in $\mathbb D$ such that $\varphi(z_k) \to 1$ as $k \to \infty$. If we choose test function

$$f_k(z) = \frac{1 - |\varphi(z_k)|^2}{(\overline{\varphi(z_k)})^n \alpha \cdots (\alpha + n - 1)(1 - z\overline{\varphi(z_k)})^{\alpha}},$$

since $|1 - z\varphi(z_k)| \ge 1 - |z|$, clearly f_k converges to 0 uniformly on $\mathbb D$ as $k \to \infty$. Hence f_k converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb D$. Lemma 2.5 implies

$$0 \leftarrow \|D_{\varphi,u}^n f_k\|_{\infty} \ge |u(z_k)||f_k^{(n)}(\varphi(z_k))| = \frac{|u(z_k)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z_k)|^2)^{\alpha + n - 1}}, \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

Since $z_k \in \mathbb{D}$ is arbitrary, (13) follows. \square

Theorem 4.2. Let $u, v \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi, \psi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $D^n_{\varphi,u} - D^n_{\psi,v} : \mathscr{B}^\alpha \to H^\infty$ is bounded and that neither of $D^n_{\varphi,u}, D^n_{\psi,v} : \mathscr{B}^\alpha \to H^\infty$ is compact. Then $D^n_{\varphi,u} - D^n_{\psi,v} : \mathscr{B}^\alpha \to H^\infty$ is compact if and only if (a), (b), (c) and (d) hold:

- (a) $G_{u,\varphi} = G_{v,\psi}$,
- (b) $\lim_{i\to\infty} |I_1(z_i)| \rho(\varphi(z_i), \psi(z_i)) = 0, \forall z_i \in \Gamma_{\varphi} \cap \Gamma_{\psi},$
- (c) $\lim_{i \to \infty} |I_2(z_j)| \rho(\varphi(z_j), \psi(z_j)) = 0, \forall z_j \in \Gamma_{\varphi} \cap \Gamma_{\psi}$,
- (d) $\lim_{j\to\infty} |I_1(z_j) I_2(z_j)| = 0, \forall z_j \in \Gamma_{\varphi} \cap \Gamma_{\psi}$.

Proof. Sufficiency. Suppose that the four conditions hold. If $D^n_{\varphi,u} - D^n_{\psi,v}: \mathscr{B}^\alpha \to H^\infty$ is not compact, via Theorem 4.1, there exists a bounded sequence $\{f_j\} \in \mathscr{B}^\alpha$ such that $\|f_j\|_{\mathscr{B}^\alpha} \le 1$ and converges to 0 uniformly on every compact subset of \mathbb{D} . However $\|(D^n_{\varphi,u} - D^n_{\psi,v})f_j\|_{\infty} \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$. Then for $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exists K > 0, such that when j > K, $\|(D^n_{\varphi,u} - D^n_{\psi,v})f_j\|_{\infty} > \varepsilon$. Obviously there exists $\{z_j\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$||(D_{\varphi,u}^{n} - D_{\psi,v}^{n})f_{j}||_{\infty}$$

$$= |\frac{u(z_{j})(1 - |\varphi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}}{(1 - |\varphi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}}f_{j}^{(n)}(\varphi(z_{j})) - \frac{v(z_{j})(1 - |\psi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}}{(1 - |\psi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}}f_{j}^{(n)}(\psi(z_{j}))|$$

$$= |I_{1}(z_{j})(1 - |\varphi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{j}^{(n)}(\varphi(z_{j})) - I_{2}(z_{j})(1 - |\psi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{j}^{(n)}(\psi(z_{j}))|$$

$$> \varepsilon.$$
(16)

This implies that either $|\varphi(z_j)|$ or $|\psi(z_j)|$ tends to 1. In order to prove this, assume that $|\varphi(z_j)| \to 1$. Let $\omega \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ be a limit point of $|\psi(z_j)|$. Passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that $|\psi(z_j)| \to \omega$. If $|\omega| < 1$, then $\{z_j\} \nsubseteq \Gamma_{\varphi} \cap \Gamma_{\psi}$. Since $G_{u,\varphi} \subset \Gamma_{\varphi} \cap \Gamma_{\psi}$, $\{z_j\} \nsubseteq G_{u,\varphi}$. By the definition of $G_{u,\varphi}$, clearly, $|I_1(z_j)| \to 0$. Moreover, by Cauchy's estimate, $|\omega| < 1$ yields $|f_j^{(n)}(\varphi(z_j))| \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$. Therefore

$$|I_1(z_j)(1 - |\varphi(z_j)|^2)^{\alpha + n - 1} f_j^{(n)}(\varphi(z_j))| \to 0, \text{ as } j \to \infty.$$
 (17)

By (a), we have $\{z_i\} \nsubseteq G_{v,\psi}$. Using Cauchy's estimate again, it follows that

$$|I_2(z_j)(1-|\psi(z_j)|^2)^{\alpha+n-1}f_j^{(n)}(\psi(z_j))| \to 0, \text{ as } j\to\infty.$$
 (18)

Combining (17) and (18), we get a contradiction to (16). Thus $|\omega|$ can only be 1. Hence $|\varphi(z_j)|$, $|\psi(z_j)|$ tend to 1, and so $\{z_j\} \subset \Gamma_{\varphi} \cap \Gamma_{\psi}$. The assumptions (*b*) and (*d*) imply that

$$\begin{split} &|I_{1}(z_{j})(1-|\varphi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{j}^{(n)}(\varphi(z_{j}))-I_{2}(z_{j})(1-|\psi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{j}^{(n)}(\psi(z_{j}))|\\ &=&|I_{1}(z_{j})(1-|\varphi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{j}^{(n)}(\varphi(z_{j}))-I_{2}(z_{j})(1-|\varphi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{j}^{(n)}(\varphi(z_{j}))\\ &+I_{2}(z_{j})(1-|\varphi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{j}^{(n)}(\varphi(z_{j}))-I_{2}(z_{j})(1-|\psi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{j}^{(n)}(\psi(z_{j}))|\\ &\leq&|I_{1}(z_{j})-I_{2}(z_{j})|(1-|\varphi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}|f_{j}^{(n)}(\varphi(z_{j}))|\\ &+|I_{2}(z_{j})||(1-|\varphi(z)|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{j}^{(n)}(\varphi(z_{j}))-(1-|\psi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{j}^{(n)}(\psi(z_{j}))|\\ &\leq&|I_{1}(z)-I_{2}(z_{j})|||f_{j}||_{\alpha}+C|I_{2}(z_{j})|\rho(\varphi(z_{j}),\psi(z_{j}))\\ &\leq&|I_{1}(z_{j})-I_{2}(z_{j})|+C|I_{1}(z_{j})|\rho(\varphi(z_{j}),\psi(z_{j}))\rightarrow0, \text{ as } j\rightarrow\infty. \end{split}$$

We arrive at a contradiction to (16) again. So under the assumption and conditions (a) – (d), $D_{\varphi,u}^n - D_{\psi,v}^n : \mathscr{B}^\alpha \to H^\infty$ is compact.

Necessity. If $D^n_{\varphi,u}: \mathscr{B}^\alpha \to H^\infty$ is not compact, by Theorem 4.1, there exists a sequence $\{z_j\} \in G_{u,\varphi}$ with $|\varphi(z_j)| \to 1$ such that $|I_1(z_j)| \to 0$. For $\omega_j = \varphi(z_j)$, define f_{ω_j} , g_{ω_j} as in Lemma 2.6. For $|1 - z\overline{\omega_j}| \ge 1 - |z|$, it is easy to check that f_{ω_j} , g_{ω_j} converge to 0 uniformly on every compact subset of \mathbb{D} as $j \to \infty$. Since $D^n_{\varphi,u} - D^n_{\psi,v} : \mathscr{B}^\alpha \to H^\infty$ is compact, by Lemma 2.5, as $j \to \infty$, we obtain

$$0 \leftarrow \|(D_{\varphi,u}^{n} - D_{\psi,v}^{n})f_{\omega_{j}}\|_{\infty}$$

$$\geq |u(z_{j})f_{\omega_{j}}^{(n)}(\omega_{j}) - v(z_{j})f_{\omega_{j}}^{(n)}(\psi(z_{j}))|$$

$$= |\frac{u(z_{j})}{(1 - |\omega_{j}|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}} - \frac{v(z_{j})(1 - |\omega_{j}|^{2})}{(1 - \overline{\omega_{j}}\psi(z_{j}))^{n+\alpha}}|$$

$$= |I_{1}(z_{j}) - \frac{v(z_{j})(1 - |\omega_{j}|^{2})}{(1 - \overline{\omega_{j}}\psi(z_{j}))^{n+\alpha}}|$$

$$\geq |I_{1}(z_{j})| - |I_{2}(z_{j})| \frac{(1 - |\psi(z_{j})|)^{\alpha+n-1}(1 - |\omega_{j}|^{2})}{(1 - \overline{\omega_{j}}\psi(z_{j}))^{n+\alpha}}$$

$$(19)$$

and

$$0 \leftarrow \|(D_{\varphi,u}^{n} - D_{\psi,v}^{n})g_{\omega_{j}}\|_{\infty}$$

$$\geq |u(z_{j})g_{\omega_{j}}^{(n)}(\omega_{j}) - v(z_{j})g_{\omega_{j}}^{(n)}(\psi(z_{j}))|$$

$$= |v(z_{j})\sigma_{\omega_{j}}(\psi(z_{j}))f_{\omega_{j}}^{(n)}(\psi(z_{j}))|$$

$$= |I_{2}(z_{j})|\frac{(1 - |\psi(z_{j})|)^{\alpha+n-1}(1 - |\omega_{j}|^{2})}{(1 - \overline{\omega_{i}}\psi(z_{i}))^{n+\alpha}}\rho(\omega_{j},\psi(z_{j})).$$
(20)

Multiplying (19) by $\rho(\omega_i, \psi(z_i))$, and combining it with (20), we find

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} |I_1(z_j)| \rho(\omega_j, \psi(z_j)) = 0. \tag{21}$$

Since $|I_1(z_i)| \rightarrow 0$, we see that

$$\rho(\omega_i, \psi(z_i)) = 0 \tag{22}$$

as $j \to \infty$. Because $D_{\varphi,u}^n - D_{\psi,v}^n : \mathscr{B}^\alpha \to H^\infty$ is bounded, by (22) and (3), we have

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} |I_2(z_j)| \rho(\omega_j, \psi(z_j)) = 0. \tag{23}$$

In addition, we know

$$0 \leftarrow \|(D_{\varphi,u}^n - D_{\psi,v}^n)f_{\omega_i}\|_{\infty} \ge (|I_1(z_i) - I_2(z_i)| - |I_2(z_i)\rho(\omega_i, \psi(z_i))|)$$
(24)

as $j \to \infty$. Hence by (23) and (24), we get

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} |I_1(z_i) - I_2(z_i)| = 0. \tag{25}$$

Hence, from (22) and (25), we have $G_{u,\varphi} \subseteq G_{v,\psi}$. Similar to the above proof, we conclude that $G_{u,\varphi} \supseteq G_{v,\psi}$. Therefore $G_{u,\varphi} = G_{v,\psi}$. Meanwhile, (*b*), (*c*) and (*d*) can be got from (21), (23) and (25), respectively, where $\{z_i\} \subset \Gamma_{\varphi} \cap \Gamma_{\psi}$ with $|I_1(z_i)| \nrightarrow 0$.

Next, for $\forall \{z_j\} \subset \Gamma_{\varphi} \cap \Gamma_{\psi}$ with $|I_1(z_j)| \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$, we will prove (b), (c) and (d). First we can easily get

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} |I_1(z_j)| \rho(\varphi(z_j), \psi(z_j)) = 0. \tag{26}$$

On the other hand, using $f_{\psi(z_j)}$ which defined as Lemma 2.6, for $|1-z\overline{\psi(z_j)}| \ge 1-|z|$ and $\{z_j\} \subset \Gamma_{\varphi} \cap \Gamma_{\psi}$, it is easy to check that $f_{\psi(z_j)}$ converge to 0 uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb D$ as $j \to \infty$. Lemma 2.5 implies that

$$\begin{array}{lll} 0 & \longleftarrow & ||(D_{\varphi,u}^{n}-D_{\psi,v}^{n})f_{\psi(z_{j})}||_{\infty} \\ & \geq & |u(z_{j})f_{\psi(z_{j})}^{(n)}(\varphi(z_{j}))-v(z_{j})f_{\psi(z_{j})}^{(n)}(\psi(z_{j}))| \\ & = & |\frac{u(z_{j})}{(1-|\varphi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}}(1-|\varphi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{\psi(z_{j})}^{(n)}(\varphi(z_{j}))-I_{2}(z_{j})| \\ & = & |I_{1}(z_{j})(1-|\varphi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{\psi(z_{j})}^{(n)}(\varphi(z_{j}))-I_{2}(z_{j})| \\ & = & |I_{1}(z_{j})(1-|\varphi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{\psi(z_{j})}^{(n)}(\varphi(z_{j}))-I_{2}(z_{j})+I_{1}(z_{j})-I_{1}(z_{j})| \\ & = & |I_{1}(z_{j})(1-|\varphi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{\psi(z_{j})}^{(n)}(\varphi(z_{j}))-I_{2}(z_{j})+I_{1}(z_{j})-I_{1}(z_{j})\frac{(1-|\psi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}}{(1-|\psi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}}| \\ & = & |I_{1}(z_{j})(1-|\varphi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{\psi(z_{j})}^{(n)}(\varphi(z_{j}))-I_{2}(z_{j})+I_{1}(z_{j})-I_{1}(z_{j})(1-|\psi(z_{j})|^{2})^{\alpha+n-1}f_{\psi(z_{j})}^{(n)}(\psi(z_{j}))| \\ & \geq & |I_{1}(z_{j})-I_{2}(z_{j})|-|I_{1}(z_{j})|\rho(\varphi(z_{j}),\psi(z_{j})) \text{ as } j \to \infty. \end{array}$$

By (26), clearly we can obtain

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}|I_1(z_j)-I_2(z_j)|=0.$$

So, for $\forall \{z_j\} \subset \Gamma_{\varphi} \cap \Gamma_{\psi}$ with $|I_1(z_j)| \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$, $|I_2(z_j)|$ converges to 0 as $j \to \infty$, too. Therefore $\lim_{j \to \infty} |I_2(z_j)| \rho(\varphi(z_j), \psi(z_j)) = 0$.

The theorem is established. \Box

Acknowledgement. The authors are very grateful to the referee for helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] C. C. Cowen, B. D. MacCluer, Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions, CRC Press, Studies in Ad-vanced Mathematics, CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.
- [2] Z. S. Fang, Z. H. Zhou, Differences of composition operators on the space of bounded analytic functions in the Polydisc, Abstract and Applied Analysis 2008 (2008) 10p., Article ID 983132.
- [3] K. Heller, B. D. MacCluer, R. J. Weir, Compact differences of composition operators in several variables, Integral Equations and Operator Theory 69 (2011) 247–268.
- [4] R. A. Hibschweiler, N. Portnoy, Composition followed by differentiation between Bergman and Hardy spaces, The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics 35 (2009) 843–855.
- [5] T. Hosokawa, Differences of weighted composition operators on the Bloch spaces, Complex Analysis and Operator Theory 3 (2009) 847–866.
- [6] T. Hosokawa, K. Izuchi, Essential norms of differences of composition operators on H^{∞} , Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan 57 (2005) 669–690.
- [7] T. Hosokawa, S. Ohno, Differences of weighted composition operators acting from bloch space to H^{∞} , Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 363 (2011) 5321–5340.
- [8] Y. Liang, New characterizations for differences of weighted differentiation composition operators from a Bloch-type space to a weighted-type space. Periodica Mathematica Hungarica. Journal of the Jnos Bolyai Mathematical Society 77 (2018) 119–138.
- [9] M. Lindström, E. Wolf, Essential norm of the difference of weighted composition operators, Monatshefte für Mathematik 153 (2008) 133–143.
- [10] J. Liu, Z. Lou, Ajay K. Sharma, Weighted Differentiation Composition Operators to Bloch-Type Spaces, Abstract and Applied Analysis 2013 (2013) 9p, Article ID 151929.
- [11] B. D. MacCluer, S. Ohno, R. Zhao, Topological structure of the space of composition operators on H^{∞} , Integral Equations and Operator Theory 40 (2001) 481–494.
- [12] P. J. Nieminen, Compact differences of composition operators on Bloch and Lipschitz spaces, Computational Methods and Function Theory 7 (2007) 325–344.

- [13] S. Stević, Weighted differentiation composition operators from mixed-norm spaces to weighted-type spaces, Applied Mathematics and Computation 211 (2009) 3634–3641.
- [14] S. Stević, Essential norm of differences of weighted composition operators between weighted-type spaces on the unit ball, Applied Mathematics and Computation 217 (2010) 1811–1824.
- [15] J. H. Shapiro, C. Sundberg, Isolation amongst the composition operators, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 145 (1990) 117–152.
- [16] X. Song, Z. Zhou, Differences of weighted composition operators from Bloch space to H^{∞} on the unit ball, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 401 (2013) 447–457.
- [17] E. Wolf, Differences of composition operators between weighted Banach spaces of holomorphic functions in the unit polydisk, Results in Mathematics 51 (2008) 361–372.
- [18] E. Wolf, Differences of weighted composition operators between weighted Banach spaces of holomorphic functions and weighted Bloch type spaces, Cubo. A Mathematical Journal 12 (2010) 19–27.
- [19] Y. Wu, H. Wulan, Products of differentiation and composition operators on the Bloch space, Collectanea Mathematica 63 (2012) 93–107.
- [20] Y. Yu, Y. Liu, Weighted differentiation composition operators from H^{∞} to Zygmund spaces, Integral Transforms and Special Functions 22 (2011) 507–520.
- [21] K. H. Zhu, Bloch type spaces of analytic functions, The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics 23 (1993) 1143–1177.