



\mathbb{R} -Compact Uniform Spaces in the Category $ZUnif$

Asylbek A. Chekeev^{a,b}, Tumar J. Kasymova^a

^aMathematics and Informatics Faculty, Kyrgyz National University, 720033 Bishkek, Kyrgyz Republic

^bFaculty of Science, Kyrgyz-Turkish Manas University, 720038 Bishkek, Kyrgyz Republic

Abstract. A number of basic properties of \mathbb{R} -compact spaces in the category $Tych$ of Tychonoff spaces and their continuous mappings are extended to the category $ZUnif$ of uniform spaces with the special normal bases and their *coz*-mappings.

1. Introduction

E. Hewitt introduced the class of \mathbb{R} -compact (Tychonoff) spaces [19]. That class was independently defined by L. Nachbin [24] in terms of uniformities. The important topological and uniform properties of \mathbb{R} -compact spaces are established in works of T. Shirota [25] and S. Mrówka [22]. From a categorical point of view \mathbb{R} -compact spaces coincide with epi-reflective hull of the real line in the category $Tych$ of Tychonoff spaces and their continuous mappings [12, 18]. Various problems of the theory of \mathbb{R} -compact spaces are investigated in the books [4, 10, 15, 27]. Spectral Theorem for \mathbb{R} -compact spaces is given in [9].

\mathbb{R} -compact extensions over the special bases (separating nest-generated intersection ring (s.n.-g.i.r.) or strong delta normal base) have been investigated in [2, 3, 16, 26]. For any uniform space uX the set \mathcal{Z}_u of zero-sets of all uniformly continuous functions forms s.n.-g.i.r. or strong delta normal base [4]. It is naturally arisen the category $ZUnif$, whose objects are uniform spaces uX with base \mathcal{Z}_u and morphisms are *coz*-mappings (where a mapping $f : uX \rightarrow vY$ between uniform spaces uX and vY is *coz*-mapping, if $f^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_v) \subset \mathcal{Z}_u$) [8, 14]. The Wallman-Shanin compactification $\beta_u X = \omega(X, \mathcal{Z}_u)$ and the Wallman-Shanin realcompactification $v_u X = v(X, \mathcal{Z}_u)$ both are defined over the base \mathcal{Z}_u [8]. In the category $ZUnif$ a uniform space uX is \mathbb{R} -compact if $X = v_u X$. The category $Tych$ is a full subcategory of $ZUnif$.

In this work it is shown that a number of basic properties of \mathbb{R} -compact spaces in the category $Tych$ can be extended to the category $ZUnif$.

2. Preliminaries and Notations

Assume \mathbb{R} is the real line with the ordinary metric $\rho(x, y) = |x - y|$ and the uniformity $u_{\mathbb{R}}$ generated by the metric ρ , \mathbb{N} is the set of natural numbers, $I = [0, 1]$ is the unit segment with the metric and uniformity induced from \mathbb{R} . If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping and $F \subset X$, then $f|_F : F \rightarrow Y$ is the restriction of f on F . If $Y = \mathbb{R}$, then a mapping $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, where $Z(f) = f^{-1}(0)$ and $X \setminus Z(f) = f^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$. \mathbb{R}^X is the set of

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54E15; Secondary 54C20, 54C45, 54D30, 54D35, 54D60, 54H13

Keywords. \mathbb{R} -compactum, \mathbb{R} -compact space, *coz*-mapping, *coz*-function, z_u -ultrafilter, CIP z_u -ultrafilter

Received: 28 September 2018; Revised: 06 January 2019; Accepted: 21 January 2019

Communicated by Fahreddin Abdullayev

Email addresses: asyl1.ch.top@mail.ru (Asylbek A. Chekeev), tumar2000@mail.ru (Tumar J. Kasymova)

all functions from X into \mathbb{R} . If $A \subset \mathbb{R}^X$ and $F \subset X$, then $\mathcal{Z}(A) = \{Z(f) : f \in A\}$ and $A|_F = \{f|_F : f \in A\}$. For a system $\mathcal{F} = \{F_s\}_{s \in S}$ of sets $\bigcup \mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{s \in S} F_s$ is the union and $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{s \in S} F_s$ is the intersection of elements from \mathcal{F} . For systems \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}' their inner intersection is $\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F}' = \{F \cap F' : F \in \mathcal{F}, F' \in \mathcal{F}'\}$. If $\mathcal{F}' = \{X\}$, then $\mathcal{F} \wedge X = \{F \cap X : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

All spaces are assumed to be Tychonoff and for any compactum we use its unique uniformity. Denote by $Tych$ the category of Tychonoff spaces and their continuous mappings. For a space $X \in Tych$ denote by $C(X)$ ($C^*(X)$) the set of all (bounded) continuous functions on X . We will assume $\mathcal{Z}(C(X)) = \mathcal{Z}(X)$. Elements of $\mathcal{Z}(X)$ are called *zero-sets* and elements of $C\mathcal{Z}(X) = \{X \setminus Z : Z \in \mathcal{Z}(X)\}$ are called *cozero-sets*. A uniform space uX is a Tychonoff space X with a uniformity u on it. Uniformities are given by uniform coverings [20]. If uX is a uniform space and $Y \subset X$, then $u|_Y$ is the restriction of the uniformity u on Y and $[Y]_X$ is the closure of Y in X . For a uniform space uX we denote by $U(uX)$ ($U^*(uX)$) the set of all (bounded) uniformly continuous functions on uX . Then $\mathcal{Z}(U(uX)) = \mathcal{Z}_u$ is the set of all u -zero-sets and the family $C\mathcal{Z}_u = \{X \setminus Z : Z \in \mathcal{Z}_u\}$ is the set of all u -cozero-sets. A covering consisting of cozero-sets (u -cozero-sets) is called *cozero-covering* (u -cozero-covering). The set \mathcal{Z}_u forms on uX a base of closed sets of the uniform topology [5] and this base is a separating nest-generated intersection ring (s.n.g.i.r.) [6]. That base is defined in [26] and it is a normal base in the sense of [13]. The mapping $f : uX \rightarrow vY$ between uniform spaces uX and vY is called *coz-mapping*, if $f^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_v) \subset \mathcal{Z}_u$ or $f^{-1}(C\mathcal{Z}_v) \subset C\mathcal{Z}_u$ [14]. All uniform spaces and *coz-mappings* form the category $ZUnif$ [14]. Objects uX and vY in $ZUnif$ are called *coz-homeomorphic*, if there exists a bijective *coz-mapping* $f : uX \rightarrow vY$ such that the inverse mapping $f^{-1} : vY \rightarrow uX$ is a *coz-mapping*. Every Tychonoff space X with the fine uniformity u_f is an element of $ZUnif$ and every continuous mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is uniformly continuous $f : u_f X \rightarrow v_f Y$ with respect to the fine uniformities u_f and v_f on X and Y , respectively. Since $\mathcal{Z}_{u_f} = \mathcal{Z}(X)$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{v_f} = \mathcal{Z}(Y)$, then f is a *coz-mapping*. Hence, the category $Tych$ is a full subcategory of $ZUnif$.

In the case $Y = \mathbb{R}$, the *coz-mapping* $f : uX \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *coz-function*. The set of all *coz-functions* on uX is denoted by $C(uX)$ and the set of all bounded *coz-functions* on uX is denoted by $C^*(uX)$. It is clear that $U(uX) \subset C(uX) \subset C(X)$ ($U^*(uX) \subset C^*(uX) \subset C^*(X)$). We note that $\mathcal{Z}_u = \mathcal{Z}(C(uX))$ [6].

A filter \mathcal{F} over the base \mathcal{Z}_u is called z_u -filter. A z_u -filter \mathcal{F} is a *prime z_u -filter* if $Z \cup Z' \in \mathcal{F}$ implies either $Z \in \mathcal{F}$ or $Z' \in \mathcal{F}$, where Z and Z' are members of \mathcal{Z}_u . If \mathcal{F} is a prime z_u -filter and if $x \in X$, then the point x is a cluster point of \mathcal{F} if and only if z_u -filter \mathcal{F} converges to x ($\equiv \bigcap \{Z : Z \in \mathcal{F}\} = \{x\}$) [4].

The Wallman-Shanin (WS-) compactification $\omega(X, \mathcal{Z}_u)$ of a uniform space uX is a β -like compactification [23] and is denoted by $\beta_u X = \omega(X, \mathcal{Z}_u)$. Points of $\beta_u X$ are all maximal centered systems of elements of the base \mathcal{Z}_u (further z_u -ultrafilters) and $\beta_u X$ is endowed with the Wallman-Shanin (WS-) topology [1]. The compactification $\beta_u X$ is an epi-reflective functor $\beta_u : uX \rightarrow \beta_u X$, that is *coz-homeomorphic embedding*. Compacta in the category $ZUnif$ are precisely elements of epi-reflective hull $\mathfrak{Q}([0, 1])$ of the unit segment in $ZUnif$ [8].

The following is a characterization of WS- β -like compactifications.

Theorem 2.1. *For every uniform space uX there exists exactly one (up to a homeomorphism) β -like compactification $\beta_u X$ with equivalent properties:*

- (I) Every *coz-mapping* f from uX into a compactum K has a continuous extension $\beta_u f$ from $\beta_u X$ into K .
- (II) uX is C_u^* -embedded into $\beta_u X$.
- (III) $\beta_u X$ is a completion of X with respect to the uniformity u_p^z .
- (IV) For any finite family $\{Z_n\}_{n=1}^k$ of u -zero-sets if $\bigcap_{n=1}^k Z_n = \emptyset$, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^k [Z_n]_{\beta_u X} = \emptyset$.
- (V) For any finite family $\{Z_n\}_{n=1}^k$ of u -zero-sets $[\bigcap_{n=1}^k Z_n]_{\beta_u X} = \bigcap_{n=1}^k [Z_n]_{\beta_u X}$.
- (VI) Distinct z_u -ultrafilters on uX have distinct limits in $\beta_u X$.

In the above formulated theorem a uniform space uX is C_u^* -embedded into a uniform space vY if X is topologically a subspace of Y and $C^*(vY)|_X = C^*(uX)$, i.e. each bounded *coz-function* on uX can be extended to a bounded *coz-function* on vY [7], the uniformity u_p^z on X has a base of all finite u -cozero-coverings [8].

Compact uniform spaces in the category $ZUnif$ have the next characterizations.

Corollary 2.2. *For a uniform space uX the following are equivalent:*

- (1) uX is a compactum in $ZUnif$.
- (2) X is complete with respect to the uniformity u_p^z .
- (3) $X = \beta_u X$.
- (4) uX is co z -homeomorphic to the closed uniform subspace of a power of I .

All z_u -ultrafilters with CIP (countable intersection property) are the part $v_u X$ of the compactification $\beta_u X$. The Wallman-Shanin (WS-) realcompactification is the set $v_u X$ with the topology induced from the compactum $\beta_u X$ topology [26]. Moreover, the WS-realcompactification $v_u X$ is an epi-reflective functor $v_u : uX \rightarrow v_u X$, that is co z -homeomorphic embedding [8]. Realcompacta in the category $ZUnif$ are precisely elements of the epi-reflective hull $\mathfrak{Q}(\mathbb{R})$ of the real line \mathbb{R} in $ZUnif$ [8]. The following characterizations of the WS-realcompactification take place.

Theorem 2.3. For every uniform space uX there exists exactly one (up to a co z -homeomorphism) realcompact space $v_u X$ contained in the β -like compactification $\beta_u X$ with equivalent properties:

- (I) Every co z -mapping f from uX into a \mathbb{R} - z_v -complete uniform space vR has an extension to a co z -mapping \hat{f} from $v_u X$ into vR .
- (II) Every co z -mapping f from uX into a separable metric uniform space $u_\rho M$ has an extension to a co z -mapping \hat{f} from $v_u X$ into $u_\rho M$.
- (III) $v_u X$ is a completion with respect to the uniformity u_ω^z .
- (IV) uX is C_u -embedded into $v_u X$.
- (V) $v_u X$ is a completion with respect to the uniformity u_c^z .
- (VI) For any countable family $\{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of u -zero-sets if $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n = \emptyset$, then $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [Z_n]_{v_u X} = \emptyset$.
- (VII) For any countable family $\{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of u -zero-sets $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [Z_n]_{v_u X} = [\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n]_{v_u X}$.
- (VIII) Every point of $v_u X$ is a limit of unique countably centered z_u -ultrafilter on uX .

Remind, that a uniform space vR is called \mathbb{R} - z_v -complete if every CIP z_v -ultrafilter converges.

In the above theorem a uniform space uX is C_u -embedded into a uniform space vY if X is topologically a subspace of Y and $C(vY)|_X = C(uX)$, i.e. each co z -function on uX can be extended to a co z -function on vY [7], the uniformity u_ω^z on X has a base of all countable u -cozero-coverings and the uniformity u_c^z is weak generated by $C(uX)$ [8].

A uniform space uX is called \mathbb{R} -compactum in $ZUnif$, if $X = v_u X$. It follows immediately from Theorem 2.3 that $X = v_u X$ if and only if uX is co z -homeomorphic to some closed uniform subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{C(uX)}$, that is C_u -embedded into $\mathbb{R}^{C(uX)}$.

The following corollaries are immediate consequences of Theorem 2.3.

Corollary 2.4. The WS-realcompactification $v_u X$ of a uniform space uX is the largest subspace of the β -like compactification $\beta_u X$ such that uX is C_u -embedded into it and $v_u X$ is the smallest \mathbb{R} -compactum between X and $\beta_u X$.

Corollary 2.5. For a uniform space uX the following are equivalent:

- (1) uX is \mathbb{R} -compactum in $ZUnif$.
- (2) X is complete with respect to the uniformity u_ω^z .
- (3) X is complete with respect to the uniformity u_c^z .
- (4) $X = v_u X$.
- (5) uX is co z -homeomorphic to a closed uniform subspace of a power of \mathbb{R} .

Further, for simplicity, \mathbb{R} -compact in the category $ZUnif$ of uniform spaces will be called \mathbb{R} -compactum, and \mathbb{R} -compact in the category $Tych$ Tychonoff spaces will be called \mathbb{R} -compact space.

As \mathbb{R} -compacta are elements of $\mathfrak{Q}(\mathbb{R})$ in $ZUnif$, then from [12, 18] it follows:

Proposition 2.6. ([8]) A closed subspace of an \mathbb{R} -compactum, product of any family of \mathbb{R} -compacta, intersection of any family of \mathbb{R} -compacta is an \mathbb{R} -compactum.

We note that the intersection $\mathfrak{Q}(\mathbb{R}) \cap Tych$ in $ZUnif$ coincides with the class of \mathbb{R} -compact Tychonoff spaces.

In this paper the most of properties of \mathbb{R} -compact spaces in the category $Tych$ are extended on the category $ZUnif$.

3. Properties of \mathbb{R} -Compacta

Proposition 3.1. *A metrizable space with a countable base is \mathbb{R} -compactum with respect to the metric uniformity.*

Proof. Let X be a metrizable space with a countable base and d be a metric which generates the topology on X . Denote by u the uniformity induced by d . Then $\mathcal{Z}_u = \mathcal{Z}(X)$. Since (X, d) is a paracompactum with a countable base, then the fine uniformity u_f of the space X consists of all countable cozero-coverings. Then any CIP z_u -ultrafilter p is a Cauchy filter with respect to the fine uniformity u_f . It is therefore evident that $u \subset u_f$, hence p is a Cauchy filter with respect to the metric uniformity u . Any metric space is weakly complete (\equiv any CIP Cauchy filter converges [21]). Hence, $\cap p \neq \emptyset$. Thus, X is an \mathbb{R} -compactum with respect to the metric uniformity. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Any metrizable space with a countable base is an \mathbb{R} -compact space.*

Proof. A metrizable space with a countable base is weakly complete with respect to the metric uniformity. Hence the fine uniformity is weakly complete, therefore every CIP z -ultrafilter has nonempty intersection. \square

Remind that a Polish space is a complete metrizable space with a countable base [9].

Corollary 3.3. *Any Polish space is an \mathbb{R} -compactum with respect to the metric uniformity.*

Theorem 3.4. *Let uX be an \mathbb{R} -compactum and $f : uX \rightarrow vY$ be a cozero-mapping between uniform spaces uX and vY . If $F \subset Y$ and F is an \mathbb{R} -compactum with respect to the uniformity $v|_F$, then $f^{-1}(F) = N$ is an \mathbb{R} -compactum with respect to the uniformity $u|_N$.*

Proof. Assume $u' = u|_N$, $v' = v|_F$, and $g = f|_N : N \rightarrow F$ (we note that g is a cozero-mapping). Let p be an arbitrary $z_{u'}$ -ultrafilter on N over the base $\mathcal{Z}_{u'}$. Then the families $\xi = \{Z \in \mathcal{Z}_u : Z \cap N \in p\}$ and $g^\#(p) = \{Z \in \mathcal{Z}_{v'} : g^{-1}(Z) \in p\}$ are prime CIP z_u - and $z_{v'}$ -filters on X and F , respectively [27]. So there exist $x \in \cap \xi$ and $y \in \cap g^\#(p)$. We show that $x \in N$ and $x \in \cap p$.

Suppose that $x \notin N$. Then $f(x) \notin F$. Hence, $y \neq f(x)$. Therefore in the base \mathcal{Z}_v there exist zero-set neighborhoods $f(x) \in Z$ and $y \in Z'$ such that $Z \cap Z' = \emptyset$. Since $y \in \cap g^\#(p)$, then $Z' \cap F \in g^\#(p)$, i.e. $g^{-1}(Z' \cap F) = g^{-1}(Z') \cap N \in p$. The preimage $f^{-1}(Z)$ is a zero-set neighborhood of x , hence $f^{-1}(Z) \in \xi$, i.e. $f^{-1}(Z) \cap N \in p$. Since $g^{-1}(Z') \cap N = f^{-1}(Z') \cap N$, then $(f^{-1}(Z) \cap N) \cap (f^{-1}(Z') \cap N) \in p$. Hence, from $f^{-1}(Z) \cap f^{-1}(Z') \neq \emptyset$, we have a contradiction, $Z \cap Z' \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $x \in N$.

Now suppose that $x \notin \cap p$. Then there exists $Z \in p$ such that $x \notin Z$. Since $[Z]_N = [Z]_X \cap N$ and $x \in N$, then $x \notin [Z]_X$. Hence there is a zero-set neighborhood $Z' \in \mathcal{Z}_u$ such that $x \in Z'$ and $Z' \cap [Z]_X = \emptyset$. Moreover, $Z \cap Z' = \emptyset$. Further, since $x \in Z' \cap N$, then $Z' \in \xi$. Then $Z' \cap N \in p$. Hence, $Z \cap (Z' \cap N) \neq \emptyset$, i.e. $Z \cap Z' \neq \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $x \in \cap p$. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let uX be an \mathbb{R} -compactum and G be a u -cozero-set in X . Then G is an \mathbb{R} -compactum with respect to the uniformity $u|_G$.*

Proof. Since G is a u -cozero-set in uX , there exists a function $f \in U(uX)$, $f : uX \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $G = f^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$. According to Proposition 3.1, $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is an \mathbb{R} -compactum because it is a metric space with a countable base. Hence, by Theorem 3.4, G is an \mathbb{R} -compactum with respect to the uniformity $u|_G$. \square

Corollary 3.6. ([9]) *Let X be an \mathbb{R} -compact space and G be a cozero-set in X . Then G is an \mathbb{R} -compact space.*

Proof. Since G is a cozero-set in X , then there exists a function $f \in C(X)$, $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $G = f^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$. According to Proposition 3.1 $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is an \mathbb{R} -compact space because it is a metric space with a countable base. Hence, by Theorem 3.4, G is an \mathbb{R} -compactum with respect to the uniformity $u_f|_G$. Then moreover G is an \mathbb{R} -compact space. \square

The next theorem (and its corollary) is a generalization of results from [15, 8.10(a)].

Theorem 3.7. *If uX is C_u -embedded into vY , then $[X]_{v_vY} = v_uX$.*

Proof. If uX is C_u -embedded into vY , then uX is C_u -embedded into v_vY [7]. Since $[X]_{v_vY}$ is a subspace of v_vY , then uX is C_u -embedded into $[X]_{v_vY}$. Because $[X]_{v_vY}$ is an \mathbb{R} -compactum as closed subspace of the \mathbb{R} -compactum v_uY , we have $[X]_{v_vY} = v_uX$ (see Proposition 2.6, Corollary 2.4). \square

Corollary 3.8. ([15]) *Let uX and vY be \mathbb{R} -compacta and uX is C_u -embedded into vY . Then X is closed in Y .*

Proof. By the condition $X = v_uX$ and $Y = v_vY$. Then $[X]_Y = [X]_{v_vY} = v_uX = X$. \square

Definition 3.9. ([22]) A subset F of a space X is said to be G_δ -closed, if for each $x \notin F$ there exists a G_δ -subset G such that $x \in G$ and $G \cap F = \emptyset$. G_δ -closure of F is the set of all $x \in X$ which satisfy the condition: whenever G is G_δ -set containing x , then $G \cap F \neq \emptyset$ and the G_δ -closure of F is denoted by $G_\delta - cl_X F$. A subspace F is said to be G_δ -dense in X , if $X = G_\delta - cl_X F$, i.e. if each G_δ -set in X meets F .

Theorem 3.10. *Every G_δ -closed subset F of an \mathbb{R} -compactum uX is an \mathbb{R} -compactum with respect to any uniformity v on F such that $\mathcal{Z}_u \wedge F \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_v$.*

Proof. Let F be a G_δ -closed subset in X and v be a uniformity on F such that $\mathcal{Z}_u \wedge F \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_v$. Let p be an arbitrary z_v -ultrafilter over the base \mathcal{Z}_v . Let $\xi = \{Z \in \mathcal{Z}_u : Z \cap F \in p\}$. It is easy to check that ξ is a prime CIP z_u -filter on uX . Hence, ξ is contained in the unique CIP z_u -ultrafilter q on uX [27] and since uX is an \mathbb{R} -compactum, $\{x\} = \cap q \subseteq \cap \xi$. We show that $x \in F$ and $x \in \cap p$.

Assume, to the contrary, $x \notin F$. Since F is G_δ -closed in X , then there is a G_δ -set $G = \cap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} O_i$ such that $x \in G$ and $G \cap F = \emptyset$. By properties of the base \mathcal{Z}_u [7] there are zero-set neighborhoods $Z_i \in \mathcal{Z}_u$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) such that $x \in Z_i \subset O_i$. Since the prime z_u -filter ξ converges to x , then $Z_i \in \xi$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. If we suppose that $Z_i \cap F \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then $Z_i \cap F \in p$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$). Hence we have a contradiction $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (Z_i \cap F) = (\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} Z_i) \cap F \neq \emptyset$, since p is a prime CIP z_v -ultrafilter on F . On the other hand, $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} Z_i \subset G$ and $G \cap F = \emptyset$. Thus, there exists an index $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \in Z_k$ and $Z_k \cap F = \emptyset$. But $Z_k \in \xi$, hence $Z_k \cap F \in p$, which is impossible. Thus, $x \in F$.

Suppose that $x \notin \cap p$. Then there exists $Z \in p$ such that $x \notin Z$. Since $[Z]_F = [Z]_X \cap F$ and $x \in F$, then $x \notin [Z]_X$. Then there is a zero-set neighborhood $Z' \in \mathcal{Z}_u$ such that $x \in Z'_u$ and $Z' \cap Z = \emptyset$. It is evident that $Z' \in \xi$. Therefore $Z' \cap F \in p$ implies $(Z' \cap F) \cap Z \in p$ and $Z' \cap Z \neq \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $x \in \cap p$ and F is an \mathbb{R} -compactum with respect to the uniformity v . \square

Corollary 3.11. ([22]) *Every G_δ -closed subspace of an \mathbb{R} -compact space is also an \mathbb{R} -compact space.*

Proof. It follows, as we noted above, from the fact that an \mathbb{R} -compact space X is an \mathbb{R} -compactum with respect to the fine uniformity u_f or over the base $\mathcal{Z}(X)$. \square

Theorem 3.12. *The following are equivalent:*

(I) *uX is an \mathbb{R} -compactum.*

(II) *For any $y \in \beta_u X \setminus X$ there exists a continuous function $h : \beta_u X \rightarrow I$ such that $h(y) = 0$ and $h(x) > 0$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. (I) \Rightarrow (II). Since $X = v_uX$, then there exists a unique z_u -ultrafilter p_y without CIP, that converges to $y \in \beta_u X \setminus X$. Then there exists a sequence $\{Z_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset p_y$ such that $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} Z_i = \emptyset$. We can assume that $Z_i = Z(g_i)$, where $g_i : uX \rightarrow I$ is a *coz*-function ($i \in \mathbb{N}$). Therefore, by the properties of $C(uX)$ [6], it follows that $g = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (g_i/2^i) : uX \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a *coz*-function and $Z(g) = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} Z_i$, i.e. $Z(g) = \emptyset$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $g_i(x) > 0$ for all $x \in X$, hence $g(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in X$ and g cannot be *coz*-extendable to $Y = X \cup \{y\}$ with respect to the uniformity induced from the compactum $\beta_u X$. Suppose g has a *coz*-extension $\tilde{g} : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ it takes place $[Z_i]_Y = Z_i \cup \{y\}$. Because \tilde{g} is continuous, then we have $\tilde{g}(y) = \tilde{g}(\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} [Z_i]_Y) \subseteq [\tilde{g}(\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} Z_i)]_{\mathbb{R}} = [g(Z(g))]_{\mathbb{R}} = \emptyset$. It is a contradiction. It can be supposed that $g(x) \geq 1$ for all $x \in X$.

Since $C(uX)$ is inversion-closed, then $f = 1/g$ is a *coz*-function [6] and $f : uX \rightarrow I$. Then the function f can be extended to the function $\beta_u f : \beta_u X \rightarrow I$ [17, 26]. If $\beta_u f(y) \neq 0$, then $\tilde{g} = 1/\beta_u f$ is a *coz*-extension of g to Y . So, $\beta_u f(y) = 0$ and $f(x) > 0$ for all $x \in X$. Assume $h = \beta_u f$.

(II) \Rightarrow (I). It follows from Corollary 3.5 and Proposition 2.6, because uX is an \mathbb{R} -compactum as the intersection of cozero subspaces of $\beta_u X$, which are \mathbb{R} -compacta. \square

Corollary 3.13. ([22]) *The following are equivalent:*

- (I) X is an \mathbb{R} -compact space.
- (II) For any $y \in \beta X \setminus X$ there exists continuous function $h : \beta X \rightarrow I$ such that $h(y) = 0$ and $h(x) > 0$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. If X is endowed by the fine uniformity u_f , then X is an \mathbb{R} -compactum with respect to the uniformity u_f and $C(u_f X) = C(X)$. \square

Definition 3.14. A uniform space uX is said to be *strongly C_u^* -embedded* into a uniform space vY if X is a topological subspace of Y and for any bounded *coz*-function $g \in C^*(uX)$ there exists a bounded *coz*-function $h \in C^*(vY)$ such that $h|_X = g$ and $\text{sup}|h| = \text{sup}|g|$, where $\text{sup}|h| = \text{sup}\{h(y) : y \in Y\}$ and $\text{sup}|g| = \text{sup}\{g(x) : x \in X\}$. If $u = u_f, v = v_f$ are the fine uniformities, then the space X is *strongly C^* -embedded* into the space Y .

Theorem 3.15. *Let uX be a uniform space such that $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let u_n be a uniformity on X_n such that X_n is strongly $C_{u_n}^*$ -embedded in uX and X_n is an \mathbb{R} -compactum with respect to the uniformity u_n . Then uX is an \mathbb{R} -compactum.*

Proof. Let $y \in \beta_u X \setminus X$. If $y \notin \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [X_n]_{\beta_u X}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and since $\beta_u X$ is a Tychonoff space, then there exists a continuous function $f_n : \beta_u X \rightarrow I$ such that $f_n(y) = 0$ and $f_n(x) = 2^{-n}$ for all $x \in [X_n]_{\beta_u X}$. Then $g_n = f_n|_X$ is a *coz*-function and $\beta_u g_n = f_n$ [6]. The series $g = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_n$ is uniformly converging, so $g \in C(uX)$ and $g : uX \rightarrow I$ [6]. It is therefore evident that $\beta_u g(y) = 0$ and $g(x) > 0$ for all $x \in X$.

Now suppose that $y \in [X_k]_{\beta_u X}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Because $u_k X_k$ is strongly $C_{u_k}^*$ -embedded in uX , and uX is C_u^* -embedded in $\beta_u X$, then $u_k X_k$ is $C_{u_k}^*$ -embedded in $\beta_u X$ [7]. Hence $[X_k]_{\beta_u X} = \beta_{u_k} X_k$ [7]. Since X_k is an \mathbb{R} -compactum with respect to the uniformity u_k , there exists a continuous function $g : \beta_{u_k} X_k \rightarrow I$ such that $g(y) = 0$ and $g(x) > 0$ for all $x \in X_k$ (by Theorem 3.12). By strongly $C_{u_k}^*$ -embeddedness of $u_k X_k$ into uX there exists a bounded *coz*-function $h \in C^*(uX)$ such that $h|_{X_k} = g|_{X_k}$ and $\text{sup}|h| = \text{sup}|g|$. Hence $h(x) > 0$ for all $x \in X$.

Let $\beta_u h : uX \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ be a continuous extension of h [6]. Then $\beta_u h|_{X_k} = h|_{X_k}$ implies $\beta_u h(y) = 0$ and $h(x) > 0$ for all $x \in X$. Thus, uX is an \mathbb{R} -compactum. \square

Corollary 3.16. *Let X be a Tychonoff space such that $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ and every X_n is \mathbb{R} -compact and strongly C^* -embedded subspace of X ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Then X is an \mathbb{R} -compact space.*

Proof. If X is endowed with the fine uniformity u_f and all X_n are endowed by the fine uniformities $(u_n)_f$, we get the result. \square

Remark 3.17. It is known that every closed subspace of a normal space is strongly C^* -embedded (by the Brouwer–Tietze–Uryshon Theorem [11, Theorem 2.21]). So, we obtain the next corollary.

Corollary 3.18. ([22]) *Let X be a normal space such that $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$, where any X_n is a closed \mathbb{R} -compact subspace of X . Then X is an \mathbb{R} -compact space.*

Definition 3.19. Let uX, vY be uniform spaces and $X \subset Y$. A uniform space uX is said to be *z_u -embedded* in vY , if $\mathcal{Z}_v \wedge X = \mathcal{Z}_u$.

It is clear, that C_u -embeddedness implies z_u -embeddedness. Simple examples demonstrate that z_u -embeddedness need not imply C_u -embeddedness. We note, if X is z -embedded in Y , then X is z_{u_f} -embedded in Y , i.e. $\mathcal{Z}_{v_f} \wedge X = \mathcal{Z}_{u_f}$, where u_f, v_f are fine uniformities on X and Y , respectively.

Below we formulate some problems.

- (I) Let $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_u$ for a uniform space uX . Which of the following statements are equivalent:
 - (1) a set Z is $C_{u|_Z}$ -embedded in X ;
 - (2) a set Z is $C_{u|_Z}^*$ -embedded in X ;
 - (3) a set Z is $z_{u|_Z}$ -embedded in X .
- (II) Let $S \in C\mathcal{Z}_u$ for a uniform space uX . Is S $z_{u|_S}$ -embedded in uX ?
- (III) Let uX be a uniform space such that $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let u_n be a uniformity on X_n such that X_n is z_{u_n} -embedded in uX and X_n is an \mathbb{R} -compactum with respect to the uniformity u_n . Is uX an \mathbb{R} -compactum?

Remark 3.20. In the category *Tych*, A. Chigogidze proved the Spectral Theorem for \mathbb{R} -compact spaces [9]. So, the following problem naturally arises: *Prove the Spectral Theorem for \mathbb{R} -compacta in the category $ZU\text{unif}$.*

Acknowledgement

The authors express their deep gratitude to the referee for corrections in the original draft of the paper and for many helpful and constructive remarks.

References

- [1] J.M. Aarts, T. Nishiura, *Dimension and Extensions*, North-Holland, 1993, 331 p.
- [2] A.R. Alo, H.L. Shapiro, Normal bases and compactifications, *Math. Ann.* 175 (1968) 337–340.
- [3] A.R. Alo, H.L. Shapiro, M. Weir, Realcompactness and Wallman realcompactifications, *Portugal. Math.* 34 (1975) 33–43.
- [4] A.R. Alo, H.L. Shapiro, *Normal Topological Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, 1974, 306 p.
- [5] M.G. Charalambous, Inductive dimension theory for uniform spaces, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest, Sec. Math.* 17 (1974) 21–28.
- [6] A.A. Chekeev, Uniformities for Wallman compactifications and realcompactifications, *Topology Appl.* 201 (2016) 145–156.
- [7] A.A. Chekeev, B.Z. Rakhmankulov, A.I. Chanbaeva, On C_{it} - and C_{it} -embedded uniform spaces, *TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math.* 9 (2018) 173–189.
- [8] A.A. Chekeev, T.J. Kasymova, Ultrafilter-completeness on zero-sets of uniformly continuous functions, *Topology Appl.* 252 (2019) 27–41.
- [9] A. Chigogidze, V.V. Fedorchuk, *Absolute Retracts and Infinite-Dimensional Manifolds*, Nauka, Moscow, 1992 (in Russian).
- [10] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Berlin, Heldermann, 1989. 626 p.
- [11] V.V. Fedorchuk, V.V. Filippov, *General Topology. Basic Constructions*, Moscow, FML, 2006. 336 p. (in Russian).
- [12] S.P. Franklin, On epi-reflective hulls, *Gen. Topol. Appl.* 1 (1971) 29–31.
- [13] O. Frink, Compactifications and seminormal spaces, *Amer. J. Math.* 86 (1964) 602–607.
- [14] Z. Frolík, A note on metric-fine spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 46 (1974) 111–119.
- [15] L. Gillman, M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, The Univ. Series in Higher Math., Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1960, 303 p.
- [16] H. Gordon, Rings of functions determined by zero-sets, *Pacific J. Math.* 36 (1971) 133–157.
- [17] A.W. Hager, On inverse-closed subalgebra of $C(X)$, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 19 (1969) 233–257.
- [18] H. Herrlich, Categorical topology, *Gen. Topol. Appl.* 1 (1971) 1–15.
- [19] E. Hewitt, Rings of real-valued continuous functions, I, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 64 (1948) 45–99.
- [20] J.R. Isbell, *Uniform Spaces*, Mathematical Survey, Providence, 1964, 175 p.
- [21] K. Morita, Topological completions and M -spaces, *Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiky Daigaku* 10 (1970) 49–66.
- [22] S. Mrówka, Some properties of Q -spaces, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.* 5 (1957) 947–950.
- [23] S. Mrówka, β -like compactifications, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungaricae* 24 (1973) 279–287.
- [24] L. Nachbin, On the continuity of positive linear transformations, *Proc. Internat. Congress Math.*, Cambridge, Mass, 1950, vol. 1, Providence (1952) 464–465.
- [25] T. Shirota, A class of topological spaces, *Osaka Math. J.* 4 (1952) 23–40.
- [26] A.K. Steiner, E.F. Steiner, Nest generated intersection rings in Tychonoff spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 148 (1970) 589–601.
- [27] M. Weir, *Hewitt-Nachbin Spaces*, North-Holland, NY, 1975, 270 p.