



The Point Spectrum and Residual Spectrum of Upper Triangular Operator Matrices

Xiufeng Wu^a, Junjie Huang^b, Alatancang Chen^c

^aSchool of Mathematical Sciences, Inner Mongolia Normal University, Hohhot 010022, P.R.China

^bSchool of Mathematical Sciences, Inner Mongolia University, Hohhot 010021, P.R.China

^cDepartment of Mathematics, Hohhot Minzu College, Hohhot 010051, P.R.China

Abstract. The point and residual spectra of an operator are, respectively, split into 1,2-point spectrum and 1,2-residual spectrum, based on the denseness and closedness of its range. Let \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} be infinite dimensional complex separable Hilbert spaces and write $M_X = \begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K})$. For given operators $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$, the sets $\bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{*,i}(M_X)$ ($*$ = $p, r; i = 1, 2$), are characterized. Moreover, we obtain some necessary and sufficient condition such that $\sigma_{*,i}(M_X) = \sigma_{*,i}(A) \cup \sigma_{*,i}(B)$ ($*$ = $p, r; i = 1, 2$) for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$.

1. Introduction

We assume throughout that \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} are both complex separable infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces. If A is a bounded linear operator from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{K} , we write $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ and, if $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}$, $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The identity operator on \mathcal{H} is denoted by $I_{\mathcal{H}}$ and simply by I if the underlying space is clear from the context. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$. Then $\mathcal{N}(A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(A)$ are, respectively, used to denote the kernel and the range of A , and we write $n(A) := \dim \mathcal{N}(A)$ and $d(A) := \dim \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$.

If there exists an operator $A_l^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ such that $A_l^{-1}A = I_{\mathcal{H}}$ (resp. $AA_r^{-1} = I_{\mathcal{K}}$), then A is said to be left (resp. right) invertible. If there exists an operator $A^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ such that $A^{-1}A = I_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $AA^{-1} = I_{\mathcal{K}}$, then we call it invertible. Obviously, A is invertible if and only if A is both left and right invertible. In the Hilbert space, we have the following well-known properties: (i) A is left invertible if and only if A is bounded below, and if and only if A is injective, i.e., $\mathcal{N}(A) = \{0\}$ and its range $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is closed; (ii) A is right invertible if and only if A is surjective, i.e., $\mathcal{R}(A) = \mathcal{K}$ (see [2]). According to the Fredholm alternative theorem, A is left (resp. right) invertible if and only if A^* is right (resp. left) invertible, where $(\cdot)^*$ denotes the adjoint operation.

Recall we say that the operator A^+ is the Moore-Penrose inverse of A in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$, if it solves the following system of operator equations

$$\begin{aligned} AA^+A &= A, & A^+AA^+ &= A^+, \\ (AA^+)^* &= AA^+, & (A^+A)^* &= A^+A. \end{aligned}$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A10, 47A55

Keywords. point spectrum, residual spectrum, upper triangular operator matrix

Received: 12 April 2018; Accepted: 25 June 2019

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Research supported by National Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia grant(No. 2018BS01001), Research Program of Sciences at Universities of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region(No. NJZZ18018), SPH-IMUN (No. 2017YJRC018), and National Natural Science Foundation of China grant (No. 11901323)

Email address: wuxiufeng68@163.com (Xiufeng Wu)

Note that A is Moore-Penrose invertible if and only if its range $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is closed (see [1]).

Now, let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}$, i.e., $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then, the sets

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(A) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : A - \lambda \text{ is not invertible}\}, \\ \sigma_p(A) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : A - \lambda \text{ is noninjective}\}, \\ \sigma_r(A) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : A - \lambda \text{ is injective and } \overline{\mathcal{R}(A - \lambda)} \neq \mathcal{H}\}, \\ \sigma_c(A) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : A - \lambda \text{ is injective, } \overline{\mathcal{R}(A - \lambda)} = \mathcal{H} \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(A - \lambda) \neq \mathcal{H}\}, \\ \sigma_m(A) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : A - \lambda \text{ is not Moore-Penrose invertible}\}, \\ \sigma_l(A) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : A - \lambda \text{ is not left invertible}\}, \\ \sigma_\delta(A) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : A - \lambda \text{ is not right invertible}\}. \end{aligned}$$

are the spectrum, point spectrum, residual spectrum, continuous spectrum, Moore-Penrose spectrum, left spectrum and right spectrum of A , respectively. As usual, the resolvent set of A is defined by $\rho(A) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A)$. For convenience, we write $\rho_m(A) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_m(A)$ and $\rho_l(A) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_l(A)$. In terms of the density and the closedness of $\mathcal{R}(A - \lambda)$, the point spectrum $\sigma_p(A)$ and the residual spectrum $\sigma_r(A)$ of A have the following subdivisions: $\sigma_p(A) = \sigma_{p,1}(A) \cup \sigma_{p,2}(A)$ (see [1, p. 89]) and $\sigma_r(A) = \sigma_{r,1}(A) \cup \sigma_{r,2}(A)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{p,1}(A) &= \{\lambda \in \sigma_p(A) : \overline{\mathcal{R}(A - \lambda)} = \mathcal{H}\}, \\ \sigma_{p,2}(A) &= \{\lambda \in \sigma_p(A) : \overline{\mathcal{R}(A - \lambda)} \neq \mathcal{H}\}, \\ \sigma_{r,1}(A) &= \{\lambda \in \sigma_r(A) : \mathcal{R}(A - \lambda) \text{ is closed}\}, \\ \sigma_{r,2}(A) &= \{\lambda \in \sigma_r(A) : \mathcal{R}(A - \lambda) \text{ is not closed}\}. \end{aligned}$$

As we will see, the above subdivisions closely connect with the relevant space decomposition, and are useful in the research of spectral inclusion properties of operators.

For given diagonal entries $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$, the authors have extensively studied the upper triangular operator matrix

$$M_X = \begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K})$$

with an unknown operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. See, e.g., [3–19]. In [5, 6, 9, 10, 12, 14–18], the perturbations of different spectra (the spectra, left (right) spectra, point spectra, continuous spectra, residual spectra, ...) of M_X were discussed. In [14, 15], the sets

$$\bigcup_{X \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_l(M_X) \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{X \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{lw}(M_X)$$

were characterized, where $\sigma_{lw}(\cdot)$ and $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ denote the left Weyl spectrum and the set of all invertible operators from \mathcal{K} into \mathcal{H} . In [13], the set $\bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_r(M_X)$ was given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_r(M_X) &= [\{\lambda \in \sigma_m(A) : d(A - \lambda) + d(B - \lambda) > 0\} \\ &\quad \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : n(B - \lambda) \leq d(A - \lambda), n(B - \lambda) < d(A - \lambda) + d(B - \lambda)\} \\ &\quad \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : n(B - \lambda) = d(A - \lambda) = \infty\}] \setminus \sigma_p(A). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

In [7, 8, 10, 11, 19] the authors were interested by the following equality

$$\sigma_*(M_X) = \sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) \quad \text{for every } X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}),$$

where $\sigma_* \in \{\sigma, \sigma_e, \sigma_w, \sigma_b\}$, $\sigma_e(\cdot)$, $\sigma_w(\cdot)$ and $\sigma_b(\cdot)$ denote the essential spectrum, Weyl spectrum and Browder spectrum.

One aim of the present paper is to describe the sets

$$\bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{p,1}(M_X), \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{p,2}(M_X), \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{r,1}(M_X), \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{r,2}(M_X).$$

The other aim is to explore the relation between $\sigma_{*,i}(M_X)$ and $\sigma_{*,i}(A) \cup \sigma_{*,i}(B)$ ($*$ = p, r ; i = 1, 2). As a byproduct, we also obtain some necessary and sufficient condition of

$$\sigma_{*,i}(M_X) = \sigma_{*,i}(A) \cup \sigma_{*,i}(B) \quad (* = p, r; i = 1, 2) \quad \text{for every } X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$$

in terms of the spectral properties of two diagonal entries A and B in M_X .

2. Main Results

We first review some auxiliary lemmas, which are useful to prove the main results.

Lemma 2.1 (see [13, Lemma 2.3]). *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an operator with $\mathcal{R}(A)$ nonclosed. Then, there exists a closed subspace $\Omega \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$ of \mathcal{H} such that $\Omega \cap \mathcal{R}(A) = \{0\}$ and $\dim \Omega = \infty$.*

The following Lemmas are obvious, and their proofs are omitted here.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. Then, M_X is injective for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ if and only if A and B are both injective.*

Lemma 2.3. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. Then,*

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}(M_X)} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K} \text{ for every } X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$$

if and only if $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} = \mathcal{H}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B)} = \mathcal{K}$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$, then*

$$\bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{p,1}(M_X) = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \Delta_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= (\sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_m(B)) \cup (\sigma_p(A) \cap \sigma_c(B)), \\ \Delta_2 &= (\sigma_{p,1}(A) \cap \rho(B)) \cup (\sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \rho(A)) \\ &\quad \cup (\sigma_{p,1}(A) \cap \sigma_{p,1}(B)) \cup (\sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_c(A)) \\ &\quad \cup \{\lambda \in \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_r(A) : n(B - \lambda) > d(A - \lambda)\} \\ &\quad \cup \{\lambda \in \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_{p,2}(A) : n(B - \lambda) \geq d(A - \lambda)\}, \\ \Delta_3 &= \{\lambda \in \sigma_{p,1}(B) : n(B - \lambda) = \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, we prove that $\bigcup_{k=1}^3 \Delta_k \subseteq \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})} \sigma_{p,1}(M_X)$. Without loss of generality, we only prove the case when $\lambda = 0$ in what follows. Let $0 \in \Delta_1$. Since $\mathcal{R}(B)$ is not closed, then $\mathcal{R}(B^*)$ is not closed. By Lemma 2.1, there exists an infinite dimensional closed subspace $\Omega \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(B^*)} = \mathcal{N}(B)^\perp$ such that $\Omega \cap \mathcal{R}(B^*) = \{0\}$. If $n(A^*) < \infty$, then there exist closed subspaces Ω_1 and Ω_2 of Ω such that $\dim \Omega_1 = n(A^*)$ and $\Omega = \Omega_1 \oplus \Omega_2$. Define $X_0^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ by

$$X_0^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X_1^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}(A^*)^\perp \\ \mathcal{N}(A^*) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_1 \\ \Omega_2 \oplus \Omega^\perp \end{pmatrix},$$

where $X_1^* : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp$ is a unitary operator. Then, $M_{X_0}^*$ can be written as

$$M_{X_0}^* = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X_1^* & B_1^* \\ 0 & 0 & B_2^* \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}(A^*)^\perp \\ \mathcal{N}(A^*) \\ \mathcal{K} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H} \\ \Omega_1 \\ \Omega_2 \oplus \Omega^\perp \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, A_1^* and B^* are injective, and so by $\Omega \cap \mathcal{R}(B^*) = \{0\}$, one can see that $M_{X_0}^*$ is injective. On the other hand, we obtain that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(M_{X_0}^*)} \neq \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ from $0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cup \sigma_p(A)$. This implies that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(M_{X_0})} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ and M_{X_0} is noninjective. Therefore $0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(M_{X_0})$. If $n(A^*) = \infty$, then one can define a unitary operator X_1^* from $\mathcal{N}(A^*)$ onto Ω . Taking

$$X_0^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X_1^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}(A^*)^\perp \\ \mathcal{N}(A^*) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \Omega \\ \Omega^\perp \end{pmatrix},$$

we know that $M_{X_0}^*$ is clearly injective and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(M_{X_0}^*)} \neq \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$. Hence $0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(M_{X_0})$.

Let $0 \in \Delta_2$. If $0 \in (\sigma_{p,1}(A) \cap \rho(B)) \cup (\sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \rho(A)) \cup (\sigma_{p,1}(A) \cap \sigma_{p,1}(B))$, then by Lemma 2.3, $\overline{\mathcal{R}(M_X)} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Since $0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(A)$ or $0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \rho(A)$, it follows that $0 \in \sigma_p(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Hence $0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. If $0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_c(A)$, then define $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ by $X_0 = 0$. It is obvious that $0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(M_{X_0})$. If $0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_r(A)$ and $n(B) > d(A)$, then there exists a finite dimensional subspace Ω of $\mathcal{N}(B)$ such that $\dim \Omega = d(A)$ and $\mathcal{N}(B) = \Omega \oplus \Omega^\perp$. Define $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ by

$$X_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ X_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \Omega \\ \Omega^\perp \\ \mathcal{N}(B)^\perp \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \\ \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

where $X_1 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp$ is a unitary operator. Then, M_{X_0} can be written as

$$M_{X_0} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B_1 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H} \\ \Omega \\ \Omega^\perp \\ \mathcal{N}(B)^\perp \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \\ \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp \\ \mathcal{K} \end{pmatrix},$$

Clearly, we have $0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(M_{X_0})$. If $0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_{p,2}(A)$ and $n(B) \geq d(A)$, then define $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ as in (2).

Now, let $0 \in \Delta_3$. Then one can define a unitary operator X_1 from $\mathcal{N}(B)$ onto \mathcal{H} . Taking $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$

$$X_0 = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}(B) \\ \mathcal{N}(B)^\perp \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \mathcal{H},$$

we have the operator matrix

$$M_{X_0} = \begin{pmatrix} A & X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_1 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H} \\ \mathcal{N}(B) \\ \mathcal{N}(B)^\perp \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H} \\ \mathcal{K} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From the relation

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -X_1^{-1}A & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(B)$, we obtain that $0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(M_{X_0})$.

For the opposite inclusion, it suffices to prove that $0 \notin \bigcup_{k=1}^3 \Delta_k$ implies $0 \notin \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})} \sigma_{p,1}(M_X)$. Now we consider four cases.

Case 1: A and B are both injective. Obviously, M_X is injective for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ from Lemma 2.2 . Therefore $0 \notin \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})} \sigma_{p,1}(M_X)$.

Case 2: $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B)} \neq \mathcal{K}$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{R}(M_X)} \neq \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Therefore $0 \notin \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})} \sigma_{p,1}(M_X)$.

Case 3: B is surjective and $n(B) < d(A)$. Indeed, M_X as an operator from $\mathcal{N}(A)^\perp \oplus \mathcal{N}(A) \oplus \mathcal{N}(B)^\perp \oplus \mathcal{N}(B)$ into $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \oplus \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp \oplus \mathcal{K}$ admits the following block representation

$$M_X = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & X_1 & X_2 \\ 0 & 0 & X_3 & X_4 \\ 0 & 0 & B_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$, where $B_1 : \mathcal{N}(B)^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is invertible. Then there is an invertible operator

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & -X_1 B_1^{-1} \\ 0 & I & -X_3 B_1^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \\ \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp \\ \mathcal{K} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \\ \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp \\ \mathcal{K} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

such that

$$UM_X = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 & X_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & X_4 \\ 0 & 0 & B_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

In view of $n(B) < d(A)$, we see that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(X_4)} \neq \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp$. It follows from (4) that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(M_X)} \neq \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Then $0 \notin \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})} \sigma_{p,1}(M_X)$.

Case 4: A is injective, B is surjective and $n(B) = d(A) < \infty$. Then we have

$$M_X = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & X_1 & X_2 \\ 0 & X_3 & X_4 \\ 0 & B_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H} \\ \mathcal{N}(B)^\perp \\ \mathcal{N}(B) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \\ \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp \\ \mathcal{K} \end{pmatrix}$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$, where $B_1 : \mathcal{N}(B)^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is invertible. We also have

$$U \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & X_1 & X_2 \\ 0 & X_3 & X_4 \\ 0 & B_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & X_2 \\ 0 & 0 & X_4 \\ 0 & B_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where U as in (3). Note that $n(B) = d(A) < \infty$. If $X_4 : \mathcal{N}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp$ is noninjective, then $\overline{\mathcal{R}(X_4)} \neq \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp$, and hence $\overline{\mathcal{R}(M_X)} \neq \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$. If $X_4 : \mathcal{N}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp$ is injective, then M_X is injective. This implies that $0 \notin \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})} \sigma_{p,1}(M_X)$.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. Then,*

$$\sigma_{p,1}(M_X) \subseteq \sigma_{p,1}(A) \cup \sigma_{p,1}(B) \text{ for every } X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$$

if and only if $\sigma_{p,2}(A) \cap \sigma_c(B) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Sufficiency. By Theorem 2.4, we have

$$\bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{p,1}(M_X) \subseteq \sigma_{p,1}(A) \cup \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cup (\sigma_{p,2}(A) \cap \sigma_c(B)).$$

If $\sigma_{p,2}(A) \cap \sigma_c(B) = \emptyset$, then $\sigma_{p,1}(M_X) \subseteq \sigma_{p,1}(A) \cup \sigma_{p,1}(B)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$.

Necessity. Assume to the contrary that there exists $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(A) \cap \sigma_c(B)$. By Theorem 2.4, $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(M_{X_0})$ for some $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. This contradicts the assumption $\sigma_{p,1}(M_X) \subseteq \sigma_{p,1}(A) \cup \sigma_{p,1}(B)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$, since $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(A) \cap \sigma_c(B)$.

Corollary 2.6. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. Then,

$$\sigma_{p,1}(M_X) = \sigma_{p,1}(A) \cup \sigma_{p,1}(B) \quad \text{for every } X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$$

if and only if $\sigma_{p,2}(A) \cap \sigma_c(B) = \emptyset$, and the following statements are fulfilled:

- (i) $\lambda \in \sigma_{p,1}(A)$ implies $\lambda \in \rho(B) \cup \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cup \sigma_c(B)$;
- (ii) $\lambda \in \sigma_{p,1}(B)$ implies $\lambda \in \rho(A) \cup \sigma_{p,1}(A)$.

Proof. Sufficiency. Assume that $\sigma_{p,2}(A) \cap \sigma_c(B) = \emptyset$. By Corollary 2.5, we get $\sigma_{p,1}(M_X) \subseteq \sigma_{p,1}(A) \cup \sigma_{p,1}(B)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Now, we prove the opposite inclusion. Suppose that $\lambda = 0$. If $0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(A)$, then $0 \in \rho(B) \cup \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cup \sigma_c(B)$, and hence $0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ from the proof of Theorem 2.4. If $0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(B)$, then $0 \in \rho(A) \cup \sigma_{p,1}(A)$, and hence $0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Therefore, $\sigma_{p,1}(A) \cup \sigma_{p,1}(B) \subseteq \sigma_{p,1}(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$.

Necessity. Assume to the contrary that there exists $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, such that one of the assertions (i) and (ii) fails to hold. There are three cases to consider.

Case 1: $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(A)$ and $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(B) \cup \sigma_r(B)$. Take $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ by $X_0 = 0$. It is obvious that $\lambda_0 \notin \sigma_{p,1}(M_{X_0})$. This contradicts the assumption $\sigma_{p,1}(M_X) = \sigma_{p,1}(A) \cup \sigma_{p,1}(B)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$, since $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(A) \cup \sigma_{p,1}(B)$.

Case 2: $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(B)$ and $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(A) \cup \sigma_r(A)$. Take $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ by $X_0 = 0$. Then $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(M_{X_0})$, and hence $\lambda_0 \notin \sigma_{p,1}(M_{X_0})$.

Case 3: $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_c(A)$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists an infinite dimensional closed subspace $\Omega \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(A - \lambda_0)}$ such that $\Omega \cap \mathcal{R}(A - \lambda_0) = \{0\}$. then we may further define a unitary operator X_1 from $\mathcal{N}(B - \lambda_0)$ to some closed subspace of Ω . Take $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ by

$$X_0 = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}(B - \lambda_0) \\ \mathcal{N}(B - \lambda_0)^\perp \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \Omega \\ \Omega^\perp \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

Clearly, $M_{X_0} - \lambda_0$ is injective, and hence $\lambda_0 \notin \sigma_{p,1}(M_{X_0})$.

Theorem 2.7. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{p,2}(M_X) \\ &= \sigma_{p,2}(A) \cup \sigma_{p,2}(B) \cup (\sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_r(A)) \cup (\sigma_{p,1}(A) \cap \sigma_r(B)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we suppose that $\lambda = 0$. Let $0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(A) \cup \sigma_{p,2}(B) \cup (\sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_r(A)) \cup (\sigma_{p,1}(A) \cap \sigma_r(B))$. Define $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ by $X_0 = 0$. Clearly, we have $0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(M_{X_0})$.

Now, let $0 \notin \sigma_{p,2}(A) \cup \sigma_{p,2}(B) \cup (\sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_r(A)) \cup (\sigma_{p,1}(A) \cap \sigma_r(B))$. Then we consider two cases:

Case 1: A and B are injective. By Lemma 2.2, M_X is injective for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Therefore $0 \notin \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{p,2}(M_X)$.

Case 2: $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} = \mathcal{H}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B)} = \mathcal{K}$. By Lemma 2.3, $\overline{\mathcal{R}(M_X)} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Hence $0 \notin \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{p,2}(M_X)$.

Corollary 2.8. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. Then,

$$\sigma_{p,2}(M_X) \subseteq \sigma_{p,2}(A) \cup \sigma_{p,2}(B) \quad \text{for every } X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$$

if and only if $(\sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_r(A)) \cup (\sigma_{p,1}(A) \cap \sigma_r(B)) = \emptyset$.

Proof. In the similar way as the proof of Corollary 2.5, using Theorem 2.7, we get the desired result.

Corollary 2.9. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. Then,

$$\sigma_{p,2}(M_X) = \sigma_{p,2}(A) \cup \sigma_{p,2}(B) \quad \text{for every } X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$$

if and only if $(\sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_r(A)) \cup (\sigma_{p,1}(A) \cap \sigma_r(B)) = \emptyset$, and the following statements are fulfilled:

- (i) $\lambda \in \sigma_{p,2}(A)$ implies $\lambda \in \sigma_{p,2}(B) \cup \sigma_r(B) \cup \rho(B) \cup \{\lambda \in \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \rho_m(B) : n(B - \lambda) < d(A - \lambda)\}$;
- (ii) $\lambda \in \sigma_{p,2}(B)$ implies $\lambda \in \sigma_p(A) \cup \rho(A) \cup \{\lambda \in \sigma_{r,1}(A) : n(B - \lambda) > d(A - \lambda)\}$.

Proof. Sufficiency. Assume that $(\sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_r(A)) \cup (\sigma_{p,1}(A) \cap \sigma_r(B)) = \emptyset$ and assertions (i) and (ii) hold. By Corollary 2.8, we get $\sigma_{p,2}(M_X) \subseteq \sigma_{p,2}(A) \cup \sigma_{p,2}(B)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Now, we prove the opposite inclusion. Suppose that $\lambda = 0$. If $0 \in (\sigma_{p,2}(A) \cap \rho(B)) \cup (\sigma_{p,2}(B) \cap \rho(A))$, then $0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. If $0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(A)$ and $0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(B) \cup \sigma_r(B)$, then $0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$, since $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B)} \neq \mathcal{K}$. If $0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(A) \cap \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \rho_m(B)$ and $n(B) < d(A)$, then $\overline{\mathcal{R}(M_X)} \neq \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ from the proof of Case 3 of Theorem 2.4. Hence, $0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. If $0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(B) \cap \sigma_{r,1}(A)$ and $n(B) > d(A)$, then $0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(B^*) \cap \sigma_{p,1}(A^*) \cap \rho_m(A^*)$ and $n(A^*) < d(B^*)$, and hence $0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(M_X^*)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ from the above discussion. Therefore, $\sigma_{p,2}(A) \cup \sigma_{p,2}(B) \subseteq \sigma_{p,2}(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$.

Necessity. Assume not, and let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, but one of the assertions (i) and (ii) fails to hold. There are four cases to consider.

Case 1: $\lambda_0 \in (\sigma_{p,2}(A) \cap \sigma_c(B)) \cup (\sigma_{p,2}(A) \cap \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_m(B))$. By Theorem 2.4, $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(M_{X_0})$ for some $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ and hence $\lambda_0 \notin \sigma_{p,2}(M_{X_0})$. This contradicts the assumption $\sigma_{p,2}(M_X) = \sigma_{p,2}(A) \cup \sigma_{p,2}(B)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$, since $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(A) \cup \sigma_{p,2}(B)$.

Case 2: $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(A) \cap \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \rho_m(B)$ and $n(B - \lambda_0) \geq d(A - \lambda_0)$. By Theorem 2.4, $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(M_{X_0})$ for some $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ and hence $\lambda_0 \notin \sigma_{p,2}(M_{X_0})$.

Case 3: $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(B) \cap (\sigma_c(A) \cup \sigma_{r,2}(A))$. Use the operator X_0 defined as in (5). Then M_{X_0} is injective, and hence $\lambda_0 \notin \sigma_{p,2}(M_{X_0})$.

Case 4: $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(B) \cap \sigma_{r,1}(A)$ and $n(B - \lambda_0) \leq d(A - \lambda_0)$. then we may further define a unitary operator X_1 from $\mathcal{N}(B - \lambda_0)$ to some closed subspace of $\mathcal{R}(A - \lambda_0)^\perp$. Take $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ by

$$X_0 = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}(B - \lambda_0) \\ \mathcal{N}(B - \lambda_0)^\perp \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(A - \lambda_0)^\perp \\ \mathcal{R}(A - \lambda_0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then M_{X_0} is injective, and hence $\lambda_0 \notin \sigma_{p,2}(M_{X_0})$.

Corollary 2.10. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$, then

$$\sigma_p(A) \cup \sigma_p(B) = \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{p,1}(M_X) \cup \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{p,2}(M_X).$$

Corollary 2.11. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. Then $\lambda \in \sigma_{p,1}(M_{X_1})$ and $\lambda \in \sigma_{p,2}(M_{X_2})$ for certain $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$, if and only if one of the statements (a)–(f) is fulfilled:

- (a) $\lambda \in (\sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_m(B) \cap \sigma_r(A))$;
- (b) $\lambda \in (\sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_m(B) \cap \sigma_{p,2}(A)) \cup (\sigma_{p,2}(A) \cap \sigma_c(B))$;
- (c) $\lambda \in \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_r(A)$ and $n(B - \lambda) > d(A - \lambda)$;
- (d) $\lambda \in \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_{p,2}(A)$ and $n(B - \lambda) \geq d(A - \lambda)$;
- (e) $\lambda \in \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_r(A)$ and $n(B - \lambda) = \infty$;
- (f) $\lambda \in \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_{p,2}(A)$ and $n(B - \lambda) = \infty$.

Proof. The result is immediately from Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.7.

Theorem 2.12. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$, then

$$\bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{r,1}(M_X) = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \Delta_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= (\sigma_{r,1}(A) \cap \rho(B)) \cup (\sigma_{r,1}(B) \cap \rho(A)) \cup (\sigma_{r,1}(A) \cap \sigma_{r,1}(B)), \\ \Delta_2 &= \{\lambda \in \sigma_{r,1}(A) \cap \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \rho_m(B) : n(B - \lambda) < d(A - \lambda) < \infty\} \\ &\quad \cup \{\lambda \in \sigma_{r,1}(A) \cap \sigma_{p,2}(B) \cap \rho_m(B) : n(B - \lambda) \leq d(A - \lambda) < \infty\}, \\ \Delta_3 &= \{\lambda \in \sigma_{r,1}(A) : d(A - \lambda) = \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, we prove that $\bigcup_{k=1}^3 \Delta_k \subseteq \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{r,1}(M_X)$. Without loss of generality, we suppose that $\lambda = 0$.

Let $0 \in \Delta_1$. If $0 \in (\sigma_{r,1}(A) \cap \rho(B)) \cup (\sigma_{r,1}(B) \cap \rho(A))$, then we clearly have $0 \in \sigma_{r,1}(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. If $0 \in \sigma_{r,1}(A) \cap \sigma_{r,1}(B)$, then M_X as an operator from $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ into $\mathcal{R}(A) \oplus \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp \oplus \mathcal{R}(B) \oplus \mathcal{R}(B)^\perp$ admits the following block representation

$$M_X = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & X_1 \\ 0 & X_2 \\ 0 & B_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Clearly, $A_1 : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(A)$ and $B_1 : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(B)$ are invertible. Thus there is an invertible operator

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & -X_1 B_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I & -X_2 B_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(A) \\ \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp \\ \mathcal{R}(B) \\ \mathcal{R}(B)^\perp \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(A) \\ \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp \\ \mathcal{R}(B) \\ \mathcal{R}(B)^\perp \end{pmatrix}$$

such that

$$UM_X = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This shows that $0 \in \sigma_{r,1}(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$.

Let $0 \in \Delta_2$. Since $n(B) \leq d(A)$, then there exists a finite dimensional subspace Ω of $\mathcal{R}(A)^\perp$ such that $\dim \Omega = n(B)$ and $\mathcal{R}(A)^\perp = \Omega \oplus \Omega^\perp$. Define $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ by

$$X_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}(B) \\ \mathcal{N}(B)^\perp \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(A) \\ \Omega \\ \Omega^\perp \end{pmatrix},$$

where $X_1 : \mathcal{N}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp$ is a unitary operator. Then, M_{X_0} can be written as

$$M_{X_0} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_1 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H} \\ \mathcal{N}(B) \\ \mathcal{N}(B)^\perp \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(A) \\ \Omega \\ \Omega^\perp \\ \mathcal{K} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly $A_1 : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(A)$ is invertible and $B_1 : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(B)$ is left invertible. It is easy to see that M_{X_0} is injective and $\mathcal{R}(M_{X_0})$ is closed. On the other hand, since $n(B) < d(A)$ or $0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(B)$, it follows that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(M_{X_0})} \neq \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$. Hence $0 \in \sigma_{r,1}(M_{X_0})$.

Let $0 \in \Delta_3$. Then one can define a unitary operator X_1 from \mathcal{K} onto $\mathcal{R}(A)^\perp$. Taking

$$X_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ X_1 \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \\ \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp \end{pmatrix},$$

it is easy to check that $0 \in \sigma_{r,1}(M_{X_0})$.

For the opposite inclusion, it suffices to prove that $0 \notin \bigcup_{k=1}^3 \Delta_k$ implies $0 \notin \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{r,1}(M_X)$. Now we consider three cases.

Case 1: A is not left invertible. Obviously, M_X is not left invertible for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Therefore $0 \notin \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{r,1}(M_X)$.

Case 2: A is left invertible, $\mathcal{R}(B)$ is not closed and $d(A - \lambda) < \infty$. Then for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$, M_X as an operator from $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ into $\mathcal{R}(A) \oplus \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp \oplus \mathcal{K}$ admits the following block representation

$$M_X = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & X_1 \\ 0 & X_2 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A_1 : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(A)$ is invertible. So, we obtain

$$M_X \begin{pmatrix} I & -A_1^{-1}X_1 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & X_2 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that X_2 is a finite rank operator. Therefore $0 \in \sigma_m(B)$ leads to $0 \in \sigma_m(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$, and hence $0 \notin \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{r,1}(M_X)$.

Case 3: A is left invertible, $\mathcal{R}(B)$ is closed and $n(B) > d(A)$. Then, M_X admits the following block representation

$$M_X = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & X_1 & X_2 \\ 0 & X_3 & X_4 \\ 0 & B_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H} \\ \mathcal{N}(B) \\ \mathcal{N}(B)^\perp \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(A) \\ \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp \\ \mathcal{K} \end{pmatrix} \tag{6}$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Clearly, $A_1 : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(A)$ is invertible. Thus the invertible operator $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{N}(B) \oplus \mathcal{N}(B)^\perp)$ given by

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} I & -A_1^{-1}X_1 & -A_1^{-1}X_2 \\ 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \tag{7}$$

is such that

$$M_X V = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X_3 & X_4 \\ 0 & B_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

From $n(B) > d(A)$, X_4 is noninjective, and hence M_X is noninjective for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Therefore, $0 \notin \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{r,1}(M_X)$.

Corollary 2.13. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. Then,*

$$\sigma_{r,1}(M_X) \subseteq \sigma_{r,1}(A) \cup \sigma_{r,1}(B) \quad \text{for every } X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}).$$

Corollary 2.14. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. Then,*

$$\sigma_{r,1}(M_X) = \sigma_{r,1}(A) \cup \sigma_{r,1}(B) \quad \text{for every } X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$$

if and only if the following statements are fulfilled:

- (i) $\lambda \in \sigma_{r,1}(A)$ implies $\lambda \in \rho(B) \cup \sigma_{r,1}(B)$;
- (ii) $\lambda \in \sigma_{r,1}(B)$ implies $\lambda \in \rho(A) \cup \sigma_{r,1}(A)$.

Proof. Sufficiency. By Corollary 2.13, we only need to prove $\sigma_{r,1}(A) \cup \sigma_{r,1}(B) \subseteq \sigma_{r,1}(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Suppose that $\lambda = 0$. If $0 \in (\sigma_{r,1}(A) \cap \rho(B)) \cup (\sigma_{r,1}(B) \cap \rho(A)) \cup (\sigma_{r,1}(A) \cap \sigma_{r,1}(B))$, then $0 \in \sigma_{r,1}(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ from the proof of Theorem 2.12. Therefore, $\sigma_{r,1}(A) \cup \sigma_{r,1}(B) \subseteq \sigma_{r,1}(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$.

Necessity. Assume not, and let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, but one of the assertions (i) and (ii) fails to hold. Take $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ by $X_0 = 0$. Then $\lambda_0 \notin \sigma_{r,1}(M_{X_0})$. This contradicts the assumption $\sigma_{r,1}(M_X) = \sigma_{r,1}(A) \cup \sigma_{r,1}(B)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$, since $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{r,1}(A) \cup \sigma_{r,1}(B)$.

Theorem 2.15. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$, then

$$\bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{r,2}(M_X) = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \Delta_3 \cup \Delta_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= \sigma_{r,2}(A) \cup (\sigma_c(A) \cap \sigma_{p,2}(B)) \cup (\sigma_c(A) \cap \sigma_r(B)), \\ \Delta_2 &= (\rho(A) \cap \sigma_{r,2}(B)) \cup (\sigma_{r,1}(A) \cap \sigma_c(B)) \cup (\sigma_{r,1}(A) \cap \sigma_{r,2}(B)), \\ \Delta_3 &= \{\lambda \in \sigma_{r,1}(A) \cap \sigma_m(B) : d(A - \lambda) = \infty\} \\ &\quad \cup \{\lambda \in \sigma_{r,1}(A) \cap \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_m(B) : n(B - \lambda) < d(A - \lambda) < \infty\} \\ &\quad \cup \{\lambda \in \sigma_{r,1}(A) \cap \sigma_{p,2}(B) \cap \sigma_m(B) : n(B - \lambda) \leq d(A - \lambda) < \infty\}, \\ \Delta_4 &= \{\lambda \in \sigma_{r,1}(A) \cap \rho_m(B) : n(B - \lambda) = d(A - \lambda) = \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, we prove that $\bigcup_{k=1}^4 \Delta_k \subseteq \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{r,2}(M_X)$. We suppose that $\lambda = 0$. Let $0 \in \Delta_1$. Then, by

Lemma 2.1, there exists an infinite dimensional closed subspace $\Omega \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$ such that $\Omega \cap \mathcal{R}(A) = \{0\}$. If $0 \in (\sigma_{r,2}(A) \cap \sigma_p(B)) \cup (\sigma_c(A) \cap \sigma_{p,2}(B))$, then we may further define a unitary operator X_1 from $\mathcal{N}(B)$ to some closed subspace of Ω . Taking

$$X_0 = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}(B) \\ \mathcal{N}(B)^\perp \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \Omega \\ \Omega^\perp \end{pmatrix},$$

we have the operator matrix

$$M_{X_0} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & X_1 & 0 \\ A_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_1 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H} \\ \mathcal{N}(B) \\ \mathcal{N}(B)^\perp \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \Omega \\ \Omega^\perp \\ \mathcal{K} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, X_1 and B_1 are injective, and so by $\Omega \cap \mathcal{R}(A) = \{0\}$, one can see that M_{X_0} is injective. On the other hand, from $0 \in \sigma_{r,2}(A) \cup \sigma_{p,2}(B)$, we have that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(M_{X_0})} \neq \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$. Now $0 \in \sigma_m(M_{X_0})$ follows from the fact that $0 \in \sigma_m(A)$. Therefore $0 \in \sigma_{r,2}(M_{X_0})$. If $0 \in (\sigma_{r,2}(A) \setminus \sigma_p(B)) \cup (\sigma_c(A) \cap \sigma_r(B))$, then define $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ by $X_0 = 0$. Clearly, $0 \in \sigma_{r,2}(M_{X_0})$.

Let $0 \in \Delta_2$. Define $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ by $X_0 = 0$. Clearly, $0 \in \sigma_{r,2}(M_{X_0})$.

Let $0 \in \Delta_3$. If $n(B) < \infty$, then there exists a closed subspace Ω of $\mathcal{R}(A)^\perp$ such that $\dim \Omega = n(B)$ and $\mathcal{R}(A)^\perp = \Omega \oplus \Omega^\perp$. Define $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ by

$$X_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}(B) \\ \mathcal{N}(B)^\perp \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(A) \\ \Omega \\ \Omega^\perp \end{pmatrix},$$

where $X_1 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp$ is a unitary operator. Then, M_{X_0} can be written as

$$M_{X_0} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_1 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H} \\ \mathcal{N}(B) \\ \mathcal{N}(B)^\perp \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(A) \\ \Omega \\ \Omega^\perp \\ \mathcal{K} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, M_{X_0} is injective. Since $n(B) < d(A)$ or $0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(B)$, it follows that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(M_{X_0})} \neq \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$. Note that $0 \in \sigma_m(B)$, then $0 \in \sigma_m(M_{X_0})$. Therefore $0 \in \sigma_{r,2}(M_{X_0})$. If $n(B) = d(A) = \infty$, then we may further define a unitary operator X_1 from $\mathcal{N}(B)$ onto $\mathcal{R}(A)^\perp$. Taking $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$

$$X_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ X_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}(B) \\ \mathcal{N}(B)^\perp \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(A) \\ \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp \end{pmatrix}, \tag{9}$$

we can verify that $0 \in \sigma_{r,2}(M_{X_0})$.

Let $0 \in \Delta_4$. Since $n(B) = d(A) = \infty$, then there is an operator $X_1 : \mathcal{N}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp$ such that $\mathcal{N}(X_1) = 0$, $\mathcal{R}(X_1) \neq \overline{\mathcal{R}(X_1)} = \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp$. Define $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ as in (9). It is easy to check that $0 \in \sigma_{r,2}(M_{X_0})$.

For the opposite inclusion, it suffices to prove that $0 \notin \bigcup_{k=1}^4 \Delta_k$ implies $0 \notin \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{r,2}(M_X)$. Now we consider four cases.

Case 1: $0 \in \sigma_p(A)$ or $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} = \mathcal{H}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B)} = \mathcal{K}$. Obviously, $0 \in \sigma_p(M_X)$ or $\overline{\mathcal{R}(M_X)} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Hence, $0 \notin \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{r,2}(M_X)$.

Case 2: A is left invertible and $n(B) > d(A)$. From the proof of Case 2 of Theorem 2.12, we obtain that M_X is noninjective for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Therefore, $0 \notin \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{r,2}(M_X)$.

Case 3: A is left invertible, $\mathcal{R}(B)$ is not closed, $\overline{\mathcal{R}(B)} = \mathcal{K}$ and $n(B) = d(A) < \infty$. Then M_X has the matrix form as in (6) for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Also, the relation (8) holds true. If X_4 in (8) is injective, then $\overline{\mathcal{R}(M_X)} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$; if X_4 in (8) is noninjective, then M_X is noninjective. Hence, $0 \notin \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{r,2}(M_X)$.

Case 4: A is left invertible, $\mathcal{R}(B)$ is closed, and $n(B) < \infty$ or $d(A) < \infty$. Then, M_X admits the following block representation

$$M_X = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & X_1 & X_2 \\ 0 & X_3 & X_4 \\ 0 & B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H} \\ \mathcal{N}(B) \\ \mathcal{N}(B)^\perp \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(A) \\ \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp \\ \mathcal{R}(B) \\ \mathcal{R}(B)^\perp \end{pmatrix}$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Clearly, $A_1 : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(A)$ and $B_1 : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(B)$ are invertible. Thus there exists the invertible operators

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & -X_3 B_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(A) \\ \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp \\ \mathcal{R}(B) \\ \mathcal{R}(B)^\perp \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}(A) \\ \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp \\ \mathcal{R}(B) \\ \mathcal{R}(B)^\perp \end{pmatrix}$$

and V as in (7) such that

$$UM_X V = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X_4 \\ 0 & B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In view of $n(B) < \infty$ or $d(A) < \infty$, we see that X_4 is a finite rank operator. It follows from $\mathcal{R}(M_X)$ is closed for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Therefore, $0 \notin \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{r,2}(M_X)$.

Corollary 2.16. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. Then,*

$$\sigma_{r,2}(M_X) \subseteq \sigma_{r,2}(A) \cup \sigma_{r,2}(B) \quad \text{for every } X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$$

if and only if $(\sigma_c(A) \cap \sigma_{p,2}(B)) \cup (\sigma_c(A) \cap \sigma_{r,1}(B)) \cup (\sigma_{r,1}(A) \cap \sigma_c(B)) \cup \Delta_3 \cup \Delta_4 = \emptyset$, where Δ_3 and Δ_4 as in Theorem 2.15.

Proof. In the similar way as the proof of Corollary 2.5, using Theorem 2.15, we obtain the desired result.

Corollary 2.17. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. Then,*

$$\sigma_{r,2}(M_X) = \sigma_{r,2}(A) \cup \sigma_{r,2}(B) \quad \text{for every } X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$$

if and only if $(\sigma_c(A) \cap \sigma_{p,2}(B)) \cup (\sigma_c(A) \cap \sigma_{r,1}(B)) \cup (\sigma_{r,1}(A) \cap \sigma_c(B)) \cup \Delta_3 \cup \Delta_4 = \emptyset$, and the following statements are fulfilled:

- (i) $\lambda \in \sigma_{r,2}(A)$ implies $\lambda \in \sigma_r(B) \cup \rho(B)$;
- (ii) $\lambda \in \sigma_{r,2}(B)$ implies $\lambda \in \sigma_c(A) \cup \sigma_{r,2}(A) \cup \rho(A) \cup \{\lambda \in \sigma_{r,1}(A) : d(A - \lambda) < \infty\}$, where Δ_3 and Δ_4 as in Theorem 2.15.

Proof. Sufficiency. By Corollary 2.16, we get $\sigma_{r,2}(M_X) \subseteq \sigma_{r,2}(A) \cup \sigma_{r,2}(B)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Now, we prove the opposite inclusion. Assume that $\lambda = 0$. If $0 \in (\sigma_{r,2}(A) \cap \rho(B)) \cup (\sigma_{r,2}(B) \cap \rho(A))$, then $0 \in \sigma_{r,2}(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. If $0 \in \sigma_{r,2}(A) \cap \sigma_r(B)$, then $0 \in \sigma_r(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. This, together with $0 \in \sigma_{r,2}(A) \subseteq \sigma_l(A) \subseteq \sigma_l(M_X)$ implies that $0 \in \sigma_{r,2}(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Similarly, if $0 \in \sigma_c(A) \cap \sigma_{r,2}(B)$, then $0 \in \sigma_{r,2}(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Now let $0 \in \sigma_{r,2}(B) \cap \sigma_{r,1}(A)$ and $d(A) < \infty$. Then we get $0 \in \sigma_m(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ from the proof of Case 2 of Theorem 2.12. This implies that $0 \in \sigma_{r,2}(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. Therefore, $\sigma_{r,2}(A) \cup \sigma_{r,2}(B) \subseteq \sigma_{r,2}(M_X)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$.

Necessity. Assume to the contrary that there exists $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, such that one of the assertions (i) and (ii) fails to hold. There are three possible cases.

Case 1: $\lambda_0 \in (\sigma_{r,2}(A) \cap \sigma_p(B)) \cup (\sigma_{r,2}(B) \cap \sigma_p(A))$. Take $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ by $X_0 = 0$. Then $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_p(M_{X_0})$, and hence $\lambda_0 \notin \sigma_{r,2}(M_{X_0})$. This contradicts the assumption $\sigma_{r,2}(M_X) = \sigma_{r,2}(A) \cup \sigma_{r,2}(B)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$, since $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{r,2}(A) \cup \sigma_{r,2}(B)$.

Case 2: $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{r,2}(A) \cap \sigma_c(B)$. This implies that $\overline{\lambda_0} \in \sigma_{p,1}(A^*) \cap \sigma_c(B^*)$. From the proof of Case 3 of Corollary 2.6, we obtain $M_{X_0}^* - \overline{\lambda_0}$ is injective for some $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$, and hence $\overline{\mathcal{R}(M_{X_0})} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K}$. Therefore $\lambda_0 \notin \sigma_{r,2}(M_{X_0})$.

Case 3: $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{r,2}(B) \cap \sigma_{r,1}(A)$ and $d(A - \lambda_0) = \infty$. By Theorem 2.12, we obtain $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{r,1}(M_{X_0})$ for some $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$, and hence $\lambda_0 \notin \sigma_{r,2}(M_{X_0})$. Therefore $\lambda_0 \notin \sigma_{r,2}(M_{X_0})$.

Remark 2.18. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. From [6, Lemma1], we get that $\sigma(M_X) \subseteq \sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B)$ for every $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. But the inclusion is not true for 1,2-point spectrum and 2-residual spectrum.

Remark 2.19. A description of the set $\bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_r(M_X)$ was given in [13] (see (1)). From Theorem 2.12 and Theorem 2.15, we obtain that

$$\bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_r(M_X) = \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{r,1}(M_X) \cup \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})} \sigma_{r,2}(M_X).$$

Corollary 2.20. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$. Then $\lambda \in \sigma_{r,1}(M_{X_1})$ and $\lambda \in \sigma_{r,2}(M_{X_2})$ for certain $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$, if and only if one of the statements (a)–(e) is fulfilled:*

- (a) $\lambda \in \sigma_{r,1}(A) \cap \rho_m(B)$ and $n(B - \lambda) = d(A - \lambda) = \infty$;
- (b) $\lambda \in \sigma_{r,1}(A) \cap \sigma_m(B)$ and $d(A - \lambda) = \infty$.

Proof. The result is immediately from Theorem 2.12 and Theorem 2.15.

We conclude this section with two illustrating examples of the previous results.

Example 2.21. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K} = \ell^2$. Consider the operators $A \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ defined by

$$Ax = (0, x_1, \frac{x_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{x_3}{\sqrt{3}}, \dots), \quad Bx = (x_3, x_4, x_5, \dots)$$

for $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \in \ell^2$. Then, we claim there exist $X_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ and $X_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ such that $0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(M_{X_1})$ and $0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(M_{X_2})$.

Indeed, it is clear that $0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(B) \cap \sigma_r(A)$ and $2 = n(B) > d(A) = 1$. By Corollary 2.11, we obtain that there exist $X_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ and $X_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ such that $0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(M_{X_1}) \cap \sigma_{p,2}(M_{X_2})$. In fact, if taking $X_2 = 0$ and $X_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ by

$$X_1 x = (x_1, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

for $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \in \ell^2$, we immediately see $0 \in \sigma_{p,1}(M_{X_1})$ and $0 \in \sigma_{p,2}(M_{X_2})$.

Example 2.22. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K} = \ell^2$. Consider the operators $A \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ defined by

$$Ax = (x_1, 0, x_2, 0, x_3, 0, \dots), \quad Bx = (x_1, x_3, x_5, \dots)$$

for $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \in \ell^2$. Then, we claim there exist $X_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ and $X_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ such that $0 \in \sigma_{r,1}(M_{X_1})$ and $0 \in \sigma_{r,2}(M_{X_2})$.

Direct calculations show that $0 \in \sigma_{r,1}(A) \cap \rho_m(B)$ and $n(B) = d(A) = \infty$. By Corollary 2.20, there exist $X_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ and $X_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ such that $0 \in \sigma_{r,1}(M_{X_1}) \cap \sigma_{r,2}(M_{X_2})$. In fact, define $X_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ and $X_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 x &= (0, 0, 0, x_2, 0, x_4, 0, x_6, \dots), \\ X_2 x &= (0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}x_2, 0, \frac{1}{4}x_4, 0, \frac{1}{6}x_6, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

for $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \in \ell^2$. Then we can check that $0 \in \sigma_{r,1}(M_{X_1})$ and $0 \in \sigma_{r,2}(M_{X_2})$.

References

- [1] A. Ben-Israel, T.N.E. Greville, Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications (2nd ed), Springer, New York, 2003.
- [2] J.B. Conway, A Course in Functional Analysis, Springer, New York, 1985.
- [3] M. Barraa, M. Boumazgour, A note on the spectrum of an upper triangular operator matrices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2003) 3083–3088.
- [4] C. Benhida, E. H. Zerouali, H. Zguitti, Spectra of upper triangular operator matrices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (2005) 3013–3020.
- [5] X. Cao, Browder spectra for upper triangular operator matrices, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 342 (2008) 477–484.
- [6] D.S. Djordjević, Perturbations of spectra of operator matrices, J. Operator Theory. 48 (2002) 467–486.
- [7] S.V. Djordjević, Y.M. Han, A note on Weyl's theorem for operator matrices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2002) 2543–2547.
- [8] S.V. Djordjević, ZguittiH, Essential point spectra of operator matrices through local spectral theory, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 338 (2008) 285–291.
- [9] D.S. Cvetković-Ilić, The point, residual and continuous spectrum of an upper triangular operator matrix, Linear Algebra Appl. 459 (2014) 357–367.
- [10] H.K. Du, J. Pan, Perturbation of spectrums of 2×2 operator matrices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 121 (1994) 761–766.
- [11] J. K. Han, H. Y. Lee, W. Y. Lee, Invertible completions of 2×2 upper triangular operator matrices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000) 119–123.
- [12] I. Hwang, W. Lee, The boundedness below of 2×2 upper triangular operator matrices, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory. 39 (2001) 267–276.
- [13] G. Hai, A. Chen, The residual spectrum and continuous spectrum of upper triangular operator matrices, Filomat. 28 (2014) 65–71.
- [14] Y. Li, X. Sun, H. Du. The intersection of left (right) spectra of 2×2 upper triangular operator matrices, Linear Algebra Appl., 418 (2006) 112–121.
- [15] Y. Li, H.K. Du, The intersection of essential approximate point spectra of operator matrices, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 323 (2006) 1171–1183.
- [16] J. Huang, Y. Huang, H. Wang, Closed range and Fredholm properties of upper-triangular operator matrices, Ann. Funct. Anal. 6 (2015) 42–52.
- [17] X. Wu, J. Huang, A. Chen, Self-adjoint perturbations of spectra for upper triangular operator matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 531 (2017) 1–21.
- [18] S. Zhang, Z. Wu, H. Zhong, Continuous spectrum, point spectrum and residual spectrum of operator matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 433 (2010) 653–661.
- [19] E.H. Zerouali, H. Zguitti. Perturbation of spectra of operator matrices and local spectral theory, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 324 (2006) 992–1005.