



Classes of Operators Related to 2-Isometric Operators

Fei Zuo^a, Junli Shen^b, Alatancang Chen^c

^aCollege of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, China.

^bCollege of Computer and Information Technology, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, China.

^cSchool of Mathematical Science, Inner Mongolia Normal University, Hohhot 010022, China.

Abstract. We introduce the class of quasi-square-2-isometric operators on a complex separable Hilbert space. This class extends the class of 2-isometric operators due to Agler and Stankus. An operator T is said to be quasi-square-2-isometric if $T^*5T^5 - 2T^*3T^3 + T^*T = 0$. In this paper, we give operator matrix representation of quasi-square-2-isometric operator in order to obtain spectral properties of this operator. In particular, we show that the function σ is continuous on the class of all quasi-square-2-isometric operators. Under the hypothesis $\sigma(T) \cap (-\sigma(T)) = \emptyset$, we also prove that if $E_T(\{\lambda\})$ is the Riesz idempotent for an isolated point of the spectrum of quasi-square-2-isometric operator, then $E_T(\{\lambda\})$ is self-adjoint.

1. Introduction

Let $B(H)$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on an infinite dimensional complex separable Hilbert space H . If $T \in B(H)$, we shall write $N(T)$ and $R(T)$ for the null space and the range space of T , and also, write $\sigma(T)$, $\sigma_a(T)$, and $\text{iso}\sigma(T)$ for the spectrum, the approximate point spectrum and the isolated point of the spectrum of T , respectively. In [3] Agler derived certain disconjugacy and Sturm-Liouville results for a subclass of the Toeplitz operators. These results were suggested by the study of operators $T \in B(H)$ which satisfy the equation,

$$T^*2T^2 - 2T^*T + I = 0.$$

Such T are called 2-isometric operators, which are natural generalizations of isometric operators ($T^*T = I$). It is known that an isometric operator is a 2-isometric operator. 2-isometric operators have been studied by many authors and they have many interesting properties (see [3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 14, 17, 21]), for example, if $T \in B(H)$ is a 2-isometric operator, then T^n is also a 2-isometric operator for any positive integer n , $\sigma_p(T)$ for the point spectrum of T is a subset of the boundary $\partial\mathbb{D}$ of the unit disc \mathbb{D} (in the complex plane \mathbb{C}), $\sigma(T) \subseteq \partial\mathbb{D}$ whenever T is invertible, $\sigma(T)$ is the closure $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ of \mathbb{D} whenever T is not invertible.

Definition 1.1. An operator T is said to be square-2-isometric if $T^*4T^4 - 2T^*2T^2 + I = 0$, and quasi-square-2-isometric if $T^*5T^5 - 2T^*3T^3 + T^*T = 0$.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47B20; Secondary 47A10

Keywords. Square-2-isometric operator, Quasi-square-2-isometric operator, Spectral continuity, Invariant subspace, Riesz idempotent

Received: 15 December 2020; Accepted: 04 June 2022

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Research supported by the National Research Project Cultivation Foundation of Henan Normal University (20210372).

Email addresses: zuofei2008@sina.com (Fei Zuo), zuoyawen1215@126.com (Junli Shen), alatanca@imu.edu.cn (Alatancang Chen)

It is clear that the class of 2-isometric operators \subseteq the class of square-2-isometric operators \subseteq the class of quasi-square-2-isometric operators.

Example 1.2. Let $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a canonical orthogonal basis for l_2 and $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence of nonnegative numbers. The corresponding unilateral weighted shift operator on l_2 is defined by $T_\alpha e_n = \alpha_n e_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Straightforward calculations show that the following statements hold:

- (1) T_α is a 2-isometric operator $\iff \alpha_n^2 \alpha_{n+1}^2 - 2\alpha_n^2 + 1 = 0$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$);
- (2) T_α is a square-2-isometric operator $\iff \alpha_n^2 \alpha_{n+1}^2 \alpha_{n+2}^2 \alpha_{n+3}^2 - 2\alpha_n^2 \alpha_{n+1}^2 + 1 = 0$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$);
- (3) T_α is a quasi-square-2-isometric operator $\iff \alpha_n^2 \alpha_{n+1}^2 \alpha_{n+2}^2 \alpha_{n+3}^2 - 2\alpha_n^2 \alpha_{n+1}^2 + 1 = 0$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$).

If $\sqrt{3} = \alpha_0 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_6 = \dots$ and $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} = \alpha_1 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_5 = \dots$, then T_α is a square-2-isometric operator but not a 2-isometric operator.

If $2 = \alpha_0, 1 = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \dots$, then T_α is a quasi-square-2-isometric operator but not a square-2-isometric operator.

For every $T \in B(H)$, the function $\sigma : T \mapsto \sigma(T)$ is upper semi-continuous, but fails to be continuous in general. Conway and Morrel [10] made a detailed study of spectral continuity in $B(H)$. Duggal, Jeon and Kim [12] proved that the spectrum is continuous on the classes of $*$ -paranormal and paranormal operators. We obtain an analogous result for quasi-square-2-isometric operators. A subspace M is called an invariant subspace for the operator $T \in B(H)$ if $TM \subseteq M$. It is not known that whether or not every operator has a nontrivial invariant subspace (i.e., other than the zero subspace and the entire space). Brown [7] proved that subnormal operators do have nontrivial invariant subspaces. In this paper, we show that every quasi-square-2-isometric operator has a nontrivial invariant subspace. Let $\lambda \in \text{iso}\sigma(T)$. Then the Riesz idempotent of T with respect to λ is defined by $E_T(\{\lambda\}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} (\mu - T)^{-1} d\mu$, where D is a closed disk centered at λ which contains no other points of the spectrum of T . Stampfli [19] showed that if T is hyponormal, then $E_T(\{\lambda\})$ is self-adjoint and $R(E_T(\{\lambda\})) = N(T - \lambda I) = N(T - \lambda I)^*$. Recently, Mecheri [16] obtained Stampfli’s result for 2-isometric operator. Under the hypothesis $\sigma(T) \cap (-\sigma(T)) = \emptyset$, we extend Stampfli’s result to quasi-square-2-isometric operator.

2. Preliminaries

An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to have the single valued extension property at $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ (abbrev. SVEP at λ_0), if for every open neighborhood G of λ_0 , the only analytic function $f : G \rightarrow H$ which satisfies the equation $(\lambda I - T)f(\lambda) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in G$ is the function $f \equiv 0$. An operator T is said to have SVEP if T has SVEP at every point $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. For $T \in B(H)$ and $x \in H$, the set $\rho_T(x)$ is defined to consist of elements $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that there exists an analytic function $f(z)$ defined in a neighborhood of z_0 , with values in H , which verifies $(T - z)f(z) = x$, and it is called the local resolvent set of T at x . We denote the complement of $\rho_T(x)$ by $\sigma_T(x)$, called the local spectrum of T at x , and define the local spectral subspace of T , $H_T(F) = \{x \in H : \sigma_T(x) \subset F\}$ for each subset F of \mathbb{C} . An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to have Bishop’s property (β) if for every open subset G of \mathbb{C} and every sequence $f_n : G \rightarrow H$ of H -valued analytic functions such that $(T - z)f_n(z)$ converges uniformly to 0 in norm on compact subsets of G , $f_n(z)$ converges uniformly to 0 in norm on compact subsets of G . An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to have Dunford’s property (C) if $H_T(F)$ is closed for each closed subset F of \mathbb{C} . An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to have property (δ) if for every open covering (U, V) of \mathbb{C} , we have $H = H_T(\bar{U}) + H_T(\bar{V})$. An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to be decomposable if T has both Dunford’s property (C) and property (δ). It is well known that

$$\text{decomposable} \implies \text{Bishop’s property } (\beta) \implies \text{SVEP}.$$

An important subspace in local spectral theory is $H_T(\{\lambda\})$ associated with the singleton set $\{\lambda\}$. We have $H_T(\{\lambda\})$ coincides with the quasi-nilpotent part $H_0(T - \lambda I)$ of $T - \lambda I$, defined as

$$H_0(T - \lambda I) := \{x \in H : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T - \lambda I)^n x\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0\}.$$

3. square-2-isometric operator

Lemma 3.1. *A power of a square-2-isometric operator is again a square-2-isometric operator.*

Proof. Let T be a square-2-isometric operator. Then $T^{*4}T^4 - T^{*2}T^2 = T^{*2}T^2 - I$. This, in turn, shows that $T^{*6}T^6 - T^{*4}T^4 = T^{*2}T^2 - I$ and more generally,

$$T^{*2n+2}T^{2n+2} - T^{*2n}T^{2n} = T^{*2}T^2 - I$$

for all positive integers n . Now we prove the assertion by using the mathematical induction. Since T is a square-2-isometric operator, the result is true for $n = 1$. Now assume that the result is true for $n = k$, i.e.,

$$T^{*4k}T^{4k} - 2T^{*2k}T^{2k} + I = 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & T^{*4(k+1)}T^{4(k+1)} - 2T^{*2(k+1)}T^{2(k+1)} + I \\ &= T^{*4}T^{*4k}T^{4k}T^4 - 2T^{*2}T^{*2k}T^{2k}T^2 + I \\ &= T^{*4}(2T^{*2k}T^{2k} - I)T^4 - 2T^{*2}T^{*2k}T^{2k}T^2 + I \\ &= 2T^{*4}T^{*2k}T^{2k}T^4 - 2T^{*2}T^{*2k}T^{2k}T^2 - T^{*4}T^4 + I \\ &= 2T^{*2k}(T^{*4}T^4 - T^{*2}T^2)T^{2k} - T^{*4}T^4 + I \\ &= 2T^{*2k}(T^{*2}T^2 - I)T^{2k} - T^{*4}T^4 + I \\ &= 2T^{*2k+2}T^{2k+2} - 2T^{*2k}T^{2k} - T^{*4}T^4 + I \\ &= 2(T^{*2}T^2 - I) - T^{*4}T^4 + I \\ &= -(T^{*4}T^4 - 2T^{*2}T^2 + I) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that T^{k+1} is also a square-2-isometric operator, completing the argument. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let T be a square-2-isometric operator and M be an invariant subspace for T . Then the restriction $T|_M$ is also a square-2-isometric operator.*

Proof. Since M is an invariant subspace for T , we observe that

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} M \\ M^\perp \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} M \\ M^\perp \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $D = T_1T_2 + T_2T_3, F = T_1^2D + DT_3^2$. Then

$$T^2 = \begin{pmatrix} T_1^2 & D \\ 0 & T_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } T^4 = \begin{pmatrix} T_1^4 & F \\ 0 & T_3^4 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & T^{*4}T^4 - 2T^{*2}T^2 + I \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} T_1^{*4}T_1^4 - 2T_1^{*2}T_1^2 + I & T_1^{*4}F - 2T_1^{*2}D \\ F^*T_1^4 - 2D^*T_1^2 & F^*F + T_3^{*4}T_3^4 - 2D^*D - 2T_3^{*2}T_3^2 + I \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $T_1^{*4}T_1^4 - 2T_1^{*2}T_1^2 + I = 0$. Hence $T|_M$ is a square-2-isometric operator. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let T be a square-2-isometric operator. Then it has Bishop’s property (β) and SVEP.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that T has Bishop’s property (β) . 2-isometric operator has Bishop’s property (β) by [20, Lemma 2.6]. If T is a square-2-isometric operator, then T^2 is a 2-isometric operator, hence T has Bishop’s property (β) by [15, Theorem 3.3.9]. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let T be a square-2-isometric operator. Then $\sigma_a(T) \subseteq \partial\mathbb{D}$. Thus, $\sigma(T) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ or $\sigma(T) \subseteq \partial\mathbb{D}$.*

Proof. If $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T)$, then there exists a sequence of unit vectors $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n - \lambda x_n\| = 0$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^j x_n - \lambda^j x_n\| = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, we have

$$|\|T^j x_n\| - \|\lambda^j x_n\|| \leq \|T^j x_n - \lambda^j x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, which implies that

$$(|\lambda|^2 - 1)^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|T^4 x_n\| - 2\|T^2 x_n\| + \|x_n\|) = 0.$$

Hence $|\lambda| = 1$. Since $\partial\sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma_a(T)$, we conclude that $\sigma(T) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ or $\sigma(T) \subseteq \partial\mathbb{D}$. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let T be a square-2-isometric operator and $N(T^*) = \{0\}$. Then T^2 is unitary.*

Proof. The assumption $N(T^*) = \{0\}$ means that $R(T^2)$ is dense, T^2 is a 2-isometric operator, $\|T^2 x\| \geq \|x\| (x \in H)$ by [18, Lemma 1]. This implies that T^2 is invertible and T^{-2} is also a 2-isometric operator, and hence $\|T^{-2} x\| \geq \|x\| (x \in H)$. Combined with the property that $\|T^2 x\| \geq \|x\| (x \in H)$ we conclude that T^2 is unitary. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let T be a square-2-isometric operator and $\sigma(T) = \{\lambda\}$. Then $T = \lambda I$.*

Proof. $\sigma(T^2) = \{\lambda^2\}$ by spectral mapping theorem and T^2 is a 2-isometric operator, hence T^2 is unitary by Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, we get $T^2 = \lambda^2 I$, thus $T = \lambda I$. \square

4. quasi-square-2-isometric operator

We begin with the following theorem which is a structure theorem for quasi-square-2-isometric operators.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $T \neq 0$ does not have a dense range. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) T is a quasi-square-2-isometric operator;
- (2) $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ on $H = \overline{R(T)} \oplus N(T^*)$, where T_1 is a square-2-isometric operator. Furthermore, $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T_1) \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Consider the matrix representation of T with respect to the decomposition $H = \overline{R(T)} \oplus N(T^*)$:

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let P be the projection onto $\overline{R(T)}$. Since T is a quasi-square-2-isometric operator, we have

$$P(T^{*4}T^4 - 2T^{*2}T^2 + I)P = 0.$$

Therefore

$$T_1^{*4}T_1^4 - 2T_1^{*2}T_1^2 + I = 0.$$

Since $\sigma(T_1) \cap \{0\}$ has no interior point, we have $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T_1) \cup \{0\}$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose that $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ on $H = \overline{R(T)} \oplus N(T^*)$, where T_1 is a square-2-isometric operator. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & T^*(T^{*4}T^4 - 2T^{*2}T^2 + I)T \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^* \\ & \times \left(\begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{*4} \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^4 - 2 \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{*2} \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 + I \right) \\ & \times \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^* \begin{pmatrix} T_1^{*4}T_1^4 - 2T_1^{*2}T_1^2 + I & T_1^{*4}T_1^3T_2 - 2T_1^{*2}T_1T_2 \\ T_2^*T_1^{*3}T_1^4 - 2T_2^*T_1^*T_1^2T_2 & T_2^*T_1^{*3}T_1^3T_2 - 2T_2^*T_1^*T_1T_2 + I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} T_1^*(T_1^{*4}T_1^4 - 2T_1^{*2}T_1^2 + I)T_1 & T_1^*(T_1^{*4}T_1^4 - 2T_1^{*2}T_1^2 + I)T_2 \\ T_2^*(T_1^{*4}T_1^4 - 2T_1^{*2}T_1^2 + I)T_1 & T_2^*(T_1^{*4}T_1^4 - 2T_1^{*2}T_1^2 + I)T_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence T is a quasi-square-2-isometric operator. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose that T is a quasi-square-2-isometric operator and $R(T)$ is dense. Then T is a square-2-isometric operator.*

Proof. The conclusion is evident by Definition 1.1. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose that T is a quasi-square-2-isometric operator. Then so is T^n for all positive integers n .*

Proof. If $R(T)$ is dense, then T is a square-2-isometric operator and so is T^n by Lemma 3.1. Now, assume that $R(T)$ is not dense and $T \neq 0$, we decompose T as

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ on } H = \overline{R(T)} \oplus N(T^*).$$

Then by Theorem 4.1, $T_1^{*4}T_1^4 - 2T_1^{*2}T_1^2 + I = 0$. Hence T_1 is a square-2-isometric operator, by Lemma 3.1, T_1^n is a square-2-isometric operator. Since

$$T^n = \begin{pmatrix} T_1^n & T_1^{n-1}T_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ on } H = \overline{R(T)} \oplus N(T^*),$$

T^n is a quasi-square-2-isometric operator for all positive integers n by Theorem 4.1. \square

Corollary 4.4. *Suppose that T is a quasi-nilpotent quasi-square-2-isometric operator. Then $T = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose T is a quasi-nilpotent quasi-square-2-isometric operator. If $R(T)$ is dense, then T is a square-2-isometric operator. By Lemma 3.5 T^2 is unitary, hence $\sigma(T) \subseteq \partial\mathbb{D}$, where \mathbb{D} denotes the open unit disc, this is a contradiction. If $R(T)$ is not dense and $T \neq 0$, then $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ on $H = \overline{R(T)} \oplus N(T^*)$, where T_1 is a square-2-isometric operator and $\sigma(T_1) = \{0\}$, this is a contradiction. Thus $T = 0$. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let T be a quasi-square-2-isometric operator and M be an invariant subspace for T . Then the restriction $T|_M$ is also a quasi-square-2-isometric operator.*

Proof. Since T is a quasi-square-2-isometric operator, $T^{*5}T^5 - 2T^{*3}T^3 + T^*T = 0$, hence

$$\|T^5x\|^2 + \|Tx\|^2 = 2\|T^3x\|^2$$

for every $x \in H$. For $x \in M$, we have

$$2\|(T|_M)^3x\|^2 = 2\|T^3x\|^2 = \|T^5x\|^2 + \|Tx\|^2 = \|(T|_M)^5x\|^2 + \|(T|_M)x\|^2.$$

Thus $T|_M$ is a quasi-square-2-isometric operator. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Let T be a quasi-square-2-isometric operator. Then $\sigma_p(T) \subseteq \partial\mathbb{D} \cup \{0\}$.*

Proof. Since $\sigma_a(T) \subseteq \partial\mathbb{D} \cup \{0\}$, the conclusion is evident. \square

The following example provides an operator T which is a quasi-square-2-isometric operator, however, the relation $N(T - \lambda I) \subseteq N(T - \lambda I)^*$ does not hold.

Example 4.7. *Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} I & 2I \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix} \in B(H \oplus H)$. Then T is a quasi-square-2-isometric operator, but $N(T - I) \not\subseteq N(T - I)^*$ does not hold.*

Proof. Straightforward calculations show that T is a quasi-square-2-isometric operator, however, for every nonzero vector $x \in H$, $(T - I)(x \oplus 0) = 0$, while $(T - I)^*(x \oplus 0) \neq 0$. Therefore, the relation $N(T - I) \subseteq N(T - I)^*$ does not hold. \square

But the following result holds.

Lemma 4.8. *Let T be a quasi-square-2-isometric operator, $0 \neq \lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$ and*

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda I & T_{12} \\ 0 & T_{22} \end{pmatrix} \text{ on } H = N(T - \lambda I) \oplus N(T - \lambda I)^\perp.$$

Then

$$2\|\lambda T_{12}T_{22}^2x + T_{12}T_{22}^3x\|^2 + \|T_{22}^6x\|^2 + \|T_{22}^2x\|^2 = 2\|T_{22}^4x\|^2$$

for any $x \in N(T - \lambda I)^\perp$.

Proof. Let

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda I & T_{12} \\ 0 & T_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$T^k = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^k I & \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \lambda^j T_{12} T_{22}^{k-1-j} \\ 0 & T_{22}^k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose $0 \neq \lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$, by Lemma 4.6, $\bar{\lambda}\lambda = 1$, where $\bar{\lambda}$ is the conjugate of λ . Since T is a quasi-square-2-isometric operator, T satisfies

$$T^{*6}T^6 - 2T^{*4}T^4 + T^{*2}T^2 = 0.$$

Then

$$T^{*6}T^6 - 2T^{*4}T^4 + T^{*2}T^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E \\ E^* & F \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 E &= \bar{\lambda}^6 T_{12} T_{22}^5 + \bar{\lambda}^5 T_{12} T_{22}^4 - \bar{\lambda}^4 T_{12} T_{22}^3 - \bar{\lambda}^3 T_{12} T_{22}^2, \\
 F &= |T_{12}(\lambda^5 I + \lambda^4 T_{22} + \lambda^3 T_{22}^2 + \lambda^2 T_{22}^3 + \lambda T_{22}^4 + T_{22}^5)|^2 + |T_{22}^6|^2 \\
 &\quad - 2|T_{12}(\lambda^3 I + \lambda^2 T_{22} + \lambda T_{22}^2 + T_{22}^3)|^2 - 2|T_{22}^4|^2 + |T_{12}(\lambda I + T_{22})|^2 + |T_{22}^2|^2, \\
 |T|^2 &= T^* T.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $E = 0$, $T_{12} T_{22}^5 + \lambda T_{12} T_{22}^4 = \lambda^2 T_{12} T_{22}^3 + \lambda^3 T_{12} T_{22}^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 F &= |T_{12}(\lambda^5 I + \lambda^4 T_{22} + \lambda^3 T_{22}^2 + \lambda^2 T_{22}^3 + \lambda T_{22}^4 + T_{22}^5)|^2 + |T_{22}^6|^2 \\
 &\quad - 2|T_{12}(\lambda^3 I + \lambda^2 T_{22} + \lambda T_{22}^2 + T_{22}^3)|^2 - 2|T_{22}^4|^2 + |T_{12}(\lambda I + T_{22})|^2 + |T_{22}^2|^2 \\
 &= 2|\lambda T_{12} T_{22}^2 + T_{12} T_{22}^3|^2 + |T_{22}^6|^2 - 2|T_{22}^4|^2 + |T_{22}^2|^2 \\
 &= 2(T_{22}^{3*} + \bar{\lambda} T_{22}^{2*}) T_{12}^* T_{12} (\lambda T_{22}^2 + T_{22}^3) + T_{22}^{6*} T_{22}^6 - 2T_{22}^{4*} T_{22}^4 + T_{22}^{2*} T_{22}^2 \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to

$$2\|\lambda T_{12} T_{22}^2 x + T_{12} T_{22}^3 x\|^2 + \|T_{22}^6 x\|^2 + \|T_{22}^2 x\|^2 = 2\|T_{22}^4 x\|^2$$

for any $x \in N(T - \lambda I)^\perp$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.9. Suppose that T is a quasi-square-2-isometric operator, $0 \neq \lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$ and

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda I & T_{12} \\ 0 & T_{22} \end{pmatrix} \text{ on } H = N(T - \lambda I) \oplus N(T - \lambda I)^\perp.$$

Then $N(T_{22} - \lambda I) = \{0\}$.

Proof. Suppose $x \in N(T - \lambda I)^\perp$ and $(T_{22} - \lambda I)x = 0$. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then by Lemma 4.8

$$2\|\lambda T_{12} T_{22}^2 x + T_{12} T_{22}^3 x\|^2 + \|T_{22}^6 x\|^2 + \|T_{22}^2 x\|^2 = 2\|T_{22}^4 x\|^2$$

for any $x \in N(T - \lambda I)^\perp$, hence

$$2\|(T - \lambda I) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{pmatrix}\|^2 = 2\|T_{12} x\|^2 = 0,$$

thus $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{pmatrix} \in N(T - \lambda I)$ and $x = 0$. \square

The Berberian extension theorem shows that given an operator $T \in B(H)$, there exists a Hilbert space $K \supseteq H$ and an isometric $*$ -isomorphism $T \rightarrow T^\circ \in B(K)$ preserving order such that $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T^\circ)$ and $\sigma_p(T^\circ) = \sigma_a(T^\circ) = \sigma_a(T)$. For details see the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.10. [5] Let H be a complex Hilbert space. Then there exists a Hilbert space K such that $H \subset K$ and a map $\varphi : B(H) \rightarrow B(K)$ such that

- (1) φ is a faithful $*$ -representation of the algebra $B(H)$ on K , i.e., $\varphi(T + S) = \varphi(T) + \varphi(S)$, $\varphi(\lambda T) = \lambda\varphi(T)$, $\varphi(TS) = \varphi(T)\varphi(S)$, $\varphi(T^*) = (\varphi(T))^*$, $\varphi(I) = I$ and $\|\varphi(T)\| = \|T\|$ for any $T, S \in B(H)$;
- (2) $\varphi(A) \geq 0$ for any $A \geq 0$ in $B(H)$;
- (3) $\sigma_a(T) = \sigma_a(\varphi(T)) = \sigma_p(\varphi(T))$ for any $T \in B(H)$.

Definition 4.11. [12] The set $C(i)$ consists of (all) the operators $T \in B(H)$ for which $\sigma(T) = \{0\}$ implies T is nilpotent (possibly, the 0 operator) and T° (the Berberian extension of T) satisfies the property:

$$T^\circ = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda I & T_{12} \\ 0 & T_{22} \end{pmatrix} \text{ on } H = N(T^\circ - \lambda I) \oplus N(T^\circ - \lambda I)^\perp$$

at every nonzero $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T^\circ)$ for some operators T_{12} and T_{22} such that $\lambda \notin \sigma_p(T_{22})$ and $\sigma(T^\circ) = \sigma(T_{22}) \cup \{\lambda\}$.

Theorem 4.12. *The function σ is continuous on the set of quasi-square-2-isometric operators.*

Proof. Suppose T is a quasi-square-2-isometric operator. Let $\varphi: B(H) \rightarrow B(K)$ be Berberian’s faithful $*$ -representation of Lemma 4.10. In the following, we shall show that $\varphi(T)$ is also a quasi-square-2-isometric operator. In fact, since T is a quasi-square-2-isometric operator, we have

$$T^{*5}T^5 - 2T^{*3}T^3 + T^*T = 0.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(T)^{*5}\varphi(T)^5 - 2\varphi(T)^{*3}\varphi(T)^3 + \varphi(T)^*\varphi(T) \\ & = \varphi(T^{*5}T^5 - 2T^{*3}T^3 + T^*T) = 0 \text{ by Lemma 4.10,} \end{aligned}$$

so $\varphi(T)$ is also a quasi-square-2-isometric operator. By Corollary 4.4 and Lemma 4.9, we have T belongs to the set $C(i)$. Therefore, we have that the function σ is continuous on the set of quasi-square-2-isometric operators by [12, Theorem 1.1]. \square

Proposition 4.13. *Suppose that $T \in B(H)$ is a quasi-square-2-isometric operator. Then it has a nontrivial invariant subspace.*

Proof. We consider the following three cases:

Case I: if $\overline{R(T)} = H$, then T is a square-2-isometric operator. If T is not an invertible square-2-isometric operator, then $\sigma(T) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, hence $\sigma(T)$ has nonempty interior. Since T has Bishop’s property (β) by Lemma 3.3, it has a nontrivial invariant subspace from [13]. If T is an invertible square-2-isometric operator and $\sigma(T)$ is a singleton $\{\lambda\}$, then $T = \lambda I$ by Lemma 3.6, hence T has a nontrivial invariant subspace. Next, we show that if $\sigma(T)$ contains at least two points, then T has a nontrivial invariant subspace. Let $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$. Then, by [15, Proposition 1.2.20], the space $H_T(\{\lambda\})$ is a closed invariant subspace of T and $\sigma(T|_{H_T(\{\lambda\})}) \subseteq \{\lambda\}$. Let U be an arbitrary open neighborhood of λ in \mathbb{C} . We choose another open set $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda \notin V$ and $\{U, V\}$ is an open covering of \mathbb{C} . Since T^2 is unitary by Lemma 3.5, T is decomposable by [15, Theorem 3.3.9], $\sigma(T|_{H_T(\{\lambda\})}) \subseteq U, \sigma(T|_{H_T(V)}) \subseteq V$, and $H = H_T(\{\lambda\}) + H_T(V)$. If $H_T(\{\lambda\}) = \{0\}$, then $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T|_{H_T(V)}) \subseteq V$, which contradicts $\lambda \notin V$. If $H_T(\{\lambda\}) = H$, then $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T|_{H_T(\{\lambda\})}) \subseteq \{\lambda\}$, which contradicts that $\sigma(T)$ contains at least two points. This contradiction shows that $H_T(\{\lambda\})$ is a nontrivial invariant closed linear subspace.

Case II: if $\overline{R(T)} = \{0\}$, then $T = 0$, clearly it has a nontrivial invariant subspace.

Case III: if $\overline{R(T)} \neq \{0\}$ and $\overline{R(T)} \neq H$, then $\overline{R(T)}$ is a nontrivial invariant subspace of T . \square

Since a square-2-isometric operator is a quasi-square-2-isometric operator, as a consequence we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.14. *Every square-2-isometric operator has a nontrivial invariant subspace.*

Lemma 4.15. *Let T be a quasi-square-2-isometric operator and $\sigma(T) = \{\lambda\}$. Then $T = \lambda I$.*

Proof. We consider the following two cases:

Case I: if $\lambda = 0$, then $T = 0$ by Corollary 4.4.

Case II: if $\lambda \neq 0$, then T is a square-2-isometric operator, hence $T = \lambda I$ by Lemma 3.6. \square

Lemma 4.16. *Let T be a quasi-square-2-isometric operator and $\lambda \in \text{iso}\sigma(T)$. Then the Riesz idempotent $E_T(\{\lambda\})$ of T with respect to λ satisfies*

$$R(E_T(\{\lambda\})) = N(T - \lambda I).$$

Proof. The Riesz idempotent $E_T(\{\lambda\})$ satisfies $\sigma(T|_{R(E_T(\{\lambda\}))}) = \sigma(T) \setminus \{\lambda\}$ and $\sigma(T|_{R(E_T(\{\lambda\}))}) = \{\lambda\}$. Since $T|_{R(E_T(\{\lambda\}))}$ is also a quasi-square-2-isometric operator, it follows that $(T - \lambda I)E_T(\{\lambda\}) = (T|_{R(E_T(\{\lambda\}))} - \lambda I)E_T(\{\lambda\}) = 0$ by Lemma 4.15, hence $R(E_T(\{\lambda\})) \subseteq N(T - \lambda I)$. Conversely, let $x \in N(T - \lambda I)$. Then

$$E_T(\{\lambda\})x = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} (\mu I - T)^{-1} x d\mu = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda} d\mu \right) x = x,$$

thus $x \in R(E_T(\{\lambda\}))$. This completes the proof of $R(E_T(\{\lambda\})) = N(T - \lambda I)$. \square

An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to be polaroid if every $\lambda \in \text{iso}\sigma(T)$ is a pole of the resolvent of T . The condition of being polaroid may be characterized by means of the quasi-nilpotent part $H_0(T - \lambda I)$ of $T - \lambda I$.

Lemma 4.17. [2] *An operator $T \in B(H)$ is polaroid if and only if there exists $p := p(T - \lambda) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$H_0(T - \lambda I) = N(T - \lambda I)^p \text{ for all } \lambda \in \text{iso}\sigma(T).$$

For $p = 1$, this operator is called simple polaroid.

It is known that $R(E_T(\{\lambda\})) = H_0(T - \lambda I)$ [1, p.157]. As a consequence we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.18. *Let T be a quasi-square-2-isometric operator and $\lambda \in \text{iso}\sigma(T)$. Then λ is a simple pole of the resolvent of T .*

Proof. The conclusion is evident by Lemma 4.16 and Lemma 4.17. \square

In 2012, Yuan and Ji [22, Lemma 5.2] proved following Lemma.

Lemma 4.19. [22] *Let $T \in B(H)$, m be a positive integer and $\lambda \in \text{iso}\sigma(T)$.*

(1) *The following assertions are equivalent:*

(a) $R(E_T(\{\lambda\})) = N(T - \lambda I)^m$.

(b) $N(E_T(\{\lambda\})) = R(T - \lambda I)^m$.

In this case, λ is a pole of the resolvent of T and the order of λ is not greater than m .

(2) *If λ is a pole of the resolvent of T and the order of λ is m , then the following assertions are equivalent:*

(a) $E_T(\{\lambda\})$ is self-adjoint.

(b) $N(T - \lambda I)^m \subseteq N(T - \lambda I)^{*m}$.

(c) $N(T - \lambda I)^m = N(T - \lambda I)^{*m}$.

Remark In general, $E_T(\{\lambda\})$ is not self-adjoint for a quasi-square-2-isometric operator. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} I & 2I \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix} \in B(H \oplus H)$. Example 4.7 shows that T is a quasi-square-2-isometric operator, however $N(T - I) \subseteq N(T - I)^*$ does not hold, Hence $E_T(\{1\})$ is not self-adjoint from Corollary 4.18 and Lemma 4.19.

Next for $T \in B(H)$, we set the following property:

$$\sigma(T) \cap (-\sigma(T)) = \emptyset. \tag{*}$$

Then we begin with the following result.

Lemma 4.20. *Let $T \in B(H)$ be a quasi-square-2-isometric operator and satisfy (*). If λ is an eigen-value of T , then $N(T - \lambda I) = N(T^2 - \lambda^2 I) \subseteq N(T^{2*} - \bar{\lambda}^2 I) = N(T^* - \bar{\lambda} I)$ and hence $N(T - \lambda I)$ is a reducing subspace for T .*

Proof. Firstly, we show that $N(T - \lambda I) = N(T^2 - \lambda^2 I)$. Because it is clear that $N(T - \lambda I) \subseteq N(T^2 - \lambda^2 I)$, we will verify that $N(T^2 - \lambda^2 I) \subseteq N(T - \lambda I)$. Let $x \in N(T^2 - \lambda^2 I)$, i.e., $(T^2 - \lambda^2 I)x = 0$. Then $(T + \lambda I)(T - \lambda I)x = 0$. Since $\lambda \neq 0$, by the assumption (*), we have $-\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$. Hence, it follows $(T - \lambda I)x = 0$ and $x \in N(T - \lambda I)$. Therefore, $N(T^2 - \lambda^2 I) \subseteq N(T - \lambda I)$ and $N(T^2 - \lambda^2 I) = N(T - \lambda I)$. Because T is a quasi-square-2-isometric and satisfy (*), T^2 is 2-isometric, by [20, Corollary 2.5], $N(T^2 - \lambda^2 I) \subseteq N(T^{2*} - \bar{\lambda}^2 I)$. Evidently, $N(T^* - \bar{\lambda} I) \subseteq N(T^{2*} - \bar{\lambda}^2 I)$. Let $x \in N(T^{2*} - \bar{\lambda}^2 I)$. Because $(T^* + \bar{\lambda} I)(T^* - \bar{\lambda} I)x = 0$ and $T^* + \bar{\lambda} I$ is invertible by the assumption (*), we obtain that $x \in N(T^* - \bar{\lambda} I)$. Hence, $N(T^{2*} - \bar{\lambda}^2 I) = N(T^* - \bar{\lambda} I)$. Finally, by the above results, it is clear that $N(T - \lambda I)$ is a reducing subspace for T . \square

Theorem 4.21. *Let $T \in B(H)$ be a quasi-square-2-isometric operator and satisfy (*), λ be an isolated point of $\sigma(T)$ and $E_T(\{\lambda\})$ be the Riesz idempotent with respect to λ . Then $E_T(\{\lambda\})$ is self-adjoint and $R(E_T(\{\lambda\})) = N(T - \lambda I) = N(T - \lambda I)^*$.*

Proof. First we note that $R(E_T(\{\lambda\})) = N(T - \lambda I)$ and $N(T - \lambda I) \subseteq N(T - \lambda I)^*$. It is obvious from Corollary 4.18, Lemma 4.19 and Lemma 4.20. \square

References

- [1] P. Aiena, *Fredholm and Local Spectral Theory with Applications to Multipliers*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, London, 2004.
- [2] P. Aiena, M. Chō, M. González, Polaroid type operators under quasi-affinities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **371(2)**(2010) 485–495.
- [3] J. Agler, A disconjugacy theorem for Toeplitz operators, *Amer. J. Math.* **112(1)**(1990) 1–14.
- [4] J. Agler, M. Stankus, m -isometric transformations of Hilbert space. I, *Integral Equ. Oper. Theory* **21(4)**(1995) 383–429.
- [5] S.K. Berberian, Approximate proper vectors, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13**(1962) 111–114.
- [6] T. Bermudez, I. Marrero, A. Martinon, On the orbit of an m -isometry, *Integral Equ. Oper. Theory* **64**(2009) 487–494.
- [7] S. Brown, Some invariant subspaces for subnormal operators, *Integral Equ. Oper. Theory* **1**(1978) 310–333.
- [8] M. Chō, S. Ōta, K. Tanahashi, Invertible weighted shift operators which are m -isometries, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **141(12)**(2013) 4241–4247.
- [9] M. Chō, S. Ōta, K. Tanahashi, A. Uchiyama, Spectral properties of m -isometric operators, *Funct. Anal. Approx. Comput.* **4**(2012) 33–39.
- [10] J.B. Conway, B.B. Morrel, Operators that are points of spectral continuity, *Integral Equ. Oper. Theory* **2**(1979) 174–198.
- [11] B.P. Duggal, Tensor product of n -isometries, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **437**(2012) 307–318.
- [12] B.P. Duggal, I.H. Jeon, I.H. Kim, Continuity of the spectrum on a class of upper triangular operator matrices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **370**(2010) 584–587.
- [13] J. Eschmeier, B. Prunaru, Invariant subspaces for operators with Bishop’s property (β) and thick spectrum, *J. Funct. Anal.* **94**(1990) 196–222.
- [14] S. Jung, Y. Kim, E. Ko, J.E. Lee, On (A, m) -expansive operators, *Studia Math.* **231(1)**(2012) 3–23.
- [15] K.B. Laursen, M.M. Neumann, *Introduction to Local Spectral Theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [16] S. Mecheri, S.M. Patel, On quasi-2-isometric operators, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **66(5)**(2018) 1019–1025.
- [17] S.M. Patel, 2-isometry operators, *Glasnik Mat.* **37(57)**(2002) 143–147.
- [18] S. Richter, Invariant subspaces of the Dirichlet shift, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **386**(1988) 205–220.
- [19] J. Stampfli, Hyponormal operators and spectral density, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **117**(1965) 469–476.
- [20] J.L. Shen, G.X. Ji, On an elementary operator with 2-isometric operator entries, *Filomat* **32(14)**(2018) 5083–5088.
- [21] J.L. Shen, G.X. Ji, Spectral properties and the dynamics of quasi-2-expansive operators, *J. Spectr. Theory* **10(1)**(2020) 323–335.
- [22] J.T. Yuan, G.X. Ji, On $(n; k)$ -quasiparanormal operators, *Studia Math.* **209(3)**(2012) 289–301.