



Optimal Control for Non-Cooperative Systems Involving Fractional Laplace Operator

H. M. Serag^a, Abd-Allah Hyder^{b,c}, M. El-Badawy^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Cairo 71524, Egypt.

^bDepartment of Mathematics, College of Science, King Khalid University, P.O. Box 9004, Abha 61413, Saudi Arabia.

^cDepartment of Engineering Mathematics and Physics, Faculty of Engineering, Al-Azhar University, Cairo 71524, Egypt.

Abstract. This study investigates the optimal control problem associated with $n \times n$ non-cooperative systems, including the fractional Laplace operator. The non-local issue is recast as a local problem. As a consequence, in these systems, the existence and uniqueness of the weak solution are established. A system's existence and optimal control conditions are also established.

1. Introduction

Let $O \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, be an open, bounded and connected domain with smooth boundary ∂O . Then, for each $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$, the systems of the form

$$-\Delta \psi_i + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} \psi_j = g_i \quad \text{in } O, \quad (1)$$

are said to be non-cooperative systems if for each $i, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$, the coefficients b_{ij} are given as follows:

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & i \geq j \\ -1, & i < j. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

In this study, the $n \times n$ non-cooperative elliptic systems containing fractional Laplacian are explored in the context of optimal control. With zero Dirichlet conditions, we study the systems of the following form:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^\alpha \psi_i + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} \psi_j = g_i & \text{in } O, \\ \psi_i = 0 & \text{on } \partial O, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where ψ_i is the state of the system, g_i is the external source and $(-\Delta)^\alpha$ is the fractional Laplacian for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46N10, 49J20, 35J55, 26A33

Keywords. Optimal control, Weak solution, Lax-Milgram Lemma, Fractional Laplace operator, Non-local operator, Sobolev spaces, Non-cooperative systems.

Received: 21 June 2021; Accepted: 14 September 2022

Communicated by Naseer Shahzad

Email addresses: serraghm@yahoo.com (H. M. Serag), abahahmed@kku.edu.sa (Abd-Allah Hyder), mathscimahmoud@gmail.com (M. El-Badawy)

Due to their importance in several fields, the optimality requirements for partial differential equations (PDEs) with an integer order derivative have been extensively researched [13, 19, 21, 27, 28, 32]. They are often used in biology, ecology, economics, engineering, and finance. These findings have been used in both cooperative and non-cooperative systems [6, 9, 10, 24–26]. Compared to classical control problems, the study of fractional order control problems is very recent. However, it is becoming more well-known as a result of the significant roles that fractional differential equations (FDEs) play in physics, chemistry, and engineering. Recent studies in various fields have shown that FDEs are capable of properly describing the dynamics of a wide range of systems. For example, viscoelasticity and heat transfer in memory materials, anomalous diffusion in fractal media, non-local electrostatics, and image processing are just a few of the complex phenomena that may be studied using FDEs to characterize their behavior [8, 12, 15, 17, 30, 33]. There is a lot of study being done on time-fractional optimal control. Mophou utilized the Riemann—Liouville derivative to resolve a distributed optimal control problem for a time-fractional diffusion equation in [22, 23]. She also created the first-order optimality, existence, and uniqueness criteria for the model. According to [14], distributed control for a time-fractional differential system with the Schrödinger operator is studied, and the optimality conditions are then constructed. In [16], fractional control problem of Navier-Stokes equations are investigated, and the optimality conditions are derived by Lions approach. The subject is also quickly becoming space-fractional. Important findings on this subject were made by Antil and Otarola [1], who in their work found the optimality criteria for a model containing a space-fractional elliptic operator.

It is commonly known that the fractional Laplace operator is non-local, which means that when ξ approaches infinity, the values of ψ have an effect on the values of $(-\Delta)^\alpha \psi(\xi)$, $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$. Additionally, the support of the fractional Laplace operator $(-\Delta)^\alpha$ is non-compact even the support of ψ is compact. This fundamental flaw might lead to various challenges. In fact, situations involving $(-\Delta)^\alpha$, cannot be investigated using the traditional local PDE techniques. Caffarelli and Silvestre [4] showed that the fractional Laplace operator may be described as an operator that converts a Dirichlet boundary condition to a Neumann-type condition by employing an extension issue.

Consider the semi-infinite cylinder \mathcal{R} , which is defined as

$$\mathcal{R} = \{(\xi, \eta) : \xi \in \mathcal{O}, \eta \in (0, \infty)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{4}$$

where η is the extended variable and $\partial\mathcal{R} = \partial\mathcal{O} \times [0, \infty)$ the lateral boundary of \mathcal{R} . Assume that $\Psi = \{\Psi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a solution for the degenerate elliptic boundary value problem [7]

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (\eta^\beta \nabla \Psi_i) = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{R}, \\ \Psi_i = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{R}, \\ \frac{\partial \Psi_i}{\partial \nu} = \gamma_\alpha (g_i - \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} \psi_j) & \text{on } \mathcal{O} \times \{0\}, \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

where $\beta = 1 - 2\alpha \in (-1, 1)$, $\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \nu^\beta} = -\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \eta^\beta \frac{\partial \Psi(\xi, \eta)}{\partial \eta}$, ν^β is the unit outer normal to \mathcal{R} at $\mathcal{O} \times \{0\}$, $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\zeta, \eta) = 0$ and $\gamma_\alpha = 2^\beta \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ is a positive normalization constant.

We generalise several previously discovered conclusions for classical non-cooperative systems in this study. Indeed, we investigate $n \times n$ non-cooperative systems with fractional Laplacian. To solve the challenges caused by fractional Laplacian’s non-locality, we employ the extension problem approach to convert the problem into a local extended problem [4, 29]. The Lax-Milgram Lemma is used to show the existence and uniqueness of the solution for the local system. Additionally, the Lions technique is utilized to determine the optimality criteria for both local and non-local systems. If $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, the results are comparable to the classical findings. The following is the order of this article: Section 2 offers different functional spaces to describe the $n \times n$ non-cooperative fractional systems and their extension. In section 3, we establish the weighted initial boundary value problem, the existence of the variational form of the initial boundary value problem is derived. In section 4, the control issue is posed for both the fractional systems and their extensions, then we drive the optimality requirements for both. Section 5 is dedicated to summary and debate.

2. Preliminaries and known results

The variational formulation of the previous model requires the Sobolev spaces. Two subsections make up this section. A brief overview of spectral fractional Sobolev spaces is given in Subsection 2.1. We review the concept of weighted Sobolev spaces and their embedding characteristics in subsection 2.2.

2.1. Fractional Sobolev spaces

Since the spectral fractional Laplace operator has admitted local boundary conditions on $\partial\mathcal{O}$, we formulate our problem using the spectral fractional Laplace operator. For more details about the properties of the fractional Laplace operator, we refer the reader to [2].

Definition 2.1. [1, 3, 5](**Eigenvalue Problem**) Assume that the domain \mathcal{O} is Lipschitz bound and has homogeneous boundary conditions. An orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ is formed by the eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the Laplace operator $-\Delta$, which are non-trivial solutions of the following system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\varphi_l = \lambda_l\varphi_l & \text{in } \mathcal{O}, \\ \varphi_l = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{O}. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Moreover, the corresponding eigenvalues $\{\lambda_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ are all non-negative and form a non-decreasing sequence such that $\lambda_l \rightarrow \infty$.

In this case, the spectral decomposition for the Laplacian $-\Delta$ is given by

$$-\Delta\psi = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \lambda_l\psi_l\varphi_l \quad \text{with} \quad \psi_l = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \psi\varphi_l d\xi. \tag{7}$$

Definition 2.2. [1, 5](**The Spectral Fractional Laplacian**) Assume $C_0^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ is the space of infinitely differentiable functions on \mathcal{O} . Then, for $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{O})$, the spectral fractional Laplacian with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is defined as follows:

$$(-\Delta)^\alpha\psi = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \lambda_l^\alpha\psi_l\varphi_l \quad \text{with} \quad \psi_l = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \psi\varphi_l d\xi. \tag{8}$$

For any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the spectral fractional Sobolev space can be introduced as follows: [1]

$$H^\alpha(\mathcal{O}) = \left\{ \psi = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \psi_l\varphi_l : \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \lambda_l^\alpha\psi_l^2 < \infty \right\}. \tag{9}$$

Then,

$$(-\Delta)^\alpha : H^\alpha(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^{-\alpha}(\mathcal{O}), \tag{10}$$

where $H^{-\alpha}(\mathcal{O})$ is the dual of $H^\alpha(\mathcal{O})$. Additionally, we have the embedding below

$$H^\alpha(\mathcal{O}) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathcal{O}) \hookrightarrow H^{-\alpha}(\mathcal{O}). \tag{11}$$

Using the Cartesian product, we have

$$(H^\alpha(\mathcal{O}))^n \hookrightarrow (L^2(\mathcal{O}))^n \hookrightarrow (H^{-\alpha}(\mathcal{O}))^n. \tag{12}$$

2.2. Weighted Sobolev spaces

We need appropriate weighted Sobolev spaces with weight $|\eta|^\beta$ to examine the local issue (5). For more information, see [11, 18]. The space of all measurable functions defined on \mathcal{R} is thus given by $L^2(|\eta|^\beta, \mathcal{R})$ such that

$$\|\Psi\|_{L^2(|\eta|^\beta, \mathcal{R})}^2 := \int_{\mathcal{R}} \eta^\beta \Psi^2 < \infty. \tag{13}$$

Hence, the weighted Sobolev Space is defined as

$$\mathbf{H}^1(|\eta|^\beta, \mathcal{R}) = \{\Psi \in L^2(|\eta|^\beta, \mathcal{R}) : |\nabla\Psi| \in L^2(|\eta|^\beta, \mathcal{R})\}, \tag{14}$$

which has the following norm in a Hilbert space:

$$\|\Psi\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(|\eta|^\beta, \mathcal{R})} := \left(\|\Psi\|_{L^2(|\eta|^\beta, \mathcal{R})}^2 + \|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^2(|\eta|^\beta, \mathcal{R})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{15}$$

Moreover, we have the following weighted Sobolev space

$$\mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R}) = \{\Psi \in \mathbf{H}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R}) : \Psi = 0 \text{ on } \partial\mathcal{R}\}. \tag{16}$$

And, the following weighted Poincaré inequality is satisfied [1]

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}} \eta^\beta \Psi^2 \leq C \int_{\mathcal{R}} \eta^\beta |\nabla\Psi|^2, \quad \forall \Psi \in \mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R}), \tag{17}$$

where $C \geq 0$, depends on \mathcal{O} .

Definition 2.3. [1] (*The Trace Operator*) For a function $\Psi \in \mathbf{H}^1(|\eta|^\beta, \mathcal{R})$, the operator $T : \mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R}) \rightarrow H^\alpha(\mathcal{O})$ is called the trace operator and satisfies

$$\|T\Psi\|_{H^\alpha(\mathcal{O})} \leq \rho \|\Psi\|_{\mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R})}, \quad \rho > 0. \tag{18}$$

Furthermore, $T\Psi = \Psi(\xi, 0) = \psi(\xi)$ is the trace of Ψ onto $\mathcal{O} \times \{0\}$.

Definition 2.4. [4] The Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator on $\psi \in H^\alpha(\mathcal{O})$ is defined as follows:

$$\psi \in H^\alpha(\mathcal{O}) \mapsto \mathcal{N}(u) = \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial\nu^\beta} \in H^{-\alpha}(\mathcal{O}), \tag{19}$$

where Ψ solves (5) and ψ solves (3).

Theorem 2.5. [4] If $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\psi \in H^\alpha(\mathcal{O})$, then

$$\gamma_\alpha(-\Delta)^\alpha \psi = \mathcal{N}(\psi), \tag{20}$$

in the sense of distributions.

3. The weak solution

Multiply the first equation in (5) by test function $\phi_i(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R})$, and integrating over \mathcal{R} yields

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}} \nabla \cdot (\eta^\beta \nabla \Psi_i) \phi_i(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta = 0. \tag{21}$$

Applying Green’s formula, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}} \eta^\beta \nabla \Psi_i \nabla \phi_i(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta = - \int_{O \times \{0\}} \mathbb{T} \phi_i(\xi, \eta) \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \eta^\beta \frac{\partial \Psi_i}{\partial \eta} d\xi. \tag{22}$$

Then, we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}} \eta^\beta \nabla \Psi_i \nabla \phi_i(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta = \int_{O \times \{0\}} \mathbb{T} \phi_i(\xi, \eta) \frac{\partial \Psi_i}{\partial \nu^\beta} d\xi. \tag{23}$$

By using the systems (5), equation (23) is equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}} \eta^\beta \nabla \Psi_i \nabla \phi_i(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta = \int_{O \times \{0\}} \gamma_\alpha (g_i - \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} \psi_j) \mathbb{T} \phi_i(\xi, \eta) d\xi. \tag{24}$$

We may create a bilinear form on $(\mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{O}))^n$ as the form

$$a(\Psi, \phi) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{R}} \eta^\beta \nabla \Psi_i \nabla \phi_i(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta + \gamma_\alpha \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{O \times \{0\}} b_{ij} \psi_j \mathbb{T} \phi_i(\xi, \eta) d\xi. \tag{25}$$

A linear form may also be defined as follows:

$$F(\phi) = \gamma_s \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{O \times \{0\}} g_i \mathbb{T} \phi_i(\xi, \eta) d\xi, \quad \forall \phi_i \in \mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R}). \tag{26}$$

Lemma 3.1. *The bilinear form (25) satisfies the coerciveness and boundedness conditions.*

Proof. In (25), replacing $\phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ by $\Psi = \{\Psi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} a(\Psi, \Psi) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{R}} \eta^\beta \|\nabla \Psi_i\|^2 d\xi d\eta + \gamma_s \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{O \times \{0\}} \|\psi_i\|^2 d\xi \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{R}} \eta^\beta \|\nabla \Psi_i\|^2 d\xi d\eta \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{R}} \eta^\beta \|\nabla \Psi_i\|^2 d\xi d\eta + \frac{1}{2C} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{R}} \eta^\beta \|\Psi_i\|^2 d\xi d\eta \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \min\{1, \frac{1}{C}\} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \|\Psi_i\|_{\mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R})}^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Therefore,

$$a(\Psi, \Psi) \geq \delta \|\Psi\|_{(\mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R}))^n}^2, \tag{28}$$

where $\delta = \frac{1}{2} \min\{1, \frac{1}{C}\} \geq 0$. Hence, the coerciveness condition is proved.

Also, the boundedness condition for the bilinear form can be proved as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |a(\Psi, \phi)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{R}} |\eta^\beta \nabla \Psi_i \nabla \phi_i(\xi, \eta)| d\xi d\eta + \gamma_\alpha \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{O \times \{0\}} |b_{ij} \psi_j \mathbb{T} \phi_i(\xi, \eta)| d\xi \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|\Psi_i\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R})} \|\phi_i\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R})} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|\psi_i\|_{H^\alpha(\mathcal{O})} \|\mathbb{T} \phi_j\|_{H^\alpha(\mathcal{O})}. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

By using the inequality (18) and the embedding of $\mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R})$ in $\mathbf{H}^1(|\eta|^\beta, \mathcal{R})$, for a constant $M \geq 0$, we have

$$|a(\Psi, \phi)| \leq M \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n \|\Psi_i\|_{\mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R})} \|\phi_j\|_{\mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{D}_B)} \right] \leq M \|\Psi\|_{(\mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R}))^n} \|\phi\|_{(\mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R}))^n}. \tag{30}$$

Thus, all the assertions of the Lemma are satisfied.

Theorem 3.2. For every $g = \{g_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (L^2(\mathcal{O}))^n$, the systems (5) have a unique weak solution $\Psi = \{\Psi_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (\mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R}))^n$. Moreover, there is a constant $\sigma > 0$, which does not depend on g , such that

$$\|\Psi\|_{(\mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R}))^n} \leq \sigma \|g\|_{(L^2(\mathcal{O}))^n}. \tag{31}$$

Proof. From Lemma (3.1), we have that the bilinear form $a(\Psi, \phi)$ is coercive and bounded. Then, by applying the Lax-Milgram Lemma [19–21] in $(\mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R}))^n$, there exists a unique element $\Psi \in (\mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R}))^n$ satisfying the variational equation

$$a(\Psi, \phi) = F(\phi), \quad \forall \phi \in (\mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R}))^n, \tag{32}$$

where the linear form $F(\phi)$ defined in (26) is bounded. Indeed, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$|F(\phi)| \leq \gamma_\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \|g_i\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \|\mathbb{T} \phi_i\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \leq \gamma_\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \|g_i\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \|\mathbb{T} \phi_i\|_{H^\alpha(\mathcal{O})}. \tag{33}$$

By using the inequality (18), we get

$$|F(\phi)| \leq \gamma_\alpha \rho \sum_{i=1}^n \|g_i\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \|\phi_i\|_{\mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R})}. \tag{34}$$

Therefore, we have $\|F\| \leq \|G\|_{(L^2(\mathcal{O}))^2}$, which yields the following estimate:

$$\|\Psi\|_{(\mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R}))^n} \leq \sigma \|F\| \leq \sigma \|g\|_{(L^2(\mathcal{O}))^n}. \tag{35}$$

Thus, (31) is proved.

4. The optimality conditions

The primary goal of this study is to formulate control problems. The adjoint state is used to solve the control issue. Furthermore, we generate the optimality conditions using the Lions approach [19, 21]. This section has two subsections. The optimality conditions for the $n \times n$ non-cooperative fractional systems are deduced in subsection 4.1. While the equivalent extended optimality conditions are established in subsection 4.2.

4.1. Fractional optimal control

Consider $(L^2(\mathcal{O}))^n$ to be the control space. For a control $u = \{u_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (L^2(\mathcal{O}))^n$, the state $\psi(u) = \{\psi_i(u)\}_{i=1}^n$ solves the systems

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^\alpha \psi_i(u) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} \psi_j(u) = u_i & \text{in } \mathcal{O}, \\ \psi_i = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{O}. \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

The observation equations are as follows:

$$z_i(u) = \psi_i(u), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n. \tag{37}$$

For the systems (36), given $\psi_d = \{\psi_{id}\}_{i=1}^n \in (L^2(\mathcal{O}))^n$ and $v = \{v_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (L^2(\mathcal{O}))^n$, we provide the following cost functional:

$$J(v) = \sum_{i=1}^n \|\psi_i(v) - \psi_{id}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})}^2 + (Nv, v)_{(L^2(\mathcal{O}))^n}, \tag{38}$$

where $N = \{N_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \mathcal{L}((L^2(\mathcal{O}))^n)^1$ is Hermitian positive definite i.e.,

$$(Nv, v) \geq \mu \|v\|_{(L^2(\mathcal{O}))^n}^2, \quad \mu > 0. \tag{39}$$

Let $\mathcal{U}_{ad} \subset L^2(\mathcal{O})$ be a closed and convex, then the following is the problem of control:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Finding } u \in (\mathcal{U}_{ad})^n, \\ \text{such that } J(u) \leq J(v), \quad \forall v \in (\mathcal{U}_{ad})^n. \end{cases} \tag{40}$$

Theorem 4.1. *There exists a unique element $u \in (\mathcal{U}_{ad})^n$, if the cost functional is provided by (38) and (39) is true. Furthermore, the following equations describe this control:*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^\alpha p_i + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ji} p_j = \psi_i(u) - \psi_{id} & \text{in } \mathcal{O}, \\ p_i = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{O}, \end{cases} \tag{41}$$

together with

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (p_i, v_i - u_i) + (Nu, v - u)_{(L^2(\mathcal{O}))^n} \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in (\mathcal{U}_{ad})^n, \tag{42}$$

where $p = \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (H^\alpha(\mathcal{O}))^n$ is the adjoint state.

Proof. The cost functional (38) is strictly convex because of $N > 0$. Also, \mathcal{U}_{ad} is nonempty, closed, bounded, and convex in $L^2(\mathcal{O})$. Thereby, the existence and uniqueness of the optimal control are established.

On the other hand, the element $u \in (\mathcal{U}_{ad})^n$ satisfy the following inequality:

$$J'(u).(v - u) \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in (\mathcal{U}_{ad})^n, \tag{43}$$

or

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (\psi_i(u) - \psi_{id}, \psi_i(v) - \psi_i(u)) + \sum_{i=1}^n (Nu_i, v_i - u_i) \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in (\mathcal{U}_{ad})^n. \tag{44}$$

¹⁾ $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is the space of all bounded and linear operators from X into itself.

Now, let $p = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k \varphi_k$, $p_k = \int_O p \varphi_k$ be the adjoint state of the systems (3), since

$$(\mathbf{A} \psi, p) = (\psi, \mathbf{A}^* p), \tag{45}$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{A} \psi, p) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left((-\Delta)^\alpha \psi_i + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} \psi_j, p_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \lambda_l^\alpha \psi_l \varphi_{l_i} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} \psi_l \varphi_{l_j}, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k \varphi_{k_i} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l,k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_l^\alpha \psi_l p_k (\varphi_{l_i}, \varphi_{k_i}) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{l,k=1}^{\infty} b_{ij} \psi_l p_k (\varphi_{k_i}, \varphi_{l_j}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \lambda_l^\alpha \psi_l p_{l_i} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{l,k=1}^{\infty} b_{ij} \psi_l p_k (\varphi_{k_i}, \varphi_{l_j}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^\alpha \psi_k p_{k_i} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{l,k=1}^{\infty} b_{ij} \psi_l p_k (\varphi_{k_i}, \varphi_{l_j}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l,k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^\alpha \psi_l p_k (\varphi_{l_i}, \varphi_{k_i}) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{l,k=1}^{\infty} b_{ij} \psi_l p_k (\varphi_{k_i}, \varphi_{l_j}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l,k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^\alpha \psi_l p_k (\varphi_{l_i}, \varphi_{k_i}) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{l,k=1}^{\infty} b_{ij} \psi_l p_k (\varphi_{k_i}, \varphi_{l_j}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \psi_l \varphi_{l_i}, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^\alpha p_k \varphi_{k_i} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ji} p_k \varphi_{k_j} \right) \\ &= (\psi, \mathbf{A}^* p). \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Therefore, (44) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left((-\Delta)^s p_i + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ji} p_j, \psi_i(v) - \psi_i(u) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n (N_i u_i, v_i - u_i) \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in (\mathcal{U}_{ad})^n. \tag{47}$$

By using the equations. (36) and (46), we deduce

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (p_i, v_i - u_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n (N_i u_i, v_i - u_i)_{(L^2(O))^n} \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in (\mathcal{U}_{ad})^n. \tag{48}$$

4.2. Extended optimal control

According to Theorem (2.5), if $\psi(q) \in (H^\alpha(O))^n$ is a solution of (36) with $q = \{q_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (H^{-\alpha}(O))^n$ and $\Psi(q) \in (\mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R}))^n$ solves the following systems:

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla \cdot (\eta^\beta \nabla \Psi_i(q)) = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{R}, \\ \Psi_i(q) = 0 & \text{on } \partial \mathcal{R}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \Psi_i(q)}{\partial \nu^\beta} = \gamma_\alpha (q_i - \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} \psi_j) & \text{on } O \times \{0\}. \end{cases} \tag{49}$$

Then, we have

$$\mathbb{T} \Psi(q) = \psi(q). \tag{50}$$

And hence, the equivalence extended cost functional is given by

$$\min J(q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mathbb{T}\Psi_i(q) - \psi_{id}\|_{L^2(O)}^2 + (Nq, q)_{(L^2(O))^n}, \quad \forall q \in (\mathcal{U}_{ad})^n. \tag{51}$$

Theorem 4.2. *If (51) gives the extended cost functional and the condition (39) is met, then there exists a unique optimal control $r = \{r_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (\mathcal{U}_{ad})^n$. Furthermore, the following equations describe this control:*

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla \cdot (\eta^\beta \nabla \mathbf{P}_i) = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{R}, \\ \mathbf{P}_i = 0 & \text{on } \partial_L \mathcal{R}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_i}{\partial \nu^\beta}, \mathbb{T}\Psi_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\mathbb{T}\mathbf{P}_i, \frac{\partial \Psi_i}{\partial \nu^\beta} \right) & \text{on } O \times \{0\}, \end{cases} \tag{52}$$

together with

$$\gamma_\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{T}\mathbf{P}_i, q_i - r_i) + (Nr, q - r) \geq 0, \quad \forall q \in (\mathcal{U}_{ad})^n, \tag{53}$$

where $\mathbf{P} = \{\mathbf{P}_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (\mathbf{H}_{0,L}^1(\eta^\beta, \mathcal{R}))^n$ is the extended adjoint state.

Proof. The element $r \in (\mathcal{U}_{ad})^n$ is optimal control if and only if

$$\mathbf{J}'(r) \cdot (q - r) \geq 0, \quad \forall q \in (\mathcal{U}_{ad})^n, \tag{54}$$

or its equivalent [19]

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{T}\Psi_i(q) - \psi_{id}, \mathbb{T}\Psi_i(q) - \mathbb{T}\Psi_i(r)) + \sum_{i=1}^n (N_i r_i, q_i - r_i), \quad \forall q \in (\mathcal{U}_{ad})^n. \tag{55}$$

Now, since $(\mathbf{A}\Psi, \mathbf{P}) = (\Psi, \mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{P})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{A}\Psi, \mathbf{P}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla \cdot (\eta^\beta \nabla \Psi_i), \mathbf{P}_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{R}} \nabla \cdot (\eta^\beta \nabla \Psi_i) \mathbf{P}_i d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Via Green’s formula, (56) is transformed to

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{A}\Psi, \mathbf{P}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{R}} \nabla \cdot (\eta^\beta \nabla \mathbf{P}_i) \Psi_i(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta - \sum_{i=1}^n \int_O \mathbb{T}\Psi_i \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_i}{\partial \nu^\beta} + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_O \mathbb{T}\mathbf{P}_i \frac{\partial \Psi_i}{\partial \nu^\beta} \\ &= (\Psi, \mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{P}), \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

and hence (52) is verified.

Introducing the following adjoint systems:

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla \cdot (\eta^\beta \nabla \mathbf{P}_i) = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{R}, \\ \mathbf{P}_i = 0 & \text{on } \partial \mathcal{R}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_i}{\partial \nu^\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{T}\Psi_i(q) - \psi_{id} & \text{on } O \times \{0\}. \end{cases} \tag{58}$$

Then, (55) is reduced to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_i}{\partial \nu^\beta}, \mathbb{T}\Psi_i(q) - \mathbb{T}\mathbf{P}_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n (N_i r_i, q_i - r_i) \geq 0, \quad \forall q \in (\mathcal{U}_{ad})^n. \tag{59}$$

Hence, we obtain to the following optimality condition

$$\gamma_\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{T} \mathbf{P}_i, q_i - r_i) + (Nr, q - r) \geq 0, \quad \forall q \in (\mathcal{U}_{ad})^n. \quad (60)$$

Thereby, the proof is completed.

5. Summary and conclusion

In this paper, we look at the optimum control issue for $n \times n$ non-cooperative systems with fractional Laplacian. Because of the difficulties caused by the fractional Laplace operator's non-locality, we use an extension problem approach to extend our issue to local non-cooperative systems. The existence and uniqueness of a solution to the extended issue are shown using the Lax-Milgram Lemma. Furthermore, the Lions approach is used to show the optimality criteria for both fractional and extended control. If $\alpha \rightarrow 1$. The derived results are similar to the classical findings.

Acknowledgments

The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Khalid University for funding this work through Research Groups Program under grant RGP.2/15/43.

References

- [1] H. Antil and E. Otarola, A FEM for an Optimal Control Problem of Fractional Powers of Elliptic Operators, *SIAM J. Control Optim.* 53 (2015) 3432-3456.
- [2] G. M. Bisci, R. Servadei, V. D. Radulescu, Variational methods for non-local fractional problems, ..., 2016.
- [3] X. Cabré and J. Tan. Positive solutions of nonlinear problems involving the square root of the Laplacian, *Adv. Math.* 224 (2010) 2052-2093.
- [4] L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian, *Comm. Part. Diff. Equ.* 32 (2017) 1245-1260.
- [5] L. Caffarelli and P.R. Stinga, Fractional elliptic equations, Caccioppoli estimates and regularity, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Lineaire.* 33 (2016) 767-807.
- [6] H. A. El-Saify , H. M. Serag and M. A. Shehata, Time optimal control problem for cooperative hyperbolic systems involving the Laplace operator, *J. of Dynamics and Control Systems* 15 (2009) 405-423.
- [7] E. B. Fabes, C.E. Kenig and R.P. Serapioni, The local regularity of solutions of degenerate elliptic equations, *Comm. Part.Di. Eqs.* 7 (1982) 77-116.
- [8] H. A. Fallahgoul and S. M. Facardi, Fractional calculus and fractional process with applications to financial economics: Theory and application, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2017.
- [9] J. Fleckinger and H. M. Serag, Semilinear cooperative elliptic systems on \mathcal{R}^n , *Rend. Mat. Appl.* 15 (1995) 98-108.
- [10] I. M. Gali and H. M. Serag , Optimal control of cooperative elliptic systems defined on \mathcal{R}^n , *J. of the Egyptian Mathematical Society* 3 (1995) 33-39.
- [11] V. Göldshstein and A. Ukhlov, Weighted Sobolev spaces and embedding theorems, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 361 (2009) 3829-3850.
- [12] B. Guo, X. Pu and F. Huang, Fractional partial differential equations and their numerical solutions, world scientific, Beijing, 2015.
- [13] M. Hinze, R. Pinnau, M. Ulbrich and S. Ulbrich, Optimization with PDE constraints, volume 23 of *Mathematical Modelling: Theory and Applications*, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [14] A. Hyder and M. El-Badawy, Distributed control for time fractional differential system involving Schrödinger operator, *Journal of function spaces* (2019) Article ID. 1389787.
- [15] A. Hyder and A. H. Soliman, Exact solutions of space-time local fractal nonlinear evolution equations: A generalized conformable derivative approach, *Chinese Physics B* 17 (2020) Article ID. 103135.
- [16] A. Hyder and M. El-Badawy, Fractional optimal control of Navier-Stokes equations, *Computers, Materials and Continua* 64 (2020) 859-870.
- [17] A. Hyder, M. A. Barakat, Enlarged integral inequalities through recent fractional generalized operators, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* (2022) Article No.95.
- [18] A. Kufner, *Weighted Sobolev Spaces*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1985.
- [19] J. L. Lions, *Optimal control of systems governed by partial differential equations*, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [20] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Non-homogeneous boundary value problems and applications Vol.I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [21] J. L. Lions, *Some methods in the mathematical analysis of systems and their control*, Science Press, Beijing, 1981.
- [22] G. M. Mophou, Optimal control of fractional diffusion equation with state constraints, *Computer and Mathematics with Application* 62 (2011) 1413-1426.

- [23] G. M. Mophou, Optimal control of fractional diffusion equation, *Computer and Mathematics with Application* 62 (2011) 68-78.
- [24] A. H. Qamlo, H. M. Serag and E. A. El-Zahrany, Optimal control for non-cooperative parabolic systems with conjugation conditions, *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 131 (2015) 215-226.
- [25] H. M. Serag and A. H. Qamlo, Boundary control for non-cooperative elliptic systems, *Advances in Modeling and Analysis* 38 (2001) 31-42.
- [26] H. M. Serag, Distributed control for cooperative systems involving parabolic operators with an infinite number of variables, *IMA Journal of Mathematical Control and Information* 24 (2007) 149-161.
- [27] H. M. Serag, Optimal control of systems involving Schrödinger operators, *Control and Intelligent Systems* 32 (2004) 154-157.
- [28] H. M. Serag and S. Khafagy, On nonhomogeneous $n \times n$ elliptic systems involving p - Laplacian with different weights, *J. of Advanced Research in Differential Equations* 33 (2009) 1-13.
- [29] H.M.Serag, A.Hyder and M.El-Badawy, Optimal control for cooperative systems involving fractional Laplace operator, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* (2021) Article No.196.
- [30] A. H. Soliman and A. Hyder, Closed-form solutions of stochastic KdV equation with generalized conformable derivatives, *Physica Scripta*, 95 (2020) Article ID. 065219.
- [31] L. Tartar, An introduction to Sobolev spaces and interpolation spaces, volume 3 of *Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana*, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [32] F. Tröltzsch, Optimal control of partial differential equations: Theory, methods, and applications, *Gruduate studies in mathematics*, American Mathematical Societym, 2010.
- [33] Y. Zhou, Basic theory of fractional differential equations, World Scientific, New Jersey, 2014.