



# From Fuzzy Scalar Henstock to Fuzzy Scalar McShane Integrability

Redouane Sayyad<sup>a</sup>, Said El Ouahbi<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Economics, Faculty of Economics and Social Sciences, Ibn Zohr University, Ait Melloul, B.P. 80000, Agadir, Morocco

<sup>b</sup>Department of Physics, LPMMAT, Faculty of Sciences Ain Chock, Hassan II University, B.P. 5366, Casablanca, Morocco

**Abstract.** We introduce the notion of the scalar fuzzy McShane and Henstock integrals for fuzzy number valued functions and we discuss their relationship and we give a fuzzy scalar version of a Gordon theorem [24].

## 1. Introduction

The McShane integral as it was described in [22, 24, 43] is a Riemann-type integral using “gauge-limit”. It is equivalent to the Lebesgue integral for real functions. The Dunford, Pettis and Bochner integrals are generalisations of the Lebesgue integral to Banach space-valued functions. The McShane integral of a vector-valued functions and its relationship to the Bochner integral, Pettis integral were discussed in [19, 22, 23, 32, 43]. An interesting convergence theorem for the McShane integral was proved by D. H. Fremlin and J. Mendoza in [22]. In general, McShane integrability lies strictly between Bochner and Pettis integrability, but McShane and Pettis integrability are equivalent for functions taking values in separable Banach spaces; see, [20, 22, 24].

There is a great deal of literature on Bochner and Pettis integration for the space set-valued functions (see El Amri and Hess [3] or Hess and Ziat [26] for further references) of several types that have shown to be a useful tool in many branches of mathematics such as mathematical economy, control theory, differential inclusions, convex analysis and optimisation.

At the end of last century Ziat ([46, 47]) and El Amri and Hess [3] presented several criteria for a set-valued functions having as its values convex weakly compact subsets of a Banach space, to be Pettis integrable. In [15] Di Piazza and Musial studied the natural generalization of Pettis integral of a set-valued functions obtained by replacing the Lebesgue integrability of the support functions by their Kurzweil-Henstock integrability (they call such an integral Kurzweil-Henstock-Pettis). There it is proved that the Kurzweil-Henstock-Pettis integral is in some way a translation of the Pettis one. The same Authors in [16] deal with the Henstock and McShane integrals of set-valued functions. Such integrals are generalisations, by means the notion of the Hausdorff distance, of the definitions of Henstock and McShane integrals for vector valued functions. There it is also presented a characterisation of Henstock integrable set-valued

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 28B05, 46G10, 26E50; Secondary 28E10, 03E72

*Keywords.* Real McShane integral,  $\mathcal{KH}$ -integral, Generalised fuzzy number, Fuzzy scalar McShane integral, Fuzzy scalar Henstock integral

Received: 03 May 2021; Revised: 22 September 2022; Accepted: 01 October 2022

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

*Email addresses:* sayyad\_redouane@yahoo.fr (Redouane Sayyad), elouahbisaid@gmail.com (Said El Ouahbi)

functions with convex compact values, similar to that in [15]: each Henstock integrable set-valued function is the sum one of its Henstock integrable selections and of a McShane integrable set-valued function. There is also proved that if the multifunctions are compact convex valued and the target Banach space is separable, then the Pettis and the McShane integrals coincide, as in case of functions taking their values in a separable Banach space ([22]).

Park in [33] introduced the Pettis integral for fuzzy mappings in separable Banach spaces. In [18] Di Piazza and Marraffa continued the study of the Pettis integral for fuzzy mappings in Banach spaces not necessary separable. The important result of this paper is the following decomposition theorem:

$\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  (where  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  is the generalized fuzzy number space associated to the Banach space  $X$ ) is a Pettis integrable fuzzy mapping if and only if  $\Gamma$  can be represented as  $\Gamma(t) = G(t) + f(t)$ , where  $G : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  is a Pettis integrable fuzzy mapping whose support functions are nonnegative and  $f$  is a Pettis integrable fuzzy mapping generated by a Pettis integrable selection of  $\Gamma$ . For decomposition results see also [6], [7], [8], [17].

In the present work, we introduce the notion of the *fuzzy scalar McShane and Henstock integrals* for fuzzy-number-valued functions and discuss their relationship. More precisely, we seek to determine when the fuzzy scalar Henstock and the fuzzy scalar McShane integrals are equivalent. Given this goal, the following result due to Gordon (Theorem 9.13 [24]) dealing with the real-valued McShane and Henstock integrals will guide our investigation: the two integrals are equal for bounded functions. This result will play a vital role in our proof of main result (Theorem 4.1).

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $X$  be a real Banach space, whose norm is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|$  and whose closed unit ball is denoted by  $\overline{B}_X$  and let  $X^*$  be the topological dual of  $X$ . The closed unit ball of  $X^*$  is denoted by  $\overline{B}_{X^*}$ . By  $w$ , we denote the weak topology of  $X$ , and  $w^*$  the weak topology of  $X^*$ .  $cwk(X)$  is the family of all nonempty weakly compact convex subsets of  $X$  endowed with the Hausdorff distance

$$d_H(A, B) := \max\{\sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in B} \|x - y\|, \sup_{y \in B} \inf_{x \in A} \|x - y\|\}$$

and the operations

$$A + B = \{x + y : x \in A, y \in B\}, \quad kA = \{kx : x \in A\},$$

for  $A$  and  $B$  in  $cwk(X)$ . The space  $cwk(X)$  endowed with the Hausdorff distance is a complete metric space. For every  $A \in cwk(X)$  the support function of  $A$  is denoted by  $\delta^*(\cdot, A)$  and defined by  $\delta^*(x^*, A) = \sup\{\langle x^*, y \rangle : y \in A\}$ , for each  $x^* \in X^*$ . Clearly the map  $x^* \rightarrow \delta^*(x^*, A)$  is sublinear on  $X^*$  and  $-\delta^*(-x^*, A) = \inf\{\langle x^*, y \rangle : y \in A\}$ , for each  $x^* \in X^*$ . The distance functional is a mapping  $d : X \times \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $d(x, A) := \inf\{\|x - a\|, a \in A\} = \sup_{x^* \in \overline{B}_{X^*}} [\langle x^*, x \rangle - \delta^*(x^*, A)]$ .

According to Hormander’s formula ([1, 11], for  $A$  and  $B$  non empty members of  $cwk(X)$  we have the equality

$$d_H(A, B) = \sup_{x^* \in \overline{B}_{X^*}} |\delta^*(x^*, A) - \delta^*(x^*, B)| = \sup_{x \in X} |d(x, A) - d(x, B)|.$$

Moreover for  $A \in cwk(X)$  we define

$$\|A\| = d_H(A, \{0\}) = \sup_{x \in A} \|x\|.$$

On  $cwk(X)$  we will consider the following convergence given in [5]: a sequence  $(C_n)$  in  $cwk(X)$  scalarly converges (Shortly  $S$ -converges) to  $C \in cwk(X)$  if the following condition is satisfied:

$\forall x^* \in X^*, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta^*(x^*, C_n) = \delta^*(x^*, C)$ . A sequence  $(C_n)$  in  $cwk(X)$  converges in the Hausdorff topology to

$C \in cwk(X)$  if the following condition is satisfied:  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_H(C_n, C) = 0$ .

Throughout this paper  $[0, 1]$  is the unit interval of the real line equipped with the usual topology and the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$ . The family of Lebesgue measurable subsets of  $[0, 1]$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}$ . We say that a subset  $\mathcal{H}$  of Lebesgue-integrable functions defined on  $[0, 1]$  is uniformly integrable ([32]) if

$$\limsup_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \int_{\{t \in [0, 1] : |h(t)| \geq a\}} |h| d\lambda = 0.$$

It is well known ([32]) that  $\mathcal{H}$  is uniformly integrable if and only if it is *bounded* for the  $L_1$ -norm (i.e.  $\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \int_0^1 |h| d\lambda$  is finite) and *equi-continuous*, i.e.

$$\lim_{\lambda(A) \rightarrow 0} \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \int_A |h| d\lambda = 0.$$

A function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  is said to be *scalarly measurable* (resp. *scalarly integrable*, alias *Dunford integrable*) if for every  $x^* \in X^*$ , the real-valued function  $\langle x^*, f \rangle$  is measurable (resp. Lebesgue integrable). If  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  is a scalarly integrable function, then for each  $E \in \mathcal{L}$ , there is  $x_E^{**} \in X^{**}$  such that

$$\langle x^*, x_E^{**} \rangle = \int_E \langle x^*, f \rangle d\lambda.$$

In the case that  $x_E^{**} \in X$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{L}$ , then  $f$  is called *Pettis integrable*, or simply  $\mathcal{P}$ -*integrable* and we set  $(\mathcal{P}) \int_E f d\lambda$  instead of  $x_E^{**}$  to denote the *Pettis integral* of  $f$  over  $E$ . If  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  is a Pettis integrable function, then the set  $\{\langle x^*, f \rangle : x^* \in B_{X^*}\}$  is relatively weakly compact in  $L_1$  for the weak topology of  $L_1$  ([13], Theorem II. 3.8) (see also [30]); equivalently it is *uniformly integrable* ([13], Theorem III. 2.15). For an extensive study of Banach space-valued Pettis integral, the reader is referred to Musiał ([30]).

A *partial McShane partition* is a finite collection  $\{(I_i, t_i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ , where  $I_1, \dots, I_k$  are non-overlapping subintervals of  $[0, 1]$  and  $t_i$  is a point of  $[0, 1]$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . If the union of all the elements  $I_i$  of the partition equals  $[0, 1]$ , then it is a *McShane partition* of  $[0, 1]$ . A *gauge* on  $[0, 1]$  is a function  $\delta : [0, 1] \rightarrow ]0, +\infty[$ . For a given a gauge  $\delta$  on  $[0, 1]$ , we say that a McShane partition  $\{(I_i, t_i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  is *subordinate* to  $\delta$  if  $I_i \subset ]t_i - \delta(t_i), t_i + \delta(t_i)[$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  be a function. We set

$$\sigma(f, P) := \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda(I_i) f(t_i),$$

for each McShane partition  $P := \{(I_i, t_i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ . A sequence  $(P_n)$  of McShane partitions of  $[0, 1]$  is said to be *adapted* to a sequence of gauges  $(\delta_n)$  on  $[0, 1]$  if  $P_n$  is subordinate to  $\delta_n$  for each  $n \geq 1$

• A function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  is *McShane integrable* on  $[0, 1]$ , with *McShane integral*  $\omega \in X$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a gauge  $\delta$  on  $[0, 1]$  such that

$$\|\sigma(f, P) - \omega\| < \varepsilon,$$

for every McShane partition  $P$  of  $[0, 1]$  subordinate to  $\delta$ . We set  $\omega := (\mathcal{M}) \int_0^1 f d\lambda$ .

**Remark 2.1.** Recall that the partitions employed in this definition can be replaced with measurable partitions of  $[0, 1]$  (that is, a finite collection  $\{(E_i, t_i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ , where  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  is a finite disjoint cover of  $[0, 1]$  by measurable sets and points  $t_1, \dots, t_k \in [0, 1]$ ) ([24], [28], [36]. See also [22], [21], [9], [8], [7]) for a more general setting.

It is known [22] that if a function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  is McShane integrable on  $[0, 1]$ , then it is Pettis integrable on  $[0, 1]$  and the corresponding integrals are equals, but the converse does not hold in general (see [14, 22, 37]).

Nevertheless, for some classes of Banach spaces these two notions coincides: this happens for separable spaces ([20, 22, 23], super-reflexive spaces,  $c_0(I)$  (for any non-empty set  $I$ ) [19],  $L^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\nu)$  (for any probability measure  $\nu$ ) [37] and subspaces of a Hilbert generated Banach space [14]. More recently, R. Deville and J. Rodriguez [14] have proved the coincidence of the McShane and Pettis integrals for functions taking values in a subspace of a Hilbert generated Banach space, thus generalizing all previously mentioned results on such coincidence.

In particular, a real-valued function is Lebesgue integrable on  $[0, 1]$  if and only if it is McShane integrable on  $[0, 1]$  and the corresponding integrals are equal in both cases (see [24]).

A partial Henstock partition (resp. Henstock partition of  $[0, 1]$ ) is a partial McShane partition (resp. McShane partition of  $[0, 1]$ )  $\{(I_i, t_i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  such that  $t_i$  is a point of  $I_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

• A function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  is Henstock integrable, with Henstock integral  $\omega \in X$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a gauge  $\delta$  on  $[0, 1]$  such that

$$\|\sigma(f, P) - \omega\| < \varepsilon,$$

for every Henstock partition  $P$  of  $[0, 1]$  subordinate to  $\delta$ . We set  $\omega := (\mathcal{H}) \int_0^1 f d\lambda$ .

In case when  $X$  is the real line,  $f$  is called Kurzweil-Henstock integrable, or simply  $\mathcal{KH}$ -integrable.

**Remark 2.2.** It is interesting to observe the following sequential formulation of the preceding definitions.

A function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  is McShane integrable (resp. Henstock integrable), with integral  $\omega$ , if and only if there is a sequence of gauges  $(\delta_n)$  on  $[0, 1]$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{P \in \Pi_{\mathcal{M}}(\delta_n)} \|\sigma(f, P) - \omega\| = 0$$

$$(\text{resp. } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{P \in \Pi_{\mathcal{H}}(\delta_n)} \|\sigma(f, P) - \omega\| = 0),$$

where  $\Pi_{\mathcal{M}}(\delta_n)$  (resp.  $\Pi_{\mathcal{H}}(\delta_n)$ ) denotes the collection of all McShane (resp. Henstock) partitions of  $[0, 1]$  subordinate to  $\delta_n$ .

Equivalently

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\sigma(f, P_n) - \omega\| = 0$$

for every sequence  $P_n$  of McShane (resp. Henstock) partitions of  $[0, 1]$  adapted to  $(\delta_n)$

The following results concerning the Lebesgue, Kurzweil-Henstock and McShane integral for real-valued function play a vital role in our paper in which we present a fuzzy scalar version of Theorem 2.4 (Theorem 9.13 [24]).

**Theorem 2.3.** (Theorem 10.11 [24]).

Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a real-valued function. Then  $f$  is McShane integrable if and only if it is Lebesgue integrable. We have then

$$(\mathcal{M}) \int_0^1 f d\lambda = \int_0^1 f d\lambda.$$

**Theorem 2.4.** (Theorem 9.13 [24]).

Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded real-valued function. Then  $f$  is McShane integrable if and only if it is  $\mathcal{KH}$ -integrable. We have then

$$(\mathcal{M}) \int_I f d\lambda = (\mathcal{KH}) \int_I f d\lambda,$$

for every closed subinterval  $I \subset [0, 1]$ .

**Lemma 2.5 (Saks Henstock).** (*Lemma 9.11, [24]*). Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $\mathcal{KH}$ -integrable function on  $[0, 1]$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $\delta$  be a gauge of  $[0, 1]$  such that

$$|\sigma(f, P) - (\mathcal{KH}) \int_0^1 f d\lambda| \leq \varepsilon,$$

for every Henstock partition  $P$  of  $[0, 1]$  subordinate to  $\delta$ . Then

$$|\sum_{i=1}^m [\lambda(I_i)f(t_i) - (\mathcal{KH}) \int_{I_i} f d\lambda]| \leq \varepsilon,$$

for every partial Henstock partition  $\{(I_i, t_i) : i = 1, \dots, m\}$  of  $[0, 1]$  subordinate to  $\delta$ .

We recall now some definitions concerning set-valued functions.

A function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  is called selection of a set-valued function  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow cwk(X)$  if, for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ , on has  $f(t) \in F(t)$ . By  $S^0(F)$  (resp.  $S_{\mathcal{P}}(F)$ ) we denote the family of all measurable (resp. Pettis integrable) selections.

A set-valued functions  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow cwk(X)$  is said to be scalarly measurable (resp. scalarly integrable) if for every  $x^* \in X^*$ , the real valued function  $\delta^*(x^*, F)$  is measurable (resp. Lebesgue integrable)(see [25]).

**Definition 2.6.** (*Definition 3.1, [3]*). A set-valued function  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow cwk(X)$  is said to be Pettis integrable on  $[0, 1]$  if  $F$  is scalarly integrable on  $[0, 1]$  and for each  $E \in \mathcal{L}$  there exists a set  $W_E \in cwk(X)$  such that for each  $x^* \in X^*$ , we have

$$\delta^*(x^*, W_E) = \int_E \delta^*(x^*, F) d\lambda.$$

Then we set  $W_E = (\mathcal{P}) \int_E F d\lambda$ , for each  $E \in \mathcal{L}$ .

Given  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow cwk(X)$  and a partition  $P = \{(I_i, t_i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  in  $[0, 1]$  we set

$$\sigma(F, P) := \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda(I_i)F(t_i)$$

**Definition 2.7.** ([16]). A set-valued function  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow cwk(X)$  is said to be McShane (resp. Henstock) integrable on  $[0, 1]$  if there exists a nonempty set  $W \in cwk(X)$  with the following property: for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a gauge  $\delta$  on  $[0, 1]$  such that for each McShane (resp. Henstock) partition  $P$  of  $[0, 1]$ , we have

$$d_H(\sigma(F, P), W) < \varepsilon.$$

Notation:  $W = (\mathcal{M}) \int_0^1 F d\lambda$  (resp.  $W = (\mathcal{H}) \int_0^1 F d\lambda$ ).

**Remark 2.8.** A set-valued function  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow cwk(X)$  McShane integrable (resp. Henstock integrable) on  $[0, 1]$ , with integral  $W \in cwk(X)$ , if and only if there is a sequence of gauges  $(\delta_n)$  on  $[0, 1]$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{P \in \Pi_{\mathcal{M}}(\delta_n)} d_H(\sigma(F, P), W) = 0$$

$$(\text{resp. } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{P \in \Pi_{\mathcal{H}}(\delta_n)} d_H(\sigma(F, P), W) = 0),$$

where  $\Pi_{\mathcal{M}}(\delta_n)$  (resp.  $\Pi_{\mathcal{H}}(\delta_n)$ ) denotes the collection of all McShane (resp. Henstock) partitions of  $[0, 1]$  subordinate to  $\delta_n$ .

Equivalently

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_H(\sigma(F, P_n), W) = 0$$

for every sequence  $P_n$  of McShane (resp. Henstock) partitions of  $[0, 1]$  adapted to  $(\delta_n)$ .

### 3. Fuzzy McShane and Fuzzy Henstock integrals

Let  $u : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . We set  $L_r[u] := \{x \in X : u(x) \geq r\}$ , for  $r \in ]0, 1]$  the  $r$ -level set of  $u$  and  $\text{supp}[u] := \text{cl}\{x \in X : u(x) > 0\} = \text{cl}(\cup_{r \in ]0, 1]} L_r[u]$ ;  $u$  is called a generalized fuzzy number, as in [44, 45], (fuzzy number as in [27]) on  $X$  if, for each  $r \in ]0, 1]$ ,  $L_r[u] \in \text{cwk}(X)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  denote the generalized fuzzy number space. We define  $\theta : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as follows:

$$\theta(x) = 1_{\{0\}}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Then  $\theta \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  and  $\theta$  is called the null element of  $\mathcal{F}(X)$ .

In the sequel we will use the following representation theorem (see [27]).

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $u \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ , then*

- (1)  $L_r[u] \in \text{cwk}(X)$ ,  $\text{supp}[u] \in \text{cwk}(X)$ , for all  $r \in ]0, 1]$ .
- (2)  $L_{r_2}[u] \subset L_{r_1}[u]$ , for  $0 < r_1 \leq r_2 \leq 1$ .
- (3) If  $r_k$  is a nondecreasing sequence converging to  $r > 0$ , then

$$L_r[u] = \bigcap_{k \geq 1} L_{r_k}[u].$$

Conversely, if  $\{A_r : r \in ]0, 1]\}$  is a family of subsets of  $X$  satisfying (1), (2) and (3), then there exists a unique  $u \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  such that  $L_r[u] = A_r$  for every  $r \in ]0, 1]$ .

A linear structure in  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  is defined by the operations:

$$(u + v)(x) = \sup_{y+z=x} \min\{u(y), v(z)\}$$

$$(ku)(x) = \begin{cases} u(\frac{x}{k}) & \text{if } k \neq 0 \\ 1_{\{0\}}(x) & \text{if } k = 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $u, v \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  and  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  (see [33, 34]).

**Remark 3.2.** *We can define the above two operations as follows (see [29]: p. 585):*

$$(u + v)(x) = \sup\{r \in ]0, 1] : x \in L_r[u] + L_r[v]\} \text{ and}$$

$$(ku)(x) = \sup\{r \in ]0, 1] : x \in kL_r[u]\}.$$

In the next theorem we list basic properties of the generalized fuzzy numbers will be needed in this paper. There are borrowed from [27, 44, 45].

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $u \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ . Then*

- (1)  $u$  is normal fuzzy set, i.e, there exists  $x_0 \in X$ , such that  $u(x_0) = 1$ .
- (2)  $u$  is a convex fuzzy set (or quasiconcave), i.e,  $u(tx + (1 - t)y) \geq \min\{u(x), u(y)\}$  for any  $x, y \in X, t \in [0, 1]$ .
- (3)  $u$  is upper semi-continuous, i.e, for each  $r \in ]0, 1]$ , the  $r$ -level set  $L_r[u]$  is closed subset of  $X$ .
- (4)  $\text{supp}[u] := \text{cl}\{x \in X : u(x) > 0\}$  is compact.
- (5)  $L_r[u + v] = L_r[u] + L_r[v]$ , for every  $u, v \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  and  $r \in ]0, 1]$ .
- (6)  $L_r[ku] = kL_r[u]$ , for every  $u \in \mathcal{F}(X), k \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $r \in ]0, 1]$ .

A fuzzy-number valued function  $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  is said to be measurable if for each  $r \in ]0, 1]$ , the set-valued  $L_r[\Gamma]$  is measurable. From now  $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  is a measurable fuzzy-number valued function.

Define  $d_\infty : \mathcal{F}(X) \times \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by the equality:

$$d_\infty(u, v) = \sup_{r \in ]0, 1]} d_H(L_r[u], L_r[v]).$$

We start with the definition of fuzzy Pettis, Henstock and McShane integrals.

**Definition 3.4.** (Definition 3.2, [33]). A measurable fuzzy-number-valued function  $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  is said to be fuzzy Pettis integrable on  $[0, 1]$  if and only if for each  $E \in \mathcal{L}$ , there exists a generalized fuzzy number  $u_E \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  such that for any  $r \in ]0, 1]$  and for any  $x^* \in X^*$  we have

$$\delta^*(x^*, L_r[u_E]) = \int_E \delta^*(x^*, L_r[\Gamma]) d\lambda.$$

In this case  $u_E := (\mathcal{FP}) \int_E \Gamma d\lambda$  is called the fuzzy Pettis integral of  $\Gamma$  over  $E$ .

For the properties of fuzzy Pettis integral, the reader is referred to [18, 33].

A fuzzy-number-valued function  $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  is said to be scalarly (resp. scalarly Henstock-Kurzweil) integrable on  $[0, 1]$  if for all  $r \in ]0, 1]$  the set-valued function  $L_r[\Gamma] : [0, 1] \rightarrow cwk(X)$  is scalarly (resp. scalarly Henstock-Kurzweil) integrable.

**Definition 3.5.** A fuzzy-number-valued function  $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  is said to be weakly fuzzy McShane (resp. Henstock) integrable on  $[0, 1]$  if and only if for any  $r \in ]0, 1]$  the set-valued function  $L_r[\Gamma] : [0, 1] \rightarrow cwk(X)$  is McShane (resp. Henstock) integrable and there exists a generalized fuzzy number  $u \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  such that for any  $r \in ]0, 1]$  and for any  $x^* \in X^*$  we have

$$\delta^*(x^*, L_r[u]) = \int_0^1 \delta^*(x^*, L_r[\Gamma]) d\lambda.$$

or

$$\delta^*(x^*, L_r[u]) = (\mathcal{KH}) \int_0^1 \delta^*(x^*, L_r[\Gamma]) d\lambda,$$

respectively. In this case  $u := (\mathcal{WFM}) \int_E \Gamma d\lambda$  (resp.  $u := (\mathcal{WFH}) \int_E \Gamma d\lambda$ ) is called the weak fuzzy McShane (weak fuzzy Henstock) integral of  $\Gamma$  over  $[0, 1]$ . (see [6, 31].

Given  $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  a fuzzy-number-valued function and a partition  $P = \{(I_i, t_i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  in  $[0, 1]$  we set

$$\sigma(\Gamma, P) := \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda(I_i) \Gamma(t_i)$$

**Definition 3.6.** A fuzzy-number-valued function  $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  is said to be fuzzy McShane (resp. Henstock) integrable on  $[0, 1]$  if there exists a fuzzy number  $u \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  if there is a gauge  $\delta$  on  $[0, 1]$  such that for every McShane (resp. Henstock) partition of  $[0, 1]$  subordinate to  $\delta$ , we have

$$d_\infty(\sigma(\Gamma, P), u) < \varepsilon.$$

Notation:  $u = (\mathcal{FM}) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda$  (resp.  $u = (\mathcal{FH}) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda$ ). (See [6, 12, 31].

**Remark 3.7.** For sake of comparison with the fuzzy scalar McShane (resp. Henstock) integrability, it is interesting to observe the following sequential formulation of the preceding definition.

A fuzzy-number-valued function  $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  is fuzzy McShane (resp. Henstock) integrable on  $[0, 1]$  with integral  $u \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  if there exists a sequence  $(\delta_n)$  of gauges on  $[0, 1]$  such that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{P \in \Pi_{\mathcal{M}}(\delta_n)} d_{\infty}(\sigma(\Gamma, P), u) = 0,$$

$$\text{resp. } \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{P \in \Pi_{\mathcal{H}}(\delta_n)} d_{\infty}(\sigma(\Gamma, P), u) = 0,$$

where  $\Pi_{\mathcal{M}}(\delta_n)$  (resp.  $\Pi_{\mathcal{H}}(\delta_n)$ ) denotes the collection of all McShane (resp. Henstock) partitions of  $[0, 1]$  subordinate to  $\delta_n$ .

Equivalently, for each sequence  $(P_n)$  of McShane (resp. Henstock) partitions of  $[0, 1]$  adapted to  $(\delta_n)$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d_{\infty}(\sigma(\Gamma, P_n), u) = 0.$$

Now we define our new notion of fuzzy McShane and Henstock integrability namely fuzzy scalar McShane (resp. Henstock) integrability:

**Definition 3.8.** A fuzzy-number-valued function  $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  is said to be fuzzy scalar McShane integrable ( $\mathcal{FSM}$ -integrable for short) on  $[0, 1]$  if there exists a fuzzy number  $u \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  such that, there is a sequence  $(\delta_n)$  of gauges on  $[0, 1]$  such that, for all  $r \in ]0, 1]$  and for each sequence  $(P_n)$  of McShane partitions adapted to  $(\delta_n)$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \delta^*(x^*, L_r[\sigma(\Gamma, P_n)]) = \delta^*(x^*, L_r[u]) \text{ for all } x^* \in X^*.$$

Notation:  $u = (\mathcal{FSM}) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda.$

**Definition 3.9.** A fuzzy-number-valued function  $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  is said to be fuzzy scalar Henstock integrable ( $\mathcal{FSH}$ -integrable for short) on  $[0, 1]$  if there exists a fuzzy number  $u \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  such that, there is a sequence  $(\delta_n)$  of gauges on  $[0, 1]$  such that, for all  $r \in ]0, 1]$  and for each sequence  $(P_n)$  of Henstock partitions adapted to  $(\delta_n)$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \delta^*(x^*, L_r[\sigma(\Gamma, P_n)]) = \delta^*(x^*, L_r[u]) \text{ for all } x^* \in X^*.$$

Notation:  $u = (\mathcal{FSH}) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda.$

**Proposition 3.10.** If a fuzzy-number-valued function  $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  is  $\mathcal{FSM}$ -integrable on  $[0, 1]$ , then it is  $\mathcal{FSH}$ -integrable on  $[0, 1]$ . We have then

$$(\mathcal{FSM}) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda = (\mathcal{FSH}) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda.$$

**Proposition 3.11.** If a fuzzy-number-valued function  $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  is  $\mathcal{FSM}$ -integrable (resp.  $\mathcal{FSH}$ -integrable) on  $[0, 1]$ , then it is  $\mathcal{WFM}$ -integrable (resp.  $\mathcal{WFH}$ -integrable) on  $[0, 1]$ . We have then

$$(\mathcal{FSM}) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda = (\mathcal{WFM}) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda$$

or

$$(\mathcal{FSH}) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda = (\mathcal{WFH}) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda.$$

respectively.

*Proof.* As consequence of Definition 3.2, Remark 2.3, Hormander’s equality, and ([11]: Proposition III.35). ■

**Proposition 3.12.** *If a fuzzy-number-valued function  $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  is fuzzy McShane (resp. Henstock) integrable on  $[0, 1]$ , then it is  $\mathcal{FSM}$ -integrable (resp.  $\mathcal{FSH}$ -integrable) on  $[0, 1]$  and the two integrals are respectively equal.*

*Proof.* As consequence of Remark 3.7 and Hormander’s equality. ■

The next theorem provides the linearity properties of the fuzzy scalar McShane integral.

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $\Gamma, G: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  be two fuzzy number valued functions.*

(1) *If  $\Gamma$  and  $G$  are  $\mathcal{FSM}$ -integrable on  $[0, 1]$ , then  $\Gamma + G$  is  $\mathcal{FSM}$ -integrable on  $[0, 1]$  and*

$$(\mathcal{FSM}) \int_0^1 (\Gamma + G) d\lambda = (\mathcal{FSM}) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda + (\mathcal{FSM}) \int_0^1 G d\lambda.$$

(2) *If  $\Gamma$  is  $\mathcal{FSM}$ -integrable on  $[0, 1]$  and if  $\alpha$  is a real nonnegative number, then  $\alpha\Gamma$  is  $\mathcal{FSM}$ -integrable on  $[0, 1]$  and*

$$(\mathcal{FSM}) \int_0^1 \alpha\Gamma d\lambda = \alpha(\mathcal{FSM}) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda.$$

(3) *If  $\Gamma$  is  $\mathcal{FSM}$ -integrable on  $[0, 1]$  and if  $\Gamma = G$   $\lambda$ -a.e., then the function  $G$  is  $\mathcal{FSM}$ -integrable on  $[0, 1]$  and*

$$(\mathcal{FSM}) \int_0^1 G d\lambda = (\mathcal{FSM}) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda.$$

**Remark 3.14.** *Proceeding analogously, Theorem 3.13 remains valid in the context of  $\mathcal{FSH}$ -integrals.*

**Proposition 3.15.** *A fuzzy-number-valued function  $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  is  $\mathcal{FSH}$ -integrable on  $[0, 1]$ , with fuzzy scalar Henstock integral  $u$ , if and only if there is a sequence  $(\delta_n)$  of gauges on  $[0, 1]$  such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{P \in \Pi_{\mathcal{H}}(\delta_n)} |\delta^*(x^*, L_r[\sigma(\Gamma, P)]) - \delta^*(x^*, L_r[u])| = 0 \text{ for all } x^* \in X^*,$$

*for all  $r \in ]0, 1]$ , where  $\Pi_{\mathcal{H}}(\delta_n)$  denotes the collection of all Henstock partitions subordinate to  $\delta_n$ .*

*Proof.* The “if” part is trivial. To prove the “only if” part let  $(\delta_n)$  be as mentioned in Definition 3.8 and set

$$a_n := \sup_{P \in \Pi_{\mathcal{H}}(\delta_n)} |\delta^*(x^*, L_r[\sigma(\Gamma, P)]) - \delta^*(x^*, L_r[u])| \quad (n \geq 1).$$

For each  $n \geq 1$  select  $P_n \in \Pi_{\mathcal{H}}(\delta_n)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta^*(x^*, L_r[\sigma(\Gamma, P_n)]) - \delta^*(x^*, L_r[u])| &\geq a_n - \frac{1}{n} \text{ if } a_n < \infty \\ &\geq 1 \quad \text{if } a_n = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\delta^*(x^*, L_r[\sigma(\Gamma, P_n)]) - \delta^*(x^*, L_r[u])| = 0,$$

we must have  $a_n < \infty$  except perhaps for a finite number of indices  $n$ , and it follows then that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ . ■

**Remark 3.16.** *Proceeding analogously, Proposition 3.15 remains valid in the context of  $\mathcal{FSM}$ -integrals.*

**Proposition 3.17.** Let  $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  be a fuzzy number  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}$ -integrable valued function. Then for each  $r \in ]0, 1]$  the function  $\delta^*(x^*, L_r[\Gamma])$  is scalarly Henstock integrable and

$$\delta^*(x^*, L_r[(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda]) = (\mathcal{K}\mathcal{H}) \int_0^1 \delta^*(x^*, L_r[\Gamma]) d\lambda,$$

for all  $x^* \in X^*$  and  $r \in ]0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* As consequence of Remark 2.2 and Proposition 3.15. ■

**Lemma 3.18 ( Saks scalar fuzzy Henstock lemma).** Let  $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  be a fuzzy number  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}$ -integrable valued function and  $(\delta_n)$  be a sequence of gauges on  $[0, 1]$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \delta^*(x^*, L_r[\sigma(\Gamma, P_n)]) = \delta^*(x^*, L_r[(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda]),$$

for all  $x^* \in X^*$ , for all  $r \in ]0, 1]$  and for each sequence  $(P_n)$  of Henstock partitions adapted to  $(\delta_n)$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} [\lambda(I_i^n) \delta^*(x^*, L_r \Gamma(t_i^n))] - (\mathcal{K}\mathcal{H}) \int_{I_i^n} \delta^*(x^*, L_r[\Gamma]) d\lambda \right| = 0,$$

for all  $x^* \in X^*$ , for all  $r \in ]0, 1]$  and for each sequence  $((I_i^n, t_i^n)_{1 \leq i \leq k_n})_{n \geq 1}$  of partial Henstock partitions adapted to  $(\delta_n)$ .

*Proof.* By Propositions 3.15 and 3.17, there exists a sequence  $(\delta_n)$  of gauges from  $[0, 1]$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{P \in \Pi_{\mathcal{H}}(\delta_n)} |\sigma(\delta^*(x^*, L_r[\Gamma]), P) - (\mathcal{K}\mathcal{H}) \int_0^1 \delta^*(x^*, L_r[\Gamma]) d\lambda| = 0.$$

for all  $x^* \in X^*$ , for all  $r \in ]0, 1]$ , where  $\Pi_{\mathcal{H}}(\delta_n)$  denotes the collection of all Henstock partitions subordinate to  $\delta_n$ . Let  $x^* \in X^*$ ,  $r \in ]0, 1]$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $N \geq 1$  (possibly depending on  $x^*$ ) such that

$$\sup_{P \in \Pi_{\mathcal{H}}(\delta_n)} |\sigma(\delta^*(x^*, L_r[\Gamma]), P) - (\mathcal{K}\mathcal{H}) \int_0^1 \delta^*(x^*, L_r[\Gamma]) d\lambda| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for all } n \geq N.$$

By application of Lemma 2.5 to the function  $\delta^*(x^*, L_r[\Gamma])$  we get

$$\sup_{(I_i, t_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k} \in \Pi_{\mathcal{H}}^p(\delta_n)} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k [\lambda(I_i) \delta^*(x^*, L_r[\Gamma(t_i)])] - (\mathcal{K}\mathcal{H}) \int_{I_i} \delta^*(x^*, L_r[\Gamma]) d\lambda \right| \leq \varepsilon,$$

for all  $n \geq N$ , where  $\Pi_{\mathcal{H}}^p(\delta_n)$  denotes the collection of all partial Henstock partitions subordinate to  $\delta_n$ . Since this holds for all  $n \geq N$  and  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary, it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{(I_i, t_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k} \in \Pi_{\mathcal{H}}^p(\delta_n)} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k [\lambda(I_i) \delta^*(x^*, L_r[\Gamma(t_i)])] - (\mathcal{K}\mathcal{H}) \int_{I_i} \delta^*(x^*, L_r[\Gamma]) d\lambda \right| = 0.$$

■

**4. From Fuzzy scalar Henstock to Fuzzy scalar McShane integrability**

In this section we present our principal result in which we give a sufficient condition so that a fuzzy scalar Henstock integrable function is also fuzzy scalar McShane integrable as the following theorem shows.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  be a fuzzy-number valued function on  $[0, 1]$ , such that the set-valued function  $\text{supp}[\Gamma]$  is bounded on  $[0, 1]$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is  $\mathcal{SF}\mathcal{M}$ -integrable on  $[0, 1]$  if and only if  $\mathcal{SF}\mathcal{H}$ -integrable on  $[0, 1]$ . Then we have*

$$(\mathcal{SF}\mathcal{M}) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda = (\mathcal{SF}\mathcal{H}) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda.$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 involves the following technic lemma:

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function,  $(\delta_n)_{n \geq 1}$  sequence of gauges on  $[0, 1]$  and  $\varepsilon, \eta > 0$  such that*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma(\phi, P_n^H) \leq \eta,$$

for each sequence  $(P_n^H)$  of partial Henstock partitions adapted to  $(\delta_n)$ . Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda([0, 1] \cap \bigcup_{\phi(t) \geq \varepsilon} ]t - \delta_n(t), t + \delta_n(t)[) \leq \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon}.$$

*Proof.* For each  $n \geq 1$ , choose a compact  $K_n$  of  $[0, 1]$  contained on  $[0, 1] \cap \bigcup_{\phi(t) \geq \varepsilon} ]t - \delta_n(t), t + \delta_n(t)[$  such that

$$\lambda([0, 1] \cap \bigcup_{\phi(t) \geq \varepsilon} ]t - \delta_n(t), t + \delta_n(t)[ \setminus K_n) \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

According to Lemma 5 [21], there exists a sequence  $(P_n^H)_{n \geq 1} = ((I_i^n, t_i^n)_{1 \leq i \leq k_n})_{n \geq 1}$  of partial Henstock partitions adapted to  $(\delta_n)$  such that

$$\phi(t_i^n) \geq \varepsilon \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq k_n \text{ and } K_n \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_n} I_i^n,$$

for every  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore

$$\varepsilon \lambda(K_n) \leq \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \lambda(I_i^n) \leq \sigma(\phi, P_n^H) \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

By letting  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  in the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon \lambda([0, 1] \cap \bigcup_{\phi(t) \geq \varepsilon} ]t - \delta_n(t), t + \delta_n(t)[) \\ &= \varepsilon \lambda([0, 1] \cap \bigcup_{\phi(t) \geq \varepsilon} ]t - \delta_n(t), t + \delta_n(t)[ \setminus K_n) + \varepsilon \lambda(K_n) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{n} + \sigma(\phi, P_n^H) \text{ for all } n \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\varepsilon \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda([0, 1] \cap \bigcup_{\phi(t) \geq \varepsilon} ]t - \delta_n(t), t + \delta_n(t)[) \leq \eta.$$

This finish the proof of lemma. ■

**Proof of Theorem 4.1.**

If  $\Gamma$  is  $\mathcal{FSM}$ -integrable on  $[0, 1]$ , then it is  $\mathcal{FSH}$ -integrable on  $[0, 1]$  (Proposition 3.10). Conversely, suppose that  $\Gamma$  is  $\mathcal{FSH}$ -integrable on  $[0, 1]$  and prove that it is  $\mathcal{FSM}$ -integrable on  $[0, 1]$  and

$$(\mathcal{FSM}) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda = (\mathcal{FSH}) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda.$$

Let  $M := \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|\text{supp}[\Gamma(t)]\|$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . According to Proposition 3.17, Theorem 9.13 [24] and the following inequality

$$(4.1.1) \quad \delta^*(x^*, L_r[\Gamma]) \leq \delta^*(x^*, \text{supp}[\Gamma]) \leq M$$

for each  $r \in ]0, 1[$ , the set-valued function  $L_r[\Gamma]$  is scalarly integrable and

$$(4.1.2) \quad \int_I \delta^*(x^*, L_r[\Gamma]) d\lambda = (\mathcal{KH}) \int_I \delta^*(x^*, L_r[\Gamma]) d\lambda,$$

for all  $x^* \in X^*$ ,  $r \in ]0, 1[$  and for every closed subinterval  $I \subset [0, 1]$ . Next, define the set

$$C = \{\delta^*(x^*, L_r[\Gamma]), x^* \in \bar{B}_{X^*}, r \in ]0, 1[ \}.$$

Since the space of Lebesgue integrable functions, provided with the  $L_1$ -norm, is separable, we can find a sequence  $(\phi_l)_{l \geq 1}$  which is  $L_1$ -dense in  $C$ . That is

$$\forall \phi \in C \exists l \geq 1, \int_0^1 |\phi - \phi_l| d\lambda \leq \varepsilon^2.$$

The functions  $\phi_l$  are McShane integrables, because are Lebesgue integrables (Theorem 10.11 [24]), therefore, for each  $l \geq 1$  we can select a sequence  $(\delta_{n,l})_{n \geq 1}$  of gauges on  $[0, 1]$  such that, for every sequence  $P_n^M$  of McShane partitions of  $[0, 1]$  adapted to  $(\delta_{n,l})_{n \geq 1}$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\sigma(\phi_l, P_n^M) - \int_0^1 \phi_l d\lambda| = 0.$$

For each  $n \geq 1$  define a gauge  $\Delta_n^1$  by

$$\Delta_n^1 := \inf\{\delta_{n,1}, \dots, \delta_{n,n}\}.$$

Then, for every sequence  $P_n^M$  of McShane partitions of  $[0, 1]$  adapted to  $\Delta_n^1$ , we have

$$(4.1.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\sigma(\phi_l, P_n^M) - \int_0^1 \phi_l d\lambda| = 0 \text{ for all } l \geq 1.$$

Next, as  $\Gamma$  is  $\mathcal{FSH}$ -integrable on  $[0, 1]$ , we can select a sequence  $(\Delta_n^2)$  of gauges on  $[0, 1]$  such that, for every  $r \in ]0, 1[$  and for every sequence  $P_n^H$  of Henstock partitions of  $[0, 1]$  adapted to  $(\Delta_n^2)$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \delta^*(x^*, L_r[\sigma(\Gamma, P_n^H)]) = \delta^*(x^*, L_r[(\mathcal{FSH}) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda]),$$

for all  $x^* \in X^*$ . Define a sequence  $(\Delta_n)$  of gauges on  $[0, 1]$  by writing

$$\Delta_n := \inf\{\Delta_n^1, \Delta_n^2\} \quad n \geq 1.$$

Let  $\phi \in C$  be fixed but arbitrary and take  $l_0 \geq 1$  such that

$$(4.1.4) \quad \int_0^1 |\phi - \phi_{l_0}| d\lambda \leq \varepsilon^2.$$

We seek to prove that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda([0, 1] \cap V_n) \leq \varepsilon,$$

where

$$V_n := \bigcup_{\phi(t) - \phi_{l_0}(t) \geq \varepsilon} ]t - \Delta_n(t), t + \Delta_n(t)[, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Indeed, if  $(P_n^H)_{n \geq 1} = ((K_j^n, u_j^n)_{1 \leq j \leq s_n})_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of partial Henstock partitions adapted to  $(\Delta_n)$ , then according to Lemma 3.18 and (4.1.2), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{s_n} [\lambda(K_j^n) \delta^*(x^*, L_r[\Gamma(u_j^n)]) - \int_{K_j^n} \delta^*(x^*, L_r[\Gamma]) d\lambda] \right| = 0,$$

for all  $x^* \in \bar{B}_{X^*}$  and  $r \in ]0, 1]$ . In other words

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left| \sigma(\psi, P_n^H) - \int_{H_n} \psi d\lambda \right| = 0 \text{ for all } \psi \in C,$$

where  $H_n = \bigcup_{j=1}^{s_n} K_j^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . In particular, we have

$$(4.1.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left| \sigma(\phi - \phi_{l_0}, P_n^H) - \int_{H_n} \phi - \phi_{l_0} d\lambda \right| = 0.$$

According to (4.1.4), (4.1.5) and the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\phi - \phi_{l_0}, P_n^H) &\leq \int_0^1 |\phi - \phi_{l_0}| d\lambda + \left| \sigma(\phi - \phi_{l_0}, P_n^H) - \int_{H_n} \phi - \phi_{l_0} d\lambda \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon^2 + \left| \sigma(\phi - \phi_{l_0}, P_n^H) - \int_{H_n} \phi - \phi_{l_0} d\lambda \right|, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma(\phi - \phi_{l_0}, P_n^H) \leq \varepsilon^2.$$

Therefore, we can invoke Lemma 4.1, which yields the inequality

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda([0, 1] \cap V_n) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Now let  $(P_n^M)_{n \geq 1} = ((I_i^n, t_i^n)_{1 \leq i \leq k_n})_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of McShane partitions on  $[0, 1]$  adapted to  $(\Delta_n)$ , then by remark that

$$\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq k_n, \phi_{l_0}(t_i^n) - \phi(t_i^n) \geq \varepsilon} I_i^n \subset [0, 1] \cap V_n \text{ for all } n \geq 1,$$

we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k_n, \phi_{l_0}(t_i^n) - \phi(t_i^n) \geq \varepsilon} \lambda(I_i^n) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Similarly,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k_n, \phi_{l_0}(t_i^n) - \phi(t_i^n) < \varepsilon} \lambda(I_i^n) \leq \varepsilon.$$

So

$$(4.1.6) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k_n, |\phi(t_i^n) - \phi_{l_0}(t_i^n)| \geq \varepsilon} \lambda(I_i^n) \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \lambda(I_i^n) |\phi(t_i^n) - \phi_{l_0}(t_i^n)| &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k_n, |\phi(t_i^n) - \phi_{l_0}(t_i^n)| \geq \varepsilon} \lambda(I_i^n) |\phi(t_i^n) - \phi_{l_0}(t_i^n)| + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k_n, |\phi(t_i^n) - \phi_{l_0}(t_i^n)| \leq \varepsilon} \lambda(I_i^n) |\phi(t_i^n) - \phi_{l_0}(t_i^n)| \\ &\leq 2M \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k_n, |\phi(t_i^n) - \phi_{l_0}(t_i^n)| \geq \varepsilon} \lambda(I_i^n) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

because

$$|\phi(t_i^n) - \phi_{l_0}(t_i^n)| \leq 2M \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq k_n \text{ and } n \geq 1,$$

This together with (4.1.4) implies

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma(\phi, P_n^M) - \int_0^1 \phi d\lambda| &\leq \left| \int_0^1 \phi d\lambda - \int_0^1 \phi_{l_0} d\lambda \right| + |\sigma(\phi_{l_0}, P_n^M) - \int_0^1 \phi_{l_0} d\lambda| + |\sigma(\phi, P_n^M) - \sigma(\phi_{l_0}, P_n^M)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon^2 + |\sigma(\phi_{l_0}, P_n^M) - \int_0^1 \phi_{l_0} d\lambda| + 2M \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k_n, |\phi(t_i^n) - \phi_{l_0}(t_i^n)| \geq \varepsilon} \lambda(I_i^n) + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore, using (4.1.3) and (4.1.6) we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\sigma(\phi, P_n^M) - \int_0^1 \phi d\lambda| \leq \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon + 4M\varepsilon.$$

By the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma(\phi, P_n^M) = \int_0^1 \phi d\lambda \text{ for all } \phi \in C.$$

Using (4.1.2) and Proposition 3.17 this equality becomes

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \delta(x^*, L_r[\sigma(\Gamma, P_n^M)]) = \delta^*(x^*, L_r[(\mathcal{F}SH) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda]),$$

for all  $x^* \in \bar{B}_{X^*}$  and  $r \in ]0, 1]$ . Thus  $\Gamma$  is  $\mathcal{F}SM$ -integrable on  $[0, 1]$  and

$$(\mathcal{F}SM) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda = (\mathcal{F}SH) \int_0^1 \Gamma d\lambda.$$

This finish the proof of our theorem. ■

**Remark 4.3.** Remark that if  $\Gamma(t) = 1_{\{f(t)\}}$ , where  $f$  is a real-valued function, Theorem 4.1 becomes Gordon theorem (Theorem 9.13 [24]) concerning real-valued McShane and  $\mathcal{KH}$ -integrals.

**Acknowledgment.** The authors wish to thank the referees for their constructive critique of the first draft.

**References**

[1] C. D. Aliprantis and K. C. Border, *Infinite dimensional analysis*. Springer, A Hitchhiker’s Guide, 3rd ed 2006, XXII, 704 p.  
 [2] C. D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, *Positive operators*. Springer, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 119. New York: Academic Press, 2006.  
 [3] K. El Amri and C. Hess *On The Pettis integral of closed valued multifonctions*, Set-valued Anal. 8(2000),329-360.  
 [4] A. Avilés, G. Plebanek and J. Rodriguez, *The McShane integral in weakly compactly generated spaces*, J. Funct. Anal., Vol. 259, Issue 11, 1 December 2010, 2776–2792.  
 [5] G. Beer, *Topologies on closed and closed convex sets*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrech, Boston, London (1993).

- [6] B. Bongiorno, L. Di Piazza and K. Musiał, *A decomposition theorem for the fuzzy Henstock integral*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 200 (2012) 36–47.
- [7] D. Candeloro, L. Di Piazza, K. Musiał and A. R. Sambucini, *Gauge integrals and selections of weakly compact valued multifunctions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2016, 441, 293–308.
- [8] D. Candeloro, L. Di Piazza, K. Musiał and A. R. Sambucini, *Relations among gauge and Pettis integrals for multifunctions with compact convex values*, Annali di Matematica 2018, 197, 171–183.
- [9] D. Caponetti, V. Marraffa and K. Naralencov, *On the integration of Riemann-measurable vector-valued functions*, Monatsh. Math. 2017, 182, 513–536.
- [10] C. Cascales, V. Kadets and J. Rodríguez, *The Pettis integral for multi-valued functions via single ones*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 332(1), 1–10 (2007).
- [11] C. Castaing and M. Valadier, *Convex analysis and measurable multifunctions*, Lecture Notes in Math. 580, Springer, 1977.
- [12] W. Congxin and G. Zengtai, *On the Henstock integrals of fuzzy-valued functions (I)*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 120 (2001), 523–532.
- [13] J. Diestel and J.J. Uhl Jr, *Vector measures*, Amer. Math. Soc. Mathematical surveys, 15, (1977).
- [14] R. Deville and J. Rodríguez, *Integration in Hilbert generated Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. Anal. Appl., 177 (2010), 285–306.
- [15] L. Di Piazza and K. Musiał, *Set-valued Kurzweil-Henstock-Pettis integral*, Set-valued Anal., 13(2005), 167–179.
- [16] L. Di Piazza and K. Musiał, *A decomposition theorem for Compact-Valued Henstock integral*, Monatsh. Math. 148, (2006), no. 2, 119–126.
- [17] L. Di Piazza and K. Musiał, *Decomposition of weakly compact valued integrable multifunctions*,  $\Sigma$  Mathematics, 8, 836(2020), 1–13.
- [18] L. Di Piazza and V. Marraffa, *Pettis integrability of fuzzy mappings with values in arbitrary Banach spaces*, Math. Slovaca 67 (2017), No. 6, 1359–1370.
- [19] L. Di Piazza and D. Preiss, *When do McShane and Pettis integrals coincide?*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 47, No. 4, (2003), 1177–1187.
- [20] D.H. Fremlin, *The generalized McShane integral*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 39, No. 1, (1995), 39–67.
- [21] D.H. Fremlin, *The Henstock and McShane integrals of vector-valued function*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 38, No. 3, (1994), 471–479.
- [22] D.H. Fremlin and J. Mendoza, *On the integration of vector-valued functions*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 38, No. 1, (1994), 127–147.
- [23] R.A. Gordon, *The McShane integral of Banach-valued functions*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 34, No. 3, (1990), 557–567.
- [24] R.A. Gordon, *The integrals of Lebesgue, Denjoy, Perron, and Henstock*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 4, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., (1994).
- [25] C. Hess, *Measurability and integrability of the weak upper limit of a sequence of multifunctions*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Vol. 153, No. 1, November. 15, 1990, 226–249.
- [26] C. Hess and H. Ziat, *Théorème de Komlós pour les multifonctions intégrables au sens de Pettis et applications*, Ann Sci Math Québec (2002) 26, 181–198.
- [27] W. Jianrong, X. Xiaoping and W. Congxin, *Radon-Nikodym theorem and Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem on fuzzy number measures in Banach spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 117 (2001), 339–346.
- [28] J. Kurzweil and S. Schwabik, *On McShane integrability of Banach space-valued functions*, Real Analysis Exchange, Vol 92(2), (2003–2004), 763–780.
- [29] S. Lamei and G. Li, *Strong laws of large numbers for fuzzy set-valued Random variables in  $G_\alpha$  space*, Advances in Pure Mathematics. (2016), no. 6, 583–592.
- [30] K. Musiał, *Topics in the theory of Pettis integration*, In: *School of Measure Theory and Real Analysis Italy*, May 1992.
- [31] K. Musiał, *A decomposition theorem for Banach space valued fuzzy Henstock integral*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 259 (2015) 21–28.
- [32] J. Neveu, *Mathematical foundations of the calculus of probability*, Holden-Day, San Francisco, (1965).
- [33] C. K. Park, *On the Pettis integral of fuzzy mappings in Banach spaces*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 22 (2007) 535–545.
- [34] M. L. Puri and D. A. Ralescu, *Convergence theorem for fuzzy martingales*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications. 160 (1991), 107–122.
- [35] M. L. Puri and D. A. Ralescu, *Fuzzy random variables*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications. 114 (1986), 402–422.
- [36] R. Reynolds and C. Swartz, *The Vitali convergence theorem for the vector-valued McShane integral*, Mathematica Bohemica, 129 (2) (2004), 159–176.
- [37] J. Rodríguez, *On the equivalence of McShane and Pettis integrability in non-separable Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 341 (2008), 80–90.
- [38] R. Sayyad, *The weak integral by partitions of unity*, Real Analysis Exchange, Université Saint Olaf. College Northfield, USA. Vol. 44, No. 1 (2019), pp. 181–198.
- [39] R. Sayyad, *The multiplier for the weak McShane integral*. Mathematica Bohemica, 144 (1) (2019), 13–24.
- [40] R. Sayyad, *The McShane integral in the limit*, Real Analysis Exchange, Université Saint Olaf. College Northfield, USA. Vol. 42, No 2 (2017), pp. 283–310.
- [41] R. Sayyad, *A new fuzzy McShane integrability*, Math. Slovaca 71 (2021), No. 3, 361–648.
- [42] R. Sayyad, *Contribution à l'étude des intégrales de McShane et de Henstock vectorielles et multivoques*. Thèse de doctorat, Agadir, 2014.
- [43] S. Schwabik and G. Ye, *On the strong McShane integral of functions with values in a Banach space*, Czech. Math. Journal, Vol. 51 (126), (2001), 819–830.
- [44] X. Xiaopin, H. Minghu and M. Ming, *Random fuzzy number integrals in Banach spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 66 (1994), 97–111.
- [45] X. Xiaoping, H. Minghu and W. Congxin, *On the extension of fuzzy number measures in Banach spaces: Part I. Representation of fuzzy number measures*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 78 (1996), 347–354.
- [46] H. ZIAT, *Convergence theorems for Pettis integrable multifunctions*, Bull Polish Acad Sci Math, 45 (1997), 123–137.
- [47] H. ZIAT, *On characterisation of Pettis integrable multifunctions*, Bull Polish Acad Sci Math, 44 (2000), 227–230.