



On σ -Amenability of T_{σ_A, σ_B}

Alireza Jaberi^a, Behrouz Shojaee^b, Amin Mahmoodi^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Central Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Karaj, Iran

Abstract. Let σ_A and σ_B be two homomorphisms on Banach algebras A and B , respectively. In this paper, we study σ -amenability, σ -weak amenability, σ -biflatness, and σ -biprojectivity of triangular Banach algebras of the form T_{σ_A, σ_B} , where $\sigma = \sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$.

1. Introduction

Let A be a Banach algebra. The set of all continuous homomorphisms from A into A is denoted by $\text{Hom}(A)$. Suppose that $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(A)$, and that X is a Banach A -bimodule. A bounded linear map $D : A \rightarrow X$ is a σ -derivation if $D(ab) = D(a) \cdot \sigma(b) + \sigma(a) \cdot D(b)$ for all $a, b \in A$. A σ -derivation D is σ -inner derivation if there exists $x \in X$ such that $D(a) = \sigma(a) \cdot x - x \cdot \sigma(a)$ for all $a \in A$. The set of all σ -derivation from A into X is denoted by $Z_\sigma^1(A, X)$, and the set of all σ -inner derivations from A into X by $N_\sigma^1(A, X)$. Then, we define the space $H_\sigma^1(A, X) = \frac{Z_\sigma^1(A, X)}{N_\sigma^1(A, X)}$. We say A is σ -amenable if $H_\sigma^1(A, X^*) = 0$ for every Banach A -bimodule X [11]. We call A is σ -weakly amenable if $H_\sigma^1(A, A^*) = 0$ [3, 13]. Note that the module version of such notions are available in [2].

For a Banach algebra A , the corresponding diagonal operator $\pi : A \widehat{\otimes} A \rightarrow A$ is defined by $\pi(a \otimes b) = ab$. Let X and Y be Banach A -bimodules, and $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(A)$. A bounded linear map $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is a σ - A -bimodule homomorphism if $T(a \cdot x) = \sigma(a) \cdot T(x)$ and $T(x \cdot a) = T(x) \cdot \sigma(a)$ for $a \in A, x \in X$. Then, A is σ -biprojective if there exists a σ - A -bimodule homomorphism $\rho : A \rightarrow A \widehat{\otimes} A$ such that $\pi \circ \rho = \text{id}$ [14]. Moreover, A is σ -biflat if there exists a bounded linear map $\rho : (A \widehat{\otimes} A)^* \rightarrow A^*$ satisfying $\rho(\sigma(a) \cdot \lambda) = a \cdot \rho(\lambda)$ and $\rho(\lambda \cdot \sigma(a)) = \rho(\lambda) \cdot a$, such that $\rho \circ \pi^* = \sigma^*$ where $a \in A, \lambda \in (A \widehat{\otimes} A)^*$ [7].

Let A and B be Banach algebras, and X be a Banach A, B -module; that is, X is a left Banach A -module and is a right Banach B -module such that $\|a \cdot x \cdot b\| \leq \|a\| \|x\| \|b\|$, for $a \in A, x \in X$ and $b \in B$. We define the corresponding triangular Banach algebra $T = \begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ with the sum and product being given by the usual 2×2 matrix operations and obvious internal module actions along with the norm

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \|a\| + \|x\| + \|b\|, \quad (a \in A, b \in B, x \in X).$$

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46H25; Secondary 16E40, 43A20

Keywords. Amenability, weak amenability, Triangular Banach Algebras

Received: 07 May 2021; Accepted: 21 February 2022

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Corresponding Author: Behrouz Shojaee

Email addresses: alirezajaberi11350@gmail.com (Alireza Jaberi), shoujaei@kiauo.ac.ir (Behrouz Shojaee), aminmahmoodikebria@gmail.com (Amin Mahmoodi)

For Banach A, B -module X , the first dual space of X , that is denoted by X^* is a Banach B, A -module with the following actions:

$$\langle b \cdot x^*, x \rangle = \langle x^*, x \cdot b \rangle \text{ and } \langle x^* \cdot a, x \rangle = \langle x^*, a \cdot x \rangle$$

for all $a \in A, b \in B, x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$. Moreover, for each $x \in X, x^* \in X^*$ we can consider $x \cdot x^* \in A^*$ and $x^* \cdot x \in B^*$ through

$$\langle x \cdot x^*, a \rangle = \langle x^*, a \cdot x \rangle, \langle x^* \cdot x, b \rangle = \langle x^*, x \cdot b \rangle \quad (a \in A, b \in B).$$

Similarly for each $x \in X, a^{**} \in A^{**}$ and $b^{**} \in B^{**}$ we can consider $a^{**} \cdot x \in X^{**}$ and $x \cdot b^{**} \in X^{**}$ through

$$\langle a^{**} \cdot x, x^* \rangle = \langle a^{**}, x \cdot x^* \rangle, \langle x \cdot b^{**}, x^* \rangle = \langle b^{**}, x^* \cdot x \rangle,$$

for all $x^* \in X^*$. We may continue this process to higher order dual spaces of X ; that is, $X^{(2n)}$ is a Banach A, B -module $X^{(2n-1)}$ is a Banach B, A -module and $A^{(2n)} \cdot X \subseteq X^{(2n)}, X \cdot B^{(2n)} \subseteq X^{(2n)}, X \cdot X^{(2n-1)} \subseteq A^{(2n-1)}, X^{(2n-1)} \cdot X \subseteq B^{(2n-1)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here, we recall that the n -weak amenability of Banach algebras based on homomorphisms were investigated in [4].

In [1], the authors introduced a new product on triangular Banach algebras as follows.

Definition 1.1. ([1, Definition 1.1]) Let A and B be Banach algebras, X be a Banach A, B -module, $\sigma_A \in \text{Hom}(A)$ and $\sigma_B \in \text{Hom}(B)$. Let T_{σ_A, σ_B} denote the algebra whose underlying Banach space is T but whose multiplication is defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 a_2 & \sigma_A(a_1) \cdot x_2 + x_1 \cdot \sigma_B(b_2) \\ 0 & b_1 b_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

for all $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} \in T$.

Amenability, weak amenability, biflatness and biprojectivity of T_{σ_A, σ_B} have been studied in [1]. In this paper, motivated by [1–4], we shall study σ -amenability, σ -weak amenability, σ -biflatness and σ -biprojectivity of T_{σ_A, σ_B} for the homomorphism $\sigma = \sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we prove that T_{σ_A, σ_B} is $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -amenable if and only if A is σ_A -amenable and B is σ_B -amenable and $X = 0$ provided σ_A and σ_B are idempotents. Section 3 is devoted to $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -weak amenability of T_{σ_A, σ_B} . In other words, for unital Banach algebras A and B with idempotents σ_A and σ_B , we show that T_{σ_A, σ_B} is $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -weakly amenable if and only if A is σ_A -weakly amenable and B is σ_B -weakly amenable. In section 4, under some mild conditions, we prove that T_{σ_A, σ_B} is $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -biprojective (biflat) if and only if A is σ_A -biprojective (biflat) and B is σ_B -biprojective (biflat) and $X = 0$.

2. $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -amenability of T_{σ_A, σ_B}

Let A and B be Banach algebras and $\sigma_A \in \text{Hom}(A), \sigma_B \in \text{Hom}(B)$. Let X be a Banach algebra A, B -module. We consider the map $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B : T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B} \rightarrow T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}$ defined by

$$\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A(a) & x \\ 0 & \sigma_B(b) \end{pmatrix} \quad (a \in A, b \in B, x \in X).$$

Proposition 2.1. Let A and B be Banach algebras and $\sigma_A \in \text{Hom}(A), \sigma_B \in \text{Hom}(B)$. Let X be a Banach algebra A, B -module. If $\sigma_A^2 = \sigma_A$ and $\sigma_B^2 = \sigma_B$, then $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B \in \text{Hom}(T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B})$.

Proof. For $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} \in T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) &= \sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B \begin{pmatrix} a_1 a_2 & \sigma_A(a_1) \cdot x_2 + x_1 \cdot \sigma_B(b_2) \\ 0 & b_1 b_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A(a_1 a_2) & \sigma_A(a_1) \cdot x_2 + x_1 \cdot \sigma_B(b_2) \\ 0 & \sigma_B(b_1 b_2) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A(a_1) & x_1 \\ 0 & \sigma_B(b_1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A(a_2) & x_2 \\ 0 & \sigma_B(b_2) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A(a_1 a_2) & \sigma_A^2(a_1) \cdot x_2 + x_1 \cdot \sigma_B^2(b_2) \\ 0 & \sigma_B(b_1 b_2) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A(a_1 a_2) & \sigma_A(a_1) \cdot x_2 + x_1 \cdot \sigma_B(b_2) \\ 0 & \sigma_B(b_1 b_2) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ is a homomorphism. \square

Theorem 2.2. Let A and B be Banach algebras, X be a Banach A, B -module, and $\sigma_A \in \text{Hom}(B)$, $\sigma_B \in \text{Hom}(A)$ such that $\sigma_A^2 = \sigma_A$, $\sigma_B^2 = \sigma_B$. Then T_{σ_A, σ_B} is $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -amenable if and only if A is σ_A -amenable and B is σ_B -amenable and $X = 0$.

Proof. Suppose that T_{σ_A, σ_B} is $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -amenable and $D : A \rightarrow Y^*$ is a σ_A -derivation such that Y is a Banach A -bimodule. Define the map $P : T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B} \rightarrow A$ by $P \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = a$. It is obvious, that P is a homomorphism. Now we can consider Y as a T_{σ_A, σ_B} -bimodule via

$$\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B(T') \cdot y = P(\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B(T')) \cdot y \text{ and } y \cdot \sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B(T') = y \cdot P(\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B(T')),$$

for $T' \in T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}$, $y \in Y$. Hence for each $T_1, T_2 \in T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D \circ P(T_1 T_2) &= D(P(T_1)P(T_2)) = D(P(T_1)) \cdot \sigma_A(P(T_2)) + \sigma_A(P(T_1)) \cdot D(P(T_2)) \\ &= D \circ P(T_1) \cdot P(\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B(T_2)) + P(\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B(T_1)) \cdot D \circ P(T_2) \\ &= D \circ P(T_1) \cdot \sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B(T_2) + \sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B(T_1) \cdot D \circ P(T_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $D \circ P$ is a $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -derivation, so $D \circ P$ is $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -inner, thus there exists a $y^* \in Y^*$ such that for every $\begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \in T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}$, we have,

$$D(a) = D \circ P \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \cdot y^* - y^* \cdot \sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_A(a) \cdot y^* - y^* \cdot \sigma_A(a).$$

It implies that A is σ_A -amenable and similarly, B is σ_B -amenable. Now we prove that $X = 0$. Since $X \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we get $X^{**} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X^{**} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence for the map $D : T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B} \rightarrow X^{**}$ defined by $D \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) &= D \begin{pmatrix} a_1 a_2 & \sigma_A(a_1) \cdot x_2 + x_1 \cdot \sigma_B(b_2) \\ 0 & b_1 b_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_A(a_1) \cdot x_2 + x_1 \cdot \sigma_B(b_2) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_1 \cdot \sigma_B(b_2) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_A(a_1) \cdot x_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A(a_2) & x_2 \\ 0 & \sigma_B(b_2) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A(a_1) & x_1 \\ 0 & \sigma_B(b_1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= D \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} + \sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot D \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, D is a $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -derivation. Since T_{σ_A, σ_B} is $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -amenable, there exists an element $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^{**} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in X^{**}$ such that,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= D \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^{**} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^{**} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_A(a) \cdot x^{**} - x^{**} \cdot \sigma_B(b) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

set $a = b = 0$, as a result $x = 0$ and hence $X = 0$. Conversely, suppose that A is σ_A -amenable and B is σ_B -amenable and $X = 0$. Then T_{σ_A, σ_B} is the l^1 -direct sum of A and B , that is, $T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B} = A \oplus_1 B$. Since A and $B \simeq \frac{A \oplus_1 B}{A}$ are ideals in $A \oplus_1 B$, similar to the proof of [12, Theorem 2.3.10], we obtain that T_{σ_A, σ_B} is $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -amenable. \square

Let A and B be Banach algebras, and $\sigma_A \in Hom(A), \sigma_B \in Hom(B)$ such that $\sigma_A^2 = \sigma_A, \sigma_B^2 = \sigma_B$. By [10, Theorem 4.2] and [1, Theorem 2.3], amenability of two triangular Banach algebras T and T_{σ_A, σ_B} are equivalent.

It is clear that amenability of T_{σ_A, σ_B} implies $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -amenability of T_{σ_A, σ_B} . However, we show that the converse is not true.

Example 2.3. Suppose that A is a non-amenable Banach algebra with a right (or a left) approximate identity. Then $A^\#$ (the unitization of A) is not amenable [12, Corollary 2.3.11]. Define $\sigma_{A^\#} \in Hom(A^\#)$ by $\sigma_{A^\#}(a + \lambda) = \lambda$ for $a \in A, \lambda \in C$. Then $\sigma_{A^\#}^2 = \sigma_{A^\#}$. By [8, Corollary 3.2], $A^\#$ is $\sigma_{A^\#}$ -amenable. Hence $T_{\sigma_{A^\#}, \sigma_{A^\#}} = \begin{pmatrix} A^\# & 0 \\ 0 & A^\# \end{pmatrix}$ is $\sigma_{A^\#}^\# \oplus \sigma_{A^\#}^\#$ -amenable by Theorem 2.2, however $T_{\sigma_{A^\#}, \sigma_{A^\#}}$ is not amenable, since $A^\#$ is not amenable [1, Theorem 2.3]. Consequently T is not amenable.

3. $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -weak amenability of T_{σ_A, σ_B}

Lemma 3.1. Let A and B be Banach algebras, X be a Banach A, B -module and $\sigma_A \in Hom(A), \sigma_B \in Hom(B)$. Then for $\begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \in T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} a^{(2n-1)} & x^{(2n-1)} \\ 0 & b^{(2n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \in T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}^{(2n-1)}$, the following statements hold;

- (i) $\begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^{(2n-1)} & x^{(2n-1)} \\ 0 & b^{(2n-1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \cdot a^{(2n-1)} + \sigma_A^{(2n-1)}(x \cdot x^{(2n-1)}) & \sigma_B(b) \cdot x^{(2n-1)} \\ 0 & b \cdot b^{(2n-1)} \end{pmatrix};$
- (ii) $\begin{pmatrix} a^{(2n-1)} & x^{(2n-1)} \\ 0 & b^{(2n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{(2n-1)} \cdot a & x^{(2n-1)} \cdot \sigma_A(a) \\ 0 & b^{(2n-1)} \cdot b + \sigma_B^{(2n-1)}(x^{(2n-1)} \cdot x) \end{pmatrix}.$

Proof. (i) It is easily seen that for each $\begin{pmatrix} a^{(2n-2)} & x^{(2n-2)} \\ 0 & b^{(2n-2)} \end{pmatrix} \in T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}^{(2n-2)}$ we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^{(2n-2)} & x^{(2n-2)} \\ 0 & b^{(2n-2)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \cdot a^{(2n-2)} & \sigma_A(a) \cdot x^{(2n-2)} + x \cdot \sigma_B^{(2n-2)}(b^{(2n-2)}) \\ 0 & b \cdot b^{(2n-2)} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} a^{(2n-2)} & x^{(2n-2)} \\ 0 & b^{(2n-2)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{(2n-2)} \cdot a & \sigma_A^{(2n-2)}(a^{(2n-2)}) \cdot x + x^{(2n-2)} \cdot \sigma_B(b) \\ 0 & b^{(2n-2)} \cdot b \end{pmatrix}.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^{(2n-1)} & x^{(2n-1)} \\ 0 & b^{(2n-1)} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a^{(2n-2)} & x^{(2n-2)} \\ 0 & b^{(2n-2)} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} a^{(2n-1)} & x^{(2n-1)} \\ 0 & b^{(2n-1)} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a^{(2n-2)} & x^{(2n-2)} \\ 0 & b^{(2n-2)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} a^{(2n-1)} & x^{(2n-1)} \\ 0 & b^{(2n-1)} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a^{(2n-2)} \cdot a & \sigma_A^{(2n-2)}(a^{(2n-2)}) \cdot x + x^{(2n-2)} \cdot \sigma_B(b) \\ 0 & b^{(2n-2)} \cdot b \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= \langle a^{(2n-1)}, a^{(2n-2)} \cdot a \rangle + \langle x^{(2n-1)}, \sigma_A^{(2n-2)}(a^{(2n-2)}) \cdot x + x^{(2n-2)} \cdot \sigma_B(b) \rangle \\ &+ \langle b^{(2n-1)}, b^{(2n-2)} \cdot b \rangle \\ &= \langle a \cdot a^{(2n-1)}, a^{(2n-2)} \rangle + \langle \sigma_A^{(2n-1)}(x \cdot x^{(2n-1)}), a^{(2n-2)} \rangle \\ &+ \langle \sigma_B(b) \cdot x^{(2n-1)}, x^{(2n-2)} \rangle + \langle b \cdot b^{(2n-1)}, b^{(2n-2)} \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} a \cdot a^{(2n-1)} + \sigma_A^{(2n-1)}(x \cdot x^{(2n-1)}) & \sigma_B(b) \cdot x^{(2n-1)} \\ 0 & b \cdot b^{(2n-1)} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a^{(2n-2)} & x^{(2n-2)} \\ 0 & b^{(2n-2)} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It implies (i). The proof of (ii) is similar. \square

Suppose that A has a unit e_A and B has a unit e_B and $\sigma_A \in Hom(A), \sigma_B \in Hom(B)$, then X is unital, if $e_A \cdot x = x \cdot e_B = x$ for all $x \in X$. Moreover, X is said (σ_A, σ_B) -unital if $\sigma_A(e_A) \cdot x = x \cdot \sigma_B(e_B) = x$ for all $x \in X$.

Lemma 3.2. Let A and B be unital Banach algebras and $\sigma_A \in Hom(A), \sigma_B \in Hom(B)$ such that $\sigma_A^2 = \sigma_A$ and $\sigma_B^2 = \sigma_B$. Let X be a (σ_A, σ_B) -unital Banach A, B -module. Let $D : T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B} \rightarrow T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}^{(2n-1)}$ be a $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -derivation and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist σ_A -derivation $\delta_A : A \rightarrow A^{(2n-1)}$ and σ_B -derivation $\delta_B : B \rightarrow B^{(2n-1)}$ and $x_0^{(2n-1)} \in X^{(2n-1)}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad D \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \delta_A(a) & x_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot \sigma_A(a) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \\ (ii) \quad D \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma_B(b) \cdot x_0^{(2n-1)} \\ 0 & \delta_B(b) \end{pmatrix}; \\ (iii) \quad D \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma_A^{(2n-1)}(x \cdot x_0^{(2n-1)}) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_B^{(2n-1)}(x_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot x) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) Setting $D \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_A(a) & s(a) \\ 0 & \theta(a) \end{pmatrix}$, we wish to find the maps $\delta_A(a), s(a)$ and $\theta(a)$. Since D is a $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -derivation, so

$$D \begin{pmatrix} aa' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = D \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A(a') & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} D \begin{pmatrix} a' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

By lemma 3.1, $\begin{pmatrix} \delta_A(aa') & s(aa') \\ 0 & \theta(aa') \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_A(a) \cdot \sigma_A(a') & s(a) \cdot \sigma_A(a') \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A(a) \cdot \delta_A(a') & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. As a result $\delta_A(aa') = \delta_A(a) \cdot \sigma_A(a') + \sigma_A(a) \cdot \delta_A(a')$, i.e, δ_A is a σ_A -derivation, and also $s : A \rightarrow X^{(2n-1)}$ is a right σ_A - A -module homomorphism. Consider $s(e_A) = x_0^{(2n-1)} \in X^{(2n-1)}$, therefore $s(a) = s(e_A) \cdot \sigma_A(a) = x_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot \sigma_A(a)$. Moreover $\theta(aa') = 0$ for each $a, a' \in A$, hence $\theta(a) = 0$.

(ii) Suppose that $D \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta(b) & r(b) \\ 0 & \delta_B(b) \end{pmatrix}$. A calculation similar to (i) shows that $\delta_B : B \rightarrow X^{(2n-1)}$ is a

σ_B -derivation and $\theta(b) = 0$. Furthermore from

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = D\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = D\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_B(b) \end{pmatrix} \cdot D\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

we obtain $-\sigma_B(b) \cdot x_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot \sigma_A(a) = r(b) \cdot \sigma_A(a)$. Putting $a = e$, since X is (σ_A, σ_B) -unital, we conclude that $r(b) = -\sigma_B(b) \cdot x_0^{(2n-1)}$.

(iii) Suppose $D\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta(x) & s(x) \\ 0 & r(x) \end{pmatrix}$. Since D is a $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -derivation,

$$D\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = D\left(\begin{pmatrix} e_A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = D\begin{pmatrix} e_A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A(e_A) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot D\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similar arguments as in (i) and (ii) shows that $r(x) = \sigma_B^{(2n-1)}(x_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot x)$ and $s(x) = 0$.

Moreover from,

$$D\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = D\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e_B \end{pmatrix}\right) = D\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_B(e_B) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot D\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e_B \end{pmatrix},$$

we get $\theta(x) = -\sigma_A^{(2n-1)}(x \cdot x_0^{(2n-1)})$. \square

Lemma 3.3. Let A and B be Banach algebras, let $\sigma_A \in \text{Hom}(A), \sigma_B \in \text{Hom}(B)$ such that $\sigma_A^2 = \sigma_A$ and $\sigma_B^2 = \sigma_B$. Let X be a Banach A, B -module. If $\delta_A : A \rightarrow A^{(2n-1)}$ is a σ_A -derivation, then the mapping $D_{\delta_A} : T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B} \rightarrow T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}^{(2n-1)}$ by $D_{\delta_A} \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_A(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -derivation. Furthermore, δ_A is σ_A -inner if and only if D_{δ_A} is $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -inner.

Proof. For each $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} \in T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\delta_A} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) &= D_{\delta_A} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 a_2 & \sigma_A(a_1) \cdot x_2 + x_1 \cdot \sigma_B(b_2) \\ 0 & b_1 b_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_A(a_1 a_2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \delta_A(a_1) \cdot \sigma_A(a_2) + \sigma_A(a_1) \cdot \delta_A(a_2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \delta_A(a_1) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \delta_A(a_2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The relation above implies that D_{δ_A} is $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -derivation. Now, suppose that δ_A is σ_A -inner. Then there exists $a_0^{(2n-1)} \in A^{(2n-1)}$ such that $\delta_A(a) = \sigma_A(a) \cdot a_0^{(2n-1)} - a_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot \sigma_A(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Consider $\begin{pmatrix} a_0^{(2n-1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}^{(2n-1)}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\delta_A} \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \delta_A(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A(a) \cdot a_0^{(2n-1)} - a_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot \sigma_A(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A(a) \cdot a_0^{(2n-1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot \sigma_A(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A(a) & x \\ 0 & \sigma_B(b) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_0^{(2n-1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a_0^{(2n-1)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A(a) & x \\ 0 & \sigma_B(b) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, D_{δ_A} is $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -inner.

Conversely, suppose that D_{δ_A} is $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -inner. Then there exists $\begin{pmatrix} a_0^{(2n-1)} & x_0^{(2n-1)} \\ 0 & b_0^{(2n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \in T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}^{(2n-1)}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_A(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= D_{\delta_A} \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_B(b) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_0^{(2n-1)} & x_0^{(2n-1)} \\ 0 & b_0^{(2n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad - \begin{pmatrix} a_0^{(2n-1)} & x_0^{(2n-1)} \\ 0 & b_0^{(2n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_B(b) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A(a) \cdot a_0^{(2n-1)} + \sigma_A^{(2n-1)}(x \cdot x_0^{(2n-1)}) & \sigma_B^2(b) \cdot x_0^{(2n-1)} \\ 0 & \sigma_B(b) \cdot b_0^{(2n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad - \begin{pmatrix} a_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot \sigma_A(a) & x_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot \sigma_A^2(a) \\ 0 & b_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot \sigma_B(b) + \sigma_B^{(2n-1)}(x_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot x) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\delta_A(a) = \sigma_A(a) \cdot a_0^{(2n-1)} + \sigma_A^{(2n-1)}(x \cdot x_0^{(2n-1)}) - a_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot \sigma_A(a)$, for each $a \in A, x \in X$. Setting $x = 0$, we get $\delta_A(a) = \sigma_A(a) \cdot a_0^{(2n-1)} - a_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot \sigma_A(a)$, so δ_A is σ_A -inner, as required. \square

Theorem 3.4. Let A and B be unital Banach algebras and X be a (σ_A, σ_B) -unital Banach A, B -module. Let $\sigma_A \in \text{Hom}(A), \sigma_B \in \text{Hom}(B)$ such that $\sigma_A^2 = \sigma_A$ and $\sigma_B^2 = \sigma_B$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$H_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}^1(T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}, T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}^{(2n-1)}) \simeq H_{\sigma_A}^1(A, A^{(2n-1)}) \oplus H_{\sigma_B}^1(B, B^{(2n-1)})$$

Proof. Suppose that $\delta : T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B} \rightarrow T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}^{(2n-1)}$ is a $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -derivation. By Lemma 3.2, there exist σ_A -derivation $\delta_A : A \rightarrow A^{(2n-1)}$, and σ_B -derivation $\delta_B : B \rightarrow B^{(2n-1)}$ and $x_0^{(2n-1)} \in X^{(2n-1)}$ such that

$$\delta \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_A(a) - \sigma_A^{(2n-1)}(x \cdot x_0^{(2n-1)}) & x_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot \sigma_A(a) - \sigma_B(b) \cdot x_0^{(2n-1)} \\ 0 & \sigma_B^{(2n-1)}(x_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot x) + \delta_B(b) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is clear that the map $K : Z^1(T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}, T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}^{(2n-1)}) \rightarrow H_{\sigma_A}^1(A, A^{(2n-1)}) \oplus H_{\sigma_B}^1(B, B^{(2n-1)})$, defined by $K(\delta) = (\delta_A + N_{\delta_A}^1(A, A^{(2n-1)}), \delta_B + N_{\delta_B}^1(B, B^{(2n-1)}))$ is linear. Then Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 together with the proof of [6, Theorem 3.4], show that the map K is onto and $\ker K = N^1(T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}, T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}^{(2n-1)})$. Thus,

$$H_{\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B}^1(T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}, T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}^{(2n-1)}) \simeq H_{\sigma_A}^1(A, A^{(2n-1)}) \oplus H_{\sigma_B}^1(B, B^{(2n-1)})$$

\square

Corollary 3.5. Let A and B be unital Banach algebras and $\sigma_A \in \text{Hom}(A), \sigma_B \in \text{Hom}(B)$ such that $\sigma_A^2 = \sigma_A$ and $\sigma_B^2 = \sigma_B$. Let X be a (σ_A, σ_B) -unital Banach A, B -module. Then T_{σ_A, σ_B} is $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -weakly amenable if and only if A is σ_A -weakly amenable and B is σ_B -weakly amenable.

Let A, B be Banach algebras and X be a Banach A, B -module. Then, X is called essential, if $\overline{A \cdot X} = X = \overline{X \cdot B}$. A Banach A, B -module X is non-degenerate, if $A \cdot x = 0$ implies $x = 0$ and $x \cdot B = 0$ implies $x = 0$ for all $x \in X$. It is easily see that if X is essential then X^* is a non-degenerate Banach B, A -module. Moreover, for a Banach algebra A with a bounded approximate identity, A^* is non-degenerate.

Definition 3.6. Let A, B be Banach algebras, X be a Banach A, B -module and $\sigma_A \in \text{Hom}(A), \sigma_B \in \text{Hom}(B)$. We say that X is (σ_A, σ_B) -essential, if $\overline{\sigma_A(A) \cdot X} = X = \overline{X \cdot \sigma_B(B)}$. Furthermore, X is (σ_A, σ_B) -non-degenerate, if $\sigma_A(A) \cdot x = 0$ implies $x = 0$ and $x \cdot \sigma_B(B) = 0$ implies $x = 0$.

It is easily checked that if X is (σ_A, σ_B) -essential or (σ_A, σ_B) -non-degenerate then, it is essential or non-degenerate. The following lemma is easily proved.

Lemma 3.7. Let A have a bounded approximate identity and let $S : A \rightarrow X^*$ be a right(left) σ - A -module homomorphism. Then there is a $x_0^* \in X^*$ such that $S(a) = x_0^* \cdot \sigma(a)$ ($S(a) = \sigma(a) \cdot x_0^*$) for all $a \in A$.

Theorem 3.8. Let A and B be Banach algebras and $\sigma_A \in \text{Hom}(A)$, $\sigma_B \in \text{Hom}(B)$ such that $\sigma_A^2 = \sigma_A$ and $\sigma_B^2 = \sigma_B$. Let A have a bounded approximate identity, let $A^{(2n-1)}$ be σ_A -non-degenerate, $B^{(2n-1)}$ be σ_B -non-degenerate and $X^{(2n-1)}$ be (σ_B, σ_A) -non-degenerate. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$H_{\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B}^1(T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}, T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}^{(2n-1)}) \simeq H_{\sigma_A}^1(A, A^{(2n-1)}) \oplus H_{\sigma_B}^1(B, B^{(2n-1)}).$$

Proof. Suppose that $D : T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B} \rightarrow T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B}^{(2n-1)}$ is a $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -derivation. By Lemmas 3.2 and 3.7, there exist σ_A -derivation $\delta_A : A \rightarrow A^{(2n-1)}$, σ_B -derivation $\delta_B : B \rightarrow B^{(2n-1)}$, and $x_0^{(2n-1)} \in X^{(2n-1)}$ such that $D \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_A(a) & x_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot \sigma_A(a) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Now set $D \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta(b) & r(b) \\ 0 & \delta_B(b) \end{pmatrix}$. By Lemma 3.2, we obtain $\delta_B : B \rightarrow B^{(2n-1)}$ is a σ_B -derivation, $\theta(b) \cdot \sigma_A(a) = 0$ and $-\sigma_B(b) \cdot x_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot \sigma_A(a) = r(b) \cdot \sigma_A(a)$ for each $a \in A, b \in B$. Since $A^{(2n-1)}$ is σ_A -non-degenerate and $X^{(2n-1)}$ is (σ_B, σ_A) -non-degenerate, we have $\theta(b) = 0$ and $r(b) = -\sigma_B(b) \cdot x_0^{(2n-1)}$, hence $D \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta(x) & r(x) \\ 0 & s(x) \end{pmatrix}$. From the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= D \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \theta(x) & r(x) \\ 0 & s(x) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_A(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \delta_A(a) & x_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot \sigma_A(a) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $r(x) \cdot \sigma_A(a) = 0$ and $\theta(x) \cdot \sigma_A(a) + \sigma_A^{(2n-1)}(x \cdot x_0^{(2n-1)}) \cdot \sigma_A(a) = 0$, hence $(\theta(x) + \sigma_A^{(2n-1)}(x \cdot x_0^{(2n-1)})) \cdot \sigma_A(a) = 0$ because $\sigma_A^2 = \sigma_A$. Since $A^{(2n-1)}$ is σ_A -non-degenerate and $X^{(2n-1)}$ is (σ_B, σ_A) -non-degenerate, we conclude that $r(x) = 0$ and $\theta(x) = -\sigma_A^{(2n-1)}(x \cdot x_0^{(2n-1)})$. Similarly $s(x) = \sigma_A^{(2n-1)}(x_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot x)$. Consequently

$$D \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_A(a) - \sigma_A^{(2n-1)}(x \cdot x_0^{(2n-1)}) & x_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot \sigma_A(a) - \sigma_B(b) \cdot x_0^{(2n-1)} \\ 0 & \delta_B(b) + \sigma_A^{(2n-1)}(x_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot x) \end{pmatrix},$$

The rest of proof follows from Theorem 3.4. \square

Definition 3.9. Let A be a Banach algebra. We say that A has a σ -bounded approximate identity, if there exists a bounded net $(e_\alpha) \subseteq A$ such that

$$\sigma(e_\alpha) \cdot a \rightarrow a, \quad a \cdot \sigma(e_\alpha) \rightarrow a \quad (a \in A).$$

Corollary 3.10. Let A and B be Banach algebras and $\sigma_A \in \text{Hom}(A)$, $\sigma_B \in \text{Hom}(B)$ such that $\sigma_A^2 = \sigma_A$ and $\sigma_B^2 = \sigma_B$. Let A have a σ_A -bounded approximate identity and B have a σ_B -bounded approximate identity, and X be a (σ_A, σ_B) -essential. Then T_{σ_A, σ_B} is $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -weakly amenable if and only if A is σ_A -weakly amenable and B is σ_B -weakly amenable.

Proof. It is easy to show that A^* is σ_A -non-degenerate, B^* is σ_B -non-degenerate and X^* is (σ_A, σ_B) -non-degenerate. Thus, it is immediate by Theorem 3.8. \square

4. $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -biflatness and biprojectivity of T_{σ_A, σ_B}

Suppose that A_1, \dots, A_n are Banach algebras. Then their direct sum $A = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n A_k$ with componentwise operations and l^1 -norm is a Banach algebra. We write $\phi_k : A_k \rightarrow A$ for the natural embedding A_k into A , $1 \leq k \leq n$. Take $\sigma_k \in \text{Hom}(A_k)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, and define $\sigma := \bigoplus_{k=1}^n \sigma_k : A \rightarrow A$ via $\sigma(a) = (\sigma_1(a_1), \dots, \sigma_n(a_n))$ for every $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A$. Then, it is easy to see that $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(A)$.

Theorem 4.1. Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be Banach algebras, and $A = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n A_k$. Then

- (i) A is σ -biflat if and only if every A_k is σ_k -biflat, $1 \leq k \leq n$;
- (ii) A is σ -biprojective if and only if every A_k is σ_k -biprojective, $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Proof. We only prove (i). Suppose that A is σ -biflat, so there exists a bounded linear map $\rho : (A \widehat{\otimes} A)^* \rightarrow A^*$ satisfying $\rho(\sigma(a) \cdot \lambda) = a \cdot \rho(\lambda)$ and $\rho(\lambda \cdot \sigma(a)) = \rho(\lambda) \cdot a$ for $a \in A, \lambda \in (A \widehat{\otimes} A)^*$ such that $\rho \circ \pi^* = \sigma^*$. Consider the bounded σ - A -bimodule homomorphism $s : A \rightarrow A \widehat{\otimes} A$ such that $s^* = \rho$. Then $\pi \circ s = \sigma$. Take the projection $p_k : A \rightarrow A_k$, and then define $\rho_k := \phi_k^* \circ \rho \circ (p_k \otimes p_k)^* : (A_k \widehat{\otimes} A_k)^* \rightarrow (A_k)^*, 1 \leq k \leq n$. So for each $a_k, b_k \in A_k, \lambda_k \in (A_k \widehat{\otimes} A_k)^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \rho_k(\sigma_k(a_k) \cdot \lambda_k), b_k \rangle &= \langle \sigma_k(a_k) \cdot \lambda_k, (p_k \otimes p_k) \circ s \circ \phi_k(b_k) \rangle \\ &= \langle \lambda_k, (p_k \otimes p_k) \circ s \circ \phi_k(b_k) \cdot \sigma_k(a_k) \rangle \\ &= \langle \lambda_k, (p_k \otimes p_k)(s \circ \phi_k(b_k) \cdot (0, \dots, 0, \sigma_k(a_k), 0, \dots, 0)) \rangle \\ &= \langle \lambda_k, (p_k \otimes p_k)(s(\phi_k(b_k a_k))) \rangle \\ &= \langle a_k \cdot (\phi_k^* \circ \rho \circ (p_k \otimes p_k)^*)(\lambda_k), b_k \rangle = \langle a_k \cdot \rho_k(\lambda_k), b_k \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We get $\rho_k(\sigma_k(a_k) \cdot \lambda_k) = a_k \cdot \rho_k(\lambda_k)$ and similarly $\rho_k(\lambda_k \cdot \sigma_k(a_k)) = \rho_k(\lambda_k) \cdot a_k$. For the diagonal operator $\pi_k : A_k \widehat{\otimes} A_k \rightarrow A_k$, because $(p_k \otimes p_k)^* \circ \pi_k^* = \pi_k^* \circ p_k^*$, we see that $\rho_k \circ \pi_k^* = \phi_k^* \circ \rho \circ (p_k \otimes p_k)^* \circ \pi_k^* = \phi_k^* \circ \rho \circ \pi_k^* \circ p_k^* = \phi_k^* \circ \sigma^* \circ p_k^* = \sigma_k^*$. Thus $\rho_k \circ \pi_k^* = \sigma_k^*$, which implies that A_k is σ_k -biflat, $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Conversely, suppose that A_k is σ_k -biflat for each $1 \leq k \leq n$. Hence there are bounded linear maps $\rho_k : (A_k \widehat{\otimes} A_k)^* \rightarrow A_k^*$ with $\rho_k(\sigma_k(a_k) \cdot \lambda_k) = a_k \cdot \rho_k(\lambda_k)$ and $\rho_k(\lambda_k \cdot \sigma_k(a_k)) = \rho_k(\lambda_k) \cdot a_k$, where $a_k \in A_k, \lambda_k \in (A_k \widehat{\otimes} A_k)^*$ such that $\rho_k \circ \pi_k^* = \sigma_k^*, 1 \leq k \leq n$. Define $\rho : (A \widehat{\otimes} A)^* \rightarrow A^*$ by $\rho(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^n p_k^* \circ \rho_k \circ (\phi_k \otimes \phi_k)^*(\lambda)$. Therefore for each $a \in A, \lambda \in (A \widehat{\otimes} A)^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\sigma(a) \cdot \lambda) &= \sum_{k=1}^n p_k^* \circ \rho_k \circ (\phi_k \otimes \phi_k)^*(\sigma(a) \cdot \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n p_k^* \circ \rho_k(\sigma_k(a_k) \cdot (\phi_k \otimes \phi_k)^*(\lambda)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n p_k^*(a_k \cdot (\rho_k \circ (\phi_k \otimes \phi_k)^*(\lambda))) \\ &= a \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k^* \circ \rho_k \circ (\phi_k \otimes \phi_k)^*(\lambda) \right) \\ &= a \cdot \rho(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $\rho(\lambda \cdot \sigma(a)) = \rho(\lambda) \cdot a$. As $(\phi_k \otimes \phi_k)^* \circ \pi_k^* = \pi_k^* \circ \phi_k^*$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \circ \pi^* &= \sum_{k=1}^n p_k^* \circ \rho_k \circ (\phi_k \otimes \phi_k)^* \circ \pi_k^* \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n p_k^* \circ \rho_k \circ \pi_k^* \circ \phi_k^* \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n p_k^* \circ \sigma_k^* \circ \phi_k^* \\ &= \sigma^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore A is σ -biflat. \square

Lemma 4.2. Let A be a Banach algebra and $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(A)$ such that $\sigma^2 = \sigma$. Let $N \subseteq A$ be a closed complemented ideal where $\sigma(N) = N$ and $N^2 = 0$. Then $\sigma(A)N \cap \overline{N\sigma(A)} = 0$

Proof. Let $i : N \rightarrow A$ be the inclusion map, $q : A \rightarrow \frac{A}{N}$ be the quotient map, I_A, I_N and $I_{\frac{A}{N}}$ be the identity maps on A, N and $\frac{A}{N}$, respectively, and let $p : \frac{A}{N} \widehat{\otimes} N \rightarrow N$ be the map defined by $p((a + N) \otimes c) = ac$ for each $a + N \in \frac{A}{N}$ and $c \in N$. Suppose towards a contradiction that $\sigma(A)N \cap \overline{N\sigma(A)} \neq 0$. Suppose that $0 \neq \sigma(a)c \in \sigma(A)N \cap \overline{N\sigma(A)}$ where $a \in A, c \in N$. Hence $\sigma(a)c \in \overline{N\sigma(A)}$, so there exists sequences $(\sigma(a_n)) \subseteq \sigma(A)$ and $(c_n) \subseteq N$ such that $\sigma(a)c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n \sigma(a_n)$. Since A is σ -biflat, then there is a σ - A -bimodule homomorphism $\rho : A \rightarrow (\widehat{A \otimes A})^{**}$ such that $\pi^{**} \circ \rho = \sigma$. For $b \in N$, let $R_b(L_b) : A \rightarrow N$ be the map of right (resp. left) multiplication by b . Consider the operator $q \otimes R_c : \widehat{A \otimes A} \rightarrow \frac{A}{N} \widehat{\otimes} N$ and let $d = ((q \otimes R_c)^{**} \circ \rho)\sigma(a)$. We have $p \circ (q \otimes R_c) = R_c \circ \pi$ and so $p^{**} \circ (q \otimes R_c)^{**} = R_c^{**} \circ \pi^{**}$. As a result $p^{**}(d) = (p^{**} \circ (q \otimes R_c)^{**} \circ \rho)\sigma(a) = ((R_c^{**} \circ \pi^{**}) \circ \rho)(\sigma(a)) = R_c^{**}((\pi^{**} \circ \rho)(\sigma(a))) = R_c^{**}(\sigma^2(a)) = \sigma(a)c \neq 0$, thus $d \neq 0$. By the assumption there exists $c_1 \in N$ such that $\sigma(c_1) = c$. As the proof of [9, Lemma 2.3], we have

$$\begin{aligned} (I_{\frac{A}{N}} \otimes i)^{**}(d) &= (((I_{\frac{A}{N}} \otimes i)^{**} \circ (q \otimes R_c)^{**} \circ \rho)(\sigma(a))) \\ &= (((I_{\frac{A}{N}} \otimes i) \circ (q \otimes I_N) \circ (I_A \otimes R_c))^{**} \circ \rho)(\sigma(a)) \\ &= (((q \otimes I_A) \circ (I_A \otimes i) \circ (I_A \otimes R_c))^{**} \circ \rho)(\sigma(a)) \\ &= (q \otimes I_A)^{**}(\rho(\sigma(a)) \cdot c) \\ &= (q \otimes I_A)^{**}(\rho(\sigma(a)) \cdot \sigma^2(c_1)) \\ &= (q \otimes I_A)^{**}(\rho(\sigma(a)\sigma(c_1))) \\ &= (q \otimes I_A)^{**}(\rho(\sigma(a)c)) \\ &= (q \otimes I_A)^{**}(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(c_n)\rho(\sigma(a_n))) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((q \otimes I_A)^{**} \circ ((i \circ L_{\sigma(c_n)} \otimes I_A)^{**})(\rho(\sigma(a_n))) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((q \otimes I_A)^{**} \circ ((i \otimes I_A) \circ (L_{\sigma(c_n)} \otimes I_A))^{**})(\rho(\sigma(a_n))) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((q \circ i \circ L_{\sigma(c_n)}) \otimes I_A)^{**}(\rho(\sigma(a_n))) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is hold because of $q \circ i \circ L_{\sigma(c_n)} = 0$. Since N is a complemented closed ideal in A , then the map $I_{\frac{A}{N}} \otimes i$ is injective and has closed range and hence $(I_{\frac{A}{N}} \otimes i)^{**}$ is injective by [5, A.3.48]. This contradicts $d \neq 0$. Therefore $\sigma(A)N \cap \overline{N\sigma(A)} = 0$. \square

Theorem 4.3. Let A be a Banach algebra and $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(A)$ where $\sigma^2 = \sigma$. Let $N \subseteq A$ be a closed σ -essential ideal, that is, $\overline{\sigma(A)N} = \overline{N\sigma(A)} = N$. Let $\sigma(N) = N$ and $N^2 = 0$. If A is σ -biflat, then A is not complemented.

Proof. Since $\sigma(A)N \subseteq N \subseteq \overline{N\sigma(A)}$. According to Lemma 4.2, $N = 0$, this is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 4.4. Let A and B be Banach algebras and $\sigma_A \in \text{Hom}(A), \sigma_B \in \text{Hom}(B)$ such that $\sigma_A^2 = \sigma_A, \sigma_B^2 = \sigma_B$. Let X be a (σ_A, σ_B) -essential module. Then the triangular Banach algebra $T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B} = \begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ is $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -biflat if and only if A is σ_A -biflat and B is σ_B -biflat and $X = 0$.

Proof. Suppose A is σ_A -biflat and B is σ_B -biflat and $X = 0$. Then T_{σ_A, σ_B} is the l^1 -direct sum of A and B , thus by Theorem 4.1, it is $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -biflat.

Conversely, suppose that T_{σ_A, σ_B} is $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -biflat. The closed ideal $N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ of T_{σ_A, σ_B} is complemented closed ideal of T_{σ_A, σ_B} such that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 = 0$ and $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{\sigma_A(A)N} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B \left(\begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \right))^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{X\sigma_B(B)} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)_{\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B \left(\begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \right)}^{-1}.$$

Hence by Theorem 4.3, we conclude $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$. Therefore T_{σ_A, σ_B} is the l^1 -direct sum of A and B . By Theorem 4.1, A is σ_A -biflat and B is σ_B -biflat. \square

Theorem 4.5. Let A and B be Banach algebras and $\sigma_A \in \text{Hom}(A)$, $\sigma_B \in \text{Hom}(B)$ such that $\sigma_A^2 = \sigma_A$. Let X be a (σ_A, σ_B) -essential module. Then the triangular Banach algebra $T_{\sigma_A, \sigma_B} = \begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ is $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -biprojective if and only if A is σ_A -biprojective and B is σ_B -biprojective and $X = 0$.

Proof. Suppose that T_{σ_A, σ_B} is $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -biprojective, so T_{σ_A, σ_B} is $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -biflat. By Theorem 4.4, $X = 0$. Hence T_{σ_A, σ_B} is the l^1 -direct sum A and B , thus by Theorem 4.1, A is σ_A -biprojective and B is σ_B -biprojective. Conversely, if $X = 0$ and A is σ_A -biprojective and B is σ_B -biprojective then T_{σ_A, σ_B} is the l^1 -direct sum A and B , Thus by Theorem 4.1, it is $\sigma_A \oplus \sigma_B$ -biprojective. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the anonymous for the comments to improve the paper.

References

- [1] S. Behnamian, A. Mahmoodi, Amenability properties of T_{σ_A, σ_B} , Honam Math. J. 42 (2020) 37-48.
- [2] A. Bodaghi, Module (φ, ψ) -amenability of Banach algebras, Arch. Math (Brno). 46 (2010), no. 4, 227-235.
- [3] A. Bodaghi, M. Eshaghi Gordji and A. R. Medghalchi, A generalization of the weak amenability of Banach algebras, Banach J. Math. Anal. 3 (2009), no. 1, 131-142.
- [4] A. Bodaghi, B. Shojaee, A generalized notion of n -weak amenability, Mathematica Bohemica, 139 (2014), no. 1, 99-112.
- [5] H. G. Dales, Banach algebras and automatic continuity, London Mathematical Society Monographs, Clarendon Press, 2000.
- [6] B. E. Forrest, L. W. Marcoux, Weak amenability of triangular Banach algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354 (2002) 1435-1452.
- [7] S. Haddad Sabzevar, A. Mahmoodi, σ -Biflat Banach Algebras; the older and the new notions, Iran. J. Sci. Tech. Trans. A. 43 (2019) 2975-2980.
- [8] Z. Hasanzadeh, A. Mahmoodi, σ -Connes amenability and pseudo-(Connes) amenability of Beurling algebras, Sahand Commu. Math. Anal. 15 (2019) 77-89.
- [9] A. R. Medghalchi, M. H. Sattari, Biflatness and biprojectivity of triangular Banach algebras, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc., 34 (2008) 115-120.
- [10] A. R. Medghalchi, M. H. Sattari, T. Yazdanpanah, Amenability and weak amenability of triangular Banach algebras, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. 31 (2005) 57-69.
- [11] M. Mirzavaziri, M. S. Moslehian, σ -Derivations in Banach algebras, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. 32 (2006) 65-78.
- [12] V. Runde, Lectures on amenability, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1774, Springer Verlag, 2002.
- [13] T. Yazdanpanah, I. Mozzami Zadeh, σ -weak amenability of Banach Algebras, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 4 (2013) 66-73.
- [14] T. Yazdanpanah, H. Najafi, σ -Contractible and σ -Biprojective Banach Algebras, Quaestions Math. 33 (2010) 485-495.