



Some results on existence and regularity for non-linear $p(x)$ -parabolic equations with quadratic growth with respect to the gradient and general data

Abdelaziz Sabiry^{a,*}, Ghizlane Zineddaine^a, Said Melliani^a, Abderrazak Kassidi^a

^aLaboratoire de Mathématiques Appliquées & Calcul Scientifique, Université Sultan Moulay Slimane, BP 523, 23000 Beni Mellal, Morocco

Abstract. This work investigates the regularity of solutions to a nonlinear parabolic equation with perturbations and general measure data. Our approach involves a combination of convergence and compactness techniques in variable exponent Sobolev spaces.

1. Introduction

In this manuscript, we show a certain regularity of solutions for nonlinear $p(x)$ -parabolic problems including a low order term with natural growth. More precisely, we are interested in the following problem

$$(\mathcal{P}) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial b(u)}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}[\phi(t, x, u)(1 + |u|)^{s(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u] + \zeta(x, t)(1 + |u|)^{q(x)-1}u|\nabla u|^{p(x)} = \mu & \text{in } Q_T, \\ u(t, x) = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ b(u)(0, x) = b(u_0)(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain, with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and $Q_T := (0, T) \times \Omega$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 2)$, $T > 0$. The vector field $\phi(t, x, u)$ verified certain appropriate hypotheses, μ is a bounded Radon measure on Q_T , the initial data $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $\zeta(x, t)$ is a measurable positive function.

The notion of existence and regularity results was introduced by Boccardo and al [20] when the right hand side is in $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$. The following quasi-linear elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}((\phi(x) + |u|^q)\nabla u) = f - \zeta(x)|u|^{p-1}u|\nabla u|^2 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

with f is non-negative, $f \in L^1(\Omega)$, $a \leq \phi(x) \leq b$ and $p, q \leq 2q$, it has been examined in [19] (see also [18]). Moreover, similar results have also been shown taking into account the parameters p, q and the summability of the data f . The authors have enriched the work of [1, 19] by establishing the existence of solutions of the problem (1) by taking p, q as real without any condition.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26A33;34B15; 34G20; 47H10.

Keywords. Regularity; Existence; A priori estimates; $p(\cdot)$ -parabolic capacity.

Received: 27 February 2022; Accepted: 26 March 2023

Communicated by Maria Alessandra Ragusa

* Corresponding author: Abdelaziz Sabiry

Email addresses: abdo.sabiry@gmail.com (Abdelaziz Sabiry), ghizlanez587@gmail.com (Ghizlane Zineddaine), s.melliani@usms.ma (Said Melliani), abderrazakassidi@gmail.com (Abderrazak Kassidi)

The key point of the existence result in [36] is to show that $|u|^q |\nabla u| \in L^1(\Omega)$ for any $q > 0$. L. Aharouch and colleagues in [6] established the existence of weak solutions for degenerate parabolic equations when $f \in L^{p'}(0, T, W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, W^*))$ and $\phi(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ is strictly monotone. The authors proposed in [3, 4, 48] a novel method using diffuse measures as data and perturbation terms, which avoids the need to apply the specific structure of the measure decomposition and makes it more versatile for a wider range of problems. This theory has applications in various disciplines of PDE analysis, including specialised electro-rheological fluid models and image processing (see e.g. [11, 30, 50] and reference therein). In addition, the generalised variational capacity, a Choquet capacity with respect to space, is widely used in nonlinear theory.

The authors of [3, 37] investigated the connection between these chosen capacities and diffuse measures. Given the use of this capacity in geometric function theory and stochastic processes, such as its behaviour under various forms of symmetrization and other geometric transformations, Harjulehto et al. [31] created a relative capacity, studied its properties and compared it with the Sobolev capacity. In the case where $\zeta(x) = u$, there are several publications dealing with different aspects of this topic, such as (1). In addition, as far as we know, there are some extended results in the framework of generalised Lebesgue spaces.

This paper improves and generalises previously published results and addresses more challenging problems, such as nonlinear parabolic problems with variable exponent (\mathcal{P}). The method used to prove the main results is a combination of convergence results in appropriate spaces and compactness estimates via some approximation problems.

The main contribution of this study is to extend the results for problems with measures to the case with variable exponent. To obtain global estimates from a priori estimates, additional assumptions on the exponent $s(x)$ are required. When dealing with a potentially perturbed term with natural growth, more general strategies such as those described in [2, 43] are applied. To achieve strong convergence of approximate solutions, which is essential for generalised estimates of "near/far", certain types of test functions are used instead of modifying the unknown variable, similar to the strategies used in [39, 41] without a low-order term and [38] with an absorption term.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we provide some preliminary remarks, including important properties and results on Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces with variable exponents, the generalised parabolic capacity $p(\cdot)$ –, and measure decompositions that will be used throughout the proof. These results and decompositions will be discussed in more detail later. In addition, the basic assumptions that must be made about ϕ , μ , c , u_0 are presented. To provide our general definition when the operator is modified, a new primary result is proved in Section 3.

2. Preliminary results and notations

In our analysis of the problem (\mathcal{P}) we will use definitions and fundamental properties of generalised Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, as well as the theory of parabolic capacities. We will only give brief summaries of the necessary results here, and refer the reader to the references [27, 28] for more information.

2.1. Sobolev spaces with variable exponents

We define a real-valued continuous function p to be log-Hölder continuous in a bounded open subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^N (with $N \geq 2$) if

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{C}{|\log|x - y||} \text{ for all } x, y \in \bar{\Omega} \text{ such that } |x - y| < \frac{1}{2},$$

where C is a constant. We designate by

$$C_+(\bar{\Omega}) = \left\{ \text{log-Hölder continuous function } p : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ with } 1 < p^- \leq p(x) \leq p^+ < N \right\},$$

where

$$p^- = \min \{p(x) : x \in \bar{\Omega}\} \text{ and } p^+ = \max \{p(x) : x \in \bar{\Omega}\}.$$

Therefore, the variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(x)}(Q_T)$ is introduced as follows

$$L^{p(x)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is measurable such that } \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx < +\infty \right\},$$

the norm for $L^{p(x)}(Q_T)$ is defined below:

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf \left\{ \tau > 0; \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\tau} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Note that the inequality below will be used later

$$\min \left\{ \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^-}; \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^+} \right\} \leq \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx \leq \max \left\{ \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^-}; \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^+} \right\}.$$

It should be noted that if $1 < p^- < \infty$, then $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is reflexive and its dual is $L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, where $\frac{1}{p(\cdot)} + \frac{1}{p'(\cdot)} = 1$, and then for any $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ the inequality of type Hölder is given by

$$\int_{\Omega} |uv| dx \leq \left(\frac{1}{p(\cdot)} + \frac{1}{p'(\cdot)} \right) \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \|v\|_{p'(\cdot)}.$$

Then, if $p(\cdot), p'(\cdot) \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$, the Young's inequality is established by the following formula:

$$ab \leq \frac{a^{p(x)}}{p(x)} + \frac{b^{p'(x)}}{p'(x)},$$

such that $\frac{1}{p(\cdot)} + \frac{1}{p'(\cdot)} = 1$ and for each $a, b > 0$. By extending a variable exponent $p: \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ to $\overline{Q_T} = \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$ by defining $p(x) := p(t, x)$ for each $(x, t) \in \overline{Q_T}$, we can also consider the generalized Lebesgue space

$$L^{p(\cdot)}(Q_T) = \left\{ u : Q_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; \text{ measurable such that } \int_{Q_T} |u(x, t)|^{p(x)} dx dt < \infty \right\},$$

under the norm

$$\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(Q_T)} = \inf \left\{ \tau > 0; \int_{Q_T} \left| \frac{u(x, t)}{\tau} \right|^{p(x)} dx dt < 1 \right\},$$

retains the same properties as $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. Furthermore, the variable exponent Sobolev space given by

$$W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega); |\nabla u| \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \right\},$$

is a Banach space with the following norm

$$\|u\|_{1,p(\cdot)} = \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} + \|\nabla u\|_{p(\cdot)},$$

such that

$$\|u\|_{1,p(\cdot)} = \inf \left\{ \tau > 0; \int_{\Omega} \left(\left| \frac{\nabla u(x)}{\tau} \right|^{p(x)} + \left| \frac{u(x)}{\tau} \right|^{p(x)} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}. \tag{2}$$

We define the functional space $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ as the closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm (2). Note that $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ are separable and reflexive Banach spaces if $1 \leq p^- < \infty$ and $1 < p^- < \infty$ respectively. At last, we shall employ the standard notation for Bochner spaces, i.e., $L^q(0, T; X)$ is the space of strongly measurable function $u : (0, T) \rightarrow X$ for which $t \mapsto \|u(t)\|_X \in L^q(0, T)$. In addition, $C([0, T]; X)$ represents the space of continuous function $u: [0, T] \rightarrow X$ according to the norm $\|u\|_{C([0,T];X)} = \max_{t \in [0,T]} \|u(t)\|_X$, where X is a Banach space and $q \geq 1$.

$$L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)) = \left\{ u : (0, T) \rightarrow W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \text{ measurable with } \left(\int_0^T \|u(t)\|_{W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^-} dt < +\infty \right)^{\frac{1}{p^-}} \right\}.$$

2.2. Measures and Parabolic capacity

Let $Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$ for each fixed $T > 0$, and recall that $V = W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega)$ has the norm $\|\cdot\|_{W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}} + \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. The space $W_{p(\cdot)}(0, T)$ is defined as

$$W_{p(\cdot)}(0, T) = \{u \in L^{p^-}(0, T, V); \nabla u \in (L^{p(\cdot)}(Q_T))^N \text{ and } u_t \in L^{(p^-)'}(0, T, V')\}$$

with the following standard

$$\|u\|_{W_{p(\cdot)}(0, T)} = \|u\|_{L(0, T, V)} + \|\nabla u\| + \|u_t\|_{L(0, T, V')}.$$

Note that $W_{p(\cdot)}(0, T) \hookrightarrow C([0, T], L^2(\Omega))$ continuously. Let $O \subseteq Q_T$ be an open set, we define the (generalized) parabolic capacity of O as

$$cap_{p(\cdot)}(O) = \inf \{ \|u\|_{W_{p(\cdot)}(0, T)} : O \in W_{p(\cdot)}(0, T), s \geq \chi_O \text{ a.e. in } Q_T \},$$

where as usual we set $\inf\{\emptyset\} = +\infty$, then for any Borel set $B \subseteq Q_T$, the definition of (generalized) parabolic capacity can be extended by setting

$$cap_{p(\cdot)}(B) = \inf \{ cap_{p(\cdot)}(O) : O \text{ open subset of } Q_T, B \subseteq O \}.$$

Since we are interested by using some regular properties, we need to define the following space

$$\mathcal{V} = \{u \in L^{p^-}(0, T, W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)) : \nabla u \in (L^{p(\cdot)}(Q_T))^N \text{ and } u_t \in L^{(p^-)'}(0, T, W^{1,p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)) + L^1(Q_T)\},$$

endowed with its natural norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}} = \|u\|_{L^{p^-}(0, T, W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))} + \|\nabla u\|_{(L^{p(\cdot)}(Q_T))^N} + \|u_t\|_{L(0, T, W^{1,p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)) + L^1(Q_T)}.$$

In the following, $\mathcal{M}_b(Q_T)$ denotes the set of all Radon measures with bounded variation on Q_T , and $\mathcal{M}_0(Q_T)$ designates

$$\mathcal{M}_0(Q_T) = \{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}_b(Q_T) : \mu(E) = 0 \text{ for every } E \subset Q_T \text{ such that } cap_{p(\cdot)}(E) = 0 \}.$$

To better specify the nature of a measure in $\mathcal{M}_0(Q_T)$, we need then to detail the structure of the dual space $(W_{p(\cdot)}(0, T))'$

Lemma 2.1. [37, lemma 4.2] *Let $g \in (W_{p(\cdot)}(0, T))'$ then there exists $g_1 \in L^{(p^-)'}(0, T; W^{-1,p'(\cdot)}(\Omega))$, $g_2 \in L^{p^-}(0, T; V)$, $H \in (L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q_T))^N$ and $g_3 \in L^{(p^-)'}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ such that*

$$\langle\langle g, u \rangle\rangle = \int_0^T \langle g_1, u \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle u_t, g_2 \rangle dt + \int_{Q_T} H \cdot \nabla u \, dx dt + \int_{Q_T} g_3 u \, dx dt,$$

for every $u \in W_{p(\cdot)}(0, T)$. Moreover, we can choose (g_1, g_2, H, g_3) such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|g_1\|_{L^{(p^-)'}(0, T; W^{-1,p'(\cdot)}(\Omega))} + \|g_2\|_{L^{p^-}(0, T; V)} + \|H\|_{(L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q_T))^N} \\ & + \|g_3\|_{L(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \leq C \|g\|_{(W_{p(\cdot)}(0, T))'}, \end{aligned}$$

with C not depending on g .

One of the decomposition results of elements of $\mathcal{M}_0(Q_T)$ is the following

Theorem 2.2. [37, Theorem 4.4] Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0(Q_T)$, then there exists $h \in L^1(Q_T)$ and $g \in (W_{p(\cdot)}(0, T))'$ such that $h + g = \mu$ in the sense that

$$\int_{Q_T} h\varphi \, dxdt + \langle g, \varphi \rangle = \int_{Q_T} \varphi \, d\mu, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \Omega).$$

We obtain the following decomposition theorem as a result of Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. [37, Theorem 4.5] Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0(Q_T)$, then there exists (f, H, g_1, g_2) such that, $g_1 \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(0, T; W^{-1,p'(\cdot)}(\Omega))$, $g_2 \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(0, T; V)$, $H \in (L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q_T))^N$; $f \in L^1(Q_T)$, in the sense that

$$\int_{Q_T} f\varphi \, dxdt + \int_{Q_T} H \cdot \nabla u \, dxdt + \int_0^T \langle g_1, \varphi \rangle dt - \int_0^T \langle \varphi_t, g_2 \rangle dt = \int_{Q_T} \varphi \, d\mu,$$

for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \Omega)$.

Remark 2.4. Note that, according to Theorem 2.3, for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_b(Q_T)$. Then, there is (f, h) such that $f \in L^1(Q_T)$, $H \in (L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q_T))^N$, in the sense that

$$\int_{Q_T} \varphi \, d\mu = \int_{Q_T} f\varphi \, dxdt + \int_{Q_T} H \cdot \nabla u \, dxdt + \int_{Q_T} \varphi \, d\mu_c.$$

for each $\varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \Omega)$.

Note that the decomposition of $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0(Q_T)$ in the previous theorem is not unique. A well-known decomposition result can be found in [37, Lemma 4.6] and [29, Lemma 2.1]. Every μ in $\mathcal{M}_b(Q_T)$ can be expressed as a unique sum of its absolutely continuous part μ_0 with respect to $p(\cdot)$ -capacity and its singular part μ_c focused on a set E with zero p -capacity. Therefore, if $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_b(Q_T)$, thanks to theorem 2.3, we have

$$\mu = f - \operatorname{div}(H) + g_t + \mu_c^+ - \mu_c^-,$$

In the distributional sense, where $H \in (L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q_T))^N$, $f \in L^1(Q_T)$, $g \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(0, T; V)$ and (μ_c^-, μ_c^+) are the positive and negative parts of μ_c . To investigate the existence of a solution and to verify the density results, we need to consider the following preliminary result, which involves some relevant data approximation.

Proposition 2.5. [24, Proposition 2.31] Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0(Q_T)$, then there exists a decomposition $(f, \operatorname{div}(H), g)$ of μ in the sense of Theorem 2.3 and an approximation μ_m of μ satisfying

$$\|\mu_m\|_{L^1(Q_T)} \leq C, \quad \mu_m \in C_c^\infty(Q_T)$$

and

$$\int_{Q_T} \mu_m \varphi \, d\mu = \int_{Q_T} f_m \varphi \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \langle \operatorname{div}(H_m), \varphi \rangle dxdt - \int_0^T \langle \varphi_t, g_m \rangle dt,$$

with

$$\begin{cases} f_m \in C_c^\infty(Q_T) : f_m \rightarrow f \text{ in } L^1(Q_T), \\ H_m \in C_c^\infty(Q_T) : H_m \rightarrow H \text{ in } L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q_T)^N \\ g_m \in C_c^\infty(Q_T) : g_m \rightarrow g \text{ in } L^{p'(\cdot)}(0, T, V). \end{cases}$$

as m tends to 0, for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \Omega)$.

Remark 2.6. Let us recall the following function of $\omega_n(r) = re^{\Lambda r^2}$ which had this useful property:

$$a\omega'_n(r) - b|\omega_n(r)| \geq 1, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall a, b > 0, \quad \forall \Lambda > \frac{b^2}{8a^2}. \tag{3}$$

The truncation function and the following functions will be used in the following:

$$T_k(r) = \max\{-k, \min(k, r)\}, \quad \Theta_k(r) = T_1(r - T_k(r)).$$

We will be interested in a specific type of positive bump functions C_c^∞ known as “cut-off” functions during the proof of our principal result $\omega_n : \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_\gamma(r) \equiv 1 & \text{if } r \in K_\gamma, \\ \varphi_\gamma(r) = 0 & \text{if } r \in Q_T \setminus K_\gamma, \\ 0 \leq \varphi_\gamma \leq 1, & \forall r \in Q_T. \end{cases}$$

let us define, for every $0 < q(x) < \infty$, the Marcinkiewicz space $\mathcal{M}^{q(x)}(Q_T)$ as the space of every measurable function g where

$$\exists C > 0 \text{ with } \text{meas}\{(t, x) \in Q_T \mid |g(t, x)| \geq h\} \leq \frac{C}{h^q}.$$

for every positive k , endowed with the semi-norm

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{M}^{q(x)}(Q)} = \inf \left\{ C > 0 : \text{meas}\{(t, x) : |g(t, x)| \geq h\} \leq \left(\frac{C}{k}\right)^{q(x)} \right\}.$$

Note that, if $q(x) \geq q^- > 1$, then we obtain the following continuous embedding

$$L^{q(x)}(Q_T) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{q(x)}(Q_T) \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)-\varepsilon}(Q_T), \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, q(x) - 1].$$

3. Assumptions and Technical Lemmas

The following assumptions are assumed throughout the work. We take a look at a Leray-Lions operator defined by the formula:

$$Au = -\text{div}[\phi(x, t, u, \nabla u)],$$

where $\phi : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function, satisfying the following condition, there exist $k \in L^{p(\cdot)}(Q_T)$ and $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ such that, for each $(t, x) \in Q_T$ all $(u, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$.

$$\phi(t, x, u, \xi) \cdot \zeta \geq L(|u|)|\xi|^{p(x)}, \tag{4}$$

$$|\phi(t, x, u, \xi)| \leq \beta[k(t, x) + L(|u|)|\xi|^{p(x)-1}], \tag{5}$$

$$[\phi(x, t, u, \xi) - \phi(x, t, u, \eta)](\xi - \eta) > 0 \quad \forall \xi \neq \eta. \tag{6}$$

Moreover, the function L satisfies

$$L(|u|) \geq \alpha, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{7}$$

where α, λ, Λ are fixed real numbers. Here

$$b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is a strictly increasing } C^1\text{-function with } b(0) = 0, \tag{8}$$

and there exist $b_0 > 0$ and $b_1 > 0$ such that

$$b_0 \leq b'(s) \leq b_1, \text{ for every } s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{9}$$

and

$$\mu \in \mathcal{M}_b(Q_T). \tag{10}$$

This part introduces several fundamental technical concepts and results that will be used throughout this article. For some details concerning their related contents, the reader can consult (see[37]).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $0 \leq \Lambda \in \mathcal{M}_b(Q_T)$ be concentrated on a set E such that $cap_{p(x)}(E) = 0$. Then, for each 0 , there exist $\varphi_\gamma \in C_c^\infty(Q_T)$ and $K_\gamma \subset E$ a compact subset such that*

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq \varphi_\gamma \leq 1, & \varphi \equiv 0 \text{ in } K_\gamma, \Lambda(E \setminus K_\gamma) < \gamma, \\ \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \|\varphi_\gamma\|_{\mathcal{V}} = 0, & \int_{Q_T} (1 - \varphi_\gamma) d\Lambda = \omega(\gamma), \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

and, in particular, a decomposition $[(\varphi_\gamma)_t^1, (\varphi_\gamma)_t^2]$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_\gamma \rightarrow 0 \text{ *weakly in } L^\infty(Q_T), \text{ a.e. in } Q_T \text{ and in } L^1(Q_T) \\ \|(\varphi_\gamma)_t^1\|_{L^{p^*(\Omega)}(0,T;W^{-1,p^*(\Omega)})} \leq \frac{\gamma}{3}, & \|(\varphi_\gamma)_t^2\|_{L^1(Q_T)} \leq \frac{\gamma}{3} \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

Remark 3.2. *Let $\mu = f - \operatorname{div}(H) + g_t + \mu_c^+ - \mu_c^-$ concentrated on two disjoint sets E^\pm by applying two compact sets $K_\gamma^\pm \subseteq E^\pm$ such that $\mu_c^-(E^- \setminus K_\gamma^-) \leq \gamma$, $\mu_c^+(E^+ \setminus K_\gamma^+) \leq \gamma$ and four cut-off functions where φ_η^\pm and φ_γ^\pm are in $C_c^1(Q_T)$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_\gamma^\pm \equiv 1 \text{ on } K_\gamma^\pm, 0 \leq \varphi_\gamma^\pm \leq 1, \operatorname{Supp}(\varphi_\gamma^+) \cap \operatorname{Supp}(\varphi_\gamma^-) \equiv \emptyset \\ \|\varphi_\gamma^\pm\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \gamma, \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

and,

$$\begin{cases} (\varphi_\gamma^\pm)_t \text{ such that } \|(\varphi_\gamma^\pm)_t\|_{L^{p^*(\Omega)}(0,T;W^{-1,p^*(\Omega)})} \leq \frac{\gamma}{3} \\ \|(\varphi_\gamma^\pm)_t\|_{L^1(Q_T)} \leq \frac{\gamma}{3}, \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

additionally, if $\mu_{c,m}^{\oplus\ominus}$ are as in (21) we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \int_{Q_T} \varphi_\gamma^\pm d\mu_{c,m}^{\oplus\ominus} = \omega(m, \gamma), & \int_{Q_T} \varphi_\gamma^\pm d\mu_c^\pm \leq \gamma, \\ \int_{Q_T} (1 - \varphi_\gamma^\pm \varphi_\eta^\pm) d\mu_{c,m}^{\oplus\ominus} = \omega(m, \gamma, \eta) & \text{and} \quad \int_{Q_T} (1 - \varphi_\gamma^\pm \varphi_\eta^\pm) d\mu_c^\pm \leq \gamma + \eta. \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

Moreover, if $\varphi_\gamma^\pm, \varphi_\eta^\pm$ in $W^{2,\infty}(Q_T)$ we have

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq \int_{Q_T} \varphi_\eta^+ d\mu_c^- \leq \eta \text{ and } 0 \leq \int_{Q_T} \varphi_\eta^- d\mu_c^+ \leq \eta, \\ 0 \leq \varphi_\gamma^+ \leq 1, 0 \leq \varphi_\eta^- \leq 1. \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

Lemma 3.3. [13] Suppose that (4) - (10) are satisfied and let (u_m) be a sequence in $L^p(0, T; L^{p(x)}(\Omega))$ such that $u_m \rightarrow u$ weakly in $L^p(0, T, L^{p(x)}(\Omega))$ and

$$\int_{Q_T} (\phi(x, t, u_m), \nabla u_m) - \phi(x, t, u_m, \nabla u) \nabla(u_m - u) dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Then, $u_m \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^p(0, T; L^{p(x)}(\Omega))$.

Lemma 3.4. Let h' is zero away from a compact set of \mathbb{R} and $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous piecewise C^1 -function where $h(0) = 0$, It should be noted that $H(r) = \int_0^r h(\sigma) d\sigma$. If $u \in L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))$, $u_m \in L^p(0, T; W^{-1,p(x)}(\Omega)) + L^1(Q_T)$ and $\varphi \in C^\infty(\overline{Q_T})$, we have then

$$\int_0^T \langle u_m, h(u)\varphi \rangle dt = \int_\Omega H(u(T))\varphi(T) dx - \int_\Omega H(u(0))\varphi(0) dx - \int_{Q_T} \varphi_t H(u) dx dt. \tag{17}$$

In general, we will work with measurable functions and truncations in the energy space $L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))$. For this, we consider the notion of "generalized gradient", whose fundamental result is contained in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. [13] For every $u \in \mathcal{T}_0^{1,p(x)}(Q_T)$, there exists a unique measurable function $v : Q_T \mapsto \mathbb{R}^N$ such that, $\nabla T_k(u) = v \chi_{\{|u| \leq k\}}$, a.e. in Q_T for each $k > 0$, where E is the characteristic function of the measurable set E . Moreover, if

$$\int_{Q_T} |\nabla T_k(u)|^{p(x)} dx dt \leq C(k + 1), \tag{18}$$

then, v coincides with the classical gradient of u and is denoted by $\nabla u = v$. with u is $cap_{p(x)}$ - a.e. finite, i.e. $cap_{p(x)}\{(t, x) \in Q_T : |u(t, x)| = +\infty\} = 0$, and there exists a $cap_{p(x)} - q.c.r.$ of u , namely a function \tilde{u} such that $\tilde{u} = u$ a.e. in Q_T and \tilde{u} is $cap_{p(x)}$ -quasi continuous.

4. Existence results

In this section we shall present the notion of a weak solution to problem (P) and we shall give the existence result for such solution.

Definition 4.1. Let Ω be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N, N \geq 2$. For each $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_b(Q_T)$, we define a "weak" solution to the problem (P) as a measurable function $s \in C([0, T]; L^1(\Omega))$ such that $\phi(t, x, u, \nabla u) \in L^1(Q_T)^N, T_k(u) \in L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))$, and it verifies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \langle (b(u_m))_t, \varphi \rangle dt \\ & + \int_{Q_T} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + |u_m|)^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_m \nabla \varphi dx dt + \int_{Q_T} \zeta(x, t)(1 + |u_m|)^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m| dx dt \\ & = \int_{Q_T} f_m \varphi dx dt + \int_{Q_T} H_m \nabla \varphi dx dt + \int_{Q_T} \varphi d\mu_{m,c}, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(Q_T). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.2. Let $q(x) < s(x) - 1, s(x) \geq 0$, and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_b(Q_T)$ suppose that $\phi(t, x, u)$ is a Carathéodory function verifying the following hypothesis

$$0 < \alpha \leq \phi(t, x, \xi) \leq \beta \text{ and } 0 < \lambda \leq \zeta(t, x) \leq \Lambda \quad \text{a.e. } (t, x) \in Q_T, \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{19}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \Lambda$ are fixed real numbers.

Then, the problem (P) has a positive weak solution u such that.

- if $u(x) > 1$, then $u \in W_0 \cap L^{\eta(x)}(Q_T)$ for every $\eta(x) < \frac{(p(x)(N+1)-N)(s(x)+1)}{N+1}$,
- if $0 \leq u(x) \leq 1$, then $s \in L^{(r^-)}(0, T; W_0^{1,r(x)}(\Omega))$ for every $r(x) < \frac{N(p(x)-1+s(x))}{N-(1-s(x))}$.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 4.2 will be completed in 5 steps.

Step1: Approximate problem. We begin by proving the existence of a weak solution in the presence of regular data, i.e., assuming that μ is a limit of bounded sequences μ_m in $L^\infty(Q_T)$. We present the following approximate problem

$$(\mathcal{P}_m) \begin{cases} (b(u_m))_t - \operatorname{div}[\phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + |u_m|)^{s(x)}|\nabla u_m|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u_m] + \zeta(x, t)(1 + |u_m|)^{q(x)-1}u_m|\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} = \mu_m \\ \text{in } Q_T = (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ b(u_m)(0, x) = b(u_0^m)(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u_m(t, x) = 0 \text{ on } (0, T) \times \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

where $\mu_m = \mu_{m,d} + \mu_{m,c} = f_m - \operatorname{div}(H_m) + g_{m,t} + \mu_{m,c}$. According to [37], we suppose that

$$\begin{cases} H_m \in C_c^\infty(Q_T) & : H_m \rightarrow H \text{ in } (L^{p'(x)}(Q_T))^N, \\ 0 \leq \mu_{m,c} \in C_c^\infty(Q_T) & : \mu_{m,c} \rightarrow \mu_c \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_b(Q_T), \\ f_m \in C_c^\infty(Q_T) & : f_m \rightarrow f \text{ weakly in } L^1(Q_T) \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Furthermore, it follows that $\|\mu_m\|_{L^1(Q_T)} \leq C$. On the other hand, as ϕ verifying the conditions (19) with $1 < p(x) < N$, then (\mathcal{P}_m) admits a weak solution $b(u_m) \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(Q)$ with $(b(u_m))_t \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W^{-1,p(x)}(\Omega))$ by using Schauder fix point.

Step.2: This step is dedicated to check the a priori estimates.

Considering $\varphi_{1,k}(u_m) = T_1(u_m - T_k(u))$ as test function in the weak formulation of (\mathcal{P}_m) , we get by the integration by parts formula and a virtue of Young’s inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Omega \Theta_{1,k}(u_m)(T)dx + \alpha \int_{\{k \leq u_m < k+1\}} (1 + u_m)^{s(x)}|\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} dxdt \\ & \leq \|f_m\|_{L^1(Q_T)} + C \int_{Q_T} |H_m|^{p'(x)} dxdt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\{k \leq u_m < k+1\}} \zeta(x, t)|\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} dxdt + \|\mu_{c,m}\|_{L^1(Q_T)} + \int_\Omega \Theta_{1,k}(u_m)(0, x)dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Theta_{1,k}(s) = \int_0^s \varphi(\sigma)b'(\sigma)d\sigma$. Remarking that $\Theta_{1,k}(u)$ is nonnegative and that $\Theta_{1,k}(u_m)(0, x) \leq |b(u_0^m)(x)|$, as H_m is bounded in $L^{p'(x)}(Q_T)$, f_m , $\mu_{c,m}$ and $b(u_0^m)$ are, respectively, bounded in $L^1(Q_T)$ and in $L^1(\Omega)$, we get

$$\int_\Omega \Theta_{1,k}(u_m)(T) dx \leq C \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, T], \quad (22)$$

and

$$\int_{\{k \leq u_m < k+1\}} \zeta(x, t)(1 + u_m)^{q(x)-1}u_m|\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} dxdt \leq C \quad \text{for each } k > 0, \quad (23)$$

which gives the estimate of u_m in $L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\Omega))$, and the estimate if $(s(x) > 1)$.

$$\int_{\{k \leq u_m < k+1\}} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} dxdt \leq \frac{C(k+1)}{(1+k)^{s^-}} \quad \text{for each } k > 0, \quad (24)$$

which involves that u_m is bounded in $L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))$.

Let us note that, according to Theorem 4.2 and if $0 \leq s^- \leq s(x) \leq s^+ \leq 1$, that u_m is bounded in $L^{q^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,q(x)}(\Omega))$ for each $q(x) < \frac{Ns(x)+N}{N-1+s(x)}$. Furthermore, we infer that $(1 + u_m)^{s(x)}|\nabla u_m|$ is bounded in $L^{r(x)}(Q_T)$ for every $r(x) < p(x) - \frac{N}{N+1}$.

As a result, in the corresponding space, there exists a function u_m converges to u and a.e. in Q_T and weakly in the related spaces. Additionally, we may derive from (22)-(24) that $T_k(u)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^{p(x)}(Q_T)$ for all $k > 0$, from the fact that $T_k(u_n)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^{p(x)}(Q_T)$ for all $k > 0$, we can deduce that it is a Cauchy sequence in measure for each $k > 0$. This means that for any $k > 0$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an N such that for all $m, n \geq N$, the measure of the set $x \in Q_T : |T_k(u_m)(x) - T_k(u_n)(x)|_{L^{p(x)}(Q_T)} > \varepsilon$ is smaller than ε . Hence, by means of the related Marcinkiewicz estimates on u_m , we get that u_m is a Cauchy sequence in measure. Indeed, we first notice that for any $k, \sigma > 0$ and for each $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$, for each $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\{|u_s - u_t| > \sigma\} \subseteq \{|u_s| \geq k\} \cup \{|u_t| \geq k\} \cup \{|T_k(u_s) - T_k(u_t)| > \sigma\}. \tag{25}$$

At present, if $\varepsilon > 0$ is fixed, Marcinkiewicz’s estimates lead to the existence of k such that

$$meas(\{|u_s| > k\}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad meas(\{|u_t| > k\}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad \text{for each } s, t \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{for each } k > k',$$

since, $T_k(u)$ for every fixed $s > 0$ is a Cauchy sequence in measure, We establish that there exists a value of $\eta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$meas(\{|T_k(u_s) - T_k(u_t)| > \sigma\}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text{for each } s, t > \eta_\varepsilon, \quad \text{for each } \sigma > 0.$$

Also, if $k > k'$, from (25) we conclude that

$$\{|u_s - u_t| > \sigma\} < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for each } s, t \geq \eta_\varepsilon, \quad \text{for each } \sigma > 0,$$

As a result, u_s is a Cauchy sequence in measure. In this situation, there is a measurable function $u : Q_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that u_s converges a.e. in Q_T , resulting in a finite limit function u . As a consequence, for all $k > 0$, we obtain

$$T_k(u_m) \rightarrow T_k(u) \text{ weakly in } L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)) \text{ and a.e. in } Q_T. \tag{26}$$

At last, by the weak lower semi continuity and from (24) and (22), we find

$$\int_{\Omega} \Theta_{1,k}(u)(t)dx \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\{k \leq u_m < k\}} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dxdt \leq C(k+1), \quad \text{for each } k > 0. \tag{27}$$

and

$$\int_{\{k \leq u_m < k+1\}} ((1 + u_m)^{q(x)-1})u_m|\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} dxdt \leq \frac{C}{\mathcal{A}_n} \quad \text{for each } k > 0, \tag{28}$$

We may deduce that the function u is $cap_{p(x)}$ -a. e. finite and $cap_{p(x)}$ -quasi-continuous based on what has been mentioned and the lemma 3.5. The above results ensure only weak convergence of $T_k(u_m)$ to $T_k(u)$ in $L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))$.

Step.3: We will also show the strong convergence of the truncation in $L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$.

In this part, which will ensure the convergence of ∇u_m to ∇u in Q_T . Using the same procedure of [41] to prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_T} |\nabla T_k(u_m) - \nabla T_k(u)|^{p(x)} dxdt = 0, \tag{29}$$

and thus use [21] to complete the result.

(i) : Near E. If $\mu_m = f_m - \operatorname{div}(H) + \mu_{c,m}$ then, in the weak formulation of u_m by choosing $\omega_n((k - u_m)^+) \varphi_\gamma$, with ω_n defined in (3) and $k > 0$, as the test function, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \langle (b(u_m))_t, \omega_n((k - u_m)^+) \varphi_\gamma \rangle dt \\ & + \int_{Q_T} \phi(t, x, u_m) (1 + |u_m|)^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_m \cdot \nabla (\omega_n((k - u_m)^+) \varphi_\gamma) dx dt \\ & + \int_{Q_T} \zeta(x, t) (1 + u_m)^{q(x)-1} u_m |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} \omega_n((k - u_m)^+) \varphi_\gamma dx dt = \int_{Q_T} f_m \omega_n((k - u_m)^+) \varphi_\gamma dx dt \\ & + \int_{Q_T} H_m \cdot \nabla (\omega_n((k - u_m)^+) \varphi_\gamma) dx dt + \int_{Q_T} \omega_n((k - u_m)^+) \varphi_\gamma d\mu_{c,m}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by means (19) and the fact that $\omega_n((k - u_m)^+) = 0$ if $u_m > k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \langle (b(u_m))_t, \omega_n((k - u_m)^+) \varphi_\gamma \rangle dt + \alpha \int_{Q_T} \omega'_n((k - u_m)^+) |\nabla T_k(u_m)|^{p(x)} \varphi_\gamma dx dt \\ & - \max\{1, (1 + k)^{q(x)}\} \int_{Q_T} \omega_n((k - u_m)^+) dx dt + \int_{Q_T} \omega_n((k - u_m)^+) \varphi_\gamma d\mu_{c,m} dx dt \\ & \leq - \int_{Q_T} f_m \omega_n((k - u_m)^+) \varphi_\gamma dx dt - \int_{Q_T} H_m \cdot \nabla (\omega_n((k - u_m)^+) \varphi_\gamma) dx dt \\ & + \int_{Q_T} \phi(t, x, u_m) (1 + T_k(u_m))^{s(x)} |\nabla T_k(u_m)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u_m) \cdot \nabla \varphi_\gamma \omega_n((k - u_m)^+) dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

Now, since n , which depends on k , verifies (3), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Omega \Phi_{k,n}(u_m(0, x)) \varphi_\gamma(0, x) dx + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{Q_T} |\nabla T_k(u_m)|^{p(x)} \varphi_\gamma dx dt + \int_{Q_T} \omega_n((k - u_m)^+) \varphi_\gamma d\mu_{c,m} \\ & \leq \int_\Omega \Phi_{k,n}(u_m(T, x)) \varphi_\gamma(T, x) dx - \int_{Q_T} \Phi_{k,n}(u_m(t, x)) (\varphi_\gamma)_t dx dt \\ & - \int_{Q_T} f_m \omega_n((k - u_m)^+) \varphi_\gamma dx dt - \int_{Q_T} H_m \cdot \nabla (\omega_n((k - u_m)^+) \varphi_\gamma) dx dt \tag{30} \\ & + \int_{Q_T} \omega_n((k - u_m)^+) \phi(t, x, u_m) (1 + T_k(u_m))^{s(x)} |\nabla T_k(u_m)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u_m) \nabla \varphi_\gamma dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi_{k,n}(\ell) = \int_0^\ell \omega_n((k - y)^+) b'(y) dy$ is a primitive of $\omega_n((k - l)^+) b'(l)$.

Remark that, as $T_k(u)$ converges weakly in $L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{\ell, p(x)}(\Omega))$ and $\Phi'_{k,\Lambda}(\ell) = \omega_n((k - \ell)^+) b'(\ell)$, which means that $\Phi_{k,n}(\ell)$ is a bounded function with compact support and $\Phi_{k,\Lambda}(\ell) \geq 0$ if $\ell \geq 0$, we get that

$$\Phi'_{k,n}(u_m) \rightharpoonup \Phi'_{k,n}(u) \text{ weakly in } L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)), \text{ weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(Q_T) \text{ and a.e. in } Q_T.$$

So, let's look at each term individually, according to Lemma 3.1 and Steps.1-2 we treat the second integral on the right side of (30) as well as the fourth by applying (26), and as $\nabla(\omega_n((k - u_m)^+) \varphi_\gamma)$ converges to

$\nabla(\omega_n((k - u)^+ b'(u_m)))$ weakly in $L^{p(x)}(Q_T)^N$ and $\omega_n((k - u_m)^+)b'(u_m) \leq b_1\omega_n(k)$ we then have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{Q_T} |\nabla T_k(u_m)|^{p(x)} \varphi_\gamma dxdt + b_1 \int_{Q_T} \omega_n(k - u_m)^+ \varphi_\gamma d\mu_{c,m}^\oplus \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{k,\rho}(u(T, x)) \varphi_\gamma(T, x) dx - b_0 \int_{Q_T} \Phi_{k,\rho}(u(t, x)) (\varphi_\gamma)_t dxdt \\ & - \int_{Q_T} f \omega_n((k - u)^+) \varphi_\gamma dxdt - \int_{Q_T} H \cdot \nabla(\omega_n((k - u)^+) \varphi_\gamma) dxdt \\ & + \int_{Q_T} \phi(t, x, u) (1 + T_k(u))^{s(x)} |\nabla T_k(u_m)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u) \cdot \nabla \varphi_\gamma \omega_n((k - u)^+) dxdt. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

However, as $\Phi_{k,\rho}(u) \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))$, and taking into account the convergence properties of φ_γ in the lemma 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{Q_T} f \omega_n((k - u)^+) \varphi_\gamma dxdt - \int_{Q_T} H \cdot \nabla(\omega_n((k - u)^+) \varphi_\gamma) dxdt \\ & + \int_{Q_T} \phi(t, x, u) (1 + |T_k(u)|)^{s(x)} |\nabla T_k(u_m)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u) \nabla \varphi_\gamma \omega_n((k - u)^+) dxdt \\ & \leq C(k) \left[\int_{Q_T} (|f| + |\nabla T_k(u)| |H|) \varphi_\gamma dxdt + \int_{Q_T} (|H| + |\nabla T_k(u)|) |\nabla \varphi_\gamma| dxdt \right], \end{aligned}$$

Hence, thanks to Lebesgue’s theorem and the Lemma 3.1 and (29), we easily obtain

$$\begin{cases} \int_{Q_T} |\nabla T_k(u_m)|^{p(x)} \varphi_\gamma dxdt = \omega(m, \gamma), \\ \int_{Q_T} \omega_n((k - u_m)^+) |\varphi_\gamma| d\mu_{c,m} = \bar{\omega}(m, \gamma). \end{cases} \tag{32}$$

(ii) : Far from E(1). We note the Landes time regularization of the truncation function $T_k(u)$ by the symbol $T_k(u)_\theta$. Let x_θ be a sequence of functions such that

$$\begin{cases} x_\theta \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega), \|x_\theta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq k, \\ x_\theta \rightarrow T_k(u_0) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \text{ as } \theta \text{ tends to infinity,} \\ \frac{1}{\theta} \|x_\theta\|_{W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p(x)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \theta \text{ tends to infinity.} \end{cases}$$

Next, for $\theta > 0$ and $k > 0$ fixed, we designate by $T_k(u)_\theta$ the unique solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial T_k(u)_\theta}{\partial t} = v(T_k(u) - \partial T_k(u)_\theta) \quad \text{in the sense of distributions,} \\ T_k(u)_\theta(0) = x_\theta \text{ in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

So, $T_k(u)_\theta$ belongs to $L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(Q_T)$ and $\frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial t}$ belongs to $L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))$. As a result, we can demonstrate that when θ diverges then, there existe a subsequences (as in [34]).

$$\begin{cases} \|T_k(u)_\theta\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \leq k, \quad \text{for each } k > 0, \\ T_k(u)_\theta \rightarrow T_k(u) \text{ strongly in } L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)) \text{ and a.e. in } Q_T. \end{cases}$$

Let us start by proving a result that is crucial for dealing with the second term of the right-hand side (iv).

Lemma 4.3. Let $k, h > 0$, φ_γ and u_m are defined as previously, hence

$$\int_{\{h \leq |u_m| < k+h\}} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} (1 - \varphi_\gamma) dx dt = \omega(m, h, \gamma). \tag{33}$$

Proof. Let us choose $\varphi(u_m)(\ell - \varphi_\gamma)$ as test function in weak formulation of u_m , where $\varphi(\ell) = T_{2k}(\ell - T_h(\ell))$.

Integrating, if $\Theta_{k,h}(\ell) = \int_0^\ell \varphi(\xi) b'(\xi) d\xi$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_T} \Theta_{k,h}(u_m)_t (1 - \varphi_\gamma) dx dt \\ & + \int_{Q_T} \phi(t, x, u_m) (1 + u_m)^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_m \nabla T_{2k}(u_m - T_h(u_m)) (1 - \varphi_\gamma) dx dt \\ & - \int_{Q_T} \phi(t, x, u_m) (1 + u_m)^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_m \cdot \nabla \varphi_\gamma T_{2k}(u_m - T_h(u_m)) dx dt \\ & = \int_{Q_T} f_m T_{2k}(u_m - T_h(u_m)) (1 - \varphi_\gamma) dx dt + \int_{Q_T} H_m \cdot \nabla (T_{2k}(u_m - T_h(u_m))) (1 - \varphi_\gamma) dx dt \\ & + \int_{Q_T} T_{2k}(u_m - T_h(u_m)) (1 - \varphi_\gamma) d\mu_c^m. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

To arrive at the result, we use the property of equi-integrability and Young’s inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{Q_T} H_m \cdot \nabla T_{2k}(u_m - T_h(u_m)) (1 - \varphi_\gamma) dx dt \right| \leq C_1 \int_{\{h \leq |u_m| < k+h\}} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} (1 - \varphi_\gamma) dx dt \\ & + C_2 \int_{\{h \leq |u_m| < k+h\}} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} (1 - \varphi_\gamma) dx dt \leq \omega(m, h) + C_2 \int_{\{h \leq |u_m| < 2k+h\}} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} dx dt \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{Q_T} f_m (1 - \varphi_\gamma) T_{2k}(u_m - T_h(u_m)) dx dt = \omega(m, h),$$

where, apply Young’s inequality, one can take C_2 as small as one chooses (e.g. $C_2 < \frac{\alpha}{2}$); thus, by the hypothesis (19) on “ ϕ ” in the second term of (32), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_T} \phi(t, x, u_m) (1 + u_m)^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_m \cdot \nabla T_{2k}(u_m - T_h(u_m)) (1 - \varphi_\gamma) dx dt \\ & = \int_{\{h \leq |u_m| < h+2k\}} \phi(t, x, u_m) (1 + u_m)^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_m \cdot \nabla u_m (1 - \varphi_\gamma) dx dt \\ & \geq \alpha \int_{\{h \leq |u_m| < h+2k\}} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} (1 - \varphi_\gamma) dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

Remark that $\Theta_{k,h}(u)$ is non-negative for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ thus, integration by parts, we have

$$\int_{Q_T} \Theta_{k,h}(u_m)_t (1 - \varphi_\gamma) dx dt = \int_{Q_T} \Theta_{k,h}(u_m) \frac{\partial \varphi_\gamma}{\partial t} dx dt - \int_{\Omega} \Theta_{k,h}(u_0^m) dx,$$

which gives, by Vitali’s theorem and the definition of $\Theta_{k,h}(\ell)$ and the strong compactness in $L^1(Q_T)$ of $b(u_m)$ and $b(u_0^m)$, that

$$\int_{Q_T} \Theta_{k,h}(u_m)_t (\ell - \varphi_\gamma) dx dt = \omega(m, h),$$

Finally, from the lemma (3.1) and the tight convergence of $\mu_{c,m}$, we have

$$\left| \int_{Q_T} (1 - \varphi_\gamma) T_{2k}(u_m - T_h(u_m)) dxdt \right| \leq 2k \left| \int_{Q_T} (1 - \varphi_\gamma) d\mu_{c,m} \right| = \omega(m, \gamma),$$

and the third term of (32) can be computed, for any $r(x) < p(x) - \frac{N}{N+1}$, as the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_T} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + u_m)^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_m \cdot \nabla \varphi_\gamma T_{2k}(u_m - T_h(u)) dxdt \\ & \leq 2k\beta C(\gamma) \left(\int_{Q_T} (1 + |u_m|)^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m|^{r(x)} dxdt \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \left(\text{meas}\{(t, x) : u_m(t, x) \geq h\} \right)^{1 - \frac{1}{r^*}} + \omega(m, h, \gamma). \\ & \leq \omega(m, h, \gamma) + \frac{C(k, \gamma)}{h^{1 - \frac{1}{s^*}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting all these points to gather, we get (33). \square

In the following, we apply a method presented in the parabolic case in [42], we can chosen $2k < h$

$$z_m = T_{2k}(u_m - T_h(u_m)) + T_k(u_m) - T_k(u)_\theta;$$

We remark that $\nabla z_m = 0$ if $|u_m| > h + 4k$, so the estimate on $T_k(u)$ of step.2 means that z_m is bounded in $L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))$; thus, it is obvious to get

$$z_m \rightharpoonup T_{2k}(u - T_h(u)) + T_k(u) - T_k(u)_\theta \text{ weakly in } L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)) \text{ and a.e. in } Q_T.$$

Therefore, before integrating by parts we multiply by $z_m(1 - \varphi_\gamma)$ the equation solved by u_m to obtain

$$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} \leq \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{F}, \tag{35}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \int_0^T \langle (b(u_m))_t, z_m(1 - \varphi_\gamma) \rangle dt \\ \mathcal{B} &= \int_{Q_T} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + T_M(u_m))^{s(x)} |\nabla T_M(u_m)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_M(u_m) \cdot \nabla z_m(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt \\ \mathcal{C} &= \int_{Q_T} f_m z_m(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt \\ \mathcal{D} &= \int_{Q_T} H \cdot \nabla(z_m(1 - \varphi_\gamma)) + 2k \mathcal{E} d\mu_{c,m} \\ \mathcal{E} &= \int_{Q_T} (1 - \varphi_\gamma) \\ \mathcal{F} &= \int_{Q_T} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + u_m)^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_m \cdot \nabla \varphi_\gamma z_m dxdt \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the member \mathcal{B} , if we choose $M := h + 4k$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_T} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + u_m)^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)-2} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_m \cdot \nabla z_m(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt \\ & = \int_{Q_T} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}})^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}} \cdot \nabla z_m(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

Next, if $E_m = \{|u_m - T_h(u_m) + T_k(u_m) - T_k(u)_\theta| \leq 2k\}$ and $h \geq 2k$ it can be divided as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_T} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}})^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}} \cdot \nabla z_m (1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt \\ &= \int_{Q_T} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}})^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}} \nabla(u_m - T_h(u)) (1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt \\ &+ \int_{\{|u_m| > k\}} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}})^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_m \nabla(u_m - T_h(u_m)) (1 - \varphi_\gamma) \chi_{E_m} dxdt \quad (36) \\ &- \int_{\{|u_m| > k\}} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}})^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}} \nabla T_k(u)_\theta (1 - \varphi_\gamma) \chi_{E_m} dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the second member of (36), as $u_m - T_h(u_m) = 0$ if $|u_m| \leq h$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\{|u_m| > k\}} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}})^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}} \nabla(u_m - T_h(u_m)) (1 - \varphi_\gamma) \chi_{E_m} dxdt \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\{h \leq |u_m| \leq h+4k\}} |\phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}})^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}}|^{p(x)-2} |\nabla u_m| dxdt, \end{aligned}$$

while, applying Lemma (3.1) and the hypotheses (19), we have immediately

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{h \leq |u_m| < h+4k\}} |\phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + u_m)^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)-2} |\nabla u_m| (1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt \\ & \leq \beta \int_{\{h \leq |u_m| < h+4k\}} (1 + u_m)^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} (1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt \\ & \leq C(h, k) \beta \int_{\{h \leq |u_m| < h+4k\}} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} (1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt \\ & \leq \omega(m, h, \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by applying Lemma (3.1) and the iqui-integrity, we get

$$\int_{\{|u_m| > k\}} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}})^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_m \nabla(u_m - T_h(u_m)) (1 - \varphi_\gamma) \chi_{E_m} dxdt = \omega(m, h, \gamma). \quad (37)$$

Now consider the third member of the right-hand side of (3.39); so, thanks to **Step.1**, we get

$$\int_{\{|u_m| > k\}} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}})^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}} \nabla T_k(u)_\theta (1 - \varphi_\gamma) \chi_{E_m} dxdt = \omega(m),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{|u_m| > k\}} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + (u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}})^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}} \nabla T_k(u)_\theta (1 - \varphi_\gamma) \chi_{E_m} dxdt \quad (38) \\ &= \int_{\{|u_m| > k\}} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}})^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}} \\ & \quad \times \nabla(T_k(u)_\theta - T_k(u)) (1 - \varphi_\gamma) \chi_{E_m} dxdt + \omega(m), \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since $T_k(u)_\theta$ converges strongly to $T_k(u)$ in $L^{p^-}(0, T, W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))$ and using again **Step.1**, we can easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{|u_m| > k\}} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}})^{s(x)} |\nabla u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_m \chi_{\{|u_m| \leq M\}} \\ & \quad \times \nabla(T_k(u)_\theta - T_k(u)) (1 - \varphi_\gamma) \chi_{E_m} dxdt = \omega(m, v), \end{aligned}$$

from, and(38), we have

$$\int_{\{|u_m|>k\}} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + |u_m|\chi_{\{|u_m|\leq M\}})^{s(x)}|\nabla u_m\chi_{\{|u_m|\leq M\}}|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u_m\chi_{\{|u_m|\leq M\}}\nabla T_k(u)_\theta(1 - \varphi_\gamma)\chi_{E_m} dxdt = \omega(m, \theta).$$

According to the last result, (38) and (37), we get

$$\mathcal{B} = \int_{Q_T} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + T_k(u_m)^{s(x)}|\nabla T_k(u_m)|^{p(x)-2}\nabla T_k(u_m)\nabla(u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt = \omega(m, \theta, h, \gamma).$$

Let us first examine the term \mathcal{F} when m tends to infinity: we obtain for $1 < r(x) < p(x) - \frac{N}{N+1}$ and according the convergence results from **step.1** that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &\leq \beta \int_{Q_T} (1 + T_h(u_m))^{s(x)}|\nabla T_h(u_m)|\nabla\varphi_\gamma|(T_k(u_m) - T_k(u))^+ dxdt \\ &\quad + 2k\beta \int_{\{|u_m>h\}} (1 + u_m)^{s(x)}|\nabla T_h(u_m)|^{p(x)-2}|\nabla u_m|\nabla\varphi_\gamma| dxdt \\ &\leq \beta \int_{Q_T} (1 + T_h(u_m))^{s(x)}|\nabla T_h(u_m)|\nabla\varphi_\gamma|(T_k(u_m) - T_k(u))^+ dxdt \\ &\quad + 2C(\gamma)k\beta\|(1 + u_m)^{s(x)}\|\|\nabla u_m\|_{L^1(Q_T)}\text{meas}\{(t, x) : u_m(t, x) > h\}^{1-\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq \omega(m, h, \gamma) + \frac{C(k, \gamma)}{h^{1-\frac{1}{r}}}. \end{aligned}$$

According to the properties of z_m and Lebesgue’s theorem, we get that $\mathcal{F} = \omega(m, \theta, h)$; on the other hand, we get

$$\mathcal{D} = \int_{\{h\leq u_m < h+2k\}} H \cdot \nabla u(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt + \omega(m, \theta, h),$$

then, by applying Lemma 3.1 and Young’s inequality, we have

$$\left| \int_{Q_T} H \cdot \nabla u(1 - \varphi_\gamma) \right| dxdt = \omega(h, \gamma).$$

Here, we proceed in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 4.3, from Lemma 3.1 and applying the fact that $|z_m| \leq 2k$ we can easily see that $\mathcal{E} = \omega(m, \gamma)$; then from step.1 and the definition of z_m we get that $\mathcal{F} = \omega(m, \theta, h)$, and recalling that, by a similar reasoning of the proof of [39, Inequality (7.35)] we have $\mathcal{A} \geq \omega(m, \theta, h)$, then combining all these facts, we arrive at the conclusion that

$$\limsup_{n, \theta, \gamma} \int_{Q_T} |\nabla(T_k(u_m) - T_k(u)_\theta)^+|^{p(x)}(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt \leq 0.$$

(iii): Far from E(2) Next, consider the time regularization $T_k(u)_\theta$ chosen in (ii), which converges strongly to $T_k(u)$ in $L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))$, let us take in the weak formulation of the problem 20 the test function $\omega_n((u_m - T_k(u))^-)_\theta(1 - \varphi_\gamma)$ (noting that $\varphi_\Lambda \geq 0$, since $\varphi_\Lambda(0) = 0$, $T_k(u)_\theta \leq k$, and $\varphi_\Lambda(l)\chi_{\{l>k\}} = 0$), so that $\omega_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-) = \omega_n((T_k(u_m) - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)$, and all integrals intervening in the weak formulation are taken only on the subset $\{(t, x) : u_m \leq k\}$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2 + \mathcal{I}_3 + \mathcal{I}_4 = \mathcal{I}_5 + \mathcal{I}_6 + \mathcal{I}_7, \tag{39}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1 &= \int_0^T \left\langle (b(u_m))_t, \omega_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) \right\rangle dt \\ \mathcal{I}_2 &= \int_{Q_T} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + u_m)^{s(x)}|\nabla u_m|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u_m \cdot \nabla(\omega_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_3 &= - \int_{Q_T} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + T_k(u_m))^{s(x)} |\nabla T_k(u_m)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla T_k(u_m) \cdot \nabla \varphi_\gamma \omega_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-) dxdt \\ \mathcal{I}_4 &= \int_{Q_T} \zeta(x, t)(1 + T_k(u_m))^{q(x)-1} T_k(u_m) |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} \omega_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt \\ \mathcal{I}_5 &= \int_{Q_T} f_m \omega_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt \\ \mathcal{I}_6 &= \int_{Q_T} H \cdot \nabla(\omega_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)(1 - \varphi_\gamma)) dxdt \\ \mathcal{I}_7 &= \int_{Q_T} \omega_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) d\mu_{c,m}. \end{aligned}$$

First, we analyze the behavior of the derived term in time. By the definition of $T_k(u)_\theta$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left\langle \frac{\partial b(u_m)}{\partial t}, \omega_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) \right\rangle dt \\ &= \int_0^T \left\langle \frac{\partial(u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)}{\partial t}, \omega_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) \right\rangle dt \\ &+ \theta \int_{Q_T} (T_k(u) - T_k(u)_\theta) \omega_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

We put $\omega_n^-(u) = \int_0^u \omega_n((\xi - T_k(\xi)^-) b'(\xi)) d\xi$; so, since $\omega_n^-(u) \leq 0$ and $0 \leq \varphi_\gamma \leq 1$, using the integration by part, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left\langle \frac{\partial b(u_m)}{\partial t}, \omega_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) \right\rangle dt \leq - \int_\Omega \omega_n^-(u_0^m - x_\theta)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dx \\ &+ \theta \int_{Q_T} (T_k(u) - T_k(u)_\theta) \omega_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt \\ &+ \int_{Q_T} \frac{\partial \varphi_\gamma}{\partial t} \omega_n^-(u_m - T_k(u)_\theta) dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

Next, passing to the limit when m tends to zero by the Lebesgue's theorem, by the fact that u_0^m converges to u_0 in $L^1(\Omega)$ and that $\omega_n^-(u_0^m - x_\theta)$ is uniformly bounded in m . Then, as $\omega_n(s^-)u \leq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \left\langle \frac{\partial b(u_m)}{\partial t}, \omega_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) \right\rangle dt \\ & \leq - \int_\Omega \omega_n^-(u_0 - x_\theta)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dx + \int_{Q_T} \frac{\partial \varphi_\gamma}{\partial t} \omega_n^-(u - T_k(u)_\theta) \end{aligned}$$

which implies, by tending θ towards infinity and d by to the definition of x_θ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{\theta \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \left\langle \frac{\partial b(u_m)}{\partial t}, \omega_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) \right\rangle dt \\ & \leq - \int_\Omega \omega_n^-(u_0 - T_k(u_0))(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dx + \int_{Q_T} \frac{\partial \varphi_\gamma}{\partial t} \omega_n^-(u - T_k(u)) dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

As $\omega_n^-(u - T_k(u)) = 0$ for any l , we have

$$\limsup_{\theta \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{I}_1) \leq 0.$$

Dealing with \mathcal{I}_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_2 = & - \int_{Q_T} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + u_m)^{s(x)} |\nabla(u_m - T_k(u))^{-}|^{p(x)} \omega'_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt \\ & + \int_{Q_T} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + T_k(u_m))^{s(x)} \nabla T_k(u) \nabla(\omega_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt, \end{aligned}$$

since $(u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-$ converges weakly to $(u - T_k(u)_\theta)^-$ in $L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))$ and u_m converges to u a.e. in Q_T , which is equal to zero, so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_2 = & \omega(m) - \int_{Q_T} \phi(t, x, u_m)(1 + u_m)^{s(x)} |\nabla(u_m - T_k(u))^{-}|^{p(x)} \omega'_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt \\ & \leq \omega(m) - \alpha \int_{Q_T} |\nabla(u_m - T_k(u))^{-}|^{p(x)} \omega'_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, according to step.1 and as $\omega_n((u - T_k(u))^-) = \omega_n(0) = 0$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{I}_3 = \omega(m, \theta),$$

when θ tends to infinity then, $\omega_n((u - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)$ converges a.e. (while weakly- $*$ in $L^\infty(Q_T)$) to $\omega_n((u - T_k(u))^-) \equiv 0$ and $\omega_n((u - T_k(u))^-) = \omega_n(0) = 0$, next, by reminding us that $\omega_n((u_m - T_k(u))^-)$ is bounded by $\omega_n(k)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_4 \leq & \Lambda C(k) \int_{Q_T} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} \omega_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt \\ & \leq 2\Lambda C(k) \int_{Q_T} |\nabla(u - T_k(u))^{-}|^{p(x)} \omega_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt \\ & + 2\Lambda C(k) \int_{Q_T} |\nabla T_k(u)|^{p(x)} \omega_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)(1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt \\ & \leq \omega(m, \gamma) + 2\Lambda C(k) \omega_n(k) \int_{Q_T} |\nabla(u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^{-}|^{p(x)} (1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus to finish, as $\mu_{n,c}$ is positive and $\nabla(\omega_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)(1 - \varphi_\gamma)) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{p^{\prime(\cdot)}}(Q_T)$, from Properties of f_m, H_m and according to Lebesgue’s theorem, we obtain

$$\mathcal{I}_5 = \omega(m, \theta, \gamma), \quad \mathcal{I}_6 = \omega(m, \theta, \gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{I}_7 = \omega(m, \theta, \gamma).$$

Hence, we can readily infer, by first tending m to infinity, θ to infinity and then γ to zero in (39), by means the fact that $\omega'_n((u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^-)$ is bounded by $\omega'_n(k)$ and by taking an appropriate choice of Λ satisfying (3), we get

$$\int_{Q_T} |\nabla(u_m - T_k(u)_\theta)^{-}|^{p(x)} (1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt = \omega(m, \theta, \gamma).$$

(iv): Near and far-from E

Here, we serve to demonstrate the strong convergence of truncations in $L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))$; to do this, we can describe

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_T} |\nabla T_k(u_m) - \nabla T_k(u)|^{p(x)} dxdt & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_T} |\nabla(T_k(u_m) - T_k(u))^+|^{p(x)} (1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_T} |\nabla(T_k(u_m) - T_k(u)_\theta)^+|^{p(x)} (1 - \varphi_\gamma) dxdt + \int_{Q_T} |\nabla(T_k(u)_\theta - T_k(u))^+|^{p(x)} dxdt \\ & \leq \omega(\gamma, \theta) + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2 \int_{Q_T} |\nabla T_k(u_m)|^{p(x)} \varphi_\gamma dxdt + 2 \int_{Q_T} |\nabla T_k(u)|^{p(x)} \varphi_\gamma dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using the properties of φ_γ , and the lemma (3.1), we arrive at the following

$$\int_{Q_T} |\nabla T_k(u_m) - \nabla T_k(u)|^{p(x)} dxdt = \omega(m), \tag{40}$$

so, we deduce that

$$T_k(u_m) \rightarrow T_k(u) \text{ strongly in } L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)), \tag{41}$$

which also implies, by similar steps of [4, 18], that

$$\nabla u_m \rightarrow \nabla u \text{ a.e. in } Q_T. \tag{42}$$

Step.4: Equi-integrability of the lower order term in $L^1(Q_T)$.

To show that

$$\zeta(x, t)(1 + u_m)^{q(x)-1} u_m |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} \longrightarrow \zeta(x, t)(1 + u)^{q(x)-1} u |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \text{ strongly in } L^1(Q_T),$$

we must ensure that the sequence $\{(1 + u_m)^{q(x)-1} u_m |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} \text{ in } L^1(Q_T)\}$ is equi-integrable (as we easily know, from (42), the convergence a.e. the lower order term). In this case, let us put $n(x) > 0$ with $n(x) < \frac{s(x)-q(x)-1}{2}$ and let be a measurable subset of Q_T , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_B \zeta(x, t)(1 + u_m)^{q(x)-1} u_m |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} dxdt \\ & \leq \max\{\Lambda, (1 + k)^{q^-}\} \int_B |\nabla u_m \chi_{\{u_m \leq k\}}|^{p(x)} dxdt + \int_{\{u_m > k\}} (1 + u_m)^{q^- - 1} u_m |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} dxdt \\ & \leq \max\{\Lambda, (1 + k)^{q^-}\} \int_B |\nabla T_k(u_m)|^{p(x)} dxdt + \frac{\Lambda}{k^{n^-}} \int_{Q_T} (1 + u_m)^{q^- - 1} u_m^{1+n(x)} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} dxdt \\ & \leq \max\{\Lambda, (1 + k)^{q^-}\} \int_B |\nabla T_k(u_m)|^{p(x)} dxdt + \frac{\Lambda}{k^{n^-}} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \int_{\{k \leq u_m < k+1\}} (1 + u_m)^{q^- + n^-} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} dxdt \\ & \leq \max\{\Lambda, (1 + k)^{q^-}\} \int_B |\nabla T_k(u_m)|^{p(x)} dxdt + \frac{C}{k^{n^-}} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(1 + k)^{1+n^-}} \\ & \leq C(k) \int_B |\nabla T_k(u_m)|^{p(x)} dxdt + \frac{C}{k^{n^-}}. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Next, taking k_0 such that $\frac{c}{k_0^{n^-}} \leq \varepsilon$ (where $\varepsilon > 0$ is yielded), hence, according to (41), there is $\eta > 0$ such that for any measurable subset $B \subset Q_T < \eta$ we obtain

$$\int_{Q_T} |\nabla T_{k_0}(u_m)|^{p(x)} dxdt \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{C(k_0)}, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore, from (43), it follows that $\zeta(x, t)(1 + u_m)^{q(x)-1} u_m |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)}$ is equi-integrable in Q_T , which yields under the Vitali theorem that

$$\zeta(x, t)(1 + u_m)^{q(x)-1} u_m |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} \text{ strongly converges to } \zeta(x, t)(1 + u)^{q(x)-1} u |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \text{ in } L^1(Q_T).$$

Step.5: Passage to the limit

Let us now take the weak formulation of the approximate problem (20) and consider the limit, when m tends to ∞ , as $(1 + u_m)^{s(x)} \nabla u_m$ is bounded in $L^{q(x)}(Q_T)^N$ for all $q(x) < p(x) - \frac{N}{N+1}$, converges strongly to $(1 + u)^{s(x)} \nabla u$ in $L^1(Q_T)^N$, ∇u_m converges to ∇u a. e. in Q_T .

On the other hand, since for $s(x) > 1$, the sequence $\{u_m\}$ converges to u in $L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))$; thus, choosing $\Gamma_k(u_m) = u_m - T_k(u)$ for each $i > 0$ and $k > 0$, we obtain

$$\int_{Q_T} |\nabla \Gamma_i(u_m)|^{p(x)} dxdt = \sum_{k=i}^{+\infty} \int_{\{k \leq u_m < k+1\}} |\nabla u_m|^{p(x)} dxdt \leq \sum_{k=i}^{+\infty} \frac{C}{(1+k)^s}.$$

Therefore, we can take i, ε strictly positive such that $\left(\int_{Q_T} |\nabla \Gamma_i(u_m)|^{p(x)} dxdt\right)^{\frac{1}{p^-}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, which gives, from the strong convergences of the truncations and the weak lower semi-continuity (41) that there exists $\theta_\varepsilon > 0$ verifying, for each $m \geq \theta_\varepsilon$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_m - u\|_{L^{p^-}(0,T;W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))} &\leq \|T_i(u_m) - T_i(u)\|_{L^{p^-}(0,T;W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))} \\ &+ \|\Gamma_i(u_m)\|_{L^{p^-}(0,T;W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))} + \|\Gamma_i(u)\|_{L^{p^-}(0,T;W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))} \\ &\leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

as a result,

$$u_m \rightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)).$$

Therefore, the problem (\mathcal{P}) admits u as a weak solution (see the definition 4.1). \square

References

- [1] A. Abbassi, C. Allalou, A. Kassidi, Existence of entropy solutions for anisotropic elliptic nonlinear problem in weighted Sobolev space, In The International Congress of the Moroccan Society of Applied Mathematics. (2019) 102-122.
- [2] A. Abbassi, C. Allalou, A. Kassidi, Existence of Entropy Solutions of the Anisotropic Elliptic Nonlinear Problem with Measure Data in Weighted Sobolev Space, Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matematica. 40 (2022).
- [3] M. Abdellaoui, Asymptotic behavior of solutions for nonlinear parabolic operators with natural growth term and measure data, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl, 11 (2020) 1289-1329.
- [4] M. Abdellaoui, and H. Redwane, On some regularity results of parabolic problems with nonlinear perturbed terms and general data, Partial Differential Equations and Applications, 3.1 (2022) 1-39.
- [5] A. Aberqi, J. Bennouna, M. Mekour, H. Redwane, Existence results for a nonlinear parabolic problems with lower order terms, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, 7.27 (2013) 1323-1340.
- [6] L. Aharouch, E. Azroul and M. Rhoudaf, Strongly nonlinear variational degenerated parabolic problems in weighted Sobolev spaces, The Australian journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 5.13 (2008) 1-25.
- [7] Y. Akdim, J. Bennouna, M. Mekour, H. Redwane, Existence of renormalized solutions for parabolic equations without the sign condition and with unbounded nonlinearities, Applications Mathematica 139(1)(2012) 1-22.
- [8] Y. Akdim, J. Bennouna, M. Mekour and M. Rhoudaf, Renormalized solution of nonlinear degenerated parabolic problems with L^1 -data: existence and uniqueness, Recent developments in nonlinear analysis, proceedings of the conference in Mathematics and Mathematical physics world scientific publishing C.O Ltd (2010).
- [9] Y. Akdim, J. Bennouna, M. Mekour, Solvability of degenerated Parabolic equations without sign condition and three unbounded nonlinearities Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, 201.03 1-26 (2011).
- [10] Y. Akdim, et al, Obstacle problem for nonlinear $p(x)$ -parabolic inequalities, AIP Conference Proceedings, 2074.1 AIP Publishing LLC, 320-527 (2019).
- [11] Alghamdi A.M., Gala S., Ragusa M.A., Global regularity for the 3D micropolar fluid flows, Filomat, 36 (6), 1967-1970, (2022)
- [12] E. AZROUL and A. BARBARA, and H. REDWANE Existence and non existence of a solution a non linear $p(x)$ elliptic problem with right hand side measure, Inter. Journal of Anal., Article ID 320527 (2014).
- [13] E. Azroul, M. Benboubker, M. Roudaf, Entropy solution for some $p(x)$ -Quasi linear problem with right-hand side measure, African Diaspora Journal of Mathematics, 13.2 (2012) 23-44.
- [14] M. Bendahmane, P. Wittbold, A. Zimmermann, Renormalized solutions for a nonlinear parabolic equation with variable exponents and L^1 -data, J. Differential equations, 249 (2010) 1483-1515.
- [15] D. Blanchard and F. Murat, Renormalized solution of nonlinear parabolic problems with L^1 -data: existence and uniqueness, proc Roy Soc. Edinburgh Sect, A 127(1997)1137-1152.
- [16] D. Blanchard, F. Murat and H. Redwane, Existence and uniqueness of renormalized solutions for a fairly general class of nonlinear parabolic Problems, J. Differential equation, (177)(2001) 331-374.
- [17] D. Blanchard : Existence of a solution for a nonlinear system in thermoviscoelasticity. 5(10-12) (2000) 1221-1252.
- [18] L. Boccardo, A contribution to the theory of quasilinear elliptic equations and application to the minimization of integral functionals, Milan J. Math. 79 (2011) 193-206.

- [19] L. Boccardo, D. Giachetti, J.-I. Diaz, F. Murat, Existence and regularity of renormalized solutions of some elliptic problems involving derivatives of nonlinear terms *Journal of differential equations*. 106 (1993) 215-237.
- [20] L. Boccardo, Gallouët, T., Vazquez, J.L.: Some regularity results for some nonlinear parabolic equations in L^1 , *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino*. (1989) 69-74 .
- [21] L. Boccardo, Murat, F., Puel, J.P: Existence of bounded solutions for nonlinear elliptic unilateral problems. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4)152 (1988) 183-196 .
- [22] Y. Chen, Levine, S., Rao, M.: Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 66 (2006) 1383-1406.
- [23] L. Diening, Harjulehto, M., Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 2017 Springer Berlin(2011).
- [24] J. Droniou, A. Porretta, A. Prignet, Parabolic Capacity and soft measures for nonlinear equations, *Potential Analysis*. 19(2) (2003) 99-161.
- [25] A. Eljazouli, Redwane, H.: Nonlinear elliptic system with variable exponents and singular coefficient and with diffuse measure data. *Mediterr. J. Math.* 18 (2021) 107.
- [26] A. Eljazouli, Redwane, H.: Nonlinear elliptic system with variable exponents and singular coefficient and with diffuse measure data. *Mediterr. J. Math.* 18 (2021) 107.
- [27] X.L Fan, D. Zhao, on the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$. *J. Math Anal, App.* 1263(2001)424-446.
- [28] X.L Fan, D. Zhao, the generalised Orlicz-Sobolev space $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$, *J. Gansu Educ. College* 12(1) , (1998)1-6.
- [29] M. Fukushima, Sato, K., Taniguchi, S., On the closable part of pre-Dirichlet forms and the fine supports of underlying measures, *Osaka J. Math.* 28, (1991) 517-535 .
- [30] Gordadze E., Meskhi A., Ragusa M.A., On some extrapolation in generalized grand Morrey spaces and applications to partial differential equations, *Transactions of Razmadze Mathematical Institute*, 176 (3), 435-441 (2022)
- [31] P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö , Koskenoja, M., Properties of capacities in variable exponent Sobolev spaces. *J. Anal. Appl.* 5 (2007) 71-92.
- [32] J. Heinonen, T. Kilpeläinen, O. Martio, *Nonlinear Potential Theory of Degenerate Elliptic Equations*, Oxford University Press, Oxford (1993).
- [33] O. Kovacic, On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$, *Czechoslov. Math. J.* 41(116)(1991) 592-618.
- [34] R. Landes, Solvability of perturbed elliptic equations with critical growth exponent for the gradient, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 139 (1989) 6377.
- [35] J. L. Lions, *Quelques méthode de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod, Paris (1969).
- [36] L. Moreno Merida, A quasilinear Dirichlet problem with quadratic growth respect to the gradient and L^1 data, *Nonlinear Anal.* 95(2014) 450-459.
- [37] S. Ouaro and U. Traore, $p(\cdot)$ -parabolic capacity and decomposition of measures, *Annals of the University of Craiova-Mathematics and Computer Science Series*. 44.1(2017) 30-63.
- [38] F. Petitta, A non-existence result for nonlinear parabolic equations with singular measure data, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. Sect. A Math.* 139, (2009) 381-392.
- [39] F. Petitta, Renormalized solutions of nonlinear parabolic equations with general measure data. *Ann. Mat. Pura ed Appl.* 187.4(2008) 563-604.
- [40] F. Petitta, Francesco, Augusto C. Ponce, and Alessio Porretta. "Diffuse measures and nonlinear parabolic equations." *Journal of Evolution Equations*. 11.4 (2011) 861-905.
- [41] F. Petitta, Asymptotic behavior of solutions for parabolic operators of Leray Lions type and measure data, *Adv. Differ. Equ.* 12(8) (2007) 867-891.
- [42] A. Porretta, Existence results for nonlinear parabolic equations via strong convergence of truncation, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 177.4 (1999) 143-172.
- [43] A. Porretta: Some remarks on the regularity of solutions for a class of elliptic equations with measure data. *Houst. J. Math.* 26(2000) 183-213 .
- [44] M. A. Ragusa, A. Tachikawa, Regularity for minimizers for functionals of double phase with variable exponents, *Advances in Nonlinear Analysis*. 9.1 (2019)710-728.
- [45] H. Redwane, Existence of a solution for a class of parabolic equations with three unbounded nonlinearities, *Adv. Dynam. Systems. Appl.* 2 (2007) 241-264.
- [46] H. Redwane, *Solutions renormalisées de problèmes paraboliques et elliptiques non linéaires*, ph. D. thesis, Rouen,(1997).
- [47] M. Ruzicka, *Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin (2000).
- [48] A. Sabiry, S. Melliani, A. Kassidi, Certain Regularity Results of $p(x)$ -Parabolic Problems With Measure Data, *Asia Pacific Journal of Mathematics*. 10.1,(2023) 1-20.
- [49] S. Samko, On some classical operators of variable order in variable exponent spaces. In: Cialdea, A., Lanzara, F., Ricci, P.E. (eds.) *Analysis, Partial Differential Equations and Applications, The Vladimir Mazya Anniversary Volume in Operator Theory Advances and Applications*. 193(2009) 281-301.
- [50] Sunthrayuth P., Alderremy A.A., Ghani F., Tchalla A.M.J., Aly S., Elmasry Y., 2 Unsteady MHD flow for fractional Casson channel fluid in a porous medium: an application of the Caputo-Fabrizio time-fractional derivative, *Journal of Function Spaces*, vol.2022, art.n. , (2022).
- [51] D. Zhao, W, J. Qiang, X. L Fan, On generalised Orlicz-Sobolev spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, *J. Gansu Sci.* 9.2 (1997)1-7.
- [52] C. Zang, S. Zhou, Renormalized and entropy solutions for nonlinear parabolic equations with variable exponents and L^1 -data, *J. Differential equations*. 248 (2010) 1376-1400.