



## Regulated functions space $R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$ and its application to some infinite systems of fractional differential equations via family of measures of noncompactness

Hojjatollah Amiri Kayvanloo<sup>a</sup>, Mohammad Mursaleen<sup>b,c</sup>, Reza Allahyari<sup>a,\*</sup>, Hamid Mehravaran<sup>a</sup>,  
Asghar Allahyari<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>b</sup>Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University (Taiwan), Taichung, Taiwan

<sup>c</sup>Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202002, India

<sup>d</sup>Department of Mathematics, Tabas Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabas, Iran

**Abstract.** We study the solvability of following infinite systems of fractional boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D^\rho u_i(t) = f_i(t, u_i(t)), \quad \rho \in (n-1, n), \quad 0 < t < +\infty, \\ u_i(0) = 0, \quad u_i^q(0) = 0, \quad {}^c D^{\rho-1} u_i(\infty) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j u_i(\xi_j). \end{cases}$$

The purpose of this work is to present a new family of measures of noncompactness in the regulated function spaces  $R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  on unbounded interval and a fixed point theorem of Darbo type. Finally, we give an example to show the effectiveness of the obtained result.

### 1. Introduction

Fractional differential equations (FDEs) rise in the fields of engineering, chemistry, physics, economics and etc., [22, 24, 25]. Also, some basic theory for the boundary value problems (BVP) of (FDEs) has been discussed in [7, 8, 17, 18].

The measure of noncompactness (MNC) which was first introduced by Kuratowski [16] is a powerful tool for studying IODEs. In recent times, the regular MNC for certain Banach and Fréchet spaces defined on an unbounded or a bounded interval and by applying fixed point theorems have many applications, see [2–5, 10, 11, 20, 23].

The implication of a regulated function was presented in twentieth-century [6]. Moreover, some researchers introduced this notion from different perspectives and represented some of its applications

---

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H08; 47H10; 26A33; 46A04.

Keywords. Fixed point theorem, Family of measures of noncompactness, Fractional boundary value problem, Fréchet spaces, infinite systems, Space of regulated functions.

Received: 12 March 2022; Accepted: 21 July 2023

Communicated by Vladimir Rakočević

\* Corresponding author: Reza Allahyari

Email addresses: amiri.hojjat93@mshdiao.ac.ir (Hojjatollah Amiri Kayvanloo), mursaleen@gmail.com (Mohammad Mursaleen), rezaallahyari@mshdiao.ac.ir (Reza Allahyari), hamid\_mehravaran@mshdiao.ac.ir (Hamid Mehravaran), Allahyari.math@yahoo.com (Asghar Allahyari)

[12–14]. Particularly the approach offered in [12] seems to be transparent and appropriate. In, (2018) Banas [9] formulated a standard for relative compactness in regulated functions on closed interval  $[a, b]$ , so-called regulated functions, and proved that the mentioned criterion is tantamount to standard obtained by D. Frankova. Next, in (2019) Leszek Olszowy [21] build and investigate two arithmetically convenient MNC in the spaces of regulated functions  $R(J)$  and  $R(J, E)$ .

The aim of this paper is to formulate standard relative compactness in the space of functions regulated on unbounded interval and investigate the multi-point (BVP) for the infinite systems of (FDEs)

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D^\rho u_i(t) = f_i(t, u_i(t)), \rho \in (n - 1, n), 0 < t < +\infty, \\ u_i(0) = 0, u_i^q(0) = 0, {}^c D^{\rho-1} u_i(+\infty) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j u_i(\xi_j), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  ${}^c D^\rho$  and  ${}^c D^{\rho-1}$  are the Caputo fractional derivatives,  $n - 1 < \rho \leq n$  ( $2 < n$ ),  $q = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$ ,  $0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_{m-2} < \infty$ , and  $\beta_j > 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, m - 2, m \geq 3$  satisfy  $0 < \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j^{\rho-1} < \Gamma(\rho)$ . via a

new family of MNC in the regulated function space  $R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$ , using a fixed point theorem of Darbo type. Now, we organize the paper as follows: Section 2 consists of some related preliminary material. Section 3 to characterize the compact subsets of  $R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  and we present a new family of MNC in this space, and we prove a version of Darbo’s fixed point theorem in  $R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$ . Finally, we give existence result for problem (1) with an example.

## 2. preliminaries

Let  $(Y, \|\cdot\|)$  be a real Banach space containing zero element. We mean by  $D(x, r)$  the closed ball centered at  $x$  with radius  $r$ . For  $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{V} \subset Y$ , the symbols  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$  and  $\text{Conv}\mathcal{V}$  denote the closure and closed convex hull of  $\mathcal{V}$ , respectively. We denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_Y$  the family of all non-empty, bounded subsets of  $Y$  and by  $\mathfrak{K}_Y$  its subfamily consisting of non-empty relatively compact subsets of  $Y$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** ([1]) Let  $\emptyset \neq G \subseteq U$  be convex of Hausdorff locally convex linear topological space  $U$  and  $H : G \rightarrow U$  be a continuous mapping so that

$$H(G) \subseteq B \subseteq G,$$

with  $B$  compact. Then  $H$  has at least one fixed point.

**Definition 2.2.** ([22]) The fractional integral of order  $\rho$  is defined by

$$I^\rho f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t \frac{f(s)}{(t-s)^{1-\rho}} ds, \rho > 0,$$

that  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the gamma function.

**Definition 2.3.** ([22]) For at least  $n$ -times continuously differentiable function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\rho > 0$  is defined by

$${}^c D^\rho f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\rho)} \int_0^t \frac{f^{(n)}(s)}{(t-s)^{\rho-n+1}} ds,$$

where  $n - 1 = [\rho]$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** [19] Let  $f(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  be a continuous function. Then the boundary value problem of FDEs

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D^\rho u(t) = f(t), \rho \in (n - 1, n), 0 < t < +\infty, \\ u(0) = 0, u^q(0) = 0, {}^c D^{\rho-1} u(+\infty) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j u(\xi_j), \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\rho-1} f(s) ds + \frac{t}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} \int_0^\infty f(s) ds - \frac{t \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j}{\Gamma(\rho) \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} \int_0^{\xi_j} (\xi_j - s)^{\rho-1} f(s) ds.$$

**Definition 2.5.** [9] The function  $y : [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is regulated function if for every  $\tau \in [c, d]$  the right-sided limit  $y(\tau^+) := \lim_{s \rightarrow \tau^+} y(s)$  exists and for every  $\tau \in (c, d]$  the left-sided limit  $y(\tau^-) := \lim_{s \rightarrow \tau^-} y(s)$  exists.

**Theorem 2.6.** [9] Suppose that  $V \subseteq R([c, d])$  is bounded. The set  $V$  is relatively compact in  $R([c, d])$  iff  $V$  is equiregulated on  $[c, d]$  i.e (a) – (b) hold:

- (a)  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ , so that  $\forall v \in V, \tau \in (c, d]$  and  $\zeta, \nu \in (\tau - \delta, \tau) \cap [c, d]$ , we have  $|v(\zeta) - v(\nu)| \leq \varepsilon$ .
- (b)  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ , so that  $\forall v \in V, \tau \in [c, d]$  and  $\zeta, \nu \in (\tau, \tau + \delta) \cap [c, d]$ , we have  $|v(\zeta) - v(\nu)| \leq \varepsilon$ .

Firstly, we remind the Fréchet space  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  the linear space of all real sequences equipped with the distance

$$d_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}(v, w) = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{2^j} \frac{|v_j - w_j|}{(1 + |v_j - w_j|)} : j \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

for  $v = (v_j), w = (w_j) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ .

Now, we denote by  $R([0, T], \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  the space consisting of all regulated function defined on  $[0, T]$  with values in the space  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ .

For  $v = (v_j(\tau)) \in R([0, T], \mathbb{R}^\infty)$ , we put  $\pi_j(v) = v_j$ . Obviously  $\pi_j(v) \in R([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ .

If  $V \subset R([0, T], \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  then for a fixed  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  we denote by  $\pi_j(V)$  the following set situated in  $R([0, T], \mathbb{R})$

$$\pi_j(V) = \{\pi_j(v) : v \in V\}.$$

The space  $R([0, T], \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  will be equipped with the distance

$$d_{R_T}(v, w) = \sup\{d_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}(v(\tau), w(\tau)) : \tau \in [0, T]\},$$

for  $v, w \in R([0, T], \mathbb{R}^\infty)$ .

### 3. Main results

Let  $R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  be the space of all regulated function defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  with values in  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ . This space equipped with the family of seminorms

$$|v|_T = \sup\{|\pi_i(v)(\tau)| : i \leq T, \tau \in [0, T]\},$$

and distance

$$d(v, w) = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{2^T} \min\{1, |v - w|_T\} : T \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

becomes a Fréchet space.

**Remark 3.1.**

(a) The sequence  $(v_n)$  is convergent to  $v$  in  $R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  if and only if  $\pi_i(v_n)$  is uniformly convergent to  $\pi_i(v)$  on  $[0, T]$  for each  $i, T \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(b) The  $\emptyset \neq V \subset R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  is bounded if the functions of the set  $\pi_i(V)$  are uniformly bounded on  $[0, T]$  for each  $i, T \in \mathbb{N}$  i.e.

$$\sup\{|\pi_i(v)| : \tau \in [0, T], v \in V\} < \infty \text{ for } i, T \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By similarly way in [9, 13] we can prove

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $V \subseteq R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  be bounded. The set  $V$  is relatively compact in  $R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  iff  $\pi_i(V)$  are relatively compact in  $R([0, T])$  for each  $i, T \in \mathbb{N}$  i.e.

(a)  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ , such that  $\forall v \in V, \tau \in (0, T]$  and  $\zeta, \nu \in (\tau - \delta, \tau) \cap [0, T]$ , we have  $|\pi_i(v)(\zeta) - \pi_i(v)(\nu)| \leq \varepsilon$ , for  $i, T \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(b)  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ , such that  $\forall v \in V, \tau \in [0, T]$  and  $\zeta, \nu \in (\tau, \tau + \delta) \cap [0, T]$ , we have  $|\pi_i(v)(\zeta) - \pi_i(v)(\nu)| \leq \varepsilon$ , for  $i, T \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now, we define  $\emptyset \neq \mathfrak{M}_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)} \subseteq R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  the family of bounded and  $\emptyset \neq \mathfrak{N}_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)} \subseteq R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  the family of relatively compact.

**Definition 3.3.** The family of mappings  $\{\bar{\mu}\}_{T \in \mathbb{N}}, \bar{\mu} : \mathfrak{M}_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , is a family regular measures of noncompactness (MNC) in  $R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  if  $1^\circ - 10^\circ$  hold:

- 1°  $\emptyset \neq \ker\{\bar{\mu}\} = \{V \in \mathfrak{M}_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)} : \bar{\mu}(V) = 0 \text{ for each } T \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)}$ .
- 2°  $V \subset U$  implies that  $\bar{\mu}(V) \leq \bar{\mu}(U)$  for  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- 3°  $\bar{\mu}(\bar{V}) = \bar{\mu}(V)$  for  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- 4°  $\bar{\mu}(\text{Conv}V) = \bar{\mu}(V)$  for  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- 5°  $\bar{\mu}(\vartheta V + (1 - \vartheta)U) \leq \vartheta \bar{\mu}(V) + (1 - \vartheta)\bar{\mu}(U)$  for  $\vartheta \in [0, 1]$ , and  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- 6° If  $\{V_j\} \in \mathfrak{M}_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)}$ ,  $V_j = \bar{V}_j$ ,  $V_{j+1} \subset V_j$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and if  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\mu}(V_j) = 0$  for each  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $V_\infty = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} V_j \neq \emptyset$ .
- 7°  $\bar{\mu}(V \cup U) = \max\{\bar{\mu}(V), \bar{\mu}(U)\}$  for  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- 8°  $\bar{\mu}(V + U) \leq \bar{\mu}(V) + \bar{\mu}(U)$  for  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- 9°  $\bar{\mu}(\vartheta V) = |\vartheta| \bar{\mu}(V)$  for  $T \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- 10°  $\ker\{\bar{\mu}\} = \mathfrak{N}_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)}$  for  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Assume that  $p_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is a sequence of functions. for  $Z \in \mathfrak{M}_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)}$  and  $T \in \mathbb{N}$  putting

$$\omega_T^-(\pi_i(z), \tau, \varepsilon) = \sup\{|\pi_i(z)(u) - \pi_i(z)(v)| : u, v \in (\tau - \varepsilon, \tau) \cap [0, T]\}, \tau \in (0, T],$$

$$\omega_T^+(\pi_i(z), \tau, \varepsilon) = \sup\{|\pi_i(z)(u) - \pi_i(z)(v)| : u, v \in (\tau, \tau + \varepsilon) \cap [0, T]\}, \tau \in [0, T),$$

The quantities  $\omega_T^-(\pi_i(z), \tau, \varepsilon)$  and  $\omega_T^+(\pi_i(z), \tau, \varepsilon)$  can be interpreted as left hand and right hand sided moduli of convergence of the function  $z$  at the point  $\tau$ , for  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ . Further,

$$\omega_T^-(\pi_i(Z), \tau, \varepsilon) = \sup\{\omega_T^-(\pi_i(z), \tau, \varepsilon) : z \in Z\}, \tau \in (0, T],$$

$$\omega_T^+(\pi_i(Z), \tau, \varepsilon) = \sup\{\omega_T^+(\pi_i(z), \tau, \varepsilon) : z \in Z\}, \tau \in [0, T),$$

and

$$\omega_T^-(\pi_i(Z), \varepsilon) = \sup_{\tau \in (0, T]} \omega_T^-(\pi_i(Z), \tau, \varepsilon),$$

$$\omega_T^+(\pi_i(Z), \varepsilon) = \sup_{\tau \in [0, T)} \omega_T^+(\pi_i(Z), \tau, \varepsilon),$$

$$\omega_T^-(\pi_i(Z)) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \omega_T^-(\pi_i(Z), \varepsilon),$$

$$\omega_T^+(\pi_i(Z)) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \omega_T^+(\pi_i(Z), \varepsilon),$$

Now, we define

$$\bar{\omega}_T^-(Z) = \sup\{p_i(T)\omega_T^-(\pi_i(Z)), i \in \mathbb{N}\}, \tau \in (0, T],$$

$$\bar{\omega}_T^+(Z) = \sup\{p_i(T)\omega_T^+(\pi_i(Z)), i \in \mathbb{N}\}, \tau \in [0, T),$$

and

$$\mu^-(Z) = \sup\{\bar{\omega}_T^-(Z), T \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

$$\mu^+(Z) = \sup\{\bar{\omega}_T^+(Z), T \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

Finally, we define

$$\bar{\mu}(Z) = \mu^-(Z) + \mu^+(Z). \tag{2}$$

**Theorem 3.4.** The family of mappings  $\{\bar{\mu}\}_{T \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\bar{\mu} : \mathfrak{M}_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  given by (2) fulfills the assumptions 1°-10° of Definition 3.3.

*Proof.* Assume that  $Z \in \ker\{\bar{\mu}\}$ , then  $\bar{\mu}(Z) = \mu^-(Z) + \mu^+(Z) = 0$  since  $\forall T, p_i(T) \neq 0$  therefore,  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \omega_T^-(\pi_i(Z), \varepsilon) = 0$  and  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \omega_T^+(\pi_i(Z), \varepsilon) = 0$ . Fix an arbitrary  $\eta > 0$ . Then  $\omega_T^-(\pi_i(Z), \varepsilon) < \frac{\eta}{2}$  and  $\omega_T^+(\pi_i(Z), \varepsilon) < \frac{\eta}{2}$  for enough small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . So by definition of  $\bar{\mu}(Z)$ , we get

$$\omega_T^+(\pi_i(Z), \varepsilon) + \omega_T^-(\pi_i(Z), \varepsilon) < \eta.$$

Hence, we have

$$\omega_T^-(\pi_i(z), \tau, \varepsilon) = \sup\{|\pi_i(z)(u) - \pi_i(z)(v)| : u, v \in (\tau - \varepsilon, \tau) \cap [0, T]\} < \frac{\eta}{2}, \tau \in (0, T),$$

and

$$\omega_T^+(\pi_i(z), \tau, \varepsilon) = \sup\{|\pi_i(z)(u) - \pi_i(z)(v)| : u, v \in (\tau, \tau + \varepsilon) \cap [0, T]\} < \frac{\eta}{2}, \tau \in [0, T),$$

$\forall z \in Z$  and  $\forall T \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Theorem 3.2, we deduce that the closure of  $Z$  is compact and  $\ker\{\bar{\mu}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)}$ . So 1° holds.

The prove of 2° is clearly.

We prove 3°. Let  $Z \in \mathfrak{M}_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)}$  and  $z \in \bar{Z}$ . So,  $\exists$  a sequence  $\{z_n\} \subseteq Z$  so that  $\{z_n\}$  converges to  $z$  in  $R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$ . Thus for every  $\xi > 0 \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\forall n \geq n_0, |\pi_i(z_n) - \pi_i(z)|_T \leq \xi$ , for  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ . So, for each  $\tau \in [0, T]$  we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_i z_n(\tau) = \pi_i z(\tau).$$

In addition, let us fix arbitrarily  $\varepsilon > 0$ . So, for a fixed  $\tau \in (0, T]$  and for  $u, v \in (\tau - \varepsilon, \tau) \cap [0, T]$ , we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\pi_i z_n(u) - \pi_i z_n(v)| = |\pi_i z(u) - \pi_i z(v)|,$$

as the sequence  $\{z_n\}$  is uniformly convergent to the function  $z$  on  $[0, T]$  for  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ . So for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we get

$$\mu^-(\bar{Z}) \leq \mu^-(Z) + \varepsilon.$$

By taking  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and combined with the assumption 2° we have

$$\mu^-(\bar{Z}) = \mu^-(Z). \tag{3}$$

Also, for a fixed  $\tau \in [0, T)$  and for  $u, v \in (\tau, \tau + \varepsilon) \cap [0, T]$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\pi_i z_n(u) - \pi_i z_n(v)| = |\pi_i z(u) - \pi_i z(v)|,$$

so  $\mu^+(\bar{Z}) \leq \mu^+(Z)$  and axiom 2° we obtain

$$\mu^+(\bar{Z}) = \mu^+(Z), \tag{4}$$

by (3) and (4) we deduce  $\bar{\mu}(\bar{Z}) = \bar{\mu}(Z)$ .

Now, for arbitrary functions  $z, w \in R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  we obtain

$$\omega_T^-(\pi_i(z+w), \tau, \varepsilon) \leq \omega_T^-(\pi_i(z), \tau, \varepsilon) + \omega_T^-(\pi_i(w), \tau, \varepsilon), \tag{5}$$

$$\omega_T^+(\pi_i(z+w), \tau, \varepsilon) \leq \omega_T^+(\pi_i(z), \tau, \varepsilon) + \omega_T^+(\pi_i(w), \tau, \varepsilon), \tag{6}$$

By (5) and (6) we have  $\mu^+(Z+W) \leq \mu^+(Z) + \mu^+(W)$  and  $\mu^-(Z+W) \leq \mu^-(Z) + \mu^-(W)$ , and for arbitrary function  $z \in R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  and  $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\omega_T^-(\pi_i(\vartheta z), \tau, \varepsilon) = |\vartheta| \omega_T^-(\pi_i(z), \tau, \varepsilon), \tag{7}$$

$$\omega_T^+(\pi_i(\vartheta z), \tau, \varepsilon) = |\vartheta| \omega_T^+(\pi_i(z), \tau, \varepsilon). \tag{8}$$

And by (7) and (8) we have  $\mu^+(\vartheta Z) = |\vartheta| \mu^+(Z)$  and  $\mu^-(\vartheta Z) = |\vartheta| \mu^-(Z)$ . So, we can easily see that for an arbitrary set  $Z \in \mathfrak{M}_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)}$  and  $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\bar{\mu}(Z+W) \leq \bar{\mu}(Z) + \bar{\mu}(W), \bar{\mu}(\vartheta Z) = |\vartheta| \bar{\mu}(Z).$$

Then, the axioms 7°, 8° and 9° hold.

By the same reasoning as above we have

$$\bar{\mu}(\text{conv}Z) \leq \bar{\mu}(Z),$$

for an arbitrary set  $Z \in \mathfrak{M}_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)}$ . Combining the above inequality and axiom 2°, we obtain

$$\bar{\mu}(\text{conv}Z) = \bar{\mu}(Z),$$

therefore assumption 4° holds, by similar way the assumption 5° holds.

We prove 6°, let  $Z_j \in \mathfrak{M}_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)}$ ,  $Z_j = \bar{Z}_j$ ,  $Z_{j+1} \subset Z_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\mu}(Z_j) = 0$  for each  $T$ .  $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$ , take

an  $z_j \in Z_j$ . Claim:  $F = \{\bar{z}_j\}$  is compact in  $R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$ . Suppose that  $\varepsilon > 0$  be fixed and take any  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\mu}(Z_j) = 0$ , then  $\exists \zeta \in \mathbb{N}$  sufficiently large so that for each  $T \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\bar{\mu}(Z_\zeta) < \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\forall T, p_i(T) \neq 0$ , so, exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  enough small so that

$$\omega_T^-(\pi_i(Z_m), \delta_1) < \varepsilon \forall m \geq \zeta.$$

and

$$\omega_T^+(\pi_i(Z_m), \delta_1) < \varepsilon \forall m \geq \zeta.$$

So,  $\forall m \geq \zeta$  we have

$$\sup\{|\pi_i(z_m)(u) - \pi_i(z_m)(v)| : u, v \in (\tau - \delta_1, \tau) \cap [0, T]\} < \varepsilon \tau \in (0, T),$$

and

$$\sup\{|\pi_i(z_m)(u) - \pi_i(z_m)(v)| : u, v \in (\tau, \tau + \delta_1) \cap [0, T]\} < \varepsilon \tau \in [0, T].$$

Since the set  $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{\zeta-1}\}$  is compact, then for each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \zeta - 1\} \exists \delta_2 > 0$  so that

$$\{|\pi_i(z_j)(u) - \pi_i(z_j)(v)| : u, v \in (\tau - \delta_2, \tau) \cap [0, T]\} < \varepsilon \tau \in (0, T),$$

and

$$\{|\pi_i(z_j)(u) - \pi_i(z_j)(v)| : u, v \in (\tau, \tau + \delta_2) \cap [0, T]\} < \varepsilon \tau \in [0, T],$$

Hence, by taking  $\delta := \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$  the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 hold so  $\{z_j\}$  is relatively compact.

Therefore, a subsequence  $\{z_{n_j}\}$  and  $z_0 \in R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  exist such that  $\{z_{n_j}\}$  converges to  $z_0$ . Since  $z_j \in Z_j, Z_j = \overline{Z_j}$  and  $Z_{j+1} \subset Z_j \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$z_0 \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} Z_j = Z_\infty,$$

that completes the proof of 6°.

Finally, we check  $\ker\{\bar{\mu}\} = \mathfrak{R}_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)}$ . Take  $T \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $Z \in \mathfrak{R}_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)}$ , then  $Z$  is relatively compact in  $R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$ . According Theorem 3.2,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists 0 < \delta' < \varepsilon$  so that

$$\{|\pi_i(z)(u) - \pi_i(z)(v)| : u, v \in (\tau - \delta', \tau) \cap [0, T]\} < \varepsilon \tau \in (0, T),$$

$\forall z \in Z$ . By applying Theorem 3.2, for any  $\varepsilon > 0 \exists 0 < \delta'' < \varepsilon$  so that

$$\{|\pi_i(z)(u) - \pi_i(z)(v)| : u, v \in (\tau, \tau + \delta'') \cap [0, T]\} < \varepsilon \tau \in [0, T],$$

$\forall z \in Z$ . Putting  $\delta = \min\{\delta', \delta''\}$ . Then,  $\forall z \in Z$ , we get

$$\omega_T^-(\pi_i(z), \tau, \delta) = \sup\{|\pi_i(z)(u) - \pi_i(z)(v)| : u, v \in (\tau - \delta, \tau) \cap [0, T]\} \leq \varepsilon \tau \in (0, T),$$

$$\omega_T^+(\pi_i(z), \tau, \delta) = \sup\{|\pi_i(z)(u) - \pi_i(z)(v)| : u, v \in (\tau, \tau + \delta) \cap [0, T]\} \leq \varepsilon \tau \in [0, T],$$

It in turn implies that

$$\bar{\mu}(Z) = \mu^-(Z) + \mu^+(Z) \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Taking  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  and  $\bar{\mu}(Z) = 0, \forall T \in \mathbb{N}$ . By condition 1°, we have  $\ker\{\bar{\mu}\} = \mathfrak{R}_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $\emptyset \neq C = \overline{C} \subseteq R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  is bounded, convex and the mapping  $F : C \rightarrow C$  is continuous. If for each  $T \in \mathbb{N} \exists 0 \leq L_T < 1$  so that

$$\bar{\mu}(FZ) \leq L_T \bar{\mu}(Z), \tag{9}$$

for each  $Z \subset C$ . Then  $F$  has at least one fixed point in the set  $C$ .

*Proof.* First, we define a sequence  $\{C_m\}$  by taking  $C_0 = C$  and  $C_m = \text{Conv}(FC_{m-1}), m \geq 1$ . We have  $C_1 = \text{Conv}(FC_0) \subseteq C_0$ , therefore by continuing this process we get

$$C_0 \supseteq C_1 \supseteq C_2 \supseteq \dots$$

If  $\bar{\mu}(C_N) = 0$  for some  $N > 0$  and  $\forall T$ , then  $C_N$  is relatively compact and Theorem 2.1 grants that  $F$  has a fixed point. Otherwise, let  $T \geq 0$ , so that  $\bar{\mu}(C_m) \neq 0$  for any  $m \geq 0$ . From relation (9) we have

$$\bar{\mu}(C_{m+1}) = \bar{\mu}(\text{Conv}(FC_m)) = \bar{\mu}(FC_m) \leq L_T \bar{\mu}(C_m). \tag{10}$$

Since  $L_T \in [0, 1)$ , then  $\{\bar{\mu}(C_m)\}$  is a positive decreasing sequence of real numbers. So, there is an  $r \geq 0$  so that  $\bar{\mu}(C_m) \rightarrow r$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . We show that  $r = 0$ . Suppose, to the contrary that  $r > 0$ . Then by (10) we get

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\mu}(C_{m+1}) \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} L_T \bar{\mu}(C_m).$$

It enforces that  $1 \leq L_T$ , which is a contradiction. Consequently  $r = 0$ , and so  $\bar{\mu}(C_m) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Employing condition 6° of Definition 3.3, we deduce that  $\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} C_m = C_\infty \subset C$  is convex and closed.

Furthermore,  $C_\infty$  is invariant under  $F$ , and  $C_\infty \in \ker\{\bar{\mu}\}$ . By using Theorem 2.1  $F$  has a fixed point.  $\square$

#### 4. Application

In the following part, we prove the solvability of equation (1) in the Fréchet spaces  $R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$ . Finally, we give an example to show the usefulness of our result.

Assume that:

(i) The functions  $f_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ) are continuous and regulated and  $\exists$  increasing functions  $\varphi_i, \theta_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  so that  $\varphi_i(\tau) \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\theta_i(\tau) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\varphi_i \in L^1([0, \infty))$  and the inequalities

$$|f_i(s, u_i) - f_i(s, v_i)| \leq \varphi_i(|u_i - v_i|),$$

$$\int_0^\infty |f_i(s, u_i) - f_i(s, v_i)| ds \leq M\theta_i(|u_i - v_i|),$$

$\forall s \in \mathbb{R}_+, u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $M > 0$  hold. Also

$$\bar{N} = \sup\{|f_i(s, 0)| : s \in [0, \infty), i \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty, \text{ and } \bar{G} = \int_0^\infty |f_i(s, 0)| ds < \infty.$$

(ii) For each  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\exists r_i(T) > 0$  that is a solution of the inequality

$$(\varphi_i(r_i(T)) + \bar{N})\left(\frac{T^\rho}{\rho\Gamma(\rho)} + \frac{T\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j\right)\xi_j^\rho}{\rho\Gamma(\rho)\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j\xi_j}\right) + (M\theta_i(r_i(T)) + \bar{G})\frac{T}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j\xi_j} \leq r_i(T).$$

**Theorem 4.1.** Under conditions (i) and (ii) the equation (1) has at least one solution in the  $R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$ .

*Proof.* Define the operator  $F : R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty) \rightarrow R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  by:

$$(Fu)(t) = (\pi_i(Fu)(t))$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\rho-1} f_i(s, u_i) ds + \frac{t}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} \int_0^\infty f_i(s, u_i) ds - \frac{t \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j}{\Gamma(\rho) \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} \int_0^{\xi_j} (\xi_j - s)^{\rho-1} f_i(s, u_i) ds\right).$$

where  $u(t) = (u_i(t))_{i=1}^\infty \in R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$ . First, we prove that  $Fu \in R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$ , for  $u \in R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$ . Select arbitrary  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . By using assumption (i), we have

$$|\pi_i(Fu)(t)|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\rho-1} f_i(s, u_i) ds + \frac{t}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} \int_0^\infty f_i(s, u_i) ds - \frac{t \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j}{\Gamma(\rho) \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} \int_0^{\xi_j} (\xi_j - s)^{\rho-1} f_i(s, u_i) ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\rho-1} (|f_i(s, u_i) - f_i(s, 0)| + |f_i(s, 0)|) ds + \frac{t}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} \int_0^\infty (|f_i(s, u_i) - f_i(s, 0)| + |f_i(s, 0)|) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{t \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j}{\Gamma(\rho) \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} \int_0^{\xi_j} (\xi_j - s)^{\rho-1} (|f_i(s, u_i) - f_i(s, 0)| + |f_i(s, 0)|) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\rho-1} (\varphi_i(|u_i(s)|) + \bar{N}) ds + \frac{t}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} (M\theta_i(|u_i(s)|) + \bar{G}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{t \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j}{\Gamma(\rho) \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} \int_0^{\xi_j} (\xi_j - s)^{\rho-1} (\varphi_i(|u_i(s)|) + \bar{N}) ds \\
 \leq & (\varphi_i(|u_i(s)|) + \bar{N}) \left( \frac{t^\rho}{\rho \Gamma(\rho)} + \frac{t \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \right) \xi_j^\rho}{\rho \Gamma(\rho) \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} \right) + (M\theta_i(|u_i(s)|) + \bar{G}) \frac{t}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So by supremum on  $t$  we obtain

$$|Fu|_T \leq (\varphi_i(|u_i|_T) + \bar{N}) \left( \frac{T^\rho}{\rho \Gamma(\rho)} + \frac{T \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \right) \xi_j^\rho}{\rho \Gamma(\rho) \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} \right) + (M\theta_i(|u_i|_T) + \bar{G}) \frac{T}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j}. \tag{11}$$

Also, for  $u \in R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  for  $T \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t_1, t_2 \in (t, t + \varepsilon) \cap [0, T]$ ,  $t_1 \leq t_2$ . We get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\pi_i(Fu)(t_2) - \pi_i(Fu)(t_1)| \\
 \leq & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \left( \int_0^{t_1} ((t_2 - s)^{\rho-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\rho-1}) (|f_i(s, u_i) - f_i(s, 0)| + |f_i(s, 0)|) ds \right. \\
 & + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\rho-1} (|f_i(s, u_i) - f_i(s, 0)| + |f_i(s, 0)|) ds \\
 & + \frac{|t_2 - t_1|}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} \int_0^\infty (|f_i(s, u_i) - f_i(s, 0)| + |f_i(s, 0)|) ds \\
 & + \frac{|t_2 - t_1| \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j}{\Gamma(\rho) \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} \int_0^{\xi_j} (\xi_j - s)^{\rho-1} (|f_i(s, u_i) - f_i(s, 0)| + |f_i(s, 0)|) ds \\
 \leq & \frac{(\varphi_i(|u_i(s)|) + \bar{N})}{\Gamma(\rho)} \left( \int_0^{t_1} (t_2 - s)^{\rho-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\rho-1} ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\rho-1} ds \right) \\
 & + \frac{(M\theta_i(|u_i(s)|) + \bar{G}) \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j}{\Gamma(\rho) \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} |t_2 - t_1| \int_0^{\xi_j} (\xi_j - s)^{\rho-1} ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\pi_i(Fu)(t_2) - \pi_i(Fu)(t_1)| \\
 \leq & \frac{(\varphi_i(|u_i(s)|) + \bar{N})}{\rho \Gamma(\rho)} \left( 2(t_2 - t_1)^\rho + t_1^\rho - t_2^\rho + \frac{\xi_j^\rho \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} |t_2 - t_1| \right) + \frac{(M\theta_i(|u_i(s)|) + \bar{G})}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} |t_2 - t_1|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since,  $t_1, t_2 \in (t, t + \varepsilon) \cap [0, T]$  so  $|t_2 - t_1| \rightarrow 0$ ,  $(t_2 - t_1)^\rho \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and we have used the fact that  $t_1^\rho - t_2^\rho \leq 0$  (because  $t_1 \leq t_2$ ). Then we deduce

$$|\pi_i(Fu)(t_2) - \pi_i(Fu)(t_1)| \rightarrow 0. \tag{12}$$

Similarly, let us fix  $t \in (0, T]$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  for  $T \geq 0$  and for  $t_1, t_2 \in (t - \varepsilon, t) \cap [0, T]$  ( $t_1 \leq t_2$ ) we have  $|\pi_i(Fu)(t_2) - \pi_i(Fu)(t_1)|$

$$\leq \frac{(\varphi_i(|u_i(s)|) + \bar{N})}{\rho\Gamma(\rho)} \left( 2(t_2 - t_1)^\rho + t_1^\rho - t_2^\rho + \frac{\xi_j^\rho \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} |t_2 - t_1| \right) + \frac{(M\theta_i(|u_i(s)|) + \bar{G})}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} |t_2 - t_1|.$$

Since,  $t_1, t_2 \in (t - \varepsilon, t) \cap [0, T]$  so  $|t_2 - t_1| \rightarrow 0, (t_2 - t_1)^\rho \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and we have used the fact that  $t_1^\rho - t_2^\rho \leq 0$  (because  $t_1 \leq t_2$ ). Then we get

$$|\pi_i(Fu)(t_2) - \pi_i(Fu)(t_1)| \rightarrow 0. \tag{13}$$

So by (11), (12) and (13) we obtain  $Fu \in R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$ . Relation (11) implies that the operator  $F$  transforms of  $R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  into itself. Now, if we define the subset  $B_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)}(0, r_i(t))$  of  $R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  by:

$$B_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)}(0, r_i(t)) = \{u = (u_i) \in R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty) : |u|_T \leq r_i(t) \text{ for } t > 0\},$$

then the  $\emptyset \neq B = \bar{B} \subseteq R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  is bounded and convex and assumption (ii) ensures that  $F$  transforms  $B_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)}(0, r_i(t))$  into itself.

Now, we prove that  $F$  is continuous on  $B$ . Fix  $u = (u_i) \in B_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)}(0, r_i(t))$  and take a sequence  $(u_{n,i}) \in B_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)}(0, r_i(t))$  such that  $u_n = (u_{n,i}) \rightarrow u = (u_i)$ . For  $t \in [0, T], T \in \mathbb{N}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |\pi_i(Fu_n)(t) - \pi_i(Fu)(t)| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\rho-1} (f_i(s, u_{n,i}) - f_i(s, u_i)) ds \right| + \frac{t}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} \left| \int_0^\infty (f_i(s, u_{n,i}) - f_i(s, u_i)) ds \right| \\ & \quad + \frac{t \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j}{\Gamma(\rho) \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} \left| \int_0^{\xi_j} (\xi_j - s)^{\rho-1} (f_i(s, u_{n,i}) - f_i(s, u_i)) ds \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\varphi_i(|u_{n,i}(s) - u_i(s)|)}{\Gamma(\rho)} \left( \int_0^t (t-s)^{\rho-1} ds + \frac{t \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j}{\Gamma(\rho) \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} \int_0^{\xi_j} (\xi_j - s)^{\rho-1} ds \right) \\ & \quad + M\theta_i(|u_{n,i}(s) - u_i(s)|) \frac{t}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} \\ & \leq \frac{\varphi_i(|u_{n,i}(s) - u_i(s)|)}{\rho\Gamma(\rho)} \left( t^\rho + \frac{t(\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j) \xi_j^\rho}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} \right) + M\theta_i(|u_{n,i}(s) - u_i(s)|) \frac{t}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$|(Fu_n) - (Fu)|_T \leq \frac{\varphi_i(|u_n - u|)_T}{\rho\Gamma(\rho)} \left( T^\rho + \frac{T(\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j) \xi_j^\rho}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} \right) + M\theta_i(|u_n - u|_T) \frac{T}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j}.$$

Since  $u_n \rightarrow u$  and by condition (i)  $\varphi_i(t) \rightarrow 0, \theta_i(t) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Then  $(Fu_n) \rightarrow (Fu)$  i.e.  $F$  is continuous. Eventually, we show that  $F$  satisfying the relation (9). Let  $\emptyset \neq U \subseteq B_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)}(0, r_i(t))$  be bounded. Next, fix

arbitrarily  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Select a function  $u \in U$  and  $t_1, t_2 \in (t, t + \varepsilon) \cap [0, T]$ . Then, by (12) we have

$$\omega_T^+(\pi_i(Fu), t, \varepsilon) \leq \frac{(\varphi_i(|u_i|_T + \bar{N}))}{\rho\Gamma(\rho)} \left( 2(t_2 - t_1)^\rho + \frac{\xi_j^\rho \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} |t_2 - t_1| \right) + \frac{(M\theta_i(|u_i|_T + \bar{G}))}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} |t_2 - t_1|.$$

Taking  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  we obtain

$$\omega_T^+(\pi_i(Fu), t) \leq 0. \tag{14}$$

Similarly, for  $t \in (0, T]$  and  $t_1, t_2 \in (t - \varepsilon, t) \cap [0, T]$  by virtue of (13) we have

$$\omega_T^-(\pi_i(Fu), t, \varepsilon) \leq \frac{(\varphi_i(|u_i|_T + \bar{N}))}{\rho\Gamma(\rho)} \left( 2(t_2 - t_1)^\rho + \frac{\xi_j^\rho \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} |t_2 - t_1| \right) + \frac{(M\theta_i(|u_i|_T + \bar{G}))}{\sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \beta_j \xi_j} |t_2 - t_1|.$$

Taking  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  we obtain

$$\omega_T^-(\pi_i(Fu), t) \leq 0. \tag{15}$$

By supremum on  $t$  of (14) and (15) we get

$$\omega_T^+(\pi_i(Fu)) \leq 0, \text{ and } \omega_T^-(\pi_i(Fu)) \leq 0.$$

Also, for  $t \in (0, T]$  we get

$$\bar{\omega}_T^-(FU) = \sup\{p_i(T)\omega_T^-(\pi_i(FU)), i \in \mathbb{N}\} \leq 0,$$

and for  $t \in [0, T)$  we get

$$\bar{\omega}_T^+(FU) = \sup\{p_i(T)\omega_T^+(\pi_i(FU)), i \in \mathbb{N}\} \leq 0.$$

Hence

$$\mu^-(FU) = \sup\{\bar{\omega}_T^-(FU), T > 0\} \leq 0,$$

and

$$\mu^+(FU) = \sup\{\bar{\omega}_T^+(FU), T > 0\} \leq 0.$$

Finally,

$$\bar{\mu}(FU) = \mu^-(FU) + \mu^+(FU) = 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$\bar{\mu}(FU) \leq L_T \bar{\mu}(U),$$

where  $L_T = 0$ . From Theorem 3.5,  $F$  has a fixed point  $u(t) = u_i(t)$  in  $R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$  belonging to the set  $B_{R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)}(0, r_i(t))$ , which implies that the equation (1) has at least one solution in  $R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$ .  $\square$

**Example 4.2.** Consider the following equation

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D^{\frac{3}{2}} u_i(t) = \frac{\sin(u_i(t)+1)\cos(t+3)}{1+s^2} \sum_{k=i}^{i+1} \frac{1}{(k+1)k}, \\ u(0) = 0, u''(0) = 0, \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} {}^c D^{\frac{1}{2}} u_i(+\infty) = \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{1}{2j} u_i((j+1)^2), \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

see that Eq. (16) is a particular case of the Eq. (1) when  $\rho = \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $m = 5$ ,  $\beta_j = \frac{1}{2j}$ ,  $\xi_j = (j+1)^2$ , and  $f_i(t, u_i) = \frac{\sin(u_i+1)\cos(t+3)}{1+t^2} \sum_{k=i}^{i+1} \frac{1}{(k+1)k}$  ( $t \in [0, +\infty)$ , and  $u_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ). Take  $\varphi_i(t) = \theta_i(t) = \frac{1}{2}t$  and  $M = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then the condition (i) of Theorem 4.1 holds. Since, for  $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , we get

$$|f_i(s, u_i) - f_i(s, v_i)| = \left| \sum_{k=i}^{i+1} \frac{1}{(k+1)k} \frac{\cos(s+3)}{1+s^2} (\sin(u_i+1) - \sin(v_i+1)) \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{2} |\sin(u_i + 1) - \sin(v_i + 1)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} |u_i - v_i| = \varphi_i(|u_i - v_i|), \end{aligned}$$

and also we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |f_i(s, u_i) - f_i(s, v_i)| ds &= \int_0^\infty \left| \sum_{k=i}^{i+1} \frac{1}{(k+1)k} \frac{\cos(s+3)}{1+s^2} (\sin(u_i + 1) - \sin(v_i + 1)) \right| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} |u_i - v_i| \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1+s^2} ds = \frac{1}{2} |u_i - v_i| \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^t \frac{1}{1+s^2} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} |u_i - v_i| \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \arctan s \Big|_0^t \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} \theta_i(|u_i - v_i|). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $f_i(t, u_i(t)) \in L^1([0, +\infty))$  and regulated functions. Next, we have

$$\bar{N} = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{k=i}^{i+1} \frac{1}{(k+1)k} \frac{\cos(s+3) \sin(1)}{1+s^2} \right|, s \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right\} = \frac{0.017}{2},$$

and

$$\bar{G} = \int_0^\infty \left| \sum_{k=i}^{i+1} \frac{1}{(k+1)k} \frac{\cos(s+3) \sin(1)}{1+s^2} \right| ds \leq \frac{1}{2} \sin(1) \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1+s^2} ds = \frac{0.017\pi}{4} < \infty.$$

Also, the condition (ii) holds. Then, Theorem 4.1 grants that Eq. (16) has at least one solution in  $R(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$ .

## References

- [1] R.P. Agarwal, M. Meehan, D. O'Regan, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Cambridge university press, vol. 141, 2001.
- [2] R. Allahyari, The behaviour of measures of noncompactness in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with application to the solvability of functional integral equations, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas, 112(2)(2018) 561-573.
- [3] H. Amiri Kayvanloo, M. Khanehgir, R. Allahyari, A family of measures of noncompactness in the space  $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and its application to some nonlinear convolution type integral equations. Cogent Math. Stat. 6 (1)(2019), Art. ID 1592276, 13 pp.
- [4] H. Amiri Kayvanloo, M. Khanehgir, R. Allahyari, A family of measures of noncompactness in the Hölder space  $C^{n,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and its application to some fractional differential equations and numerical methods. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 363 (2020) 256–272.
- [5] H. Amiri Kayvanloo, M. Mursaleen, M. Mehrabinezhad, F. Pouladi Najafabadi Solvability of some fractional differential equations in the Hölder space  $\mathcal{H}_r(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and their numerical treatment via measures of noncompactness. Mathematical Sciences, (2022), 1-11.
- [6] G. Aumann, Reelle Funktionen, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 68, Springer, Berlin, 1954.
- [7] C. Bai, Positive solutions for nonlinear fractional differential equations with coefficient that changes sign, Nonlinear Anal. Theory, Methods, Appl. 64(4)(2006) 677-685.
- [8] Z. Bai, On positive solutions of a nonlocal fractional boundary value problem, Nonlinear Anal. Theory, Methods, Appl. 72(2)(2010) 916-924.
- [9] J. Banaś, T. Zajac, On a measure of noncompactness in the space of regulated functions and its applications, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 8(1) (2018) 1099–1110.
- [10] L. Benhamouche. and S. Djebali, Solvability of Functional Integral Equations in the Fréchet Space  $C(\Omega)$ , Mediterr. J. Math. 13(6)(2016) 4805-4817.
- [11] R. Das. and N, Sapkota, Applications of measure of noncompactness for the solvability of an infinite system of second order differential equations in some integrated sequence spaces. Proyecciones (Antofagasta), 40(2) (2021) 573-592.
- [12] J. Dieudonne, Foundations of Modern Analysis, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
- [13] D. Frankova, Regulated functions, Math. Bohem. 116 (1991), no. 1, 20–59.
- [14] C. S. Honig, Equations integrales generalisées et applications, Publ. Math. Orsay 83-01 (1983), Expose No. 5.
- [15] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, Elsevier Science Publishers, vol. 204, 2006.
- [16] K. Kuratowski, Sur les espaces complets, Fund. Math. 15 (1930) 301-309.
- [17] V. Lakshmikantham, Theory of fractional functional differential equations, Nonlinear Anal. Theory, Methods, Appl. 69(10)(2008) 3337-3343.
- [18] V. Lakshmikantham, A.S. Vatsala, Basic theory of fractional differential equations, Nonlinear Anal. Theory, Methods, Appl. 69(8)(2008) 2677-2682.
- [19] B. Li, S. Sun, Z. Han, Successively iterative method for a class of high-order fractional differential equations with multi-point boundary value conditions on half-line, Boundary Value Problems, (5)(2016)(2016) 16 pages.
- [20] H. Mehravaran, M. Khanehgir, R. Allahyari, A family of measures of noncompactness in the locally Sobolev spaces and its applications to some nonlinear Volterra integrodifferential equations. Journal of Mathematics, (2018).

- [21] L. Olszowy, Measures of noncompactness in the space of regulated functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **476** (2019) 860-874.
- [22] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations: An Introduction to Fractional Derivatives, Fractional Differential Equations, to Methods of their Solution and Some of Their Applications*, Elsevier, vol. 198, 1998.
- [23] F. Pouladi Najafabadi, Juan J., Nieto., and H. Amiri Kayvanloo., H., Measure of noncompactness on weighted Sobolev space with an application to some nonlinear convolution type integral equations, *J. Fixed Point Theory .Appl*, **22**(3)(2020) 1-15.
- [24] J. Sabatier, O.P. Agrawal, J.T. Machado, *Advances in Fractional Calculus*, Dordrecht: Springer **4**(9)(2007).
- [25] A. Salem, H.M. Alshehri, L. Almaghamisi, Measure of noncompactness for an infinite system of fractional Langevin equation in a sequence space. *Advances in Difference Equations*, **2021**(1) (2021), 1-21.