



# A nonlocal problem with multipoint conditions for partial differential equations of higher order

Anar T. Assanova<sup>a</sup>, Askarbek E. Imanchiyev<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute of Mathematics and Mathematical Modeling  
<sup>b</sup>K. Zhubanov Aktobe Regional University

**Abstract.** A nonlocal problem with multipoint conditions for the partial differential equations of higher order is considered. Algorithms for finding a solution to the nonlocal problem with multipoint conditions are constructed and their convergence is proved. Conditions for the unique solvability of the nonlocal problem with multipoint conditions for the partial differential equations of higher order are established in terms of the initial data.

## 1. Introduction

In recent decades, many authors have intensively studied nonlocal problems with multipoint conditions for partial differential equations of higher order (see the bibliography in [1-11]). The development of computing and information technologies requires the apply of constructive methods for the numerical analysis and approximate solution of nonlocal problems with multipoint conditions for partial differential equations of higher order. Earlier in the works of the authors, a number of problems with multipoint conditions were investigated and solved for systems of hyperbolic equations of the second order [12-14], for partial differential equations of third and fourth orders [15-18], as well as for impulsive partial differential equations of higher order [19] by Dzhumabaev's parametrization method [20].

In the present paper we propose the constructive approach for solve the nonlocal problem with multipoint conditions for partial differential equations of higher order based on method of introduction new functions.

Consider the nonlocal problem with multipoint conditions for the partial differential equations of higher order in  $\Omega = [0, T] \times [0, \omega]$

$$\frac{\partial^{m+1}u}{\partial t \partial x^m} = \sum_{i=0}^m A_i(t, x) \frac{\partial^i u}{\partial x^i} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} B_j(t, x) \frac{\partial^{j+1}u}{\partial t \partial x^j} + f(t, x), \quad (1.1)$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35G16; Secondary 34B08, 34B10, 35L35, 35L53, 45D05, 45F05

*Keywords.* Partial differential equations of higher order, nonlocal problems with multipoint conditions, hyperbolic equations of second order, parametrization method, algorithm, solvability

Received: 15 February 2023; Revised 31 May 2023; Accepted: 08 July 2023

Communicated by Ljubiša D.R. Kočinac

Research supported by the Science Committee of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant No. AP19675358) and Fundamental Research in Mathematics and Mathematical Modeling (Grant No. BR20281002).

*Email addresses:* [anartasan@gmail.com](mailto:anartasan@gmail.com); [assanova@math.kz](mailto:assanova@math.kz) (Anar T. Assanova), [imanchiev76@mail.ru](mailto:imanchiev76@mail.ru) (Askarbek E. Imanchiyev)

$$\sum_{l=0}^p \sum_{i=0}^m K_{i,l}(x) \frac{\partial^i u(t_l, x)}{\partial x^i} = \varphi(x), \quad x \in [0, \omega], \tag{1.2}$$

$$u(t, 0) = \psi_0(t), \quad \left. \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial x} \right|_{x=0} = \psi_1(t), \dots, \quad \left. \frac{\partial^{m-1} u(t, x)}{\partial x^{m-1}} \right|_{x=0} = \psi_{m-1}(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{1.3}$$

where  $u(t, x)$  is unknown function, the functions  $A_i(t, x)$ ,  $i = \overline{0, m}$ ,  $B_j(t, x)$ ,  $j = \overline{0, m-1}$ , and  $f(t, x)$  are continuous on  $\Omega$ , the functions  $K_{i,l}(x)$  and  $\varphi(x)$  are continuous on  $[0, \omega]$ ,  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{p-1} < t_p = T$ ,  $i = \overline{0, m}$ ,  $l = \overline{0, p}$ , the functions  $\psi_j(t)$ ,  $j = \overline{0, m-1}$ , are continuously differentiable on  $[0, T]$ .

A function  $u(t, x)$  continuous on  $\Omega$ , having continuous on  $\Omega$  partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial^{s+i} u}{\partial t^s \partial x^i}$ ,  $s = 0, 1$ ,  $i = \overline{0, m}$ , satisfying Equation (1.1) for all  $(t, x) \in \Omega$ , multipoint and initial conditions (1.2), (1.3), is called the solution to the nonlocal problem with multipoint conditions (1.1)-(1.3).

Algorithms for finding a solution to the nonlocal problem with multipoint conditions (1.1)-(1.3) are constructed and their convergence is proved. Conditions for the unique solvability of the nonlocal problem with multipoint conditions (1.1)-(1.3) are established in terms of the initial data.

## 2. Reduction to an equivalent problem

Assume

$$v_k(t, x) = \frac{\partial^{m-k} u(t, x)}{\partial x^{m-k}}, \quad k = \overline{1, m}.$$

Then pass from problem (1.1)-(1.3) to the next equivalent problem:

$$\frac{\partial^2 v_1}{\partial t \partial x} = A_m(t, x) \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} + B_{m-1}(t, x) \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial t} + A_{m-1}(t, x) v_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} A_i(t, x) v_{m-i}(t, x) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} B_j(t, x) \frac{\partial v_{m-j}(t, x)}{\partial t} + f(t, x), \tag{2.1}$$

$$\sum_{l=0}^p K_{m,l}(x) \frac{\partial v_1(t_l, x)}{\partial x} + \sum_{l=0}^p K_{m-1,l}(x) v_1(t_l, x) + \sum_{l=0}^p \sum_{s=0}^{m-2} K_{s,l}(x) v_{m-s}(t_l, x) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in [0, \omega], \tag{2.2}$$

$$v_1(t, 0) = \psi_{m-1}(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{2.3}$$

$$v_r(t, x) = \psi_{m-r}(t) + \int_0^x v_{r-1}(t, \xi) d\xi, \quad \frac{\partial v_r(t, x)}{\partial t} = \dot{\psi}_{m-r}(t) + \int_0^x \frac{\partial v_{r-1}(t, \xi)}{\partial t} d\xi, \quad r = \overline{2, m}, \quad (t, x) \in \Omega. \tag{2.4}$$

A system of functions  $(v_1(t, x), v_2(t, x), \dots, v_m(t, x))$ , where function  $v_1(t, x) \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ , has partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial v_1(t, x)}{\partial x} \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\frac{\partial v_1(t, x)}{\partial t} \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\frac{\partial^2 v_1(t, x)}{\partial t \partial x} \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ , and functions  $v_r(t, x)$  and  $\frac{\partial v_r(t, x)}{\partial t}$  are related to  $v_1(t, x)$  by integral relations (2.4),  $r = \overline{2, m}$ , which satisfies the equation (2.1) for all  $(t, x) \in \Omega$  and conditions (2.2), (2.3), is a solution to problem (2.1)-(2.4).

For fixed  $v_r(t, x)$  and  $\frac{\partial v_r(t, x)}{\partial t}$ ,  $r = \overline{2, m}$ , problem (2.1)-(2.4) is a nonlocal problem with multipoint conditions for the second-order hyperbolic equation. Questions of the unique, well-posed solvability of a nonlocal problem with multipoint conditions were studied in [13], [14]. We use results of [15]-[16] to solve problem (2.1)-(2.4).

We introduce a new functions  $v(t, x) = \frac{\partial v_1(t, x)}{\partial x}$ ,  $w(t, x) = \frac{\partial v_1(t, x)}{\partial t}$ , and transfer problem (2.1)-(2.4) to the following family of multipoint problems for a differential equation with functional parameters and integral constraints

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = A_m(t, x) v + B_{m-1}(t, x) w(t, x) + A_{m-1}(t, x) v_1(t, x) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} A_i(t, x) v_{m-i}(t, x) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} B_j(t, x) \frac{\partial v_{m-j}(t, x)}{\partial t} + f(t, x), \tag{2.5}$$

$$\sum_{l=0}^p K_{m,l}(x)v(t_l, x) = \varphi(x) - \sum_{l=0}^p K_{m-1,l}(x)v_1(t_l, x) - \sum_{l=0}^p \sum_{s=0}^{m-2} K_{s,l}(x)v_{m-s}(t_l, x), \quad x \in [0, \omega], \quad (2.6)$$

$$v_1(t, x) = \psi_{m-1}(t) + \int_0^x v(t, \xi)d\xi, \quad w(t, x) = \psi_{m-1}(t) + \int_0^x \frac{\partial v(t, \xi)}{\partial t} d\xi, \quad (t, x) \in \Omega, \quad (2.7)$$

$$v_r(t, x) = \psi_{m-r}(t) + \int_0^x v_{r-1}(t, \xi)d\xi, \quad \frac{\partial v_r(t, x)}{\partial t} = \psi_{m-r}(t) + \int_0^x \frac{\partial v_{r-1}(t, \xi)}{\partial t} d\xi, \quad r = \overline{2, m}, \quad (t, x) \in \Omega. \quad (2.8)$$

For fixed  $w(t, x)$ ,  $v_1(t, x)$ ,  $v_r(t, x)$  and  $\frac{\partial v_r(t, x)}{\partial t}$ ,  $r = \overline{2, m}$ , problem (2.5), (2.6) is a family of multipoint problems for the differential equations with respect to  $v(t, x)$ . The unknown functions  $w(t, x)$ ,  $v_1(t, x)$ ,  $v_r(t, x)$  and  $\frac{\partial v_r(t, x)}{\partial t}$ ,  $r = \overline{2, m}$ , are determined from integral constraints (2.7), (2.8).

A system of functions  $(v(t, x), w(t, x), v_1(t, x), v_2(t, x), \dots, v_m(t, x))$ , where function  $v(t, x) \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$  has partial derivative  $\frac{\partial v(t, x)}{\partial t} \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ , functions  $w(t, x)$ ,  $v_1(t, x)$ ,  $v_r(t, x)$  and  $\frac{\partial v_r(t, x)}{\partial t}$  are related to  $v(t, x)$  and  $\frac{\partial v(t, x)}{\partial t}$ ,  $v_1(t, x)$  and  $\frac{\partial v_1(t, x)}{\partial t}$  by integral constraints (2.7), (2.8), respectively,  $r = \overline{2, m}$ , which satisfies the differential equation (2.5) for all  $(t, x) \in \Omega$  and condition (2.6), integral constraints (2.7), (2.8) is a solution to problem (2.5)-(2.8).

Consider the following family of multipoint problems for the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = A_m(t, x)v + F(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \Omega, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\sum_{l=0}^p K_{m,l}(x)v(t_l, x) = \Phi(x), \quad x \in [0, \omega], \quad (2.10)$$

where  $v(t, x)$  is an unknown function, the function  $F(t, x) \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ , the function  $\Phi(x)$  is continuous on  $[0, \omega]$ .

A continuous function  $v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that has a continuous derivative with respect to  $t$  on  $\Omega$  is called a solution to the family of multipoint problems (2.9), (2.10), if it satisfies equation (2.9) for all  $(t, x) \in \Omega$  and multipoint condition (2.10) for all  $x \in [0, \omega]$ . For fixed  $x \in [0, \omega]$  problem (2.9), (2.10) is a linear multipoint problem for the ordinary differential equation. Suppose a variable  $x$  takes values on the interval  $[0, \omega]$ ; then we obtain a family of multipoint problems for differential equation.

Various problems with multipoint condition for the differential equations (2.9), (2.10) have been studied by numerous authors (see [4, 21-23] and their bibliography).

The following theorem provides conditions for the unique solvability of the family of multipoint problems for differential equations (2.9), (2.10) in terms of the solution Cauchy problems.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Family of multipoint problems for the differential equations (2.9), (2.10) is uniquely solvable and for its solution  $v^*(t, x)$  we have the estimate*

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|v^*(t, x)\| \leq C_0 \max\left\{ \max_{t \in [0, T]} \|F(t, x)\|, \|\Phi(x)\| \right\}$$

for all  $x \in [0, \omega]$ , for some  $C_0 > 0$  independent of  $x$ ,  $v^*$ ,  $F$  and  $\Phi$ , if the function  $M(x) = \sum_{l=0}^p K_{m,l}(x)U(t_l, x) \neq 0$  for every  $x \in [0, \omega]$ , where  $U$  is a solution to the family Cauchy problems

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = A_m(t, x)U, \quad U(0, x) = 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a solution to the family of Cauchy problems

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = A_m(t, x)U, \quad U(0, x) = 1.$$

By the Cauchy formula [24, p. 48], the function

$$v(t, x) = U(t, x)c(x) + U(t, x) \int_0^t U^{-1}(\tau, x)F(\tau, x)d\tau \tag{2.11}$$

is a solution to equation (2.9) for each  $c(x) \in C([0, \omega], \mathbb{R})$ . Conversely, for each solution of this equation there exists  $c(x) \in C([0, \omega], \mathbb{R})$  such that representation (2.11) holds.

Substituting representation (2.11) into (2.10), we have:

$$\sum_{l=0}^p K_{m,l}(x)U(t_l, x)c(x) + \sum_{l=0}^p K_{m,l}(x)U(t_l, x) \int_0^{t_l} U^{-1}(\tau, x)F(\tau, x)d\tau = \Phi(x), \quad x \in [0, \omega].$$

This implies

$$M(x)c(x) = \Phi(x) - \sum_{l=0}^p K_{m,l}(x)U(t_l, x) \int_0^{t_l} U^{-1}(\tau, x)F(\tau, x)d\tau, \quad x \in [0, \omega]. \tag{2.12}$$

If the function  $M(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in [0, \omega]$ , then the functional equation (2.12) has a unique solution

$$c^*(x) = M^{-1}(x) \left\{ \Phi(x) - \sum_{l=0}^p K_{m,l}(x)U(t_l, x) \int_0^{t_l} U^{-1}(\tau, x)F(\tau, x)d\tau \right\}, \quad x \in [0, \omega]. \tag{2.13}$$

Replacing  $c(x)$  by  $c^*(x)$  in (2.11), we obtain the following representation of the unique solution to the family of problems (2.9), (2.10)

$$v^*(t, x) = U(t, x)M^{-1}(x) \left\{ \Phi(x) - \sum_{l=0}^p K_{m,l}(x)U(t_l, x) \int_0^{t_l} U^{-1}(\tau, x)F(\tau, x)d\tau \right\} + U(t, x) \int_0^t U^{-1}(\tau, x)F(\tau, x)d\tau. \tag{2.14}$$

The solution  $v^*$  satisfies the following estimate

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|v^*(t, x)\| \leq C_0 \max \left( \max_{t \in [0, T]} \|F(t, x)\|, \|\Phi(x)\| \right), \tag{2.15}$$

where the constant  $C_0$  does not depend on  $F, \Phi$  and  $x \in [0, \omega]$ .

The following estimate is also valid:

$$\max \left( \max_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \frac{\partial v^*(t, x)}{\partial t} \right\|, \max_{t \in [0, T]} \|v^*(t, x)\| \right) \leq \max(\alpha_m C_0 + 1, C_0) \max \left( \max_{t \in [0, T]} \|F(t, x)\|, \|\Phi(x)\| \right), \tag{2.16}$$

where  $\alpha_m = \max_{(t,x) \in \Omega} \|A_m(t, x)\|$ . Theorem 2.1 is proved.  $\square$

Therefore, provided that the function  $M(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in [0, \omega]$ , the family of problems (2.9), (2.10) is uniquely solvable and for its solution  $v^*$  the estimate (2.14) holds, i.e. problem (2.9), (2.10) is well-posed.

Note that we can use a function  $\exp \left\{ \int_0^t A_m(\tau, x)d\tau \right\}$  as a function  $U(t, x)$ .

**3. Algorithm and unique solvability of problem (1.1)–(1.3)**

In this section we propose an algorithm for finding solution to the original problem (1.1)-(1.3). The algorithm for finding solutions to the nonlocal problem with multipoint conditions for the partial differential equations of higher order (1.1)-(1.3) consists of seventh stages:

*1st stage.* Introduction of new unknown functions  $v_1(t, x), v_2(t, x), \dots, v_m(t, x)$ , and transition to the equivalent problem (2.1)-(2.4).

*2nd stage.* Introduction of new unknown functions  $v(t, x), w(t, x)$ , and reduction to the family of multipoint problems for the differential equation with functional parameters and integral constraints (2.5)-(2.8).

*3rd stage.* Solving the auxiliary family of multipoint problems for the differential equation (2.9), (2.10).

*4th stage.* For fixed  $w(t, x), v_1(t, x), v_r(t, x)$  and  $\frac{\partial v_r(t, x)}{\partial t}, r = \overline{2, m}$ , solving the family of multipoint problems for the differential equation (2.5), (2.6) by solution to the auxiliary family of multipoint problems for the differential equation (2.9), (2.10).

*5th stage.* Determination of functions  $v_1(t, x), w(t, x)$  from integral constraints (2.7) using  $v(t, x)$ , the solution to the family of problems (2.5), (2.6), and  $\frac{\partial v(t, x)}{\partial t}$ .

*6th stage.* Determination of functions  $v_r(t, x)$  and  $\frac{\partial v_r(t, x)}{\partial t}, r = \overline{2, m}$ , from integral constraints (2.8) using  $v_1(t, x)$  and  $\frac{\partial v_1(t, x)}{\partial t}$ .

*7th stage.* Definition of function  $u(t, x)$ , the solution to the original problem (1.1)-(1.3) from equality  $u(t, x) = v_m(t, x)$  for all  $(t, x) \in \Omega$ .

The following theorem provides the conditions of unique solvability to problem (1.1)–(1.3) in terms of the solvability of family of multipoint problems (2.9), (2.10).

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose*

- 1) the functions  $A_i(t, x), i = \overline{0, m}, B_j(t, x), j = \overline{0, m - 1}$ , and  $f(t, x)$  are continuous on  $\Omega$ ;
- 2) the functions the functions  $K_{i,l}(x)$  and  $\varphi(x)$  are continuous on  $[0, \omega], i = \overline{0, m}, l = \overline{0, p}$ ;
- 3) the functions  $\psi_j(t), j = \overline{0, m - 1}$ , are continuously differentiable on  $[0, T]$ ;
- 4) The family of multipoint problems for the differential equations (2.9), (2.10) is uniquely solvable.

*Then the nonlocal problem with multipoint conditions for the partial differential equations of higher order (1.1)-(1.3) has a unique solution.*

*Proof.* Let the assumptions 1)-3) of Theorem 2.1 be satisfied. From assumption 4) it follows that unique solvability to the family of multipoint problems for the differential equations (2.9), (2.10). From the nonlocal multipoint problem (1.1)-(1.3) we transfer to the equivalent family of multipoint problems for the differential equation with functional parameters and integral constraints (2.5)-(2.8).

To find a solution to the problem (2.5)-(2.8) we use an iterative method and the algorithm.

0 step. Suppose that  $v_1(t, x) = \psi_{m-1}(t), w(t, x) = \dot{\psi}_{m-1}(t), v_r(t, x) = \psi_{m-r}(t), \frac{\partial v_r(t, x)}{\partial t} = \dot{\psi}_{m-r}(t), r = \overline{2, m}$ , for all  $(t, x) \in \Omega$  in the right-hand side of equation (2.5) and condition (2.6). Then, we get the following problem:

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = A_m(t, x)v + F^{(0)}(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \Omega, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\sum_{l=0}^p K_{m,l}(x)v(t_l, x) = \Phi^{(0)}(x), \quad x \in [0, \omega], \tag{3.2}$$

where  $F^{(0)}(t, x) = B_{m-1}(t, x)\dot{\psi}_{m-1}(t) + A_{m-1}(t, x)\psi_{m-1}(t) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} A_i(t, x)\psi_i(t) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} B_j(t, x)\dot{\psi}_j(t) + f(t, x)$ ,

$$\Phi^{(0)}(x) = \varphi(x) - \sum_{l=0}^p K_{m-1,l}(x)\psi_{m-1}(t_l) - \sum_{l=0}^p \sum_{s=0}^{m-2} K_{s,l}(x)\psi_s(t_l).$$

Using assumption 4) we obtain of the unique solvability to the problem (2.9), (2.10) with  $F(t, x) = F^{(0)}(t, x), \Phi(x) = \Phi^{(0)}(x)$ .

From assertion of Theorem 2.1 we have the following representation of the unique solution to the family of problems (3.1), (3.2):

$$v^{(0)}(t, x) = U(t, x)M^{-1}(x)\left\{\Phi^{(0)}(x) - \sum_{l=0}^p K_{m,l}(x)U(t_l, x) \int_0^{t_l} U^{-1}(\tau, x)F^{(0)}(\tau, x)d\tau\right\} + U(t, x) \int_0^t U^{-1}(\tau, x)F^{(0)}(\tau, x)d\tau, \quad (t, x) \in \Omega. \tag{3.3}$$

Here  $U(t, x) = \exp\left\{\int_0^t A_m(\tau, x)d\tau\right\}$ .

The solution  $v^{(0)}(t, x)$  satisfies the following estimate

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|v^{(0)}(t, x)\| \leq C_0 \max\left(\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|F^{(0)}(t, x)\|, \|\Phi^{(0)}(x)\|\right), \tag{3.4}$$

where the constant  $C_0$  does not depend on  $F^{(0)}$ ,  $\Phi^{(0)}$  and  $x \in [0, \omega]$ .

Moreover, we can find its expression:

$$C_0 = e^{\alpha_m T} \max_{x \in [0, \omega]} \left[ \sum_{l=0}^p |K_{m,l}(x)| e^{\int_0^{t_l} A_m(\tau, x)d\tau} \right]^{-1} \left( 1 + \sum_{l=0}^p \max_{x \in [0, \omega]} |K_{m,l}(x)| t_l e^{\alpha_m t_l} \right) + T e^{\alpha_m T}.$$

The following estimate is also valid:

$$\max\left(\max_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \frac{\partial v^{(0)}(t, x)}{\partial t} \right\|, \max_{t \in [0, T]} \|v^{(0)}(t, x)\| \right) \leq \max(\alpha_m C_0 + 1, C_0) \max\left(\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|F^{(0)}(t, x)\|, \|\Phi^{(0)}(x)\|\right). \tag{3.5}$$

Further, we assume that  $v(t, \xi) = v^{(0)}(t, \xi)$ ,  $\frac{\partial v(t, \xi)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial v^{(0)}(t, \xi)}{\partial t}$ , for all  $(t, \xi) \in \Omega$  in integral relations (2.7) and determine  $v_1^{(0)}(t, x)$  and  $w^{(0)}(t, x)$ :

$$v_1^{(0)}(t, x) = \psi_{m-1}(t) + \int_0^x v^{(0)}(t, \xi)d\xi, \quad w^{(0)}(t, x) = \dot{\psi}_{m-1}(t) + \int_0^x \frac{\partial v^{(0)}(t, \xi)}{\partial t} d\xi, \quad (t, x) \in \Omega. \tag{3.6}$$

At the next stage, using  $v_1^{(0)}(t, x)$  and  $\frac{\partial v_1^{(0)}(t, x)}{\partial t}$  we sequentially find the functions  $v_r^{(0)}(t, x)$  and  $\frac{\partial v_r^{(0)}(t, x)}{\partial t}$ ,  $r = \overline{2, m}$ , from integral constraints (2.8):

$$v_2^{(0)}(t, x) = \psi_{m-2}(t) + \int_0^x v_1^{(0)}(t, \xi)d\xi, \quad \frac{\partial v_2^{(0)}(t, x)}{\partial t} = \dot{\psi}_{m-2}(t) + \int_0^x \frac{\partial v_1^{(0)}(t, \xi)}{\partial t} d\xi, \quad (t, x) \in \Omega, \tag{3.7}$$

$$v_3^{(0)}(t, x) = \psi_{m-3}(t) + \int_0^x v_2^{(0)}(t, \xi)d\xi, \quad \frac{\partial v_3^{(0)}(t, x)}{\partial t} = \dot{\psi}_{m-3}(t) + \int_0^x \frac{\partial v_2^{(0)}(t, \xi)}{\partial t} d\xi, \quad (t, x) \in \Omega, \tag{3.8}$$

...

$$v_m^{(0)}(t, x) = \psi_0(t) + \int_0^x v_{m-1}^{(0)}(t, \xi)d\xi, \quad \frac{\partial v_m^{(0)}(t, x)}{\partial t} = \dot{\psi}_0(t) + \int_0^x \frac{\partial v_{m-1}^{(0)}(t, \xi)}{\partial t} d\xi, \quad (t, x) \in \Omega. \tag{3.9}$$

Finally, we define a function  $u^{(0)}(t, x)$  by the following equality:

$$u^{(0)}(t, x) = v_m^{(0)}(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \Omega, \tag{3.10}$$

According to the algorithm above, the function  $u^{(0)}(t, x)$  is an initial approximation of solution to the original problem (1.1)-(1.3).

On the first step of the iterative method, we suppose that  $v_1(t, x) = v_1^{(0)}(t, x)$ ,  $w(t, x) = w^{(0)}(t, x)$ ,  $v_r(t, x) = v_r^{(0)}(t, x)$ ,  $\frac{\partial v_r(t, x)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial v_r^{(0)}(t, x)}{\partial t}$ ,  $r = \overline{2, m}$ , for all  $(t, x) \in \Omega$  in the right-hand side of equation (2.5) and condition (2.6). Then, we get the following problem:

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = A_m(t, x)v + F^{(1)}(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \Omega, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\sum_{l=0}^p K_{m,l}(x)v(t_l, x) = \Phi^{(1)}(x), \quad x \in [0, \omega], \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$F^{(1)}(t, x) = B_{m-1}(t, x)w^{(0)}(t, x) + A_{m-1}(t, x)v_1^{(0)}(t, x) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} A_i(t, x)v_{m-i}^{(0)}(t, x) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} B_j(t, x)\frac{\partial v_{m-j}^{(0)}(t, x)}{\partial t} + f(t, x),$$

$$\Phi^{(1)}(x) = \varphi(x) - \sum_{l=0}^p K_{m-1,l}(x)v_1^{(0)}(t_l, x) - \sum_{l=0}^p \sum_{s=0}^{m-2} K_{s,l}(x)v_{m-s}^{(0)}(t_l, x).$$

Using assumption 4) again, we obtain of the unique solvability to the problem (2.9), (2.10) with  $F(t, x) = F^{(1)}(t, x)$ ,  $\Phi(x) = \Phi^{(1)}(x)$ .

From assertion of Theorem 2.1 we have the following representation of the unique solution to the family of problems (3.11), (3.12):

$$\begin{aligned} v^{(1)}(t, x) = & U(t, x)M^{-1}(x)\left\{\Phi^{(1)}(x) - \sum_{l=0}^p K_{m,l}(x)U(t_l, x) \int_0^{t_l} U^{-1}(\tau, x)F^{(1)}(\tau, x)d\tau\right\} \\ & + U(t, x) \int_0^t U^{-1}(\tau, x)F^{(1)}(\tau, x)d\tau, \quad (t, x) \in \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

The solution  $v^{(1)}(t, x)$  satisfies the following estimate

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|v^{(1)}(t, x)\| \leq C_0 \max\left(\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|F^{(1)}(t, x)\|, \|\Phi^{(1)}(x)\|\right). \tag{3.14}$$

The following estimate is also valid:

$$\max\left(\max_{t \in [0, T]} \left\|\frac{\partial v^{(1)}(t, x)}{\partial t}\right\|, \max_{t \in [0, T]} \|v^{(1)}(t, x)\|\right) \leq \max(\alpha_m C_0 + 1, C_0) \max\left(\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|F^{(1)}(t, x)\|, \|\Phi^{(1)}(x)\|\right). \tag{3.15}$$

Further, we assume that  $v(t, \xi) = v^{(1)}(t, \xi)$ ,  $\frac{\partial v(t, \xi)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial v^{(1)}(t, \xi)}{\partial t}$ , for all  $(t, \xi) \in \Omega$  in integral relations (2.7) and determine  $v_1^{(1)}(t, x)$  and  $w^{(1)}(t, x)$ :

$$v_1^{(1)}(t, x) = \psi_{m-1}(t) + \int_0^x v^{(1)}(t, \xi)d\xi, \quad w^{(1)}(t, x) = \dot{\psi}_{m-1}(t) + \int_0^x \frac{\partial v^{(1)}(t, \xi)}{\partial t} d\xi, \quad (t, x) \in \Omega. \tag{3.16}$$

At the next stage, using  $v_1^{(1)}(t, x)$  and  $\frac{\partial v_1^{(1)}(t, x)}{\partial t}$  we sequentially find the functions  $v_r^{(1)}(t, x)$  and  $\frac{\partial v_r^{(1)}(t, x)}{\partial t}$ ,  $r = \overline{2, m}$ , from integral constraints (2.8):

$$v_2^{(1)}(t, x) = \psi_{m-2}(t) + \int_0^x v_1^{(1)}(t, \xi) d\xi, \quad \frac{\partial v_2^{(1)}(t, x)}{\partial t} = \dot{\psi}_{m-2}(t) + \int_0^x \frac{\partial v_1^{(1)}(t, \xi)}{\partial t} d\xi, \quad (t, x) \in \Omega, \quad (3.17)$$

$$v_3^{(1)}(t, x) = \psi_{m-3}(t) + \int_0^x v_2^{(1)}(t, \xi) d\xi, \quad \frac{\partial v_3^{(1)}(t, x)}{\partial t} = \dot{\psi}_{m-3}(t) + \int_0^x \frac{\partial v_2^{(1)}(t, \xi)}{\partial t} d\xi, \quad (t, x) \in \Omega, \quad (3.18)$$

...

$$v_m^{(1)}(t, x) = \psi_0(t) + \int_0^x v_{m-1}^{(1)}(t, \xi) d\xi, \quad \frac{\partial v_m^{(1)}(t, x)}{\partial t} = \dot{\psi}_0(t) + \int_0^x \frac{\partial v_{m-1}^{(1)}(t, \xi)}{\partial t} d\xi, \quad (t, x) \in \Omega. \quad (3.19)$$

Finally, we define a function  $u^{(1)}(t, x)$  by the following equality:

$$u^{(1)}(t, x) = v_m^{(1)}(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \Omega, \quad (3.20)$$

According to the algorithm above, the function  $u^{(1)}(t, x)$  is a first approximation of solution to the original problem (1.1)-(1.3).

And so on.

On the  $k$ th step of the iterative method, we suppose that  $v_1(t, x) = v_1^{(k-1)}(t, x)$ ,  $w(t, x) = w^{(k-1)}(t, x)$ ,  $v_r(t, x) = v_r^{(k-1)}(t, x)$ ,  $\frac{\partial v_r(t, x)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial v_r^{(k-1)}(t, x)}{\partial t}$ ,  $r = \overline{2, m}$ , for all  $(t, x) \in \Omega$  in the right-hand side of equation (2.5) and condition (2.6). Then, we get the following problem:

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = A_m(t, x)v + F^{(k)}(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \Omega, \quad (3.21)$$

$$\sum_{l=0}^p K_{m,l}(x)v(t_l, x) = \Phi^{(k)}(x), \quad x \in [0, \omega], \quad (3.22)$$

where

$$F^{(k)}(t, x) = B_{m-1}(t, x)w^{(k-1)}(t, x) + A_{m-1}(t, x)v_1^{(k-1)}(t, x) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} A_i(t, x)v_{m-i}^{(k-1)}(t, x) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} B_j(t, x)\frac{\partial v_{m-j}^{(k-1)}(t, x)}{\partial t} + f(t, x),$$

$$\Phi^{(k)}(x) = \varphi(x) - \sum_{l=0}^p K_{m-1,l}(x)v_1^{(k-1)}(t_l, x) - \sum_{l=0}^p \sum_{s=0}^{m-2} K_{s,l}(x)v_{m-s}^{(k-1)}(t_l, x).$$

Using assumption 4) again, we obtain of the unique solvability to the problem (2.9), (2.10) with  $F(t, x) = F^{(k)}(t, x)$ ,  $\Phi(x) = \Phi^{(k)}(x)$ .

We have the representation of the unique solution to the family of problems (3.21), (3.22) in the following form:

$$v^{(k)}(t, x) = U(t, x)M^{-1}(x)\left\{\Phi^{(k)}(x) - \sum_{l=0}^p K_{m,l}(x)U(t_l, x) \int_0^{t_l} U^{-1}(\tau, x)F^{(k)}(\tau, x)d\tau\right\} + U(t, x) \int_0^t U^{-1}(\tau, x)F^{(k)}(\tau, x)d\tau, \quad (t, x) \in \Omega. \quad (3.23)$$

The solution  $v^{(k)}(t, x)$  satisfies the following estimate

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|v^{(k)}(t, x)\| \leq C_0 \max\left(\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|F^{(k)}(t, x)\|, \|\Phi^{(k)}(x)\|\right). \tag{3.24}$$

The next estimate is also valid:

$$\max\left(\max_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \frac{\partial v^{(k)}(t, x)}{\partial t} \right\|, \max_{t \in [0, T]} \|v^{(k)}(t, x)\| \right) \leq \max(\alpha_m C_0 + 1, C_0) \max\left(\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|F^{(k)}(t, x)\|, \|\Phi^{(k)}(x)\|\right). \tag{3.25}$$

Further, we assume that  $v(t, \xi) = v^{(k)}(t, \xi)$ ,  $\frac{\partial v(t, \xi)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial v^{(k)}(t, \xi)}{\partial t}$ , for all  $(t, \xi) \in \Omega$  in integral relations (2.7) and determine  $v_1^{(k)}(t, x)$  and  $w^{(k)}(t, x)$ :

$$v_1^{(k)}(t, x) = \psi_{m-1}(t) + \int_0^x v^{(k)}(t, \xi) d\xi, \quad w^{(k)}(t, x) = \dot{\psi}_{m-1}(t) + \int_0^x \frac{\partial v^{(k)}(t, \xi)}{\partial t} d\xi, \quad (t, x) \in \Omega. \tag{3.26}$$

Now, using  $v_1^{(k)}(t, x)$  and  $\frac{\partial v_1^{(k)}(t, x)}{\partial t}$  we sequentially find the functions  $v_r^{(k)}(t, x)$  and  $\frac{\partial v_r^{(k)}(t, x)}{\partial t}$ ,  $r = \overline{2, m}$ , from integral constraints (2.8):

$$v_2^{(k)}(t, x) = \psi_{m-2}(t) + \int_0^x v_1^{(k)}(t, \xi) d\xi, \quad \frac{\partial v_2^{(k)}(t, x)}{\partial t} = \dot{\psi}_{m-2}(t) + \int_0^x \frac{\partial v_1^{(k)}(t, \xi)}{\partial t} d\xi, \quad (t, x) \in \Omega, \tag{3.27}$$

$$v_3^{(k)}(t, x) = \psi_{m-3}(t) + \int_0^x v_2^{(k)}(t, \xi) d\xi, \quad \frac{\partial v_3^{(k)}(t, x)}{\partial t} = \dot{\psi}_{m-3}(t) + \int_0^x \frac{\partial v_2^{(k)}(t, \xi)}{\partial t} d\xi, \quad (t, x) \in \Omega, \tag{3.28}$$

...

$$v_m^{(k)}(t, x) = \psi_0(t) + \int_0^x v_{m-1}^{(k)}(t, \xi) d\xi, \quad \frac{\partial v_m^{(k)}(t, x)}{\partial t} = \dot{\psi}_0(t) + \int_0^x \frac{\partial v_{m-1}^{(k)}(t, \xi)}{\partial t} d\xi, \quad (t, x) \in \Omega. \tag{3.29}$$

Finally, we define a function  $u^{(k)}(t, x)$  by the following equality:

$$u^{(k)}(t, x) = v_m^{(k)}(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in \Omega, \tag{3.30}$$

According to the algorithm above, the function  $u^{(k)}(t, x)$  is a  $k$ th approximation of solution to the original problem (1.1)-(1.3),  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Convergence of the functional sequences  $\{v^{(k)}(t, x)\}$ ,  $\{\frac{\partial v^{(k)}(t, \xi)}{\partial t}\}$ ,  $\{v_1^{(k)}(t, x)\}$ ,  $\{w^{(k)}(t, x)\}$ ,  $\{v_r^{(k)}(t, x)\}$ ,  $\{\frac{\partial v_r^{(k)}(t, x)}{\partial t}\}$ ,  $r = \overline{2, m}$ , are established similarly in [AssTok].

Therefore, the sequence  $\{u^{(k)}(t, x)\}$  converges to  $u^*(t, x)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $(t, x) \in \Omega$ . In this case, the limit function  $u^*(t, x)$  are continuous on  $\Omega$ . Moreover, there exist partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial^{s+i} u^*(t, x)}{\partial t^s \partial x^i}$ ,  $s = 0, 1$ ,  $i = \overline{0, m}$ , are continuous on  $\Omega$ . So, we found a solution to the problem (1.1)-(1.3).

The uniqueness of the solution to problem (1.1)-(1.3) is established by the method of contradiction. The theorem is proved.  $\square$

We can see the sufficient conditions for the unique solvability of nonlocal multipoint problem (1.1)–(1.3) are established in terms of the initial data.

**Conclusion.** In the paper is investigated the nonlocal problem with multipoint conditions for the partial differential equations of higher order (1.1)-(1.3). Algorithms for finding a solution to the nonlocal problem with multipoint conditions are constructed and their convergence is proved. Conditions for the

unique solvability of the nonlocal problem with multipoint conditions for the partial differential equations of higher order are established in the terms of unique solvability to the family of multipoint problems for the differential equations (2.9), (2.10). In the future, using fundamental matrix of family problems for the system of differential equations, the results of the paper will be developed to the nonlocal problem with multipoint conditions for the system of partial differential equations of higher order. The questions of the existence of new general solutions [25, 26] of the above classes of problems will be investigated.

## References

- [1] A. Ashyralyev, C. Ashyralyev, *On the stability of parabolic differential and difference equations with a time-nonlocal condition*, Comput. Math. Math. Phys. **62** (2022), 962–973.
- [2] A. Ashyralyev, C. Ashyralyev, *The second-order accuracy difference schemes for integral-type time-nonlocal parabolic problems*, Contemporary Mathematics. Fundamental Directions. **69** (2023), 32–49.
- [3] A. T. Assanova, *Multipoint problem for a system of hyperbolic equations with mixed derivative*, J. Math. Sci. (United States). **212** (2016), 213–233.
- [4] A. T. Assanova, D. S. Dzhumabaev, *Well-posedness of nonlocal boundary value problems with integral condition for the system of hyperbolic equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **402** (2013), 167–178.
- [5] A. T. Assanova, Z. K. Dzhobulaeva, A. E. Imanchiyev, *A multi-point initial problem for a non-classical system of a partial differential equations*, Lobachevskii J. Math. **41** (2020), 1031–1042.
- [6] A. T. Assanova, A. E. Imanchiyev, *On conditions of the solvability of nonlocal multi-point boundary value problems for quasilinear systems of hyperbolic equations*, Eurasian Math. J. **6** (2015), No 4, 19–28.
- [7] A. T. Assanova, A. E. Imanchiyev, *Problem with non-separated multipoint-integral conditions for high-order differential equations and a new general solution*, Quaest. Math. **45** (2022), 1641–1653.
- [8] A. T. Assanova, A. E. Imanchiyev, Z. M. Kadirbayeva, *Solvability of nonlocal problems for systems of Sobolev-type differential equations with a multipoint condition*, Russian Math. **63** (2019), 12–22.
- [9] A. T. Assanova, S. S. Kabdrakhova, *Modification of the Euler polygonal method for solving a semi-periodic boundary value problem for pseudo-parabolic equation of special type*, Mediterranean J. Math. **17** (2020), No 4, Art. 109.
- [10] A. T. Assanova, A. B. Tleulessova, *Nonlocal problem for a system of partial differential equations of higher order with pulsed actions*, Ukrainian Math. J. **71** (2020), 1821–1842.
- [11] A. T. Assanova, Z. S. Tokmurzin, *An approach to the solution of the initial boundary-value problem for systems of fourth-order hyperbolic equations*, Math. Notes. **108** (2020), 3–14.
- [12] J. L. Daletskii, M. G. Krein, *Stability of Solutions of Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*, Nauka, Moscow. 1970. (in Russian)
- [13] D. S. Dzhumabayev, *Criteria for the unique solvability of a linear boundary-value problem for an ordinary differential equation*, USSR Comput. Math. Math. Phys. **29** (1989), 34–46.
- [14] D. S. Dzhumabaev, *New general solutions to linear Fredholm integro-differential equations and their applications on solving the boundary value problems*, J. Comp. Appl. Math. **327** (2018), 79–108.
- [15] D. S. Dzhumabaev, *New general solutions of ordinary differential equations and the methods for the solution of boundary-value problems*, Ukrainian Math. J. **71** (2019), 1006–1031.
- [16] T. Kiguradze, *The Valle-Poussin problem for higher order nonlinear hyperbolic equations*, Comp. & Math. Appl. **59** (2010), 994–1002.
- [17] T. I. Kiguradze, T. Kusano, *Well-posedness of initial-boundary value problems for higher-order linear hyperbolic equations with two independent variables*, Differ. Equ. **39** (2003), 553–563.
- [18] T. I. Kiguradze, T. Kusano, *On ill-posed initial-boundary value problems for higher order linear hyperbolic equations with two independent variables*, Differ. Equ. **39** (2003), 1379–1394.
- [19] A. M. Nakhushiev, *Shift Problems for Partial Differential Equations*, Nauka, Moscow. 2006. (in Russian)
- [20] B. I. Ptashnyck, *Ill-posed Boundary Valued Problems for Partial Differential Equations*, Naukova dumka, Kiev. 1984. (in Russian)
- [21] M. Ronto, A. M. Samoilenko, *Numerical-analytic Methods in the Theory of Boundary-Valued Problems*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2000.
- [22] A. M. Samoilenko, V. N. Laptinsky, K. Kenzhebaev, *Constructive methods in the investigation of periodic and multipoint boundary-value problems*, (Proc. Inst. Math. NAS of Ukraine. Math. Appl.), Inst. Math. NAS of Ukraine, Kyiv, 1999. Vol. 29, 1–186.
- [23] V. V. Shelukhin, *A problem with time-averaged data for nonlinear parabolic equations*, Siberian Math. J. **32** (1991), 309–320.
- [24] V. V. Shelukhin, *A variational principle for linear evolution problems nonlocal in time*, Siberian Math. J. **34** (1993), 369–384.
- [25] V. N. Starovoitov, *Unique solvability of a linear parabolic problem with nonlocal time data*, Siberian Math. J. **62** (2021), 337–340.