



Approximation by Szász-integral type operators

Arun Kajla^a, Sahil Berwal^a, Priya Sehrawat^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Central University of Haryana, Haryana 123031, India

Abstract. We consider a summation-integral type operators and establish a quantitative Voronovskaja type theorem and weighted approximation for these operators. Finally, we calculate the rate of convergence for absolutely continuous functions whose derivative is equivalent to a function with bounded variation.

1. Introduction

Miheşan [18] constructed a significant extension of the prominent Szász operators based on $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{P}_n^{(\tau)}(\Lambda; z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} v_{n,j}^{(\tau)}(z) \Lambda\left(\frac{j}{n}\right), \quad z \in [0, \infty), \quad (1)$$

where $v_{n,j}^{(\tau)}(z) = \frac{(\tau)_j}{j!} \frac{\left(\frac{nz}{\tau}\right)^j}{\left(1 + \frac{nz}{\tau}\right)^{\tau+j}}$, $(\tau)_j = \tau(\tau+1)\cdots(\tau+j-1)$, $(\tau)_0 = 1$, and $\tau + nz > 0$. The operator $\mathcal{P}_n^{(\tau)}$

preserves the linear polynomials, and for specific values of τ , several well-known operators can be derived. Păltănea [20] constructed a generalization of the Phillips operators by considering the modified basis functions under integration based on parameter. Gupta and Rassias [11] introduced several approximation properties, such as weighted approximation, asymptotic formula, and error estimate in terms of modulus of smoothness, by proposing a integral variant of certain Szász type operators. In 2015, Acar [1] constructed the general Szász-Mirakyan operators and compute quantitative Grüss type Voronovskaya theorems by with the help of weighted modulus of smoothness. Gupta [9] constructed a sequence of hybrid operators with weights of the Păltănea basis function and studied some approximation properties of these operators. Kajla and Agrawal [14] established a Voronovskaja type asymptotic theorem, weighted approximation, and statistical convergence by proposing a integral variant of Szász operators depending on Charlier polynomials. Acu and Gupta [2] proposed mixed hybrid operators involving two parameters. They proved the Korovkin type approximation theorem and the order of convergence for unbounded functions with derivatives of bounded variation. Kajla et al. [15] introduced the Baskakov-Szász type operators involving inverse Pólya-Eggenberger distribution and investigated their direct results. In the literature, many researchers

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26A15, 41A25, 41A35.

Keywords. Beta operators; Steklov mean.

Received: 10 May 2023; Accepted: 15 August 2023

Communicated by Hari M. Srivastava

Dedicated to professor H. M. Srivastava on the occasion of his 84th birthday

Email addresses: arunkajla@cuh.ac.in (Arun Kajla), sahilberwal593@gmail.com (Sahil Berwal), sehrawatpriya3008@gmail.com (Priya Sehrawat)

have studied the approximation properties of various hybrid operators (cf. [3–5, 7, 8, 10, 13, 16, 17, 19, 22–30] etc.) and reference therein.

Suppose that $\theta > 0$, $\vartheta > 0$ and $\Lambda \in C_\vartheta[0, \infty) := \{\Lambda \in C[0, \infty) : \Lambda(w) = O(w^\vartheta), \text{ as } w \rightarrow \infty\}$, we derive integral type generalization of the operators defined by (1) as follows:

$$\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(\Lambda; z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v_{n,j}^{(\tau)}(z) \int_0^\infty l_{n,j}^\theta(w) \Lambda(w) dw + v_{n,0}^{(\tau)}(z) \Lambda(0), \quad (2)$$

where $l_{n,j}^\theta(w) = \frac{1}{B(j\theta, n\theta + 1)} \frac{w^{j\theta-1}}{(1+w)^{j\theta+n\theta+1}}$ and $v_{n,j}^{(\tau)}(z)$ is given as above. It should be noted that this operators (2) preserves both constant and linear functions. The current article deals with some direct results of the operators $\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta$.

2. Basic Results

Let $e_i(w) = w^i, i = \overline{0, 6}$.

Lemma 2.1. *For the operators $\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(\Lambda; z)$, we have*

- (i) $\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(e_0; z) = 1$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(e_1; z) = z$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(e_2; z) = \frac{z^2 n \theta (1+\tau)}{\tau(n\theta-1)} + \frac{z(1+\theta)}{(n\theta-1)}$,
- (iv) $\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(e_3; z) = \frac{z^3 n^2 \theta^2 (1+\tau)(2+\tau)}{\tau^2(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)} + \frac{3n\theta z^2 (1+\tau)(1+\theta)}{\tau(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)} + \frac{z(1+\theta)(2+\theta)}{(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)}$,
- (v) $\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(e_4; z) = \frac{z^4 n^3 \theta^3 (1+\tau)(2+\tau)(3+\tau)}{\tau^3(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)(n\theta-3)} + \frac{6n^2 \theta^2 z^3 (1+\tau)(2+\tau)(1+\theta)}{\tau^2(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)(n\theta-3)} + \frac{n\theta z^2 (1+\tau)(1+\theta)(11+7\theta)}{\tau(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)(n\theta-3)} + \frac{z(1+\theta)(2+\theta)(3+\theta)}{(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)(n\theta-3)}$,
- (vi) $\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(e_5; z) = \frac{z^5 n^4 \theta^4 (1+\tau)(2+\tau)(3+\tau)(4+\tau)}{\tau^4(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)(n\theta-3)(n\theta-4)} + \frac{10n^3 \theta^3 z^4 (1+\tau)(2+\tau)(3+\tau)(1+\theta)}{\tau^3(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)(n\theta-3)(n\theta-4)} + \frac{5n^2 \theta^2 z^3 (1+\tau)(2+\tau)(1+\theta)(7+5\theta)}{\tau^2(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)(n\theta-3)(n\theta-4)} + \frac{5n\theta z^2 (1+\tau)(1+\theta)(2+\theta)(5+3\theta)}{\tau(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)(n\theta-3)(n\theta-4)} + \frac{z(1+\theta)(2+\theta)(3+\theta)(4+\theta)}{(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)(n\theta-3)(n\theta-4)}$,
- (vii) $\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(e_6; z) = \frac{z^6 n^5 \theta^5 (1+\tau)(2+\tau)(3+\tau)(4+\tau)(5+\tau)}{\tau^5(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)(n\theta-3)(n\theta-4)(n\theta-5)} + \frac{15n^4 \theta^4 z^5 (1+\tau)(2+\tau)(3+\tau)(4+\tau)(1+\theta)}{\tau^4(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)(n\theta-3)(n\theta-4)(n\theta-5)} + \frac{5n^3 \theta^3 z^4 (1+\tau)(2+\tau)(3+\tau)(1+\theta)(17+13\theta)}{\tau^3(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)(n\theta-3)(n\theta-4)(n\theta-5)} + \frac{15n^2 \theta^2 z^3 (1+\tau)(2+\tau)(1+\theta)(2+\theta)(5+3\theta)}{\tau^2(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)(n\theta-3)(n\theta-4)(n\theta-5)} + \frac{n\theta z^2 (1+\tau)(1+\theta)(2+\theta)(137+\theta(132+31\theta))}{\tau(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)(n\theta-3)(n\theta-4)(n\theta-5)} + \frac{z(1+\theta)(2+\theta)(3+\theta)(4+\theta)(5+\theta)}{(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)(n\theta-3)(n\theta-4)(n\theta-5)}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Using Lemma 2.1, we find that*

- (i) $\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(w-z; z) = 0$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta((w-z)^2; z) = \frac{z^2(\tau+n\theta)}{\tau(n\theta-1)} + \frac{z(1+\theta)}{(n\theta-1)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(iii)} \quad & \mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^{\theta}((w-z)^4; z) = \frac{3z^4(6\tau^3 + n\tau^2(12+\tau)\theta + 2n^2\tau(4+\tau)\theta^2 + n^3(2+\tau)\theta^3)}{\tau^3(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)(n\theta-3)} \\
& + \frac{6z^3(1+\theta)(6\tau^2 + n\tau(6+\tau)\theta + n^2(2+\tau)\theta^2)}{\tau^2(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)(n\theta-3)} + \frac{z^2(1+\theta)(n\theta(11+7\theta) + 3\tau(8+\theta(4+n\theta+n)))}{\tau(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)(n\theta-3)} \\
& + \frac{z(1+\theta)(2+\theta)(3+\theta)}{(n\theta-1)(n\theta-2)(n\theta-3)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.3. If $\tau = \tau(n) \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{\tau(n)} = d \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \mu_{n,\theta}^{\tau,1}(z) &= 0, \\
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \mu_{n,\theta}^{\tau,2}(z) &= \frac{z^2(1+d\theta)}{\theta} + \frac{z(1+\theta)}{\theta}, \\
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^2 \mu_{n,\theta}^{\tau,4}(z) &= \frac{3z^4(1+d\theta)^2}{\theta^2} + \frac{6z^3(1+\theta)(1+d\theta)}{\theta^2} + \frac{3z^2(1+\theta)^2}{\theta^2}, \\
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^3 \mu_{n,\theta}^{\tau,6}(z) &= \frac{15z^3(1+\theta)^3}{\theta^3} + \frac{45z^4(1+\theta(2+d+\theta+2d\theta+\theta^2))}{\theta^3} + \frac{45z^5(1+\theta)(1+d\theta)^2}{\theta^3} \\
& + \frac{15z^6(1+d\theta(3+3d\theta+\theta^2))}{\theta^3}, \quad \text{where } \mu_{n,\theta}^{\tau,m} := \mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^{\theta}((w-z)^m; z), m = 1, 2, 4, 6.
\end{aligned}$$

3. Direct Results

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $\Lambda \in C_{\delta}[0, \infty)$ and $\tau = \tau(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^{\theta}(\Lambda; z) = \Lambda(z)$, uniformly in any compact subset of $[0, \infty)$.

Let $\eta_1 \geq 0, \eta_2 > 0$ be fixed. We examine the following Lipschitz-type space (see [21]):

$$Lip_M^{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\beta) := \left\{ \Lambda \in C[0, \infty) : |\Lambda(w) - \Lambda(z)| \leq M \frac{|w-z|^\beta}{(w + \eta_1 z^2 + \eta_2 z)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}; \quad z, w \in (0, \infty), 0 < \beta \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $\Lambda \in Lip_M^{(\eta_1, \eta_2)}(\beta)$ and $\beta \in (0, 1]$. Then,

$$|\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^{\theta}(\Lambda; z) - \Lambda(z)| \leq M \left(\frac{\mu_{n,\theta}^{\tau,2}(z)}{\eta_1 z^2 + \eta_2 z} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}, \quad z \in (0, \infty).$$

Proof. Using Hölder's inequality with $p = \frac{2}{\beta}, q = \frac{2}{2-\beta}$, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^{\theta}(\Lambda; z) - \Lambda(z)|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v_{n,j}^{(\tau)}(z) \int_0^{\infty} l_{n,j}^{\theta}(w) |\Lambda(w) - \Lambda(z)| dw + v_{n,0}^{(\tau)}(z) |\Lambda(0) - \Lambda(z)| \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v_{n,j}^{(\tau)}(z) \left(\int_0^{\infty} l_{n,j}^{\theta}(w) |\Lambda(w) - \Lambda(z)|^{\frac{2}{\beta}} dw \right)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} + v_{n,0}^{(\tau)}(z) |\Lambda(0) - \Lambda(z)| \\
&\leq \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v_{n,j}^{(\tau)}(z) \int_0^{\infty} l_{n,j}^{\theta}(w) |\Lambda(w) - \Lambda(z)|^{\frac{2}{\beta}} dw + v_{n,0}^{(\tau)}(z) |\Lambda(0) - \Lambda(z)|^{\frac{2}{\beta}} \right\}^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} v_{n,j}^{(\tau)}(z) \right)^{\frac{2-\beta}{2}} \\
&= \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v_{n,j}^{(\tau)} \int_0^{\infty} l_{n,j}^{\theta}(w) |\Lambda(w) - \Lambda(z)|^{\frac{2}{\beta}} dw + v_{n,0}^{(\tau)}(z) |\Lambda(0) - \Lambda(z)|^{\frac{2}{\beta}} \right\}^{\frac{\beta}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq M \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v_{n,j}^{(\tau)}(z) \int_0^{\infty} l_{n,j}^{\theta}(w) \frac{(w-z)^2}{(w+\eta_1 z^2 + \eta_2 z)} dw + v_{n,0}^{(\tau)}(z) \frac{z^2}{(\eta_1 z^2 + \eta_2 z)} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{M}{(\eta_1 z^2 + \eta_2 z)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v_{n,j}^{(\tau)}(z) \int_0^{\infty} l_{n,j}^{\theta}(w) (w-z)^2 dw + z^2 v_{n,0}^{(\tau)}(z) \right)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \\
&= \frac{M (\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^{\theta}((w-z)^2; z))^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}{(\eta_1 z^2 + \eta_2 z)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}} = \frac{M}{(\eta_1 z^2 + \eta_2 z)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}} (\mu_{n,\theta}^{\tau,2}(z))^{\frac{\beta}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof is completed. \square

Define $H_{\zeta}[0, \infty)$ to represent the space of all real valued functions on $[0, \infty)$ that satisfy the constraint $|\Lambda(z)| \leq N_{\Lambda} \zeta(z)$, where N_{Λ} is a positive constant that depends only on Λ and $\zeta(z) = 1+z^2$ is a weight function. Let $C_{\zeta}[0, \infty)$ be the space of all continuous functions in $H_{\zeta}[0, \infty)$ endowed with the norm $\|\Lambda\|_{\zeta} := \sup_{z \in [0, \infty)} \frac{|\Lambda(z)|}{\zeta(z)}$ and $C_{\zeta}^0[0, \infty) := \left\{ \Lambda \in C_{\zeta}[0, \infty) : \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\Lambda(z)|}{\zeta(z)} < \infty \right\}$. The classical modulus of continuity of Λ on $[0, b]$ is given as $\omega_b(\Lambda, \delta) = \sup_{0 < |w-z| \leq \delta} \sup_{z, w \in [0, b]} |\Lambda(w) - \Lambda(z)|$.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $\Lambda \in C_{\zeta}[0, \infty)$. Then, show that

$$|\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^{\theta}(\Lambda; z) - \Lambda(z)| \leq 4N_{\Lambda}(1+z^2)\mu_{n,\theta}^{\tau,2}(z) + 2\omega_{b+1}\left(\Lambda, \sqrt{\mu_{n,\theta}^{\tau,2}(z)}\right). \quad (3)$$

Proof. See [12], for $z \in [0, b]$ and $w \geq 0$, we may write

$$|\Lambda(w) - \Lambda(z)| \leq 4N_{\Lambda}(1+z^2)(w-z)^2 + \left(1 + \frac{|w-z|}{\delta}\right)\omega_{b+1}(\Lambda, \delta), \quad \delta > 0.$$

According to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^{\theta}(\Lambda; z) - \Lambda(z)| &\leq 4N_{\Lambda}(1+z^2)\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^{\theta}((w-z)^2; z) + \omega_{b+1}(\Lambda, \delta) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^{\theta}(|w-z|; z)\right) \\
&\leq 4N_{\Lambda}(1+z^2)\mu_{n,\theta}^{\tau,2}(z) + \omega_{b+1}(\Lambda, \delta) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \sqrt{\mu_{n,\theta}^{\tau,2}(z)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, choosing $\delta = \sqrt{\mu_{n,\theta}^{\tau,2}(z)}$, we get (3). \square

4. Weighted approximation

Theorem 4.1. Let $\Lambda \in C_{\zeta}^0[0, \infty)$ and $\tau = \tau(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^{\theta}(\Lambda) - \Lambda\|_{\zeta} = 0. \quad (4)$$

Proof. It is sufficient to verify the following three relationships to prove this result (see [6])

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^{\theta}(w^m; z) - z^m\|_{\zeta} = 0, \quad m = 0, 1, 2. \quad (5)$$

Because $\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(e_0; z) = 1$, The criterion in (5) is valid for $m = 0$.

Applying Lemma 2.1, $\|\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(w; z) - z\|_\zeta = \sup_{z \geq 0} \frac{1}{1+z^2} |z - z| = 0$.

Thus, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(w; z) - z\|_\zeta = 0$. Finally, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(w^2; z) - z^2\|_\zeta = \sup_{z \geq 0} \frac{1}{1+z^2} \left| \frac{z^2 n \theta (1 + \tau)}{\tau(n\theta - 1)} + \frac{z(1 + \theta)}{(n\theta - 1)} - z^2 \right| \leq \sup_{z \geq 0} \frac{z^2}{1+z^2} \frac{(\tau + n\theta)}{\tau(n\theta - 1)} + \sup_{z \geq 0} \frac{z}{1+z^2} \frac{(1 + \theta)}{\tau(n\theta - 1)},$$

which implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(w^2; z) - z^2\|_\zeta = 0$. \square

We use the weighted modulus of continuity $\Theta(\Lambda, \delta)$ defined on $[0, \infty)$ (see [13]). Suppose that

$$\Theta(\Lambda, \delta) = \sup_{|h| < \delta, z \in [0, \infty)} \frac{|\Lambda(z+h) - \Lambda(z)|}{(1+h^2)(1+z^2)} \quad \text{for each } C_\zeta^0[0, \infty).$$

Lemma 4.2. [13] Let $\Lambda \in C_\zeta^0[0, \infty)$, then:

i) $\Theta(\Lambda; \delta)$ is a monotone increasing function of δ ;

ii) $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \Theta(\Lambda; \delta) = 0$;

iii) Every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Theta(\Lambda, m\delta) \leq m\Theta(\Lambda; \delta)$;

iv) Every $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$, $\Theta(\Lambda; \lambda\delta) \leq (1 + \lambda)\Theta(\Lambda; \delta)$.

In this part, we will examine at a quantitative Voronovskaja type result for the $\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta$ operators.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\Lambda'' \in C_\zeta^0[0, \infty)$ and $\theta, \tau, z > 0$. Then,

$$\left| \mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(\Lambda; z) - \Lambda(z) - \frac{\Lambda''(z)}{2} \left(\frac{z^2(\tau + n\theta) + \tau z(1 + \theta)}{\tau(n\theta - 1)} \right) \right| \leq 8(1 + z^2)O(n^{-1})\Theta\left(\Lambda'', \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

Proof. Using Taylor's series, \exists, ξ which lies between z and w such that

$$\Lambda(w) = \Lambda(z) + \Lambda'(z)(w - z) + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda''(z)(w - z)^2 + \varepsilon(w, z)(w - z)^2, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\varepsilon(w, z) = \frac{\Lambda''(\xi) - \Lambda''(z)}{2},$$

is a continuous function that terminates at 0. Using the operators $\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta$ on the above relation and the Lemma 4.2, we can write

$$\left| \mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(\Lambda; z) - \Lambda(z) - \frac{\Lambda''(z)}{2} \left(\frac{z^2(\tau + n\theta) + \tau z(1 + \theta)}{\tau(n\theta - 1)} \right) \right| \leq \mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(|\varepsilon(w, z)|(w - z)^2, z).$$

From Lemma 4.2 and the definition of $\Theta(\Lambda, \delta)$, we find that

$$|\Lambda(w) - \Lambda(z)| \leq 2(1 + z^2)[1 + (w - z)^2] \left(1 + \frac{|w - z|}{\delta} \right) (1 + \delta^2)\Theta(\Lambda, \delta), \quad (7)$$

for every $\Lambda \in C_\zeta^0[0, \infty)$ and $z, w \in [0, \infty)$. By (7) and the relation $|\xi - z| \leq |w - z|$, we have

$$\varepsilon(w, z) \leq (1 + z^2)[1 + (w - z)^2] \left(1 + \frac{|w - z|}{\delta} \right) (1 + \delta^2)\Theta(\Lambda'', \delta).$$

Also,

$$\varepsilon(w, z) = \begin{cases} 2(1 + z^2)(1 + \delta^2)^2\Theta(\Lambda'', \delta), & |w - z| < \delta \\ (1 + z^2)[1 + (w - z)^2] \left(1 + \frac{|w - z|}{\delta} \right) (1 + \delta^2)\Theta(\Lambda'', \delta), & |w - z| \geq \delta. \end{cases}$$

Now taking $\delta < 1$, we find that

$$\varepsilon(w, z) \leq 2(1+z^2) \left(1 + \frac{(w-z)^4}{\delta^4}\right) (1+\delta^2)^2 \Theta(\Lambda'', \delta) \leq 8(1+z^2) \left(1 + \frac{(w-z)^4}{\delta^4}\right) \Theta(\Lambda'', \delta).$$

By Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(|\varepsilon(w, z)|(w-z)^2, z) &= 8(1+z^2)\Theta(\Lambda'', \delta) \left(\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta((w-z)^2, z) + \frac{1}{\delta^4} \mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta((w-z)^6, z) \right) \\ &= 8(1+z^2)\Theta(\Lambda'', \delta) \left(O(n^{-1}) + \frac{1}{\delta^4} O(n^{-3}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$, we have

$$\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(|\varepsilon(w, z)|(w-z)^2, z) \leq 8(1+z^2)O(n^{-1})\Theta\left(\Lambda'', \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

Hence, we get the desired result. \square

5. Rate of convergence

Define $DBV[0, \infty)$ be the class of all functions $\Lambda \in H_\zeta[0, \infty)$, having a derivative of bounded variation on every finite subinterval of $[0, \infty)$. We observe that $\Lambda \in DBV[0, \infty)$ possess a representation $\Lambda(z) = \int_0^z g(w) + \Lambda(0)$, where g is of bounded variation on every finite subinterval of $[0, \infty)$. In order to study the convergence of the operators $\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta$ for functions having a derivative of bounded variation. The operators (2) should be rewritten as follows

$$\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(\Lambda; z) = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w)\Lambda(w)dw, \quad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v_{n,j}^{(\tau)}(z) I_{n,j}^\theta(w) + v_{n,0}^{(\tau)}(z)\delta(w).$$

The Dirac-delta function is represented by $\delta(w)$.

Lemma 5.1. Let $\tau = \tau(n) \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{\tau(n)} = d \in \mathbb{R}$. For all $z \in (0, \infty)$ and sufficiently large n , we have

$$i) \quad \lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(z, w) = \int_0^w \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, v)dv \leq \frac{M(\theta, d)}{(z-w)^2} \frac{1+z^2}{n}, \quad 0 \leq w < z,$$

$$ii) \quad 1 - \lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(z, w) = \int_w^\infty \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, v)dv \leq \frac{M(\theta, d)}{(w-z)^2} \frac{1+z^2}{n}, \quad z \leq w < \infty,$$

where $M(\theta, d)$ is a positive constant that varies with θ and d .

Proof. For sufficiently large n , it follows from Remark 2.3 that

$$\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta((v-z)^2; z) < M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{n}. \quad (9)$$

Applying Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(z, w) = \int_0^w \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, v)dv \leq \int_0^w \left(\frac{z-v}{z-w}\right)^2 \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, v)dv \leq \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} \mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta((v-z)^2; z) \leq \frac{M(\theta, d)}{(z-w)^2} \frac{1+z^2}{n}.$$

The proof for ii) is similar, but the details are left out. \square

Theorem 5.2. Let $\Lambda \in DBV[0, \infty)$, $\tau = \tau(n) \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{\tau(n)} = d \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for sufficiently large n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(\Lambda; z) - \Lambda(z)| &\leq \sqrt{M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{n}} \left| \frac{\Lambda'(z+) - \Lambda'(z-)}{2} \right| + M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{nz} \sum_{j=1}^{[\sqrt{n}]} \left(\bigvee_{z-\frac{z}{j}}^z \Lambda'_z \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\bigvee_{z-\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}}^z \Lambda'_z \right) + \left(4N_\Lambda + \frac{N_\Lambda + |\Lambda(z)|}{z^2} \right) M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{n} + |\Lambda'(z+)| \sqrt{M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{n}} \\ &\quad + M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{nz^2} |\Lambda(2z) - \Lambda(z) - xf'(z+)| + \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} \bigvee_z^{z+\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}} \Lambda'_z + M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{nz} \sum_{j=1}^{[\sqrt{n}]} \bigvee_z^{z+\frac{z}{j}} \Lambda'_z, \end{aligned}$$

where $M(\theta, d)$ is a positive constant that varies with θ and d , $\bigvee_a^b \Lambda$ denotes the total variation of Λ on $[a, b]$ and Λ'_z is defined by

$$\Lambda'_z(w) = \begin{cases} \Lambda'(w) - \Lambda'(z-), & 0 \leq w < z, \\ 0, & w = z, \\ \Lambda'(w) - \Lambda'(z+), & z < w < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Proof. For any $\Lambda \in DBV[0, \infty)$, from (10) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda'(v) &= \frac{1}{2} (\Lambda'(z+) + \Lambda'(z-)) + \Lambda'_z(v) + \frac{1}{2} (\Lambda'(z+) - \Lambda'(z-)) sgn(v-z) \\ &\quad + \delta_z(v) \left(\Lambda'(v) - \frac{1}{2} (\Lambda'(z+) + \Lambda'(z-)) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where

$$\delta_z(v) = \begin{cases} 1, & v = z, \\ 0, & v \neq z. \end{cases}$$

Since $\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(e_0; z) = 1$, using (8), for every $z \in (0, \infty)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(\Lambda; z) - \Lambda(z) &= \int_0^\infty \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w)(\Lambda(w) - \Lambda(z)) dw = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) \int_z^w \Lambda'(v) dv dw \\ &= - \int_0^z \left(\int_w^x f'(v) dv \right) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw + \int_z^\infty \left(\int_z^t f'(v) dv \right) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$I_1 := \int_0^z \left(\int_w^z f'(v) dv \right) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw, \quad I_2 := \int_z^\infty \left(\int_z^t f'(v) dv \right) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw.$$

Since $\int_z^w \delta_z(v) dv = 0$, and from relation (11), we may write

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^z \left\{ \int_w^z \frac{1}{2} (\Lambda'(z+) + \Lambda'(z-)) + \Lambda'_z(v) + \frac{1}{2} (\Lambda'(z+) - \Lambda'(z-)) sgn(v-z) dv \right\} \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\Lambda'(z+) + \Lambda'(z-)) \int_0^z (z-w) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw + \int_0^z \left(\int_w^z \Lambda'_z(v) dv \right) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (\Lambda'(z+) - \Lambda'(z-)) \int_0^z (z-w) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Similarly, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= \int_z^\infty \left\{ \int_z^w \frac{1}{2} (\Lambda'(z+) + \Lambda'(z-)) + \Lambda'_z(v) + \frac{1}{2} (\Lambda'(z+) - \Lambda'(z-)) \operatorname{sgn}(v-z) dv \right\} \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (\Lambda'(z+) + \Lambda'(z-)) \int_z^\infty (w-z) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw + \int_z^\infty \left(\int_z^w \Lambda'_z(v) dv \right) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} (\Lambda'(z+) - \Lambda'(z-)) \int_z^\infty (w-z) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Combining the relations (12)-(13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(\Lambda; z) - \Lambda(z) &= \frac{1}{2} (\Lambda'(z+) + \Lambda'(z-)) \int_0^\infty (w-z) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} (\Lambda'(z+) - \Lambda'(z-)) \int_0^\infty |w-z| \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw \\
&\quad - \int_0^z \left(\int_w^z f'_z(v) dv \right) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw + \int_z^\infty \left(\int_z^t f'_z(v) dv \right) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(\Lambda; z) - \Lambda(z)| &= \left| \frac{\Lambda'(z+) + \Lambda'(z-)}{2} \right| |\mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(w-z; z)| + \left| \frac{\Lambda'(z+) - \Lambda'(z-)}{2} \right| \mathcal{K}_{n,\tau}^\theta(|w-z|; z) \\
&\quad + \left| \int_0^z \left(\int_w^z f'_z(v) dv \right) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw \right| + \left| \int_z^\infty \left(\int_z^t f'_z(v) dv \right) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw \right|.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Now, let $E_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(\Lambda'_z, z) = \int_0^z \left(\int_w^z f'_z(v) dv \right) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw$, and $F_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(\Lambda'_z, z) = \int_z^\infty \left(\int_z^t f'_z(v) dv \right) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw$.

Our problem is solved by obtaining the estimates of the terms $E_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(\Lambda'_z, z)$ and $F_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(\Lambda'_z, z)$. From the definition of $\lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}$ given in Lemma 5.1, we may write using the integration by parts

$$E_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(\Lambda'_z, z) = \int_0^z \left(\int_w^z \Lambda'_z(v) dv \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(z, w) dw = \int_0^z f'_z(w) \lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(z, w) dw.$$

Thus,

$$\left| E_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(\Lambda'_z, z) \right| \leq \int_0^z |\Lambda'_z(w)| \lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(z, w) dw \leq \int_0^{z-\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}} |\Lambda'_z(w)| \lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(z, w) dw + \int_{z-\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}}^z |\Lambda'_z(w)| \lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(z, w) dw.$$

Since $\Lambda'_z(z) = 0$ and $\lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(z, w) \leq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{z-\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}}^z |\Lambda'_z(w)| \lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(z, w) dw &= \int_{z-\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}}^z |\Lambda'_z(w) - \Lambda'_z(z)| \lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(z, w) dw \\
&\leq \int_{z-\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}}^z \bigvee_w^z \Lambda'_z dw \leq \bigvee_{z-\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}}^z \Lambda'_z \int_{z-\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}}^z dw = \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} \bigvee_{z-\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}}^z \Lambda'_z.
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 5.1 and considering $w = z - \frac{z}{v}$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{z-\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}} |\Lambda'_z(w)| \lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(z, w) dw &\leq M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{n} \int_0^{z-\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}} |\Lambda'_z(w)| \frac{dw}{(z-w)^2} \leq M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{n} \int_0^{z-\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}} \left(\bigvee_w^z \Lambda'_z \right) \frac{dw}{(z-w)^2} \\
&= M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{nz} \int_1^{\sqrt{n}} \left(\bigvee_{z-\frac{z}{v}}^z \Lambda'_z \right) dv \leq M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{nz} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} \left(\bigvee_{z-\frac{z}{j}}^z \Lambda'_z \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|E_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(\Lambda'_z, z)| \leq M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{nz} \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil} \left(\bigvee_{z-\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}}^z \Lambda'_z \right) + \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\bigvee_{z-\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}}^z \Lambda'_z \right). \quad (15)$$

Also, using integration by parts in $F_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(\Lambda'_z, z)$ and using Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(\Lambda'_z, z)| &\leq \left| \int_z^{2z} \left(\int_z^w \Lambda'_z(v) dv \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} (1 - \lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(z, w)) dw \right| + \left| \int_{2z}^{\infty} \left(\int_z^w \Lambda'_z(v) dv \right) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^{\theta}(z, w) dw \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_z^{2z} \Lambda'_z(v) dv \right| \left| 1 - \lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(z, 2z) \right| + \int_z^{2z} |\Lambda'_z(w)| (1 - \lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(z, w)) dw \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{2z}^{\infty} (\Lambda(w) - \Lambda(z)) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^{\theta}(z, w) dw \right| + |\Lambda'(z+)| \left| \int_{2z}^{\infty} (w - z) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^{\theta}(z, w) dw \right|. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_z^{2z} |\Lambda'_z(w)| (1 - \lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(z, w)) dw &= \int_z^{z+\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}} |\Lambda'_z(w)| (1 - \lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(z, w)) dw \\ &\quad + \int_{z+\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}}^{2z} |\Lambda'_z(w)| (1 - \lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(z, w)) dw = J_1 + J_2 \text{ (say)}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Since $\Lambda'_z(z) = 0$ and $1 - \lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)} \leq 1$, we get

$$J_1 = \int_z^{z+\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}} |\Lambda'_z(w) - \Lambda'_z(z)| (1 - \lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(z, w)) dw \leq \int_z^{z+\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}} \left(\bigvee_z^{z+\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}} \Lambda'_z \right) dw = \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} \bigvee_z^{z+\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}} \Lambda'_z.$$

From Lemma 5.1 and considering $w = z + \frac{z}{v}$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\leq M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{n} \int_{z+\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}}^{2z} \frac{1}{(w-z)^2} |\Lambda'_z(w) - \Lambda'_z(z)| dw \\ &\leq M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{n} \int_{z+\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}}^{2z} \frac{1}{(w-z)^2} \left(\bigvee_z^t f'_z \right) dw = M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{nz} \int_1^{\sqrt{n}} \bigvee_z^{z+\frac{z}{\sqrt{v}}} \Lambda'_z dv \\ &\leq M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{nz} \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil} \int_j^{j+1} \left(\bigvee_z^{z+\frac{z}{\sqrt{j}}} \Lambda'_z \right) dv \leq M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{nz} \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil} \left(\bigvee_z^{z+\frac{z}{\sqrt{j}}} \Lambda'_z \right). \end{aligned}$$

Putting the values of J_1 and J_2 in (16), we get

$$\int_z^{2z} |\Lambda'_z(w)| (1 - \lambda_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(z, w)) dw \leq \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} \bigvee_z^{z+\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}} \Lambda'_z + M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{nz} \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil} \left(\bigvee_z^{z+\frac{z}{\sqrt{j}}} \Lambda'_z \right).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(\Lambda'_z, z)| &\leq N_\Lambda \int_{2z}^{\infty} (w^2 + 1) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw + |\Lambda(z)| \int_{2z}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw \\ &+ |\Lambda'(z+)| \sqrt{M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{n}} + M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{nz^2} |\Lambda(2z) - \Lambda(z) - xf'(z+)| \\ &+ \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt[z+\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}]{\Lambda'_z} + M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{nz} \sum_{j=1}^{[\sqrt{n}]} \left(\sqrt[z+\frac{z}{j}]{\Lambda'_z} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Since $w \leq 2(w-z)$ and $z \leq w-z$ when $w \geq 2z$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &N_\Lambda \int_{2z}^{\infty} (w^2 + 1) \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw + |\Lambda(z)| \int_{2z}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw \\ &\leq (N_\Lambda + |\Lambda(z)|) \int_{2z}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw + 4N_\Lambda \int_{2z}^{\infty} (w-z)^2 \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw \\ &\leq \frac{N_\Lambda + |\Lambda(z)|}{z^2} \int_0^{\infty} (w-z)^2 \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw + 4N_\Lambda \int_0^{\infty} (w-z)^2 \mathcal{J}_{n,\tau}^\theta(z, w) dw \\ &\leq \left(4N_\Lambda + \frac{N_\Lambda + |\Lambda(z)|}{z^2} \right) M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{n}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Using the inequality (18), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{n,\theta}^{(\tau)}(\Lambda'_z, z)| &\leq \left(4N_\Lambda + \frac{N_\Lambda + |\Lambda(z)|}{z^2} \right) M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{n} + |\Lambda'(z+)| \sqrt{M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{n}} \\ &+ M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{nz^2} |\Lambda(2z) - \Lambda(z) - xf'(z+)| + \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt[z+\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}]{\Lambda'_z} + M(\theta, d) \frac{1+z^2}{nz} \sum_{j=1}^{[\sqrt{n}]} \left(\sqrt[z+\frac{z}{j}]{\Lambda'_z} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

From (14), (15) and (19), we get the required result. \square

References

- [1] T. Acar, Asymptotic formulas for generalized Szász-Mirakyan operators, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 263 (2015) 233–239.
- [2] A. M. Acu and V. Gupta, Direct results for certain summation-integral type Baskakov-Szász operators, *Results. Math.* DOI 10.1007/s00025-016-0603-2.
- [3] A. M. Acu, I. Raşa and H. M. Srivastava, Some functionals and approximation operators associated with a family of discrete probability distributions, *Mathematics* 11 (2023), Article ID 805, 1–9.
- [4] N. L. Braha, T. Mansour and H. M. Srivastava, A parametric generalization of the Baskakov-Schurer-Szász-Stancu approximation operators, *Symmetry* 13 (2021), Article ID 980, 1–24.
- [5] N. L. Braha, H. M. Srivastava and M. Et, Some weighted statistical convergence and associated Korovkin and Voronovskaya type theorems, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* 65, (2021) 429–450.
- [6] A. D. Gadzhiev, On P. P. Korovkin type theorems, *Math. Zametki* 20 (5) (1976) 781–786.
- [7] M. Goyal, V. Gupta and P. N. Agrawal, Quantitative convergence results for a family of hybrid operators, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 271 (2015) 893–904.
- [8] M. Goyal and A. Kajla, Blending-type approximation by generalized Lupaş-Durrmeyer-type operators, *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex.* 25, (2019) 551–566.
- [9] V. Gupta, Direct estimates for a new general family of Durrmeyer type operators, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital.* 7 (4) (2015) 279–288.
- [10] V. Gupta and R. P. Agarwal, *Convergence Estimates in Approximation Theory*, Springer, (2014).
- [11] V. Gupta and T. M. Rassias, Direct estimates for certain Szász type operators, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 251 (2015) 469–474.
- [12] E. Irbikli and E. A. Gadjieva, The order of approximation of some unbounded function by the sequences of positive linear operators, *Turkish J. Math.* 19 (3) (1995) 331–337.
- [13] N. Inispi, On modified Baskakov operators on weighted spaces, *Turkish J. Math.* 25 (2001) 355–365.
- [14] A. Kajla and P. N. Agrawal, Szász-Durrmeyer type operators based on Charlier polynomials, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 268 (2015) 1001–1014.

- [15] A. Kajla, A. M. Acu and P. N. Agrawal, Baskakov-Szász type operators based on inverse Pólya-Eggenberger distribution, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* 8 (2017) 106-123.
- [16] A. Kajla, S. A. Mohiuddine and A. Alotaibi, Approximation by α -Baskakov-Jain type operators, *Filomat* 36 (5) (2022) 1733-1741.
- [17] A. Kajla, S. A. Mohiuddine, A. Alotaibi, M. Goyal and K. K. Singh, Approximation by ϑ -Baskakov-Durrmeyer-type hybrid operators, *Iran J Sci Technol Trans Sci* 44 (2020) 1111-1118.
- [18] V. Miheşan, Gamma approximating operators, *Creative Math. Inf.* 17 (2008) 466-472.
- [19] Md. Nasiruzzaman, H. M. Srivastava and S. A. Mohiuddine, Approximation process based on parametric generalization of Schurer-Kantorovich operators and their bivariate form, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India Sect. A Phys. Sci.* 92 (2022), 301-311.
- [20] R. Păltănea, Modified Szász-Mirakjan operators of integral form, *Carpathian J. Math.* 24 (3) (2008) 378-385.
- [21] M. A. Özarslan and H. Aktuğlu, Local approximation properties for certain King type operators, *Filomat* 27 (1) (2013) 173-181.
- [22] F. Özger, H. M. Srivastava and S. A. Mohiuddine, Approximation of functions by a new class of generalized Bernstein-Schurer operators, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Math. RACSAM* (2020) 114:173.
- [23] H. M. Srivastava and V. Gupta, A certain family of summation-integral type operators, *Math. Comput. Modelling* 37 (12-13) (2003) 1307-1315.
- [24] H. M. Srivastava, B. B. Jena and S. K. Paikray, Deferred Cesáro statistical convergence of Martingale sequence and Korovkin-type approximation theorems, *Miskolc Math. Notes* 23 (2022), 443-456.
- [25] H. M. Srivastava, B. B. Jena and S. K. Paikray, Some Korovkin-type approximation theorems associated with a certain deferred weighted statistical Riemann-integrable sequence of functions, *Axioms* 11 (2022), Article ID 128, 1-11.
- [26] H. M. Srivastava, B. B. Jena and S. K. Paikray, Statistical Riemann and Lebesgue integrable sequence of functions with Korovkin-type approximation theorems, *Axioms* 10 (2021), Article ID 229, 1-16.
- [27] H. M. Srivastava, B. B. Jena and S. K. Paikray, Statistical product convergence of martingale sequences and its applications to Korovkin-type approximation theorems, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 44 (2021), 9600-9610.
- [28] H. M. Srivastava, B. B. Jena, and S. K. Paikray, Statistical deferred Nörlund summability and Korovkin-type approximation theorem, *Mathematics* 8 (2020), Article ID 636, 1-11.
- [29] H. M. Srivastava, B. B. Jena, S. K. Paikray and U. Misra, Statistically and relatively modular deferred-weighted summability and Korovkin-type approximation theorems, *Symmetry* 11 (2019), Article ID 448, 1-20.
- [30] H. M. Srivastava, K. J. Ansari, F. Özger and Z. Ö. Özger, A link between approximation theory and summability methods via four-dimensional infinite matrices, *Mathematics* 9 (2021), Article ID 1895, 1-16