



On some m -symmetric generalized hypergeometric d -orthogonal polynomials

Mongi Blel^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, BP 2455

Abstract. In [9] I. Lamiri and M.Ouni state some characterization theorems for d -orthogonal polynomials of Hermite, Gould-Hopper and Charlier type polynomials. In [3] Y.Ben Cheikh I. Lamiri and M.Ouni give a characterization theorem for some classes of generalized hypergeometric polynomials containing for example, Gegenbauer polynomials, Gould-Hopper polynomials, Humbert polynomials, a generalization of Laguerre polynomials and a generalization of Charlier polynomials. In this work, we introduce a new class \mathcal{D} of generalized hypergeometric m -symmetric polynomial sequence containing the Hermite polynomial sequence and Laguerre polynomial sequence. Then we consider a characterization problem consisting in finding the d -orthogonal polynomial sequences in the class \mathcal{D} , $m \leq d$. The solution provides new d -orthogonal polynomial sequences to be classified in d -Askey-scheme and also having a m -symmetry property with $m \leq d$. This class contains the Gould-Hopper polynomial sequence, the class considered by Ben Cheikh-Douak, the class considered in [3]. This class contains new d -orthogonal polynomial sequences not belonging to the class \mathcal{A} . We derive also in this work the d -dimensional functional vectors ensuring the d -orthogonality of these polynomials. We also give an explicit expression of the d -dimensional functional vector.

1. Introduction and Main Results

To state our problem, we need to recall the meaning of the three keywords of the title. The generalized hypergeometric functions ${}_pF_q(z)$ with p numerator and q denominator parameters are defined by ([Luke], for instance)

$${}_pF_q \left(\begin{matrix} (a_p); \\ (b_q); \end{matrix} z \right) := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{[a_p]_m z^m}{[b_q]_m m!},$$

where (a_p) designates the set $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\}$, $[a_r]_p = \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i)_p$ and $(a)_p = \frac{\Gamma(a+p)}{\Gamma(a)}$. z being a complex variable.

Definition 1.1.

A generalized hypergeometric function ${}_pF_q$ is reduced to a polynomial called generalized hypergeometric polynomial if r numerator parameters take the form: $\Delta(r, -n)$ where $\Delta(r, \alpha)$ abbreviates the array of r parameters: $\frac{\alpha+j-1}{r}$; $j = 1, \dots, r$.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42C05, 33C45, 33C20.

Keywords. d -Orthogonal polynomials; Generalized hypergeometric polynomials; Hermite polynomials; Laguerre polynomials; Generating functions; Dual sequence of d -orthogonal polynomials.

Received: 01 February 2023; Accepted: 18 August 2023

Communicated by Hari M. Srivastava

Researchers Supporting Project RSPD2023R753, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Email address: mb1e1@ksu.edu.sa (Mongi Blel)

The notion of d -orthogonality was introduced by Van Iseghem [6] and completed by Maroni [10] as follows. Let \mathcal{P} be the vector space of polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{C} and \mathcal{P}' its dual. A polynomial sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ in \mathcal{P} is called a polynomial set (PS, for shorter) if $\deg P_n = n$ for all integer n . We denote by $\langle u, f \rangle$ the effect of the linear functional $u \in \mathcal{P}'$ on the polynomial $f \in \mathcal{P}$.

Definition 1.2.

Let d be a positive integer and let $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a PS in \mathcal{P} . $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is called a d -orthogonal polynomial set (d -OPS, for shorter) with respect to the d -dimensional functional vector $\Gamma = {}^t(\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_{d-1})$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \langle \Gamma_k, P_m P_n \rangle = 0, & m > nd + k, n \geq 0, \\ \langle \Gamma_k, P_n P_{nd+k} \rangle \neq 0, & n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

for each integer $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$.

For the particular case: $d = 1$, we meet the well known notion of orthogonality [5].

Definition 1.3.

Let m be a positive integer. A PS $\{P_n\}_n$ is called m -symmetric if

$$P_n(wx) = w^n P_n(x) \text{ for all } n, \text{ where } w = \exp\left(\frac{2i\pi}{m+1}\right).$$

For the particular case: $m = 1$, we get the symmetric PS [5]. We refer the reader to [4] for more properties of m -symmetric d -OPS.

The Askey-scheme contains orthogonal polynomials having generalized hypergeometric representations. Recently, some works [Ben Cheikh, Douak, Lamiri, Ouni, Zaghouni,] provided some generalizations of these polynomials. That may be used to look for a similar table to Askey-scheme in the context of the d -orthogonality notion, for which, we refer by d -Askey-scheme.

Ben Cheikh, Lamiri and Ouni [3] defined a general class of hypergeometric polynomials \mathcal{A} containing all OPSs in Askey-scheme and found all d -OPSs in \mathcal{A} . Among them, we meet d -OPSs generalizing in a natural way all the OPSs in Askey-scheme. The other ones are defined only for $d \geq 2$. The authors showed that the possibility to obtain further generalized hypergeometric d -OPSs not belonging to \mathcal{A} by solving characterization problems for special classes of generalized hypergeometric polynomials suggested by suitable transformations of generalized hypergeometric functions. That was done for a class \mathcal{B} containing Gegenbauer PS and a class \mathcal{C} containing Charlier PS.

The only m -symmetric d -OPSs in $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C}$ are the Humbert PS and Gould-Hopper PS which are d -symmetric. That are reduced respectively to Gegenbauer PS and Hermite PS for $d = 1$.

In this work, we introduce a further class \mathcal{D} of generalized hypergeometric m -symmetric PSs containing the Hermite PS and Laguerre PS. Then we consider a characterization problem consisting in finding all d -OPSs in \mathcal{D} , $m \leq d$. The solution provides new d -OPSs to be classified in d -Askey-scheme and also having a m -symmetry property with $m \leq d$.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce a new class of \mathcal{D} of generalized hypergeometric m -symmetric polynomial sequences and we prove the main result 2.1 which consists in characterizing all d -orthogonal polynomial sequences in the class \mathcal{D} . We prove that the only d -orthogonal polynomial sequences in this class are those considered by Ben Cheikh and Blel [1]. The last section is devoted to give the dual sequence of the sequence $\{P_n\}_n$ and the dual sequences of the components.

Our analysis is based on a recurrent relation of order d of type

$$xP_n(x) = \beta_{n+1}P_{n+1}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^d \alpha_{k,n-d+k}P_{n-d+k}(x),$$

where $\beta_{n+1}\alpha_{0,n-d} \neq 0$, which characterizes the d -orthogonality of the polynomial set $\{P_n\}_n$. In the literature there are many polynomial sets satisfying recurrent relations of height order not necessarily satisfying the d -orthogonality property. We cite for instance [8, 12, 14–16].

We refer the reader to [13], where the author treats extensively various aspects of the classical orthogonal polynomials, hypergeometric functions and hypergeometric polynomials.

2. Main Result

2.1. Introduction to the class \mathcal{D}

In [1], the authors introduce a family of generalized hypergeometric m -symmetric d -orthogonal polynomials, $\{P_n\}_n$ defined by:

$$P_n(x) := x^n {}_{(m+1)(r+1)+p}F_q \left(\begin{matrix} \Delta(m+1, -n), (\Delta(m+1, -n - v\alpha_r)); \\ , ; \\ , ; \end{matrix} ; \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{m+1} \right) \tag{1}$$

This family gives a generalized hypergeometric representation of Hermite polynomials and Laguerre polynomials [7]. Indeed

$$H_n(x) = P_n(1, 0, -; x) \quad \text{and} \quad L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = \frac{1}{n!} P_n(0, 1, \alpha; x),$$

where

$$H_n(x) = x^n {}_2F_0 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{-n}{2}, \frac{-n+1}{2}, \\ -; \end{matrix} -\frac{4}{x^2} \right)$$

and

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = \frac{(\alpha + 1)_n}{n!} {}_1F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, \\ \alpha + 1, \end{matrix} x \right) = \frac{x^n}{n!} {}_2F_0 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, -n - \alpha, \\ -; \end{matrix} -\frac{1}{x} \right).$$

This suggests to introduce a new class of m -symmetric generalized hypergeometric polynomials defined as follows:

Let d be a positive integer and $I_d = \{(m, r) \in \mathbb{N}^2; (m + 1)(r + 1) = d + 1\}$. For $(m, r) \in I_d$ we define the set $\mathcal{D}_{m,r}$ of polynomials defined by

$$P_n(m, r, p, q, (\alpha_r), (a_p), (b_q); x) := x^n {}_{(m+1)(r+1)+p}F_q \left(\begin{matrix} \Delta(m+1, -n), (\Delta(m+1, -n - \alpha_r)), (a_p); \\ , , ; \\ , , ; \end{matrix} (b_q); \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{m+1} \right).$$

Set $\mathcal{D}^{(d)} = \bigcup_{(m,r) \in I_d} \mathcal{D}_{m,r}$.

If $d + 1$ is a prime number $\mathcal{D}^{(d)} = \mathcal{D}_{d,0} \cup \mathcal{D}_{0,d}$. For example

$$\mathcal{D}^{(3)} = \mathcal{D}_{3,0} \cup \mathcal{D}_{1,1} \cup \mathcal{D}_{0,3}.$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{(5)} = \mathcal{D}_{5,0} \cup \mathcal{D}_{3,2} \cup \mathcal{D}_{2,3} \cup \mathcal{D}_{0,5}.$$

It is easy to verify that the class \mathcal{D} contains the following PS $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ defined by the generating function (cf [4]):

$$e^{t^{m+1}} {}_0F_r \left(\begin{matrix} - \\ \alpha_1 + 1, \dots, \alpha_r + 1 \end{matrix} -xt \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n(x) t^n.$$

In fact, we need the following identity [Srivastava-Manocha ([11]) p. 100]:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A(k, n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n A(k, n - k),$$

to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{t^{m+1}} {}_0F_r \left(\begin{matrix} - \\ \alpha_1 + 1, \dots, \alpha_r + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| -xt \right) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{k(m+1)}}{k!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-xt)^n}{(\alpha_1 + 1)_n \cdots (\alpha_r + 1)_n n!} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{m+1} \rfloor} \frac{(-x)^{n-(m+1)j}}{j!(n-(m+1)j)! (\alpha_1 + 1)_{n-(m+1)j} \cdots (\alpha_r + 1)_{n-(m+1)j}} t^n. \end{aligned}$$

From which we deduce that

$$Q_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{m+1} \rfloor} \frac{(-x)^{n-(m+1)j}}{j!(n-(m+1)j)! \prod_{s=1}^r (1 + \alpha_s)_{n-(m+1)j}}.$$

Using the Definition 1.1 and the following identities [See Srivastava-Manocha Book ([11]), p.22-23, for instance]:

$$(\lambda)_{mn} = m^{mn} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\lambda + i - 1}{m} \right)_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

$$(\lambda)_n = (\lambda)_k (\lambda + k)_{n-k}, \quad (n - k)! = \frac{(-1)^k n!}{(-n)_k}, \quad \forall 0 \leq k \leq n$$

and $(-n)_k = 0$, for $k > n$.

We obtain

$$Q_n(x) = C_n x^n {}_{(m+1)(r+1)}F_0 \left(\begin{matrix} \Delta(m+1, -n), (\Delta(m+1, -n - \alpha_r)); \\ -; \end{matrix} \middle| \left(\frac{C}{x} \right)^{m+1} \right).$$

where

$$C_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{n! \prod_{i=1}^r (\alpha_i + 1)_n} \quad \text{and} \quad C = (-1)^r (m + 1)^{(r+1)}$$

Then

$$Q_n(x) = \frac{(-1)^{n(r+1)} (m + 1)^{n(r+1)}}{n! \prod_{i=1}^r (\alpha_i + 1)_n} P_n \left(\frac{(-1)^r x}{(m + 1)^{r+1}} \right), \tag{2}$$

$$P_n(x) = \frac{(-1)^{n(r+1)} n! \prod_{i=1}^r (\alpha_i + 1)_n}{(m + 1)^{n(r+1)}} Q_n((-1)^r (m + 1)^{r+1} x). \tag{3}$$

2.2. Characterization Theorem

Ben Cheikh and Douak [2] showed that the PS $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is $((m + 1)(r + 1) - 1)$ -orthogonal. It contains the particular cases of the Gould-Hopper PS ($r = 0$) and Ben Cheikh-Douak PS ($m = 0$) which also belongs to the class \mathcal{A} considered in [3]. It appears that the class \mathcal{D} contains new d -OPSs not belonging to \mathcal{A} . It is significant to put the following problem: find all d -OPS in $\mathcal{D}_{m,r}$. The case $\mathcal{D}_{d,0}$ was considered by Lamiri Ouni and the case $\mathcal{D}_{0,d}$ was considered by Ben Cheikh, Douak and Ben Cheikh, Ouni. We state the following

Theorem 2.1.

The only d -OPS in $\mathcal{D}_{m,r}$ are the polynomials (1).

Proof

Let $\{P_n\}_n$ be a sequence in the class \mathcal{D} ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_n(x) &:= x^{n(m+1)(r+1)+p} F_q \left(\begin{matrix} \Delta(m+1, -n), (\Delta(m+1, -n - \alpha_r)), (a_p); \\ (b_q); \end{matrix} \left(\frac{1}{x} \right)^{m+1} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{m+1} \rfloor} \gamma_n(k) x^{n-k(m+1)}. \tag{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

The sequence $\{P_n\}_n$ is m -symmetric, then according to the notations in [4], if the sequence is d -OPS, then they satisfy a $d + 1$ -order recurrence relation of type:

$$XP_n = \sum_{j=0}^{d_1} \alpha_j(n) P_{n-j(m+1)+1},$$

with $\alpha_{d_1}(n) \neq 0, \alpha_0(n) = 1$ and $d + 1 = d_1(m + 1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{m+1} \rfloor} \gamma_n(k) x^{n+1-k(m+1)} &= \sum_{j=0}^{d_1} \alpha_j(n) \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{m+1} \rfloor - j} \gamma_{n+1-j(m+1)}(k) x^{n+1-(j+k)(m+1)} \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{d_1} \alpha_j(n) \sum_{k=j}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{m+1} \rfloor} \gamma_{n+1-j(m+1)}(k - j) x^{n+1-k(m+1)} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{m+1} \rfloor} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\inf(k, d_1)} \alpha_j(n) \gamma_{n+1-j(m+1)}(k - j) \right) x^{n+1-k(m+1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that if $n + 1 = \ell(m + 1)$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\inf(\ell, d_1)} \alpha_j(n) \gamma_{n+1-j(m+1)}(\ell - j) = 0.$$

In what follows, we assume that $n + 1 \neq 0[m + 1]$, then

$$\gamma_n(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{\inf(k, d_1)} \alpha_j(n) \gamma_{n+1-j(m+1)}(k - j). \tag{5}$$

We derive from (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_n(k) &= \frac{[a_p]_k \Delta(m+1, -n)_k \prod_{j=1}^r \Delta(m+1, -n - \alpha_j)_k}{k! [b_q]_k} \\ &= \frac{[a_p]_{k-d_1} [a_p + k - d_1]_{d_1} n! \prod_{s=1}^r (1 + \alpha_s)_n}{[b_q]_{k-d_1} [b_q + k - d_1]_{d_1} (k - d_1)! (k - d_1 + 1)_{d_1} (-1)^{k(r+1)(m+1)}} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{1}{(m+1)^{k(r+1)(m+1)} (n - k(m+1))! \prod_{s=1}^r (1 + \alpha_s)_{n-k(m+1)}} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{n+1-j(m+1)}(k-j) &= \frac{[a_p]_{k-d_1} [a_p + k - d_1]_{d_1-j}}{[b_q]_{k-d_1} [b_q + k - d_1]_{d_1-j} (k - d_1)! (k - d_1 + 1)_{d_1-j}} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{(-1)^{(k-j)(r+1)(m+1)} (n+1-j(m+1))! \prod_{s=1}^r (1 + \alpha_s)_{n+1-j(m+1)}}{(n+1-k(m+1))! \prod_{s=1}^r (1 + \alpha_s)_{n+1-k(m+1)} (m+1)^{(k-j)(r+1)(m+1)}} \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

In what follows, we take k and n large enough, we derive from the relations (5), (6) and (7) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{[a_p + k - d_1]_{d_1} (n+1 - k(m+1)) \prod_{s=1}^r (n+1 + \alpha_s - k(m+1))}{[b_q + k - d_1]_{d_1} (k - d_1 + 1)_{d_1} (m+1)^{d_1(r+1)(m+1)}} \cdot (-1)^{d_1(r+1)(m+1)} n! \prod_{s=1}^r (1 + \alpha_s)_n \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{d_1} \alpha_j(n) \frac{[a_p + k - d_1]_{d_1-j} (-1)^{(d_1-j)(r+1)(m+1)} (n+1-j(m+1))!}{[b_q + k - d_1]_{d_1-j} (k - d_1 + 1)_{d_1-j} (m+1)^{(d_1-j)(r+1)(m+1)}} \cdot \prod_{s=1}^r (1 + \alpha_s)_{n+1-j(m+1)} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &[a_p + k - d_1]_{d_1} n! (n+1 - k(m+1)) \prod_{s=1}^r (n+1 + \alpha_s - k(m+1)) \prod_{s=1}^r (1 + \alpha_s)_n \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{d_1} \alpha_j(n) [a_p + k - d_1]_{d_1-j} [b_q + k - j]_j (k - j + 1)_j (-1)^{j(r+1)(m+1)} \cdot \prod_{s=0}^r (1 + \alpha_s)_{n+1-j(m+1)} (m+1)^{j(r+1)(m+1)} \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$R(x) = [a_p + x - d_1]_{d_1} (n+1 - x(m+1)) n! \prod_{s=1}^r (1 + \alpha_s)_n \prod_{s=1}^r (n+1 + \alpha_s - x(m+1))$$

and $S(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d_1} \alpha_j(n) [a_p + x - d_1]_{d_1-j} [b_q + x - j]_j (x - j + 1)_j A(j, n)$, with

$$A(j, n) = (-m-1)^{j(r+1)(m+1)} (n+1-j(m+1))! \prod_{s=1}^r (1 + \alpha_s)_{n+1-j(m+1)}.$$

If we take n and k large enough, we find that the polynomials R and S are equal. Furthermore $\deg R = d_1 p + 1 + r$ and $\deg S \leq \max_{0 \leq j \leq d_1} (p(d_1 - j) + jq + j) = \max_{0 \leq j \leq d_1} (pd_1 + j(q+1-p))$.

- If $q+1-p \leq 0$, then $\deg R = d_1 p + 1 + r$ and $\deg S \leq pd_1$, which is impossible. It results that $q \geq p$.
- If $p \neq 0$, then $R(d_1 - a_1) = 0$ and $S(d_1 - a_1) \neq 0$. Hence $p = 0$.
- Since $\alpha_{d_1}(n) \neq 0$, we get $\deg S = d_1(q+1)$, hence $d_1(q+1) = 1 + r$.

We deduce that

$$R(x) = n!(n + 1 - x(m + 1)) \prod_{s=1}^r (1 + \alpha_s)_n \prod_{s=1}^r (n + 1 + \alpha_s - x(m + 1))$$

and

$$S(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d_1} \alpha_j(n) A(j, n) [b_q + x - j]_j (x - j + 1)_j.$$

We denote $\alpha_0 = 0$, then for $x = 0$, $R(0) = \prod_{s=0}^r (1 + \alpha_s)_{n+1}$ and $S(0) = \alpha_0(n) \prod_{s=0}^r (1 + \alpha_s)_{n+1}$, then $\alpha_0(n) = 1$.

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} R(x) - R(0) &= \prod_{s=0}^r (1 + \alpha_s)_n \left(\prod_{s=0}^r (n + 1 + \alpha_s - x(m + 1)) - \prod_{s=0}^r (n + 1 + \alpha_s) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{d_1} \alpha_j(n) [b_q + x - j]_j (x - j + 1)_j A(j, n) \end{aligned}$$

If $q \geq 1$ and there exists $b_j \neq 1$, we can suppose that $j = 1$ and we take $x = 1 - b_1$, then from the relation $(1 - j)_j = 0$, for all $j \geq 1$. Since $\alpha_{d_1}(n) \neq 0$, we deduce that

$$\prod_{s=0}^r (n + 1 + \alpha_s - (1 - b_1)(m + 1)) = \prod_{s=0}^r (n + 1 + \alpha_s).$$

We define the two monic polynomials R_1 and R_2 of degree $r + 1$ by:

$$R_1(z) = \prod_{s=0}^r (z + \alpha_s - (1 - b_1)(m + 1)), \quad R_2(z) = \prod_{s=0}^r (z + \alpha_s).$$

These two polynomials are equal for $z = n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough, and $\deg R_1 = \deg R_2 = r + 1$, this is impossible.

If $b_j = 1$, $1 \leq j \leq q$, then 0 is a root of the polynomial S_1 of order at least $q + 1$.

$$S_1(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_1} \alpha_j(n) (x - j + 1)_j^{q+1} A(j, n) = R(x) - R(0).$$

On the other hand $\frac{R'(x)}{R(x)} = \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{1}{n + 1 + \alpha_s - x(m + 1)}$, which is non zero for n large enough near 0. Then $q = 0$ and the polynomial P_n is equal to

$$P_n(x) = x^n {}_{(m+1)(r+1)}F_0 \left(\begin{matrix} (\Delta(m+1, -n), (\Delta(m+1, -n - \alpha_r))); \\ -; \end{matrix} \left(\frac{1}{x} \right)^{m+1} \right).$$

The sequence $\{P_n\}_n$ is m -symmetric d -OPS and classical. □

Remark 2.2.

1. Let $O_{m,r}$ be the set of d -OPSs in $\mathcal{D}_{m,r}$. If $\{P_n^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ are the components of $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, $0 \leq k \leq m$, the polynomials $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ are in $O_{0,d}$.
2. If the polynomials $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ are in $O_{m,r}$, then the polynomials $\{P'_{n+1}\}_{n \geq 0}$ are in $O_{m,r}$.
3. We deduce then if $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is in $O^{(d)} = \cup_{(m,r) \in I_d} O_{m,r}$, then $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is classical d -OPS and all the components $\{P_n^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 0}$, $0 \leq k \leq m$ are d -OPS.

3. Properties of the Obtained Polynomials

3.1. Some Properties of m -Symmetric PSs

In this section we state the dual sequence of the sequence $\{P_n\}_n$ and since this sequence is m -symmetric, we derive the dual sequences of the components. First we recall some properties of m -symmetric PSs. (We refer the reader to [4] for more details.)

Definition 3.1.

Let m be a nonnegative integer. A PS $\{P_n\}_n$ is called m -symmetric if

$$P_n(wx) = w^n P_n(x) \text{ for all } n, \text{ where } w = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m+1}} \text{ a } (m+1)\text{-root of the unity.}$$

For the particular case: $m = 1$, we meet the well known notion of symmetric PS [5]. A characteristic property of m -symmetric PS is given by the following.

Proposition 3.2.

A PS $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is m -symmetric if and only if there exist $(m+1)$ PSs $\{P_n^k\}_{n \geq 0}; k = 0, 1, \dots, m;$ such that

$$P_{(m+1)n+k}(x) = x^k P_n^k(x^{m+1}); \quad n \geq 0.$$

Definition 3.3.

1. For any PS $\{P_n\}_n$ there exists a sequence of linear functionals $(\mathcal{L}_n)_n$ defined by: $\langle \mathcal{L}_n, P_m \rangle = \delta_{n,m}$ called the dual sequence of the sequence $\{P_n\}_n$.
2. For $L \in \mathcal{P}'$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the linear functional $X^q L$ by: $\langle X^q L, Q \rangle = \langle L, X^q Q \rangle, Q \in \mathcal{P}$, where $(X^q Q)(x) = x^q Q(x)$, the multiplication operator by x^q is \mathcal{P} .
3. If $L \in \mathcal{P}'$, we define $(\tau_w)_* L \in \mathcal{P}'$ by:

$$\langle (\tau_w)_* L, P \rangle = \langle L, (\tau_w)^* P \rangle, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}$$

with $(\tau_w)^* P(x) = P(wx)$ and $w = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m+1}}$.

4. A linear functional sequence $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathcal{L}_n\}_n$ is called m -symmetric if

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_k, x^j \rangle = 0,$$

for all integers j and k such that $k \not\equiv j[m+1]$.

A characterization of m -symmetric linear functional sequence and m -symmetric PS is given by the following.

Proposition 3.4.

Let $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathcal{L}_n\}_n$ be a linear functional sequence. \mathcal{L} is m -symmetric if and only if

$$(\tau_w)_* \mathcal{L}_k = w^k \mathcal{L}_k, \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

Let $\{P_n\}_n$ be a PS and let $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathcal{L}_n\}_n$ be its dual sequence. Then the following properties are equivalent:

1. The sequence $\{P_n\}_n$ is m -symmetric.
2. The linear functional sequence \mathcal{L} is m -symmetric.

Our purpose now is to derive the dual sequence of the components of an m -symmetric PS. First, we give the following notations:

Let q be a nonnegative integer and let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{P}'$ be a linear functional. Put

- $(\sigma_q)^*$: $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ the linear mapping defined by: $(\sigma_q)^*(P)(x) = P(x^q), P \in \mathcal{P}$.
- $(\sigma_q)_* \mathcal{L}$ the linear mapping defined by: $\langle (\sigma_q)_* \mathcal{L}, Q \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}, (\sigma_q)^* Q \rangle, Q \in \mathcal{P}$.

Proposition 3.5.

Let $\{P_n\}_n$ be a m -symmetric PSs, and let $\{P_n^k\}_n; k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ be its components. If $\{\mathcal{L}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is the dual sequence of $\{P_n\}_n$, then $\{\mathcal{L}_{n,k}\}_{n \geq 0}$, the dual sequence of $\{P_n^k\}_n, 0 \leq k \leq m$ is given by:

$$\mathcal{L}_{n,k} = (\sigma_{m+1})_* (X^k \mathcal{L}_{n(m+1)+k}).$$

3.2. Components of the sequence $\{Q_n\}_n$

We recall the generator function of the sequence $\{Q_n\}_n$ is given by:

$$e^{t^{m+1}} {}_0F_r \left(\begin{matrix} - \\ 1 + \alpha_1, \dots, 1 + \alpha_r \end{matrix} ; -xt \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n(x)t^n \tag{8}$$

The sequence $\{Q_n\}_n$ is classical m -symmetric $((m+1)(r+1)-1)$ -orthogonal. Moreover the corresponding $m+1$ components are also classical $((m+1)(r+1)-1)$ -orthogonal.

We recall the identity 2, Problem 7, page 213 in [11]

$${}_pF_q \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} ; x \right) = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k x^k}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k k!} \tag{9}$$

$${}_{(m+1)p}F_{(m+1)q+m} \left(\begin{matrix} ((\Delta(m+1), a_p + k)) \\ \Delta^*(m+1, k+1), (\Delta(m+1), b_q + k) \end{matrix} ; z \right),$$

where $z = \frac{x^{m+1}}{(m+1)^{(1-p+q)(m+1)}}$, $\Delta(m+1, \lambda)$ the array of $m+1$ parameters

$$\frac{\lambda}{m+1}, \frac{\lambda+1}{m+1}, \dots, \frac{\lambda+m}{m+1}$$

and $\Delta^*(m+1, k+1)$ is the array of only m parameters

$$\frac{k+1}{m+1}, \frac{k+2}{m+1}, \dots, \frac{k+m+1}{m+1}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m$$

where we omit the term $\frac{m+1}{m+1}$.

We prove that

$$\Delta(m+1, \lambda)_j = \frac{(\lambda)_{j(m+1)}}{(m+1)^{j(m+1)}}$$

$$\Delta^*(m+1, k)_j = \frac{(k)_{j(m+1)}}{j!(m+1)^{jm}}$$

For $z = \frac{(-xt)^{m+1}}{(m+1)^{(1+r)(m+1)}}$,

$${}_0F_{(m+1)r+m} \left(\begin{matrix} - \\ \Delta^*(m+1, k+1), (\Delta(m+1), \alpha_r + k+1) \end{matrix} ; z \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-xt)^{n(m+1)}}{(m+1)^n (k+1)_{n(m+1)} \prod_{s=1}^r (\alpha_s + k+1)_{n(m+1)}}$$

It results from (9) that

$$e^{t^{m+1}} {}_0F_r \left(\begin{matrix} - \\ 1 + \alpha_1, \dots, 1 + \alpha_r \end{matrix} ; -xt \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m x^k \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{k+j(m+1)} x^{j(m+1)}}{\prod_{s=1}^r (\alpha_s + 1)_{j(m+1)+k} (m+1)^j (k+j(m+1))!} \right) t^{n(m+1)+k}$$

Then the k^{th} component of the polynomial Q_n is

$$Q_{k,n}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{k+j(m+1)} x^j}{\prod_{s=1}^r (\alpha_s + 1)_{j(m+1)+k} (m+1)^j (k+j(m+1))!}.$$

We recall that $x^k Q_{k,n}(x^{m+1}) = Q_{n(m+1)+k}(x)$.

From the relations (2) and (3)

$$P_{k,n}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{(r+1)(k+j(m+1))} (m+1)^{r(k+j(m+1))} x^j}{\prod_{s=1}^r (\alpha_s + 1)_{j(m+1)+k} (m+1)^j (k+j(m+1))!}.$$

3.3. Dual sequence of $\{P_n\}_n$

Now we give the dual sequence of the sequence $\{P_n\}_n$ and for its components.

From the relation (8), we derive that

$${}_0F_r \left(\begin{matrix} - \\ 1 + \alpha_1, \dots, 1 + \alpha_r \end{matrix} ; -xt \right) = e^{-t^{m+1}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n(x) t^n$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-xt)^n}{n! \prod_{s=1}^r (\alpha_s + 1)_n} &= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-t^{m+1})^n}{n!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n(x) t^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{m+1} \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^k Q_{n-k(m+1)}(x)}{(n-k)! k(m+1)!} \right) t^n \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$x^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{m+1} \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{n+k} \prod_{s=1}^r (1 + \alpha_s)_n}{(n-k)! k(m+1)!} Q_{n-k(m+1)}(x).$$

and

$$x^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{m+1} \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{n+k(r(m+1)+1)} \prod_{s=1}^r (1 + \alpha_s)_n}{(m+1)^{kr(m+1)} (n-k)! k(m+1)! \prod_{s=1}^r (1 + \alpha_s)_{n-k(m+1)}} P_{n-k(m+1)}(x).$$

The dual sequence of the sequence $\{P_n\}$ is given by:

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_j, x^n \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{m+1} \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^{n+k(r(m+1)+1)} \prod_{s=1}^r (1 + \alpha_s)_n}{(m+1)^{kr(m+1)} (n-k)! k(m+1)! \prod_{s=1}^r (1 + \alpha_s)_{n-k(m+1)}} \delta_{j, n-k(m+1)}.$$

Acknowledgement

The author thanks Professor Youssef Ben Cheikh for fruitful and informative discussions. This Project is supported by Researchers Supporting Project RSPD2023R753, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

References

- [1] Y. Ben Cheikh, M. Blel, *d-Orthogonality of a generalization of both Laguerre and Hermite Polynomials*, Georgian Mathematical Journal, **27**, (2) (2020), 183–190. Published Online: 2018-06-16 — DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1515/gmj-2018-0043>.
- [2] Y. Ben Cheikh, K. Douak, *On the classical d-orthogonal polynomials defined by certain generating function, I*, Bull. Belgian. Math. Soc. **7** (2000), 107–124.
- [3] Y. Ben Cheikh, I. Lamiri, A. Ouni, *On Askey-scheme and d-orthogonality, I: A characterization theorem*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, **233**, (3) (2009), 621–629.
- [4] M. Blel, *On m-Symmetric d-Orthogonal Polynomials*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **350** (2012), 19–22.
- [5] T.S. Chihara, *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*. Gordon and Breach, New-York, London, Paris, 1978.
- [6] J. Van Iseghem, *Vector orthogonal relations. Vector QD-algorithm*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **19** (1987), 141–150.
- [7] R. Koekoek, R.F. Swarttouw, *The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q-analogue*, **98**, (17) (1998), Faculty of the Technical Mathematics and Informatics, Delft University of Technology, Delft, Luke book (69),
- [8] N. Kilar, Y. Simsek, H. M. Srivastava, *Recurrence relations, associated formulas, and combinatorial sums for some parametrically generalized polynomials arising from an analysis of the Laplace transform and generating functions*, The Ramanujan Journal volume **61** (2023), 731–756.
- [9] I. Lamiri, A. Ouni, *d-orthogonality of Hermite type polynomials*, Appl. Math. Comput. **202** (2008), 24–43.
- [10] P. Maroni, *L'orthogonalité et les récurrences de polynômes d'ordre supérieur à deux*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse **10**, (1) (1989), 105–139.
- [11] H.M. Srivastava, H.L. Manocha, *A Treatise on Generating Functions*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, Toronto 1984.
- [12] H. M. Srivastava *Some Families of Generating Functions Associated with Orthogonal Polynomials and Other Higher Transcendental Functions*, Mathematics, **10**, (20)2022, 3730; <https://doi.org/10.3390/math10203730>
- [13] H. M. Srivastava, *Some applications of the Lagrange expansion theorem associated with general polynomial systems* J. Nonlinear Convex Anal, **24**, (5) (2023), 1113–1127.
- [14] H. M. Srivastava, *An Introductory Overview of Bessel Polynomials, the Generalized Bessel Polynomials and the q-Bessel Polynomials* Symmetry, **15**, (4) (2023), 822, 1–28; <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym15040822>.
- [15] H. M. Srivastava, S. Arjika, *A General Family of q-Hypergeometric Polynomials and Associated Generating Functions*, Mathematics, **9**, (11) (2021), 1161; <https://doi.org/10.3390/math9111161>.
- [16] H. M. Srivastava, Y. Ben Cheikh *Orthogonality of some polynomial sets via quasi-monomiality*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **141**, (2–3) (2003), 415–425.