



The Drazin inverse for perturbed block-operator matrices

Huanyin Chen^a, Marjan Sheibani^{b,*}

^aSchool of Big Data, Fuzhou University of International Studies and Trade, Fuzhou, China

^bFarzanegan Campus, Semnan University, Semnan, Iran

Abstract. We present new formulas of Drazin inverses for anti-triangular block-operator matrices. If $B^\pi A^D B = 0$, $B^\pi A B^D = 0$ and $B^\pi A B A^\pi = 0$, the explicit representation of the Drazin inverse of a block-operator anti-triangular matrix $\begin{pmatrix} A & I \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is given. Thus a generalization of [A note on the Drazin inverse for an anti-triangular matrix, Linear Algebra Appl., 431(2009), 1910–1922] is obtained. Some applications to full block-operator matrices are thereby considered.

1. Introduction

Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Denote by $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ the set of all bounded linear operators from X to Y . Let $\mathcal{B}(X)$ denote the set of all bounded linear operators from X to itself. A bounded linear operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ has Drazin inverse $X \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ if it is the solution of the following equation system:

$$AX = XA, X = XAX \text{ and } A^n = A^{n+1}X$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If such X exists, it is unique, and we denote it by A^D . The smallest n in the preceding equations is called the Drazin index of A and denote by $i(A)$. Let $\mathcal{B}(X)^D$ denote the set of all Drazin invertible bounded linear operators in $\mathcal{B}(X)$. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(X)^D$ and I be the identity matrix over a Banach space X . It is attractive to investigate the Drazin inverse of the block-operator matrix $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & I \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The relationship of computing the Drazin inverse of M to second order differential equations was observed by Campbell (see [2]). The application of Drazin inverse to singular differential equations was also found in [1]. Recently, the Drazin inverse of such anti-triangular block matrices is extensively studied by many authors (see [5, 6, 11, 14, 15, 17, 18]).

The additive property of Drazin inverse is interesting. It was studied from many different views, e.g., [3, 4, 9, 12, 13]. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)^D$. We use T^π to stand for the spectral idempotent operator $I - TT^D$. In [3, Theorem 2.5], Castro-González obtained the representation of Drazin inverse of $A + B$ under the conditions $A^D B = 0$, $A B^D = 0$ and $B^\pi A B A^\pi = 0$ for square complex matrices A and B . The motivation of this paper is to present formulae for the Drazin inverse of M under the same conditions.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 15A09; Secondary 47A05.

Keywords. Drazin inverse; anti-triangular matrix; perturbation, block-operator matrix.

Received: 29 August 2022; Revised: 29 October 2023; Accepted: 02 November 2023

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

* Corresponding author: Marjan Sheibani

Email addresses: huanyinchenfz@163.com (Huanyin Chen), m.sheibani@semnan.ac.ir (Marjan Sheibani)

In this paper, we present exact representations of the Drazin inverse of M . If $B^\pi A^D B = 0, B^\pi A B^D = 0$ and $B^\pi A B A^\pi = 0$, the formula of the Drazin inverse of a block anti-triangular matrix $\begin{pmatrix} A & I \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is given. Evidently, we solve a wider kind of singular differential equations posed by Campbell (see [2]).

As applications, we explore the Drazin invertibility of a block operator matrix $N = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$, where $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)^D, B \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y), C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ and $D \in \mathcal{B}(Y)^D$. Here, N is a bounded linear operator on $X \oplus Y$. A new additive property of the Drazin invertibility for bounded linear operators is provided and we then establish new perturbed conditions under which the full block-operator matrix N has Drazin inverse.

Throughout the paper, all operators are bounded linear operators over a Banach space. Let \mathbb{C} be the field of all complex numbers. \mathbb{N} stands for the set of all natural numbers. $C^{n \times n}$ denotes the Banach algebra of all $n \times n$ complex matrices.

2. Key lemmas

To prove the main results, some lemmas are needed. The following result over complex fields was given in [8]. Similarly, it can be extended to bounded linear operators over a Banach space.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{B}(X)^D$. If $PQ = 0$, then*

$$(P + Q)^D = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} Q^i Q^\pi (P^D)^{i+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (Q^D)^{i+1} P^i P^\pi,$$

where $t = \max\{i(P), i(Q)\}$.

Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(X)^D$. We are ready to prove:

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $G = \begin{pmatrix} AB^\pi & B^\pi \\ BB^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ has Drazin inverse. If $B^\pi A B^D = 0$, then*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & I \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}^D = G^D + \sum_{i=0}^{i(G)-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^D \\ BB^D & -AB^D \end{pmatrix}^{i+1} G^i G^\pi.$$

Proof. We check that $M = G + H$, where

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} AB^\pi & B^\pi \\ BB^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}, H = \begin{pmatrix} ABB^D & BB^D \\ B^2B^D & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $B^\pi A B^D = 0$, we see that $GH = 0$. One directly verifies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^D \\ BB^D & -AB^D \end{pmatrix} H = \begin{pmatrix} BB^D & 0 \\ 0 & BB^D \end{pmatrix} \\ &= H \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^D \\ BB^D & -AB^D \end{pmatrix}, \\ & H [I - H \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^D \\ BB^D & -AB^D \end{pmatrix}] \\ &= [I - H \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^D \\ BB^D & -AB^D \end{pmatrix}] \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^D \\ BB^D & -AB^D \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$H^D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^D \\ BB^D & -AB^D \end{pmatrix}, H^\pi = \begin{pmatrix} B^\pi & 0 \\ 0 & B^\pi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $t = i(G)$. Using Lemma 2.1,

$$M^D = G^D + \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (H^D)^{i+1} G^i G^\pi,$$

as asserted. \square

The following lemma is known as the Cline’s formula in matrix and operator theory (see [10, Corollary 3.3]).

Lemma 2.3. *Let $P \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y), Q \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$. If $PQ \in \mathcal{B}(X)^D$, then $QP \in \mathcal{B}(Y)^D$. In this case,*

$$(QP)^D = Q[(PQ)^D]^2 P.$$

Lemma 2.4. *(see [11, Lemma 3.2] and [14, Lemma 2.3]) Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. If A and B are nilpotent and $AB = 0$, then $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is nilpotent.*

Lemma 2.5. *If $A^D B = 0, ABA^\pi = 0$ and B is nilpotent, then*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & I \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}^D = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \begin{pmatrix} AA^\pi & A^\pi \\ BA^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}^i \begin{pmatrix} (A^D)^{i+1} & (A^D)^{i+2} \\ B(A^D)^{i+2} & B(A^D)^{i+3} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$t = i \left(\begin{pmatrix} AA^\pi & A^\pi \\ BA^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Proof. Clearly, we have $M = P + Q$, where

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} A^2 A^D & AA^D \\ BAA^D & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Q = \begin{pmatrix} AA^\pi & A^\pi \\ BA^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We easily see that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^2 A^D & 0 \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}^D = \begin{pmatrix} A^D & 0 \\ (A^D)^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We observe that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^2 A^D & AA^D \\ BAA^D & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & BAA^D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^2 A^D & AA^D \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} A^2 A^D & 0 \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A^2 A^D & AA^D \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & BAA^D \end{pmatrix}.$$

By using Lemma 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P^D &= \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & BAA^D \end{pmatrix} \left[\begin{pmatrix} A^2 A^D & 0 \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}^D \right]^2 \begin{pmatrix} A^2 A^D & AA^D \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & BAA^D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (A^D)^2 & 0 \\ (A^D)^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^2 A^D & AA^D \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} A^D & (A^D)^2 \\ B(A^D)^2 & B(A^D)^3 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (P^D)^i &= \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & BAA^D \end{pmatrix} \left[\begin{pmatrix} A^2A^D & 0 \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}^D \right]^{i+1} \begin{pmatrix} A^2A^D & AA^D \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & BAA^D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^D & 0 \\ (A^D)^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{i+1} \begin{pmatrix} A^2A^D & AA^D \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & BAA^D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (A^D)^{i+1} & 0 \\ (A^D)^{i+2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^2A^D & AA^D \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} (A^D)^i & (A^D)^{i+1} \\ B(A^D)^{i+1} & B(A^D)^{i+2} \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \begin{pmatrix} AA^\pi & BA^\pi \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} AA^\pi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & BA^\pi \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
 \begin{pmatrix} AA^\pi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & BA^\pi \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= 0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & BA^\pi \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} BA^\pi & 0 \\ 0 & BA^\pi \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $A^\pi B = B$ is nilpotent then BA^π is nilpotent. By virtue of Lemma 2.4, $\begin{pmatrix} AA^\pi & BA^\pi \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is nilpotent.

Observing that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \begin{pmatrix} AA^\pi & A^\pi \\ BA^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & BA^\pi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} AA^\pi & A^\pi \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
 \begin{pmatrix} AA^\pi & BA^\pi \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} AA^\pi & A^\pi \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & BA^\pi \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

According to [10, Corollary 3.3], Q is nilpotent.

Since $A^D B = 0$, we have $PQ = 0$. In view of Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \begin{pmatrix} A & I \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}^D &= \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} Q^i Q^\pi (P^D)^{i+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (Q^D)^{i+1} P^i P^\pi \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} Q^i (P^D)^{i+1},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $t = i(Q)$. This completes the proof. \square

3. Main results

We now come to our main result.

Theorem 3.1. *If $B^\pi A^D B = 0$, $B^\pi A B^D = 0$ and $B^\pi A B A^\pi = 0$, then*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & I \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}^D = G^D + \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^D \\ B B^D & -A B^D \end{pmatrix}^{i+1} G^i G^\pi,$$

where

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} A B^\pi & B^\pi \\ B B^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}, G^D = \begin{pmatrix} A & I \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & \Sigma \\ \Gamma & \Delta \end{pmatrix}^2 \begin{pmatrix} B^\pi & 0 \\ 0 & B^\pi \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= B^\pi A^D + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \varepsilon_i B^\pi (A^D)^{i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \zeta_i B B^\pi (A^D)^{i+2} \\ \Sigma &= B^\pi (A^D)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \varepsilon_i B^\pi (A^D)^{i+2} + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \zeta_i B B^\pi (A^D)^{i+3} \\ \Gamma &= B B^\pi (A^D)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \eta_i B^\pi (A^D)^{i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \theta_i B B^\pi (A^D)^{i+2} \\ \Delta &= B B^\pi (A^D)^3 + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \eta_i B^\pi (A^D)^{i+2} + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \theta_i B B^\pi (A^D)^{i+3}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 &= B^\pi A A^\pi, \\ \zeta_1 &= I - B^\pi A A^D, \\ \eta_1 &= B B^\pi A^\pi, \\ \theta_1 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{i+1} &= B^\pi A^\pi A \varepsilon_i + (I - B^\pi A A^D) \eta_i, \\ \zeta_{i+1} &= B^\pi A^\pi A \zeta_i + (I - B^\pi A A^D) \theta_i, \\ \eta_{i+1} &= B B^\pi A^\pi \varepsilon_i, \\ \theta_{i+1} &= B B^\pi A^\pi \theta_i, \end{aligned}$$

$$s = \max\{i(A), i(B)\}, t = i(G), i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Set $s = \max\{i(A), i(B)\}$. By hypothesis, we check that

$$\begin{aligned} (B^\pi A)(B^\pi A^D) &= B^\pi A A^D = B^\pi A^D A = (B^\pi A^D)(B^\pi A), \\ (B^\pi A^D)^2(B^\pi A) &= B^\pi A^D(B^\pi A^D B^\pi A) = B^\pi A^D, \\ (B^\pi A^D)(B^\pi A)^{s+1} - (B^\pi A)^s &= B^\pi (A^D A^{s+1} - A^s) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(B^\pi A)^D = B^\pi A^D$, and so $(B^\pi A)^\pi = I - B^\pi A B^\pi A^D = I - B^\pi A A^D$. It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} (B^\pi A)^D(B^\pi B) &= B^\pi A^D B B^\pi = 0, (B^\pi A)(B^\pi B)^D = 0, \\ (B^\pi B)^\pi(B^\pi A)(B^\pi B)(B^\pi A)^\pi &= B^\pi A B A^\pi = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $B B^\pi$ is nilpotent, it follows by Lemma 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{pmatrix} B^\pi A & I \\ B^\pi B & 0 \end{pmatrix}^D \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \begin{pmatrix} B^\pi A (B^\pi A)^\pi & (B^\pi A)^\pi \\ B B^\pi (B^\pi A)^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}^i \begin{pmatrix} (B^\pi A^D)^{i+1} & (B^\pi A^D)^{i+2} \\ B B^\pi (B^\pi A^D)^{i+2} & B B^\pi (B^\pi A^D)^{i+3} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \begin{pmatrix} B^\pi A A^\pi & I - B^\pi A A^D \\ B^\pi B A^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}^i \begin{pmatrix} B^\pi (A^D)^{i+1} & B^\pi (A^D)^{i+2} \\ B B^\pi (A^D)^{i+2} & B B^\pi (A^D)^{i+3} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t = i \begin{pmatrix} B^\pi A A^\pi & I - B^\pi A A^D \\ B^\pi B A^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Write $\begin{pmatrix} B^\pi A A^\pi & I - B^\pi A A^D \\ B^\pi B A^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}^i = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_i & \zeta_i \\ \eta_i & \theta_i \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 &= B^\pi A A^\pi, \\ \zeta_1 &= I - B^\pi A A^D, \\ \eta_1 &= B B^\pi A^\pi, \\ \theta_1 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

For each $i \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{i+1} &= B^\pi A^\pi A \varepsilon_i + (I - B^\pi A A^D) \eta_i, \\ \zeta_{i+1} &= B^\pi A^\pi A \zeta_i + (I - B^\pi A A^D) \theta_i, \\ \eta_{i+1} &= B B^\pi A^\pi \varepsilon_i, \\ \theta_{i+1} &= B B^\pi A^\pi \theta_i. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} B^\pi A & I \\ B^\pi B & 0 \end{pmatrix}^D = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & \Sigma \\ \Gamma & \Delta \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= B^\pi A^D + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \varepsilon_i B^\pi (A^D)^{i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \zeta_i B B^\pi (A^D)^{i+2} \\ \Sigma &= B^\pi (A^D)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \varepsilon_i B^\pi (A^D)^{i+2} + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \zeta_i B B^\pi (A^D)^{i+3} \\ \Gamma &= B B^\pi (A^D)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \eta_i B^\pi (A^D)^{i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \theta_i B B^\pi (A^D)^{i+2} \\ \Delta &= B B^\pi (A^D)^3 + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \eta_i B^\pi (A^D)^{i+2} + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \theta_i B B^\pi (A^D)^{i+3}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $G = \begin{pmatrix} AB^\pi & B^\pi \\ BB^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $H = \begin{pmatrix} B^\pi A & B^\pi \\ BB^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then we see that

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} B^\pi A & I \\ BB^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B^\pi & 0 \\ 0 & B^\pi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using [18, Lemma 1.4], we get

$$H^D = \begin{pmatrix} B^\pi A & I \\ BB^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}^D \begin{pmatrix} B^\pi & 0 \\ 0 & B^\pi \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \begin{pmatrix} A & I \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B^\pi & 0 \\ 0 & B^\pi \end{pmatrix}, \\ H &= \begin{pmatrix} B^\pi & 0 \\ 0 & B^\pi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & I \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 2.3 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G^D &= \begin{pmatrix} A & I \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} (H^D)^2 \begin{pmatrix} B^\pi & 0 \\ 0 & B^\pi \end{pmatrix} \\ &= M \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & \Sigma \\ \Gamma & \Delta \end{pmatrix}^2 \begin{pmatrix} B^\pi & 0 \\ 0 & B^\pi \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & I \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}^D = G^D + \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^D \\ BB^D & -AB^D \end{pmatrix}^{i+1} G^i G^\pi,$$

where $t = i(G)$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.2. *If $A^D B = 0, AB^D = 0$ and $B^\pi A B A^\pi = 0$, then*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & I \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}^D = G^D + \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^D \\ BB^D & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{i+1} G^i G^\pi,$$

where

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} A & B^\pi \\ BB^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}, G^D = \begin{pmatrix} A & I \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & \Sigma \\ \Gamma & \Delta \end{pmatrix}^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= B^\pi A^D + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \varepsilon_i B^\pi (A^D)^{i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \zeta_i B B^\pi (A^D)^{i+2} \\ \Sigma &= B^\pi (A^D)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \varepsilon_i B^\pi (A^D)^{i+2} + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \zeta_i B B^\pi (A^D)^{i+3} \\ \Gamma &= B B^\pi (A^D)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \eta_i B^\pi (A^D)^{i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \theta_i B B^\pi (A^D)^{i+2} \\ \Delta &= B B^\pi (A^D)^3 + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \eta_i B^\pi (A^D)^{i+2} + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \theta_i B B^\pi (A^D)^{i+3}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 &= B^\pi A A^\pi, \\ \zeta_1 &= I - B^\pi A A^D, \\ \eta_1 &= B B^\pi A^\pi, \\ \theta_1 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{i+1} &= B^\pi A^\pi A \varepsilon_i + (I - B^\pi A A^D) \eta_i, \\ \zeta_{i+1} &= B^\pi A^\pi A \zeta_i + (I - B^\pi A A^D) \theta_i, \\ \eta_{i+1} &= B B^\pi A^\pi \varepsilon_i, \\ \theta_{i+1} &= B B^\pi A^\pi \theta_i, \end{aligned}$$

$$s = \max\{i(A), i(B)\}, t = i(G), i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Since $AB^D = 0$, we have $A^D B^D = (A^D)^2 (AB^D) = 0$, and so $A^D B^\pi = A^D$. Therefore we obtain the result by Theorem 3.1. \square

Corollary 3.3. *If $A^D B = 0$ and $ABA^\pi = 0$, then*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & I \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}^D = G^D + \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^D \\ B B^D & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{i+1} G^i G^\pi,$$

where G is constructed as in Corollary 3.2.

Proof. Since $A^D B = 0$ and $ABA^\pi = 0$, we have

$$AB^2 = ABA^\pi B + ABA A^D B = 0,$$

and then $AB^D = 0$. Setting G as in Corollary 3.2, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & I \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}^D = \begin{pmatrix} B^\pi & 0 \\ 0 & B^\pi \end{pmatrix} G^D + \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^D \\ B B^D & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{i+1} G^i G^\pi,$$

as desired. \square

Corollary 3.4. *If $AB^D = 0$ and $B^\pi AB = 0$, then*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & I \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}^D = G^D + \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^D \\ B B^D & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{i+1} G^i G^\pi,$$

where G is constructed as in Corollary 3.2.

Proof. Since $AB^D = 0$ and $B^\pi AB = 0$, we have

$$A^2 B = AB^\pi AB + ABB^D AB = 0;$$

hence, $A^D B = 0$. Setting G as in Corollary 3.2, we derive

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & I \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}^D = \begin{pmatrix} B^\pi & 0 \\ 0 & B^\pi \end{pmatrix} G^D + \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B^D \\ B B^D & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{i+1} G^i G^\pi,$$

as required. \square

We present a numerical example to demonstrate Corollary 3.3 which should be contrast to [16, Theorem 2.3].

Example 3.5. Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & I \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{4 \times 4}.$$

We easily check that

$$A^D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, A^\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$A^D B = 0, A B A^\pi = 0, \text{ while } B A B^\pi \neq 0.$$

One directly verifies that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A A^\pi & A^\pi \\ B A^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}^i = 0 \text{ for every } i \geq 3.$$

Since B is nilpotent, by using the formula in Corollary 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M^D &= \sum_{i=0}^2 \begin{pmatrix} A A^\pi & A^\pi \\ B A^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}^i \begin{pmatrix} (A^D)^{i+1} & (A^D)^{i+2} \\ B(A^D)^{i+2} & B(A^D)^{i+3} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} A^D & (A^D)^2 \\ B(A^D)^2 & B(A^D)^3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} A A^\pi & A^\pi \\ B A^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \begin{pmatrix} (A^D)^2 & (A^D)^3 \\ B(A^D)^3 & B(A^D)^4 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} A A^\pi & A^\pi \\ B A^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 \begin{pmatrix} (A^D)^3 & (A^D)^4 \\ B(A^D)^4 & B(A^D)^5 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

Since A^D is an idempotent and $A^\pi A^D = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M^D &= \begin{pmatrix} A^D & A^D \\ B A^D & B A^D \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} A^\pi B A^D & A^\pi B A^D \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \begin{pmatrix} A^\pi B A^D & A^\pi B A^D \\ B A^\pi B A^D & B A^\pi B A^D \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $A^\pi B A^D = B A^D$ and $B A^\pi B A^D = B A^\pi$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} M^D &= \begin{pmatrix} A^D + A^\pi B A^D + B A^D & A^D + A^\pi B A^D + B A^D \\ B A^D + B A^\pi & B A^D + B A^\pi \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

4. Applications

The aim of this section is to develop the Drazin invertibility of the full block-operator matrix

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)^D, B \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y), C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ and $D \in \mathcal{B}(Y)^D$. For the detailed formula of N^D , we leave to the readers as they can be derived by the straightforward computation according to our proof. For further use, we apply Corollary 3.3 to establish a new additive property for the Drazin inverse of bounded linear operators.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $P, Q, PQ \in \mathcal{B}(X)^D$. If $PQ^2 = 0, P^DQ = 0$ and $P^2QP^\pi = 0$, then $P + Q \in \mathcal{A}^D$.*

Proof. Clearly, $P + Q = (I, Q) \begin{pmatrix} P \\ I \end{pmatrix}$. Using Lemma 2.3, it suffices to prove

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} P \\ I \end{pmatrix} (I, Q) = \begin{pmatrix} P & PQ \\ I & Q \end{pmatrix}$$

has Drazin inverse. Write $M = K + L$, where

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} P & PQ \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $H = \begin{pmatrix} P & I \\ PQ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. According to Corollary 3.3, H has Drazin inverse. Clearly,

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & PQ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, K = \begin{pmatrix} P & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & PQ \end{pmatrix}.$$

By using Lemma 2.3, K has Drazin inverse. Since $PQ^2 = 0$, we have $KL = 0$. In light of Lemma 2.1, W has Drazin inverse. Therefore $P + Q$ has Drazin inverse. \square

Theorem 4.2. *If $A^DB = 0, D^DC = 0, ABC = 0, DCB = 0, A^2BD^\pi = 0$ and $D^2CA^\pi = 0$, then N has Drazin inverse.*

Proof. Write $N = P + Q$, where

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}, Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$P^D = \begin{pmatrix} A^D & 0 \\ 0 & D^D \end{pmatrix}, P^\pi = \begin{pmatrix} A^\pi & 0 \\ 0 & D^\pi \end{pmatrix}.$$

We compute that

$$\begin{aligned} P^DQ &= \begin{pmatrix} A^D & 0 \\ 0 & D^D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A^DB \\ D^DC & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 0, \\ PQ^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} BC & 0 \\ 0 & CB \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} ABC & 0 \\ 0 & DCB \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we check that

$$\begin{aligned} P^2QP^\pi &= \begin{pmatrix} A^2 & 0 \\ 0 & D^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^\pi & 0 \\ 0 & D^\pi \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A^2BD^\pi \\ D^2CA^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by Lemma 4.1. \square

As an immediate consequence, we derive the following.

Corollary 4.3. *If $A^2B = 0, D^2C = 0, ABC = 0$ and $DCB = 0$, then N has Drazin inverse.*

Consider the block-operator matrix, whose generalized Shur complement is equal to zero, that is

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, D = CA^DB \tag{*}$$

where $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)^D, B \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y), C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ and $D \in \mathcal{B}(Y)^D$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)^D$ and S be defined in (*). If $A^D BC = 0, ABCA^\pi = 0, BDC = 0$ and $BD^2 = 0$, then S has Drazin inverse.*

Proof. Clearly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^D BD &= (A^D)^2 ABD = (A^D)^2 AB(CA^DB) \\ &= AA^D(A^D BC)A^DB = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Write $S = P + Q$, where

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $A^D BC = 0$, we see that CA^DB is nilpotent. Obviously, P and Q have Drazin inverses. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P^D &= \begin{pmatrix} A^D & (A^D)^2 B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, P^\pi = \begin{pmatrix} A^\pi & -A^D B \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix}; \\ Q^D &= 0, Q^\pi = I. \end{aligned}$$

We compute that

$$\begin{aligned} P^D Q &= \begin{pmatrix} A^D & (A^D)^2 B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (A^D)^2 BC & (A^D)^2 BD \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 0, \\ P Q^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ DC & D^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} BDC & BD^2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we check that

$$\begin{aligned} P^2 Q P^\pi &= \begin{pmatrix} A^2 & AB \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^\pi & -A^D B \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} ABCA^\pi & ABD - ABCA^D B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 4.1, $S = P + Q$ has Drazin inverse, as asserted. \square

Corollary 4.5. If $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)^D$ and S be defined in (*). If $A^D B = 0$, $CA^\pi = 0$ and $BD = 0$, then S has Drazin inverse.

Proof. This is immediate from Theorem 4.4. \square

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her careful reading and valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] C. Bu; K. Zhang and J. Zhao, Representation of the Drazin inverse on solution of a class singular differential equations, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, **59**(2011), 863–877.
- [2] S.L. Campbell, The Drazin inverse and systems of second order linear differential equations, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, **14**(1983), 195–198.
- [3] N. Castro-González, Additive perturbation results for the Drazin inverse, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **397**(2005), 2779–297.
- [4] H. Chen and M.S. Abdolyousefi, G-Drazin inverses for operator matrices, *Operators and Matrices*, **14**(2020), 23–31.
- [5] D.S. Cvetković-Ilić, Some results on the $(2, 2, 0)$ Drazin inverse problem, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **438**(2013), 4726–4741.
- [6] C. Deng and Y. Wei, A note on the Drazin inverse for an anti-triangular matrix, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **431**(2009), 1910–1922.
- [7] E. Dopazo and M.F. Martínez-Serrano, Further results on the representation of the Drazin inverse of a 2×2 block matrix, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **432**(2010), 1896–1904.
- [8] R. Hartwig; G. Wang and Y. Wei, Some additive results on Drazin inverse, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **322**(2001), 207–217.
- [9] X. Liu; X. Qin and J. Benítez, New additive results for the generalized Drazin inverse in a Banach Algebra, *Filomat*, **30**(2016), 2289–2294.
- [10] D. Mosić, On Jacobson’s lemma and Cline’s formula for Drazin inverses, *Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina*, **61**(2020), 267–276.
- [11] P. Patrício and R.E. Hartwig, The $(2,2,0)$ Drazin inverse problem, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **437**(2012), 2755–2772.
- [12] L. Xia and B. Deng, The Drazin inverse of the sum of two matrices and its applications, *Filomat*, **31** (2017), 5151–5158.
- [13] H. Yang and X. Liu, The Drazin inverse of the sum of two matrices and its applications, *J. Comput. Applied Math.*, **235**(2011), 1412–1417.
- [14] A. Yu; X. Wang and C. Deng, On the Drazin inverse of an anti-triangular block matrix, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **489**(2016), 274–287.
- [15] D. Zhang; Yu Jin and D. Mosaic, The Drazin inverse of anti-triangular block matrices, *J. Applied Math. Comput.*, (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12190-021-01638-2>.
- [16] D. Zhang and D. Mosić, Explicit formulae for the generalized Drazin inverse of block matrices over a Banach algebra, *Filomat*, **32**(2018), 5907–5917.
- [17] D. Zhang; D. Mosić and L. Chen, On the Drazin inverse of anti-triangular block matrices, *Electronic Research Archive*, **30**(2022), 2428–2445.
- [18] H. Zou; J. Chen and D. Mosić, The Drazin invertibility of an anti-triangular matrix over a ring, *Studia Scient. Math. Hungar.*, **54**(2017), 489–508.